

EXTENDED HYBRID BLOCK SIMPSON’S METHOD OF THE 5TH AND 6TH STEP-SIZES FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Y. Skwame ¹and F.J. Adeyeye²

¹*Department of Mathematical sciences, Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria*

²*Department of Math/Computer science, Federal University of Petroleum Resource, PMB 1221, Effurum, Nigeria.*

Abstract

We construct a self-starting Simpson’s type block hybrid method (BHM) consisting of very closely accurate members each of order $p=q+2$ as a block. The higher order members of each were obtained by increasing the number k in the multi-step collocation (MC) used to derive the k -step continuous formula ($5 \leq k \leq 6$) through the aid of MAPLE software program.

In this paper, we identify a continuous hybrid block schemes (CHBS) through the addition of one off-mesh collocation points in the MC. The (CHBS) is evaluated along with it’s first derivative where necessary to give a hybrid block schemes for a simultaneous application to the ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The results of numerical experiment confirm the reliability of these schemes.

Keywords: Continuous hybrid block schemes (CHBS), Multi-step collocation (MC), ODEs.

1.0 Introduction

There are always conflict between three basic aims in the design of schemes to solve ordinary differential equations, that is, accuracy, stability and efficiency.

Following [1,2,3] we identify a continuous hybrid block scheme (CHBS) through the addition of one or more off-mesh collocation points in the multi-step collocation (MC). The (CHBS) is evaluated at some distinct points involving mesh and off-mesh points along with its first derivative, where necessary, to give multiple hybrid block schemes for the treatment of stiff ordinary differential equations.

This paper is classified into four sections. Sections one, two, three and four are Definition, Derivation and Application and Conclusion respectively.

2.0 Definitions

Definition 2.1 Linear Multi-Step Method

A k -step linear multi-step (LMM) is of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} \tag{2.1}$$

Where

$$f_{n+j} = f(x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}), \quad y_{n+j} = y(x_{n+j})$$

α_j and β_j are constants and satisfy the constraints

$$\alpha_k \neq 0, \alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 > 0$$

(2.1) is explicit if $\beta_k = 0$ and implicit if $\beta_k \neq 0$

Corresponding Author: Adeyeye F.J., Email: adeyeye.fofa@fupre.edu.ng, Tel: +2348037344253

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 56, (March - May 2020 Issue), 11 –18

Definition 2.2

If a numerical method is forced to be used, in a certain interval of integration, a step length, which is excessively small in relation to the smoothness of the exact solution in that interval, then the problem is said to be stiff in that interval.

Unlike the linear definition of stiffness, our definition allows a single equation, not just a system of equations, to be stiff. It also allows a problem to be stiff in parts, a nonlinear problem may start off non-stiff and become stiff, or vice versa. It may even have alternating stiff and non-stiff internal.

3.0 Construction of the Methods

3.1. Derivation techniques of MC.

Let us consider the first order system of ODEs

$$y' = f(x,y), \quad a < x < b, \quad y, f \in \mathfrak{R}^s \tag{3.1}$$

where y satisfies a given set of s associated conditions, which are either all initial, all boundary or mixed conditions. The idea of the k – step MC, following[4], is to find a polynomial U of the form

$$U(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi_j(x)y_{n+j} + h \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \phi_j(x)f(x_j, u(\bar{x}_j)), x_n \leq x \leq x_{n+k} \tag{3.2}$$

Where t denotes the number of interpolation points $x_{n+i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$, and m denote the number of distinct collocation points

$\bar{x}_i \in [x_n, x_{n+k}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ the points \bar{x}_i are chosen from the step x_{n+i} as well as one or more off – step points.

The following assumptions are made;

1. Although the step size can be variable, for simplicity in our presentation of the analysis in this paper, we assume it is constant $h = x_{n+1} - x_n, N = \frac{b-a}{h}$ with the steps given by $\{x_n / x_n = a + nh, n = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$,
2. That (3.1) has a unique solution and the coefficients $\phi_j(x), \varphi_j(x)$ in (3.2) can be represented by polynomials of the form

$$h\phi_j = \sum_{i=0}^{t+m-1} \phi_{j,i+1}x^i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\} \tag{3.3}$$

with constant coefficients $\phi_{j,i+1}, h\varphi_{j,i+1}$ to be determined using the interpolation and collocation conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_{n+i}) &= y_{n+i}, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\} \\ u^1(\bar{x}_i) &= f(\bar{x}_i, u(\bar{x}_i)), j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

With this assumptions we obtain an MC polynomial, following [4, 5], in the form

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{t+m-1} a_i x^i, a^i = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} c_{i+1,j+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{i+1,j+t+1} f_{n+j} \tag{3.5}$$

Where $x_n \leq x \leq x_{n+k}$ and $c_{ij}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t+m\}$ are constants given by the elements of the inverse matrix $C = D^{-1}$. The MC matrix D is a nonsingular (m+1) square matrix of the type

3.2 Five steps Block Hybrid Simpson’s Method with one off-step point.

The parameters required for equation (2.1.8) are k=5, t=1, m= k+2; (x_n, x_{n+1}) ;

$$\left(\bar{x}_0 = x_n, \bar{x}_1 = x_{n+1}, \bar{x}_2 = x_{n+2}, \bar{x}_3 = x_{n+3}, \bar{x}_4 = x_{n+4}, \bar{x}_5 = x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}, \bar{x}_6 = x_{n+5} \right)$$

Hence the matrix (2.1.8) takes the following shape.

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & x_n^3 & x_n^4 & x_n^5 & x_n^6 & x_n^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_n & 3x_n^2 & 4x_n^3 & 5x_n^4 & 6x_n^5 & 7x_n^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+1} & 3x_{n+1}^2 & 4x_{n+1}^3 & 5x_{n+1}^4 & 6x_{n+1}^5 & 7x_{n+1}^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+2} & 3x_{n+2}^2 & 4x_{n+2}^3 & 5x_{n+2}^4 & 6x_{n+2}^5 & 7x_{n+2}^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+3} & 3x_{n+3}^2 & 4x_{n+3}^3 & 5x_{n+3}^4 & 6x_{n+3}^5 & 7x_{n+3}^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+4} & 3x_{n+4}^2 & 4x_{n+4}^3 & 5x_{n+4}^4 & 6x_{n+4}^5 & 7x_{n+4}^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{9}{2}} & 3x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+5} & 3x_{n+5}^2 & 4x_{n+5}^3 & 5x_{n+5}^4 & 6x_{n+5}^5 & 7x_{n+5}^6 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.6}$$

Using the maple software environment to evaluate (3.9) at the grid points

$$x = x_{n+1}, x = x_{n+2}, x = x_{n+3}, x = x_{n+4}, x = x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}, x = x_{n+5}$$

We obtain the six discrete schemes, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{28199}{90720} f_n + \frac{78553}{70560} f_{n+1} - \frac{4519}{5040} f_{n+2} + \frac{13691}{15120} f_{n+3} - \frac{9841}{10080} f_{n+4} + \frac{13808}{19845} f_{n+\frac{9}{2}} - \frac{1537}{10080} f_{n+5} \right] \\ y_{n+2} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{169}{567} f_n + \frac{6691}{4410} f_{n+1} - \frac{5}{63} f_{n+2} + \frac{517}{945} f_{n+3} - \frac{206}{315} f_{n+4} + \frac{9472}{19845} f_{n+\frac{9}{2}} - \frac{67}{630} f_{n+5} \right] \\ y_{n+4} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{170}{567} f_n + \frac{3296}{2205} f_{n+1} + \frac{104}{315} f_{n+2} + \frac{1664}{945} f_{n+3} - \frac{63}{315} f_{n+4} + \frac{8192}{19845} f_{n+\frac{9}{2}} - \frac{32}{315} f_{n+5} \right] \\ y_{n+\frac{9}{2}} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{2151}{7168} f_n + \frac{374463}{250880} f_{n+1} + \frac{1215}{3584} f_{n+2} + \frac{31077}{17920} f_{n+3} + \frac{3159}{35840} f_{n+4} + \frac{162}{245} f_{n+\frac{9}{2}} - \frac{4131}{35840} f_{n+5} \right] \\ y_{n+5} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{5435}{18144} f_n + \frac{21125}{14112} f_{n+1} + \frac{325}{1008} f_{n+2} + \frac{5375}{3024} f_{n+3} - \frac{125}{2016} f_{n+4} + \frac{4400}{3969} f_{n+\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{115}{2016} f_{n+5} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

3.3 Six steps Block Hybrid Simpson’s Method with one off-step point.

The parameters required for equation (3.8) are k=6, t=1, m= k+2; (x_n, x_{n+1}) ,

$$\left(\bar{x}_0 = x_n, \bar{x}_1 = x_{n+1}, \bar{x}_2 = x_{n+2}, \bar{x}_3 = x_{n+3}, \bar{x}_4 = x_{n+4}, \bar{x}_5 = x_{n+5}, \bar{x}_{\frac{11}{2}} = x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}, \bar{x}_6 = x_{n+6} \right)$$

Hence the matrix (2.1.8) takes the following shape.

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & x_n^3 & x_n^4 & x_n^5 & x_n^6 & x_n^7 & x_n^8 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_n & 3x_n^2 & 4x_n^3 & 5x_n^4 & 6x_n^5 & 7x_n^6 & 8x_n^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+1} & 3x_{n+1}^2 & 4x_{n+1}^3 & 5x_{n+1}^4 & 6x_{n+1}^5 & 7x_{n+1}^6 & 8x_{n+1}^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+2} & 3x_{n+2}^2 & 4x_{n+2}^3 & 5x_{n+2}^4 & 6x_{n+2}^5 & 7x_{n+2}^6 & 8x_{n+2}^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+3} & 3x_{n+3}^2 & 4x_{n+3}^3 & 5x_{n+3}^4 & 6x_{n+3}^5 & 7x_{n+3}^6 & 8x_{n+3}^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+4} & 3x_{n+4}^2 & 4x_{n+4}^3 & 5x_{n+4}^4 & 6x_{n+4}^5 & 7x_{n+4}^6 & 8x_{n+4}^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+5} & 3x_{n+5}^2 & 4x_{n+5}^3 & 5x_{n+5}^4 & 6x_{n+5}^5 & 7x_{n+5}^6 & 8x_{n+5}^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{11}{2}} & 3x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}^7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+6} & 3x_{n+6}^2 & 4x_{n+6}^3 & 5x_{n+6}^4 & 6x_{n+6}^5 & 7x_{n+6}^6 & 8x_{n+6}^7 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.8}$$

Using the maple software environment to evaluate (3.12) at the grid points

$$x = x_{n+1}, x = x_{n+2}, x = x_{n+3}, x = x_{n+4}, x = x_{n+5}, x = x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}, x = x_{n+6}$$

We obtain the seven discrete schemes, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+2} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{4027}{13860} f_n + \frac{4454}{2835} f_{n+1} - \frac{103}{420} f_{n+2} + \frac{52}{63} f_{n+3} - \frac{3047}{3780} f_{n+4} + \frac{82}{105} f_{n+5} - \frac{16384}{31185} f_{n+\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{137}{1260} f_{n+6} \right] \\
 y_{n+3} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{361}{1232} f_n + \frac{345}{224} f_{n+1} + \frac{243}{1120} f_{n+2} + \frac{859}{560} f_{n+3} - \frac{36}{35} f_{n+4} + \frac{1053}{1120} f_{n+5} - \frac{48}{77} f_{n+\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{143}{1120} f_{n+6} \right] \\
 y_{n+4} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{3034}{10395} f_n + \frac{880}{567} f_{n+1} + \frac{16}{105} f_{n+2} + \frac{1952}{945} f_{n+3} - \frac{386}{945} f_{n+4} + \frac{16}{21} f_{n+5} - \frac{16384}{31185} f_{n+\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{104}{945} f_{n+6} \right] \\
 y_{n+5} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{295}{1008} f_n + \frac{28025}{18144} f_{n+1} + \frac{125}{672} f_{n+2} + \frac{1975}{1008} f_{n+3} + \frac{125}{756} f_{n+4} + \frac{955}{672} f_{n+5} - \frac{400}{567} f_{n+\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{275}{2016} f_{n+6} \right] \\
 y_{n+\frac{11}{2}} &= y_n + h \left[\frac{905773}{3096576} f_n + \frac{7180745}{4644864} f_{n+1} + \frac{310123}{1720320} f_{n+2} + \frac{7643933}{3870720} f_{n+3} + \frac{2019127}{15482880} f_{n+4} + \frac{1476079}{860160} f_{n+5} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{4213}{9072} f_{n+\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{1925957}{15482880} f_{n+6} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

3.4 The Order and Error constants of the A-stable Block Hybrid Methods.

The hybrid block methods which are obtained in a block form with the help of maple software have the following order and error constants for each case.

Case k=5

Table 1The Hybrid of block method K=5

Evaluating point	Order	Error Constants
$y(x = x_{n+1})$	7	$\frac{1759}{211680}$
$y(x = x_{n+2})$	7	$\frac{337}{52920}$
$y(x = x_{n+3})$	7	$\frac{57}{7840}$
$y(x = x_{n+4})$	7	$\frac{44}{6615}$
$y\left(x = x_{n+\frac{9}{2}}\right)$	7	$\frac{54351}{8028160}$
$y(x = x_{n+5})$	7	$\frac{275}{42336}$

(3.10)

Table 1: k=5 BHSM with one off-step point

The method k=5 is of order 6 as a block and has error constants $C_8 = \left(\frac{1759}{211680}, \frac{337}{52920}, \frac{57}{7840}, \frac{44}{6615}, \frac{54351}{8028160}, \frac{275}{42336} \right)^T$

Table 2 The Case k=6 The Hybrid of block method K=6

Evaluating points	Order	Error Constants
$y(x = x_{n+1})$	8	$-\frac{209749}{29030400}$
$y(x = x_{n+2})$	8	$-\frac{653}{11340}$
$y(x = x_{n+3})$	8	$-\frac{2277}{35840}$
$y(x = x_{n+4})$	8	$-\frac{169}{28350}$
$y(x = x_{n+5})$	8	$-\frac{7325}{1161216}$
$y\left(x = x_{n+\frac{11}{2}}\right)$	8	$-\frac{46301497}{731782400}$
$y(x = x_{n+6})$	8	$-\frac{9}{1400}$

(3.11)

Table 2: k=6 BHSM with one off-step point
The method k=6 is of order 8 as a block and has error constants

$$C_9 = \left(-\frac{209749}{29030400}, -\frac{653}{11340}, -\frac{2277}{35840}, -\frac{169}{28350}, -\frac{7325}{1161216}, -\frac{46301497}{731782400}, -\frac{9}{1400} \right)^T$$

2.6.1 Absolute stability region of the block hybrid method K=5

The block hybrid methods (2.2.0) with one off-grid point are arranged as shown below;

The coefficients of these methods expressed in tabular form bellow gives the coefficients of the new method.

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_n \\ y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+\frac{9}{2}} \\ y_{n+5} \\ y_{n+5} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 28199 & 78553 & 4519 & 13691 & 9841 & 13808 & 1537 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 90720 & 70560 & 5040 & 15120 & 10080 & 19845 & 10080 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 169 & 6691 & 5 & 517 & 206 & 9472 & 67 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 567 & 4410 & 63 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 630 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1013 & 11601 & 45 & 689 & 1017 & 464 & 153 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3360 & 7840 & 112 & 560 & 1120 & 735 & 1120 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 170 & 3296 & 104 & 1664 & 62 & 8192 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 567 & 2205 & 315 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 315 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2151 & 374463 & 1215 & 31077 & 3159 & 162 & 4131 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 7168 & 250880 & 3584 & 17920 & 35840 & 245 & 35840 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 5435 & 21125 & 325 & 5375 & 125 & 4400 & 115 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 18144 & 14112 & 1008 & 3024 & 2016 & 3969 & 2016 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 5435 & 21125 & 325 & 5375 & 125 & 4400 & 115 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1814 & 14112 & 1008 & 3024 & 2016 & 3969 & 2016 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 170 & 3296 & 104 & 1664 & 62 & 8192 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 567 & 2205 & 315 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 315 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1013 & 11601 & 45 & 689 & 1017 & 464 & 153 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3360 & 7840 & 112 & 560 & 1120 & 735 & 1120 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 169 & 6691 & 5 & 517 & 206 & 9472 & 67 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 567 & 4410 & 63 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 630 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 28199 & 78553 & 4519 & 13691 & 9841 & 13808 & 1537 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 90720 & 70560 & 5040 & 15120 & 10080 & 19845 & 10080 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_n \\ f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \\ f_{n+\frac{9}{2}} \\ f_{n+5} \\ - \\ - \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+1} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.12}$$

where,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 28199 & 78553 & 4519 & 13691 & 9841 & 13808 & 1537 \\ 90720 & 70560 & 5040 & 15120 & 10080 & 19845 & 10080 \\ 169 & 6691 & 5 & 517 & 206 & 9472 & 67 \\ 567 & 4410 & 63 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 630 \\ 1013 & 11601 & 45 & 689 & 1017 & 464 & 153 \\ 3360 & 7840 & 112 & 560 & 1120 & 735 & 1120 \\ 170 & 3296 & 104 & 1664 & 62 & 8192 & 32 \\ 567 & 2205 & 315 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 315 \\ 2151 & 374463 & 1215 & 31077 & 3159 & 162 & 4131 \\ 7168 & 250880 & 3584 & 17920 & 35840 & 245 & 35840 \\ 5435 & 21125 & 325 & 5375 & 125 & 4400 & 115 \\ 18144 & 14112 & 1008 & 3024 & 2016 & 3969 & 2016 \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.13}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 5435 & 21125 & 325 & 5375 & 125 & 4400 & 115 \\ 18144 & 14112 & 1008 & 3024 & 2016 & 3969 & 2016 \\ 170 & 3296 & 104 & 1664 & 62 & 8192 & 32 \\ 567 & 2205 & 315 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 315 \\ 1013 & 11601 & 45 & 689 & 1017 & 464 & 153 \\ 3360 & 7840 & 112 & 560 & 1120 & 735 & 1120 \\ 169 & 6691 & 5 & 517 & 206 & 9472 & 67 \\ 567 & 4410 & 63 & 945 & 315 & 19845 & 630 \\ 28199 & 78553 & 4519 & 13691 & 9841 & 13808 & 1537 \\ 90720 & 70560 & 5040 & 15120 & 10080 & 19845 & 10080 \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.14}$$

Substituting the values of A, B, U and V into the stability matrix and the stability function and using Maple software yields the stability polynomial of the block method.

Using a Matlab program, we obtained the stability region of the block hybrid Simpson’s method for K= 5 as shown in figure

Fig 1: The stability region of the block method shows that it is A-stable.




Figure 1: Stability region of the block hybrid Simpson’s method K=5

Following the same procedure for $k=6$, the elements of the matrices A,B,U and V are substituted and computing the stability function with Maple software yield, the stability polynomial of the method which is then plotted in MATLAB environment to produce the required absolute stability region of the methods, as shown by the figures 2 and 3 below:

Fig 2: The stability region of the block method shows that it is A-stable




Figure 2: Stability region of the block hybrid Simpson’s method K=6

3.0 Numerical Implementation.

To study the efficiency of the block hybrid method for $2 \leq k \leq 6$, we present some numerical examples widely used by several authors such as [8,3].

Experiment 1 $y' = -1000000 y$, where $h = 0.1$, $x \in [0, 1.8]$

Exact solution $y(x) = e^{-1000000 x}$

Table of absolute errors for experiment 1

Table 3:

ABSOLUTE ERRORS OF A-STABLE NEW BHSM AT $x \in [0, 1.8]$ $\lambda = -1000000$

Y	A-stable Hybrid Block Simpson’s K=5	A-stable Hybrid Block Simpson’s K=6
0.1	1.56×10^{-1}	1.36×10^{-1}
0.2	5.56×10^{-2}	4.24×10^{-2}
0.3	3.33×10^{-2}	2.27×10^{-2}
0.4	2.22×10^{-2}	1.82×10^{-2}
0.5	1.11×10^{-1}	1.52×10^{-2}
0.6	1.73×10^{-2}	9.09×10^{-2}
0.7	6.17×10^{-3}	1.24×10^{-2}
0.8	3.70×10^{-3}	3.86×10^{-3}
0.9	2.47×10^{-3}	2.07×10^{-3}
1.0	1.23×10^{-2}	1.65×10^{-3}

Experiment 2 $y^1 = \lambda y$, where $h = 0.1$, $\lambda = -10$ $x \in [0, 1.8]$

Exact solution $y(x) = e^{\lambda x}$

TABLE 4
ABSOLUTE ERRORS OF NEW BHSM AT $x \in [0, 1.8]$ $\lambda = -10$

Y	A-stable Hybrid Block Simpson's K=5	A-stable Hybrid Block Simpson's K=6
0.1	3.78×10^{-4}	2.03×10^{-4}
0.2	5.49×10^{-5}	3.18×10^{-5}
0.3	6.12×10^{-5}	2.95×10^{-5}
0.4	7.76×10^{-6}	1.11×10^{-6}
0.5	1.61×10^{-5}	1.01×10^{-5}
0.6	3.38×10^{-6}	9.91×10^{-6}
0.7	1.86×10^{-6}	4.08×10^{-6}
0.8	3.58×10^{-7}	1.42×10^{-6}
0.9	3.48×10^{-7}	5.66×10^{-7}
1.0	2.18×10^{-7}	1.78×10^{-7}

4.0 Conclusion

It is evident from the above tables that Extended hybrid block Simpson's method of the 5th and 6th step-sizes for ordinary differential equations are indeed accurate and can handle stiff equations. Also in terms of stability analysis, this methods are A-stable and the schemes have also been shown to be of good order.

References

[1] G.Dahlquist." A special stability problem for linear Multistep methods". BIT3 27-43.
 [2] P. Onumanyi, D. O. Awoyemi S. N. Jator, and U. W. Sirisena (1994) " New linear multi-step methods with continuous coefficients for first order initial value problems". J. Nig. Math. Soc. 13, 37-51,
 [3] J. D. Lambert, (1991), "Numerical methods for ordinary differential Systems ,Wiley" .p220,
 [4] D.G. Yakubu,(1991)" New block implicit Runge-Kuta Collocation methods for solving stiff differential equations.Mathematical sciences programmeAbubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria.
 [5] R. England and, R. M.Mattheij.(1985)" Sequential step control for Integration of two-point boundary value problems".Department of Mathematics, Catholic University, Toemooiveld, 6255 Report 8541,
 [6] Y.Skwame.(2005)" Construction of Simpson-Type Hybrid Block methods for Stiff ordinary differential equations."M. Sc. Project.(Unpublished). University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria,
 [7] J. P. A Chollon,(12004)"Study of block hybrid Adams methods with linkto two step Runge-Kutta methods for first order ordinary differential Equations". Ph. D. Thesis. University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria.
 [8] Adeyeye F.J (2015). The solution of some initial value problems in ordinary differential equation(ODE) bysome linear Multistep method(LMM). African journals of Computer and ICT IEEE Vol 8 Issue 2 pp 31-38
 [9] M.Nakashima(1992)," Variable coefficients A-Stable explicit Runge-Kutta methods". Proceeding of the fifth international conference on scientific computing. University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria;Pp 138-143.1992.
 [10] Adeyeye F.J, Igobi D.K, IbijolaE.A.(2015): A news Hybrid in the nonlinear part of ADM for initial value problem of ordinary Differential Equation. Journal of Mathematical Research, Application (7) pp 102-109
 [11] E.A.Ibijola, Y. , Skwame, G.Kumleng.(2011)" Formation of Hybrid Block Method of Higher Step-sizes, through the continuous multi-step collocation", 2(2), pp.161-173.