# BOUND STATE SOLUTIONS OF NON-RELATIVISTIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH HELLMANN POTENTIAL WITHIN THE FRAMEWORKS OF GENERALIZED PEKERIS APPROXIMATION OF THE CENTRIFUGAL TERM POTENTIAL

Eyube E.S<sup>1</sup>., Y.Y. Jabil<sup>2</sup> and Wadata Umar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, School of Physical Sciences, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Jos, Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria. <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, School of Sciences, Aminu Saleh College of Education, Azare, Bauchi State, Nigeria.

Abstract

In this paper we have solved the Schrödinger equation using the Hellmann potential and obtained bound state solutions for the energy eigenvalues and normalized radial wave functions using the recently proposed generalized Pekeris approximation model to the centrifugal term potential. We have also obtained the s-wave energy eigenvalues for 2s quantum state, our result showed excellent agreement with existing literature results for small values of potential screening parameter C. We have also studied the variation of energy eigenvalues,  $E_{n\ell}$  with energy determining parameter  $\alpha$  where our result showed that  $E_{n\ell}$  remains fairly constant for given values of C and  $\alpha$  with increase in principal quantum number, n.  $E_{n\ell}$  also decreases with increase in  $\alpha$  for given values of C and n. The study also reveal the existence of threshold value of  $\alpha$  at which bound state exists for all quantum states  $n \ell$ 

Keywords: Hellmann potential, Schrödinger equation, energy eigenvalues, energy determining parameter, Pekeris approximation

#### 1.0 Introduction

Solutions of the wave equation are indispensable in quantum mechanics because of the significant information they convey about the quantum system under consideration, such information contained in the wave function include: energy, momentum, frequency, wavelength and the speed of the quantum system [1-5]. The solution of wave equation depends on the type of potential energy function used to solve the Schrödinger equation, potentials such as: harmonic oscillator, pseudoharmonic

oscillator and Deng-Fan potential amongst others give exact analytical solution for all quantum states  $|n \ell\rangle$  [6-9]. On the

other hand, few other potentials give exact solutions only for the state  $|n0\rangle$  (s-wave) [10-12]. However, for many potential

functions, exact analytical solutions are not possible for all quantum states, in such a situation, one has to resort to approximate analytical solutions, the approximation is usually applied to the centrifugal term of the Schrödinger equation, various approximation models have been proposed some decades ago [1,2 13-16]. The proposed approximation models are limited to short potential range and/or screening parameter of the potential and are mostly applicable to exponential type potentials only. Recently [17] have proposed a new approximation model which is based on Taylor series expansion of the centrifugal term, the model was applied to solve the Schrödinger equation for the Rosen-Morse and the Manning-Rosen potentials. Various solution methods were used to solve the Schrödinger equation analytically, some of these methods include: standard method [6, 18, 19]. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics [20-22], Nikiforov-Uvarov method [23-26], asymptotic (and improved asymptotic) iteration method [27-29], exact (and proper) quantization rules [30-32], generalized

Corresponding Author: Eyube E.S., Email: edwineyubes@mautech.edu.ng, Tel: +2348036870057

pseudospectral method [33-35]. The Schrödinger equation has been solve by researchers using a number of potential models, these potentials include: Manning-Rosen potential [36-39], Eckart potential [40-41] among others.

#### 2.0 Theoretical Approach

#### 2.0.1 The Hellmann Potential

The Hellmann potential [35] is a superposition of Coulomb potential and Yukawa (or screened Coulomb) potential, it is given by:

$$V(r) = -\frac{A}{r} + \frac{Be^{-Cr}}{r}$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

where  $_{A}(>0)$  and B are the depths of the Coulomb and Yukawa potentials respectively, r is the internuclear separation between two interacting particles and  $_{C}(>0)$  is the screening parameter. Like most other potentials, the Hellmann potential does not offer exact analytical solution with the Schrödinger equation, thus, the need for approximate analytical solution. Various methods have been used to solve the Schrödinger equation with Hellmann potential [35, 42-43]. Encouraged by the results and successes of the recently proposed generalized Pekeris approximation scheme [17, 19], we are motivated to solve the Schrödinger equation with the Hellmann potential via the generalized Pekeris approximation to the centrifugal term and to compare results with available data in the literature.

#### 2.0.2 Energy Eigenvalues and Radial Wave Functions

The radial Schrödinger equation [19], for a molecule of reduced mass  $\mu$  with the Hellmann potential is given by:

$$\frac{d^2 R_{n\ell}}{dr^2} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left\{ E_{n\ell} + \frac{1}{r_e} \left( A - B e^{-Cr} \right) \frac{r_e}{r} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r_e^2} \left( \frac{r_e}{r} \right)^2 \right\} R_{n\ell} = 0 \cdot$$
(2)

where  $E_{n\ell}$  is the energy eigenvalue of the molecule, n and  $\ell$  are the principal vibrational quantum number and the principal

angular momentum quantum number respectively and  $r_e$  is the equilibrium internuclear distance.

Letting

$$z = e^{-Cr}.$$
(3)

Eq. (2) transforms to:

$$z^{2}R_{n\ell}''(z) + zR_{n\ell}'(z) + \frac{2\mu}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}} \left\{ E_{n\ell} + \frac{1}{r_{e}} (A - Bz) \frac{r_{e}}{r} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)\hbar^{2}}{2\mu r_{e}^{2}} \left(\frac{r_{e}}{r}\right)^{2} \right\} R_{n\ell} = 0.$$
(4)

where prime denotes derivative with respect to z. Following [19],  $r_e/r$  and  $(r_e/r)^2$  can be approximated by terms of a Taylor series expansion. In this paper we have used:

$$\frac{r_e}{r} \approx a_0 + a_1(y - \alpha) \cdot \tag{5}$$

where y and its inverse  $y^{=1}$  are appropriately chosen functions, and  $\alpha$  is an element in the domain of  $y^{=1}$ . The coefficients,  $a_{N}(N=0,1,2,...)$  are given by:

$$a_N = \frac{d^N F}{d y^N} \bigg|_{y=\alpha}.$$
 (6)

with the function F given by [17]

$$F(y) \equiv \left(\frac{r_e}{r}\right)^m = \left(1 - \frac{y^{-1}}{\alpha r_e}\right)^{-m}.$$
(7)

In the present work, we have chosen:

$$y = e^{-\alpha \left(Cr/\alpha - r_e\right)} \equiv e^{\alpha r_e} z .$$
(8)

And

 $y^{=1} = \ln y. \tag{9}$ 

By taking m = 1, Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) gives:

(20)

(24)

$$a_0 = \frac{\alpha r_e}{\alpha r_e - \ln \alpha}.$$
(10)

$$a_1 = \frac{r_e}{\left(\alpha \, r_e - \ln \alpha\right)^2} \,. \tag{11}$$

Similarly,  $(r_e / r)^2$  can be approximated by:

$$\left(\frac{r_e}{r}\right)^2 \approx b_0 + b_1 \left(y - \alpha\right) + \frac{1}{2} b_2 \left(y - \alpha\right)^2.$$
(12)

By taking m = 2,  $b_N \equiv a_N$  in Eq. (6), Eq.(7) gives

$$b_0 = \frac{\alpha^2 r_e^2}{\left(\alpha r_e - \ln \alpha\right)^2}.$$
(13)

$$b_1 = \frac{2\alpha r_e^2}{(\alpha r_e - \ln \alpha)^3}.$$
(14)

$$b_2 = \frac{2r_e^2(3-\alpha r_e + \ln \alpha)}{(\alpha r_e - \ln \alpha)^4}.$$
(15)

where  $\alpha \in [1,\infty)$ 

Substitute Eq. (5) and Eq. (12) in Eq. (4) to obtain:  

$$z^{2}R_{n\ell}''(z) + zR_{n\ell}'(z) + \left(-\varepsilon_{1} z^{2} + \varepsilon_{2} z - \varepsilon_{3}\right)R_{n\ell} = 0$$
(16)

$$\varepsilon_{1} = \frac{2\,\mu B \,e^{\alpha r_{e}}}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}} a_{1} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)e^{2\,\alpha r_{e}}}{2C^{2}r_{e}^{2}} b_{2}.$$
(17)

$$\varepsilon_{2} = \frac{2\mu A e^{\alpha r_{e}}}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}} a_{1} - \frac{2\mu B}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}} (a_{0} - \alpha a_{1}) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)e^{\alpha r_{e}}}{C^{2}r_{e}^{2}} (b_{1} - \alpha b_{2}).$$
(18)

$$-\varepsilon_{3} = \frac{2\mu E_{n\ell}}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}} + \frac{2\mu A}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}} (a_{0} - \alpha a_{1}) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{C^{2}r_{e}^{2}} (b_{0} - \alpha b_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{2}b_{2}) \cdot$$
(19)

To solve Eq. (16), consider the ansatz:  $R_{n\ell}(z) = N_n e^{-\frac{1}{2}az} z^{\frac{1}{2}b} F_{n\ell}(z).$ 

From which we obtained:

$$R_{n\ell}'(z) = \left[ -\frac{1}{2}a + \frac{b}{2z} + \frac{F_{n\ell}'(z)}{F_{n\ell}(z)} \right] R_{n\ell}(z).$$
(21)

and

$$R_{n\ell}''(z) = \left[\frac{F_{n\ell}''(z)}{F_{n\ell}(z)} + \left(-a + \frac{b}{z}\right)\frac{F_{n\ell}'(z)}{F_{n\ell}(z)} + \frac{1}{4}a^2 - \frac{ab}{2z} + \frac{b^2}{4z^2} - \frac{b}{2z^2}\right]R_{n\ell}(z)$$
(22)

Eq. (21) and Eq. (22) when used in Eq. (16) and slight simplification gives:

$$z F_{n\ell}''(z) + (b+1-az)F_{n\ell}'(z) + \left\{ \varepsilon_2 - \frac{1}{2}ab - \frac{1}{2}a + (\frac{1}{4}a^2 - \varepsilon_1)z + \frac{\frac{1}{4}b^2 - \varepsilon_3}{z} \right\} F_{n\ell}(z) = 0.$$
(23)

Eq. (23) is of hypergeometric-type if the last-two terms of the coefficient of  $F_{n\ell}(z)$  separately varnishes, this is true iff:

$$a = 2\varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
.

$$b = 2\varepsilon_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (25)

Putting Eq. (24) and Eq. (25) in Eq. (23), we obtain the associated Laguerre differential equation given by:

$$u F_{n\ell}''(u) + (b+1-au)F_{n\ell}'(u) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2}{a} - \frac{1}{2}b - \frac{1}{2}\right)F_{n\ell}(u) = 0.$$
(26)

where  

$$u = a z$$
. (27)  
The solution of Eq. (26) is the associated Laguerre polynomial:  
 $F_{n\ell}(u) = F_1(-n, b+1, u) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(b+1+n)}{\Gamma(b+1)}$ . (28)  
where the polynomial condition is given by:  
 $\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}b = \frac{1}{2} = n$ . (29)

$$\frac{\varepsilon_2}{a} - \frac{1}{2}b - \frac{1}{2} = n$$
  
Energy Eigenvalues

Using Eq. (24) and Eq. (25) in Eq. (29), we obtained

$$\varepsilon_{3} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{2\varepsilon_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{2}.$$
(30)

Furthermore, by inputting Eq. (17), Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) in Eq. (30), we obtained:

$$E_{n\ell} = -\frac{C^{2}\hbar^{2}}{2\mu} \left\{ n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\frac{\mu A e^{\alpha r_{e}}}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}}a_{1} - \frac{\mu B}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}}(a_{0} - \alpha a_{1}) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)e^{\alpha r_{e}}}{2C^{2}r_{e}^{2}}(b_{1} - \alpha b_{2})}{\left[\frac{2\mu B e^{\alpha r_{e}}}{C^{2}\hbar^{2}r_{e}}a_{1} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)e^{2\alpha r_{e}}}{2C^{2}r_{e}^{2}}b_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\} .$$

$$(31)$$

$$-\frac{A}{r_{e}}(a_{0} - \alpha a_{1}) + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)\hbar^{2}}{2\mu r_{e}^{2}}(b_{0} - \alpha b_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{2}b_{2})$$

### 2.0.3 Normalization Constant

The normalization condition for the wave functions requires that:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left| R_{n\ell}(r) \right|^2 dr = 1.$$
(32)

This can be expressed in terms of the variable z by inserting Eq. (3) in Eq. (32), giving:

$$\int_{0}^{1} z^{-1} \left| R_{n\ell}(z) \right|^{2} dz = C$$
(33)

Substituting Eq. (20) in Eq. (33), get:

$$N_n^2 \int_0^1 e^{-az} z^{b-1} \left| F_{n\ell}(z) \right|^2 dz = C.$$
(34)

Thus, we obtain:

$$N_{n}^{2}\int_{0}^{1}e^{-u}u^{b-1}|F_{n\ell}(u)|^{2}du = Ca^{b}.$$
(35)

when Eq. (27) is used in Eq. (34). The hypergeometric function given by Eq. (28) when used in Eq. (35) leads to:

$$N_{n} = \left\{ \frac{C a^{b}}{\int_{0}^{a} e^{-u} u^{b-1} \left[ {}_{1} F_{1} \left( -n, b+1, u \right) \right]^{2} d u} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(36)

## **3.0 Discussions**

For the special case of s-wave ( $\ell = 0$ ), Eq. (31) gives the energy eigenvalues as:

$$E_{n\ell} = -\frac{C^2 \hbar^2}{2\mu} \left\{ n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\frac{\mu A e^{\alpha r_e}}{C^2 \hbar^2 r_e} a_1 - \frac{\mu B}{C^2 \hbar^2 r_e} (a_0 - \alpha a_1)}{\left[\frac{2\mu B e^{\alpha r_e}}{C^2 \hbar^2 r_e} a_1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\}^2 - \frac{A}{r_e} (a_0 - \alpha a_1).$$
(37)

Eyube, Jabil and Wadata

J. of NAMP

Table 1 shows the computed energy eigenvalues (in atomic units) for A = 1 as a function of B and C, the result shows that our computed energy eigenvalues  $E_{20}$  are in excellent agreement with those in the literature [35] for small values of screening parameter C. We have also studied the variation of the energy eigenvalue as a function of  $\alpha$  at (A = B = 1). The entries in Tables 2 and 3 indicates the energy eigenvalues for different quantum states  $n \ell$ . For a given value of  $\alpha$  and C,  $E_{nl}$  remains fairly constant with increasing n. However, for a given n and C,  $E_{nl}$  decreases with  $\alpha$ . Fig. 1 shows the plot of  $E_{nl}$  versus  $\alpha$  for states  $n \ell$  for the screening parameters C = 0.025, this plot also shows the suitability of  $\alpha \approx 4.625$  as a common point for computing energy eigenvalues at A = B = 1.

В	C	α	$-E_{20}$	$-E_{20}[35]$
0.5	0.001	1.031284	0.03174842320931	0.03174701400990
0.5	0.005	1.03194359	0.03367672591288	0.03367675354994
0.5	2			0.11290716132278
0.5	10			0.12339007950313
-0.5	0.001	1.26064	0.28074610197219	0.2807509984473
-0.5	0.005	1.257771	0.278774972576687	0.2787748073142
-0.5	2			0.1406129511670
-0.5	10			0.1268366598878
-2	0.001	2.347042	1.123009050761709	1.1230019984462
-2	0.005	2.327844	1.115049734644538	1.1150498066913
-2	2			0.2010044938456
-2	10			0.1342619146710

Fable 1 2 <i>s</i> State Ene	rgy Eigenvalues (	Atomic Units)	As a Function of B and C
------------------------------	-------------------	---------------	--------------------------

Table 2 Computed Energy Eigenvalues (atomic unit) as a Function of  $\alpha$  for A = B = 1

 $-E_{n\ell}(\alpha)$ 

		α									
n l	С	4.500	4.525	4.550	4.575	4.600	4.625	4.650	4.675	4.700	4.725
2n	0.025	0.613385	0.737126	0.862407	0.989224	1.117570	1.247443	1.378837	1.511749	1.646175	1.782109
2P	0.050	0.614322	0.738064	0.863345	0.990161	1.118508	1.248381	1.379775	1.512687	1.647112	1.783046
	0.075	0.615885	0.739626	0.864907	0.991724	1.120070	1.249943	1.381337	1.514249	1.648675	1.784609
	0.100	0.618072	0.741814	0.867095	0.993911	1.122258	1.252131	1.383525	1.516437	1.650862	1.786796
3n	0.025	0.613385	0.737126	0.862407	0.989224	1.117570	1.247443	1.378837	1.511749	1.646175	1.782109
$J_P$	0.050	0.614322	0.738064	0.863345	0.990161	1.118508	1.248381	1.379775	1.512687	1.647112	1.783046
	0.075	0.615885	0.739626	0.864907	0.991724	1.120070	1.249943	1.381337	1.514249	1.648675	1.784609
	0.100	0.618072	0.741814	0.867095	0.993911	1.122258	1.252131	1.383525	1.516437	1.650862	1.786796
31	0.025				0.185739	0.570847	0.960575	1.354907	1.753830	2.157330	2.565392
Su	0.050				0.186676	0.571785	0.961513	1.355845	1.754768	2.158267	2.566330
	0.075				0.188239	0.573347	0.963075	1.357407	1.756330	2.159830	2.567892
	0.100				0.190426	0.575535	0.965263	1.359595	1.758518	2.162017	2.570080
4n	0.025	0.613385	0.737126	0.862407	0.989224	1.117570	1.247443	1.378837	1.511749	1.646175	1.782109
١P	0.050	0.614322	0.738064	0.863345	0.990161	1.118508	1.248381	1.379775	1.512687	1.647112	1.783046
	0.075	0.615885	0.739626	0.864907	0.991724	1.120070	1.249943	1.381337	1.514249	1.648675	1.784609
	0.100	0.615885	0.739626	0.864907	0.991724	1.120070	1.249943	1.381337	1.514249	1.648675	1.784609
4d	0.025	•••	•••	•••	0.185739	0.570847	0.960575	1.354907	1.753830	2.157330	2.565392
	0.050				0.186676	0.571785	0.961513	1.355845	1.754768	2.158267	2.566330
	0.075				0.188239	0.573347	0.963075	1.357407	1.756330	2.159830	2.567892
	0.100				0.190426	0.575535	0.965263	1.359595	1.758518	2.162017	2.570080
4f	0.025						0.530273	1.319012	2.116951	2.924062	3.740318
- 5	0.050						0.531211	1.319950	2.117889	2.925000	3.741255
	0.075						0.532773	1.321512	2.119451	2.926562	3.742818
	0.100						0.534961	1.323700	2.121639	2.928750	3.745005
5 p	0.025	0.613385	0.737126	0.862407	0.989224	1.117570	1.247443	1.378837	1.511749	1.646175	1.782109
- r	0.050	0.614322	0.738064	0.863345	0.990161	1.118508	1.248381	1.379775	1.512687	1.647112	1.783046
	0.075	0.615885	0.739626	0.864907	0.991724	1.120070	1.249943	1.381337	1.514249	1.648675	1.784609
	0.100	0.618072	0.741814	0.867095	0.993911	1.122258	1.252131	1.383525	1.516437	1.650862	1.786796

## **Bound State Solutions of Non...**

## Eyube, Jabil and Wadata

Table 3 Computed Energy Eigenvalues (atomic unit) as a function of  $\alpha$  for A = B = 1 $-E_{n\ell}(\alpha)$ 

		α									
n l	С	4.500	4.525	4.550	4.575	4.600	4.625	4.650	4.675	4.700	4.725
5d	0.025				0.185739	0.570847	0.960575	1.354907	1.753830	2.157330	2.565392
<i>J u</i>	0.050				0.186676	0.571785	0.961513	1.355845	1.754768	2.158267	2.566330
	0.075				0.188239	0.573347	0.963075	1.357407	1.756330	2.159830	2.567892
	0.100				0.190426	0.575535	0.965263	1.359595	1.758518	2.162017	2.570080
5 f	0.025						0.530273	1.319012	2.116951	2.924062	3.740318
J	0.050						0.531211	1.319950	2.117889	2.925000	3.741255
	0.075						0.532773	1.321512	2.119451	2.926562	3.742818
	0.100						0.534961	1.323700	2.121639	2.928750	3.745005
50	0.025							1.271152	2.601113	3.946372	5.306885
- 0	0.050							1.272089	2.602050	3.947310	5.307822
	0.075							1.273652	2.603613	3.948872	5.309385
	0.100							1.275839	2.605800	3.951060	5.311572
6 n	0.025	0.613385	0.737126	0.862407	0.989224	1.117570	1.247443	1.378837	1.511749	1.646175	1.782109
υp	0.050	0.614322	0.738064	0.863345	0.990161	1.118508	1.248381	1.379775	1.512687	1.647112	1.783046
	0.075	0.615885	0.739626	0.864907	0.991724	1.120070	1.249943	1.381337	1.514249	1.648675	1.784609
	0.100	0.618072	0.741814	0.867095	0.993911	1.122258	1.252131	1.383525	1.516437	1.650862	1.786796
6d	0.025				0.185739	0.570847	0.960575	1.354907	1.753830	2.157330	2.565392
04	0.050				0.186676	0.571785	0.961513	1.355845	1.754768	2.158267	2.566330
	0.075				0.188239	0.573347	0.963075	1.357407	1.756330	2.159830	2.567892
	0.100				0.190426	0.575535	0.965263	1.359595	1.758518	2.162017	2.570080
6 f	0.025						0.530273	1.319012	2.116951	2.924062	3.740318
e j	0.050						0.531211	1.319950	2.117889	2.925000	3.741255
	0.075						0.532773	1.321512	2.119451	2.926562	3.742818
	0.100						0.534961	1.323700	2.121639	2.928750	3.745005
69	0.025							1.271152	2.601113	3.946372	5.306885
- 0	0.050							1.272089	2.602050	3.947310	5.307822
	0.075							1.273652	2.603613	3.948872	5.309385
	0.100							1.275839	2.605800	3.951060	5.311572



Figure.1 plot of Energy Eigenvalues versus  $\alpha$ 



### 4.0 Conclusion

We have obtained analytical solution of the schrodinger equation with Hellmann potential and obtained bound state solutions of energy eigenvalues and normalized radial wave functions in closed forms.we have also obtained energy eigenvalues for the case of s-wave . we have also considered the variation of the energy eigenvalues with potential screening parameter, principal quantum number and energy determinig parameter for different quantum states.

## References

- [1] Ikhdair, S.M. (2011). On the Bound-State Solutions of the Manning-Rosen Potential Including an Improved Approximations to the Orbital Centrifugal Term. Phys. Scr., 83, 015010 (10pp)
- [2] Jia, C-S., Liu, J-Y. and Wang, P-Q. (2008). A New Approximation Scheme for the Centrifugal Term and the Hulthén Potential. Physics Letters A 372, 4779-4782
- [3] Okorie, U.S., Ibikwe, E.E., Onyeaju, M.C. and Ikot, A.N. (2018). Solutions of the Dirac and Schrödinger Equations with shifted Tietz-Wei Potential. Eur. Phys. J., 133, 433
- [4] Wei, G-F. and Dong, S-H. (2008). Approximately Analytical Solution of the Manning-Rosen Potential with the Spin-Orbit Coupling Term and Spin Symmetry. Physics Letters A, 373, 49-53
- [5] Yahya, W.A. and Oyewumi, K.J. (2015). Thermodynamic Properties and Approximate  $\ell$ -State Pöschl-Teller-type Potential. Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences, 21, 53-58
- [6] Ebomwonyi, O., Onate, C.A., Bakare, F.O. and Okunzuwa, I.S. (2017). A Non-Relativistic Rotational-Vibration Energy Study of Hydrogen Fluoride. Al-Hikmah Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 5, 35-41
- [7] Hamzavi, M., Rajabi, A.A. and Thylwe, K-E. (2012). The Rotation-Vibration Spectrum of Diatomic Molecules with the Tietz-Hua Rotating Oscillator. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry, 112, 2701-2705
- [8] Hassanabadi, H., Yazarloo, B.H., Ikot, A.N., Salehi, N. and Zarrinkamr, S. (2013). Exact versus Numerical Solutions of Schrödinger Equation for Hua plus Modified Eckart Potential. Indian journal of Physics, 87, 1219-1223
- [9] Hitler, L., Ita, B.I., Akakuru, O.U., Magu, T,O., Joseph, I. and Pigweh, A. (2017). Radial Solution of the s-Wave Schrödinger Equation with Kratzer plus Modified Deng-Fan Potential under the Framework of Nikiforov-Uvarov Method. International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, 3, 97-100
- [10] Anita, A.D., Ikot, A.N., Ituen, E.E. and Akpabbio, L.E. (2012). Analytical Solutions of Schrödinger Equation with Eckart Potential plus Hulthén Potential via Nikiforov-Uvarov Method. Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 1, 104-109
- [11] Edet, C.O., Okorie, U.S., Ngiangya, A.T. and Ikot, A.N. (2019). Bound States Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation for the Modified Kratzer Potential Plus Screened Coulomb Potential. Indian Journal of Physics http://doi.org/10.1007/s12648-01477-9
- [12] Eshghi, M., Ikhdair, S.M. and Sever, R. (2019). Thermal and Optical Properties of Two Molecular Potentials. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 134: 155 http://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2019 12634-x
- [13] Greene, R.L. and Aldrich, C. (1976). Variational Wave Functions for a screened Coulomb Potential. Physical Review 14, 2363-2366
- [14] Pekeris, C.L. (1934). The Rotation-Vibration Coupling in Diatomic Molecules. Physical Review 45, 98-103
- [15] Ikhdair, S.M. (2009). An improved Approximation Scheme for the Hulthén Potential. arXiv:0901.0801v1[quant-ph] 7 Jan 2009
- [16] Qiang, W-C. and Dong, S-H. (2009). The Manning-Rosen Potential Studied by a New Approximate Scheme to the Centrifugal Term. Phys. Scr., 79, 045004 (5pp)
- [17] Ferreira, F.J.S. and Prudente, F.V. (2013). Pekeris Approximation-Another Perspective. Physics Letters A. 377, 608-614
- [18] Chan, Z-Y., Li, M. and Jia, C-S. (2009). Approximate Analytical Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation with the Manning-Rosen Potential Model. Modern Physics Letters A, 24, 1863-1874
- [19] Ferreira, F.J.S. and Bezerra, V.B. (2017). Some Remarks Concerning the Centrifugal Term Approximation. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 58, 102104
- [20] Ahmadov, A.I., Naeem, M., Qocayeva, M.V. and Tarverdiyeva, V.A. (2018) Analytical Solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the Manning-Rosen plus Hulthén Potential within SUSY Quantum Mechanics. IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 965 012001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/965/1/012001
- [21] Pahlavani, M.R., Rahbar, H. and Ghezelbash, M. (2013). Relativistic Schrödinger Wave Equation for Hydrogen Atom Using Factorization Method. Open Journal of Microphysics. 3, 1-7
- [22] Rahbar, H. and Sadeghi, J. (2016). Solving the Schrödinger Equation with Hartmann Potential by Factorization Method and Supersymmetry. Theoretical Physics, 1, 7-13.

- [23] Awoga, O.A. and Ikot, A. (2012). Approximate Solution of Schrödinger equation in D-Dimensions for Inverted Generalized Hyperbolic Potential. Pramana Journal of physics, 79, 345-356
- [24] Nikooford, H., Maghsoodi, E. and Zarrinkamar, S. (2012). The Non-Relativistic Molecular Tietz Potential. Turk. J. Phys., 37, 74-82
- [25] Miranda, M.G., Sun, G-H. and Dong, S-H. (2010). The Solution of the Second Pöschl-Teller-like Potential by Nikiforov-Uvarov Method. International Journal of Modern Physics E, 19, 123-129
- [26] Sever, R., Tezcan, C., Bucurgat, M. and Özlem, Y. (2007). Bound State Solution of the Schrödinger Equation for Mie Potential. J. Math. Chem. 43, 749
- [27] Boztosun, L.andKarakoe, M. (2007). An Improvement of the Asymptotic Iteration Method for Exactly Solvable Potential. Chin.Phys. Lett., 24, 3024
- [28] Champion, B., Hall, R.L. and Saad, N. (2008). Asymptotic Iteration Method for Singular Potentials. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23, 1405
- [29] Falaye, B.J., Oyewumi, K.J., Ibrahim, T.T., Punyesena, M.A. and Onate, C.A. (2013). Bound State Solutions of the Manning-Rosen Potential. Can. J. Phys., 91, 98-104
- [30] Dong, S-H. and Gonzelez-Cisneros, A. (2008). Energy Spectra of the Hyperbolic and Second Pöschl-Teller-Like Potentials Solved By New Exact Quantization Rule. Ann. Phys. 323, 1136
- [31] Gu, X-Y. and Dong, S-H. (2011). Energy Spectrum of the Manning-Rosen Potential Including Centrifugal Term Solved by Exact and Proper Quantization Rules. J. Math Chem., 49, 2053-2062
- [32] Serrano, F.A., Gu, X-Y. and Dong, S-H. (2010). Qiang-Dong Proper Quantization Rule and its Applications to exactly Solvable Quantum System. J. Math. Phys.51, 08121103
- [33] Roy, K.A. (2014). Ro-Vibrational Spectroscopy of Molecules Represented by a Tietz-Hua Oscillator. arXiv:1409.2771v1[physics.chem-ph] 8 Sep 2014
- [34] Roy, K.A. (2013). Accurate Ro-Vibrational Spectroscopy of Diatomic Molecules in a Morse Oscillator Potential. Results in physics, 3, 103-108
- [35] Roy, K.A. (2013). Accurate Calculation of the Bound States of Hellmann Potential. arXiv: 1307. 2983v1[quant-ph] 11 Jul 2013
- [36] Ahmed, S.A.S. and Buragohain, L. (2010). Exactly Solved Potentials from the Manning-Rosen Potential Using Standard Extended Transformation Method. Electronic Journal of Theoretical Physics, 23, 145-154
- [37] Amos, P.I., Ita, B.I., Louis, H., Akakuru, O.U., Nzeata-Ibe, N.A., Ikeuba, A.I., Magu, T.O. and Udeze, C.L. (2018). Exact Solutions to the Schrödinger Equation with Manning-Rosen Potential via WKB Approximation Method. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: A Physics and Space Science, 18
- [38] Qiang, W-C., Li, K. and Chen, W-L. (2009). New Bound and Scattering State Solutions of the Manning-Rosen Potential with Centrifugal Term. J. Phys. A: Theor. 42, 205306 (13pp)
- [39] Qiang, W-C. and Dong, S-H. (2007). Analytical Approximations to the Solution of the Manning-Rosen Potential with Centrifugal Term. Physics Letters A, 368, 13-17
- [40] Valencia-Ortega, G. and Arias-Hernandez, L.A. (2018). Thermodynamics Properties of Molecules Systems under Anharmonic Eckart Potential, International Journal of Quantum Chemistry, 118
- [41] Wei, G-F., Long, C-Y., Duan, X-Y. and Dong, S-H. (2008). Arbitrary ℓ -wave Scattering State Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation for the Eckart Potential. Phys. Scr. 77, 035001 (5pp)
- [42] Adamowski, J. (1985). Bound Eigenstates for the Superposition of the Coulomb and Yukawa Potentials. Phys. Rev. A 31, 43-50
- [43] Dutt, R.D., Mukherji, U. and Vashni, Y.P. (1986). Shifted Large-N Expansion for the Bound States of the Hellmann Potential. Phys. Rev. A 34, 777.