## PERTURBATION TECHNIQUE IN THE BUCKLING OF AN ELASTIC SPHERICAL SHELL STRUCK BY A PERIODIC LOAD WITH SLOWLY VARYING FREQUENCY

A.C. Osuji, A.M. Ette and J.U. Chukwuchekwa<sup>\*</sup>

## Federal University of Technology, Owerri Imo State Nigeria.

#### Abstract

This work discussed analytical determination of the dynamic buckling loads of an elastic spherical shell, subjected to a periodic load having slowly varying circular frequencies. The governing equation contains two small but independent parameters which are used in asymptotic expansions of the relevant variables. Besides, a two-timing regular perturbation procedure is adopted to analyze the governing equation, which has various degrees of nonlinearities in its formulation. The results obtained show that: (a) The dynamic buckling load decreases with increased imperfections.(b) The dynamic buckling load depends on the first degree first order derivative of the slowly varying function evaluated at the initial time.(c) As the small parameter depicting the slow time variation tends to zero, the result of the periodic loading tends to that of a step load.(d) Thus, a step load might justifiably be seen as the limiting process of a periodic load that has a slowly varying circular frequency.(e) The least dependence of the dynamic buckling load  $\lambda_D$  on the slow time parameters  $\delta$  is quadratic in nature, that is  $\lambda_D$  is of the order  $\delta^2$ .

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Buckling (and dynamic buckling in particular) presents formidable instabilities associated with engineering elastic structures under compressive loading. While sufficiently large investigations have been done on static loading (under static compressive loads), the same cannot be said of dynamic loading. Such materials studied include columns on nonlinear elastic foundations [1], columns on quadratic – cubic foundations [2], imperfect thin cylindrical shells [3] and imperfect spherical cap [4] among others. The method adopted for solution may partly depend on the loading history prescribed or on the geometrical configuration of the structure investigated or partly on the novelty and mathematical insight available to the investigator.

In this study, we aim at investigating the dynamic buckling analysis of a spherical shell trapped by a periodic load with slowly varying frequency. To our knowledge, this type of loading is infrequently discussed, at least, in the landscape of buckling. It however suffices to mention that the concept of periodic forcing with slowly varying frequency in the time variable was discussed [5]. In that study, the frequency was assumed to have a slow cubic variation with time and was discussed in the context of a weakly damped and weakly nonlinear excitation involving Duffing's equation.

This work is an extension of the work [6], to the case where the structure is a spherical shell. In this study, we first obtain the displacement and thereafter, obtain the maximum displacement,  $\xi_a$ . The approach adopted in this work (method of asymptotics and perturbation analysis) was first developed by Birman in [7], who investigated the problem of dynamic buckling of anti – symmetric rectangular laminates. Eventually, the maximization,  $\frac{d\lambda}{d\xi_a} = 0$  is lastly evaluated to obtain the dynamic buckling load,  $\lambda_D$ .

### 2.0 FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The relevant differential equations of an elastic spherical shell, for an arbitrary time dependent load  $\overline{F}(T)$ , was derived by [8], who extended the initial derivations obtained by Budiansky and Hutchinson in [9]. In his derivation, Danielson assumed the normal displacement W(x, y, T) of the imperfect shell to be given as;

 $W(x, y, T) = \xi_0(T)W_0(x, y) + \xi_1(T)W_1(x, y) + \xi_2(T)W_2(x, y)$ (2.1)

Corresponding Author: Chukwuchekwa J.U., Email: joychekwa@gmail.com, Tel: +2348166326106

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 52, (July & Sept., 2019 Issue), 141-164

J. of NAMP

where,  $W_0$  is the pre-buckling symmetric mode with its time dependent amplitude  $\xi_0(T)$ . Similarly  $W_1(x, y)$  is the axisymmetric mode with its time dependent amplitude as  $\xi_1(T)$ , while  $W_2(x, y)$  is the non-axisymmetric mode with its time dependent amplitude as  $\xi_2(T)$ . We note that  $W_i(x, y)$  are dependent on the spatial coordinates (x,y), while the dependence on time is factored out into  $\xi_i(T)$ , i = 0,1,2. Danielson assumed the imperfection  $\overline{W}$  of the shell to be of the shapes of  $W_1(x, y)$  and  $W_2(x, y)$  such that;

$$\overline{W}(x, y) = \overline{\xi_1} W_1(x, y) + \overline{\xi_2} W_2(x, y)$$
where,  $0 < \overline{\xi_1} < 1$ ,  $0 < \overline{\xi_2} < 1$ 
(2.2)

By substituting (2.1) and (2.2) into the relevant equations of motion and compatibility characterizing a spherical shell as in [8], Danielson obtained the following equations.

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0^2} \frac{d^2 \xi_0}{dT^2} + \xi_0 = \lambda \bar{F}(T)$$
(2.3a)

$$\frac{1}{\omega_1^2} \frac{d^2 \xi_1}{dT^2} + \xi_1 (1 - \xi_0) - k_1 \xi_1^2 + k_2 \xi^2 = \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_0$$
(2.3b)

$$\frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \frac{d^2 \xi_2}{dT^2} + \xi_2 (1 - \xi_0) + \xi_1 \xi_2 = \bar{\xi}_2 \xi_0$$

$$\xi_i(0) = \frac{d\xi_i(0)}{dT} = 0; \ i = 0, 1, 2$$
(2.3c)

where,  $\lambda$  is a non-dimensional load parameter satisfying the inequality  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , while  $\omega_i$ , i = 0,1,2. are the circular frequencies of the respective buckling modes  $\xi = 0,1,2$ , and  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are positive constants, considered fractional. The equations (2.3a, b, c) have been severally studied for several loading conditions. For example, the work in [10] analyzed the case of an impulsive load, while the work in [11] studied the same system for step load without neglecting the terms which Danielson found necessary to neglect. Earlier on, the work in [4] had studied the same equations for the case where the structure was subjected to an impulse. In all of these, the method of solution depends on the type of loading. In this work, we are considering the case where the loading is explicitly time dependent and periodic but with slowly varying angular frequency.

#### 3.0 PERTURBATION SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

As in [6], our procedure will be to first and foremost, asymptotically determine the modes  $\xi_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2 using multi-timing perturbation procedures. For our case, we shall let

$$\bar{F}(T) = \cos(\varphi_0(\delta T)), 0 < \delta \ll 1$$
(3.1a)  
where,  $\varphi_0(\delta T)$  is a slowly varying continuous function of T, such that  
 $\varphi_0(0) = 0, |\varphi_0(\delta T)| << 1$ 
(3.1b)  
Let,

$$\overline{t} = \omega_0 T$$

$$d(\dots) \quad d \quad d\overline{t} \qquad d(\dots) \quad d^2(\dots) \qquad (3.1c)$$

$$d(\dots) \quad d^2(\dots) \qquad (2.1d)$$

$$d(\dots) \quad d^2(\dots) \qquad (2.1d)$$

$$\therefore \frac{u(\dots)}{dT} = \frac{u}{d\bar{t}}\frac{dt}{dT} = \omega_0 \frac{u(\dots)}{d\bar{t}}; \frac{u(\dots)}{dT^2} = \omega_0^2 \frac{u(\dots)}{d\bar{t}^2}$$
(3.1d)  
If we substitute (3.1a, b, c, d) into (2.3a, b, c), we get

$$\frac{d^2\xi_0}{d\bar{t}^2} + \xi_0 = \lambda cos\left(\varphi_0\left(\frac{t\delta}{\omega_0}\right)\right)$$

$$(3.2a)$$

$$(\omega_0)^2 d^2\xi_1$$

$$\left(\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_1}\right) \frac{d\xi_1}{d\bar{t}^2} + \xi_1(1-\xi_0) - k_1\xi_0^2 + k_2\xi_2^2 = 0$$
(3.2b)

Here in (3.2b) we have assumed  $\bar{\xi}_1 = 0$  (as in the case [8]), and the third equation is  $(\omega_0)^2 d^2 \xi_2$ 

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_2}\right) \frac{\chi_2}{d\bar{t}^2} + \xi_2(1-\xi_0) + \xi_1\xi_2 = \xi_2\xi_0 \tag{3.2c}$$

Further simplification of (3.2a,b,c), yields

$$\frac{d^2\xi_0}{d\bar{t}^2} + \xi_0 = \lambda cos\left(\varphi_0\left(\frac{\bar{t}\delta}{\omega_0}\right)\right)$$

$$d^2\xi \qquad (3.3a)$$

$$\frac{d^2\xi_1}{d\bar{t}^2} + \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \xi_1 (1 - \xi_0) + \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \left[-k_1\xi^2 + k_2\xi_2^2\right] = 0$$
(3.3b)  
$$\frac{d^2\xi_2}{d^2\xi_2} - \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right)^2 = 0$$
(3.3b)

$$\frac{d^2\xi_2}{d\bar{t}^2} + \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \xi_2 (1 - \xi_0) + \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 = \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \xi_2 \xi_0$$
(3.3c)

2δ

As in Danielson's study, we shall ignore the pre-buckling inertia, that is  $\frac{d^2\xi_0}{d\bar{t}^2} \equiv 0(3.4)$ Hence, we get from (3.2a)  $\xi_0 = \lambda \cos\left(\varphi_0\left(\frac{\bar{t}\delta}{\omega_0}\right)\right)$ (3.5)If we substitute (3.5) into (3.3b, c), we get  $\frac{d^2\xi_1}{d\bar{t}^2} + \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \xi_1 \left\{ 1 - \lambda \cos\left(\varphi_0\left(\frac{\delta\bar{t}}{\omega_0}\right)\right) \right\} + \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \left[-k_1\xi_1^2 + k_2\xi_2^2\right] = 0$ (3.6) $\frac{d^{2}\xi_{2}}{d\bar{t}^{2}} + \left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}\xi_{2}\left\{1 - \lambda\cos\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\frac{\delta\bar{t}}{\omega_{0}}\right)\right)\right\} + \left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}\bar{\xi}_{1}\xi_{2} = \lambda\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{0}}\right)\bar{\xi}_{2}\cos\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\frac{\delta\bar{t}}{\omega_{0}}\right)\right)$ (3.7)We shall assume  $0 < \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right) < 1$ .Let,  $Q = \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}\right), R = \left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}\right), \varphi(\delta \bar{t}) = \varphi_0\left(\frac{\delta \bar{t}}{\omega_0}\right)$ (3.8)Thus (3.6) and (3.7) give  $\frac{d^2\xi_1}{d\bar{t}^2} + Q^2\xi_1 \left[1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi(\delta\bar{t}))\right] + Q^2 \left[-k_1\xi_1^2 + k_2\xi_2^2\right] = 0$ (3.9) $\frac{d^2\xi_2}{d\bar{t}^2} + R^2\xi_2 \left[1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi(\delta\bar{t}))\right] + R^2\xi_1\xi_2 = \lambda R^2\bar{\xi}_2\cos(\varphi(\delta\bar{t}))$ (3.10) $=\xi_i(0)=0, \frac{d\xi_i(0)}{d\bar{t}}=0, i=1, 2$ Let,  $\frac{d\tilde{t}}{d\bar{t}} = \left[1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi(\delta\bar{t}))\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (3.11a) $\tau = \delta \bar{t}$ (3.11b) and  $t = \tilde{t} + \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \bar{\xi}_2 h_1(\tau) + \bar{\xi}_2 h_2(\tau) + \cdots \right)$ (3.11c)  $h_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2 \dots$ Then,  $\frac{d\xi_i}{d\overline{t}} = \frac{\partial\xi_i}{\partial t}\frac{\partial t}{\partial \overline{t}}\frac{d\overline{t}}{d\overline{t}} + \frac{\partial\xi_i}{\partial t}\frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau}\frac{d\tau}{d\overline{t}} + \frac{\partial\xi_i}{\partial \tau}\frac{d\tau}{d\overline{t}}$ (3.12a)  $\frac{d\xi_{i}}{d\bar{t}} = (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_{i,t} + (\bar{\xi}_{2}h_{1}^{'} + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}h_{2}^{'} + \cdots)\xi_{i,t} + \delta\xi_{i,\tau}$ (3.12b) where,  $(\dots)_{,t} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, (\dots)' \equiv \frac{d(\dots)}{d\tau}$ Thus we get  $\frac{d^2\xi_i}{d\bar{t}^2} = (1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi))\xi_{i,tt} + (\bar{\xi}_2h'_1 + \bar{\xi}_2^2h'_2 + \cdots)^2\xi_{i,tt} + \delta^2\xi_{i,\tau\tau} + 2(1 - \cos(\varphi))^{\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{\xi}_2h'_1 + \bar{\xi}^2h'_2 + \cdots)\xi_{i,tt}$  $+ 2\delta \big( \bar{\xi_2} h_1^{'} + \bar{\xi_2}^2 h_2^{'} + \cdots \big) \xi_{i,\tau\tau} + 2\delta (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{1/2} \xi_{i,t\tau} + \frac{\delta}{2} \lambda \, \dot{\varphi} \sin(\varphi) \left( 1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi) \right)^{-1/2} \xi_{i,t\tau}$  $+ \delta(\bar{\xi}_2 h_1'' + \bar{\xi}_2^2 h_2'' + ...)\xi_{it}$ (3.13)We note here that  $\cos(\varphi) \equiv \cos(\varphi(\tau))$ If we substitute (3.13) in (3.2a, b) and simplify, we get  $\frac{1}{(\bar{z} \, b' + \bar{z}^2 b' + ...)^2 z} = \frac{\delta^2}{z} + \frac{2}{(\bar{z} \, b' + \bar{z}^2 b' + ...)^2} + \frac{2\delta}{(\bar{z} \, b' + \bar{z}^2 b' + ...)^2}$ ξ.

$$\frac{1}{F} + \frac{F}{F} \left(\xi_{2}h_{1} + \xi_{2}^{2}h_{2} + \cdots\right)^{2}\xi_{1,tt} + \frac{F}{F}\xi_{1,\tau\tau} + \frac{1}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\xi_{2}h_{1} + \xi_{2}^{2}h_{2} + \cdots\right)\xi_{1,tt} + \frac{F}{F}\left(\xi_{2}h_{1} + \xi_{2}^{2}h_{2} + \cdots\right)\xi_{1,t\tau} + \frac{1}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}}\xi_{1,\tau\tau} + \frac{1}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}}\xi_{1$$

## Osuji, Ette and Chukwuchekwa

(3.16a)

J. of NAMP

and

$$\begin{split} \xi_{2,tt} &+ \frac{1}{F} (\bar{\xi}_{2} h_{1}^{'} + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{'} + \cdots)^{2} \xi_{2,tt} + \frac{\delta^{2}}{F} \xi_{2,\tau\tau} + \frac{2}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\bar{\xi}_{2} h_{1}^{'} + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} h_{1}^{'} + \cdots) \xi_{2,t\tau} + \frac{2\delta}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} \xi_{2,t\tau} + \frac{\delta\lambda\phi\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \xi_{2,t} + \frac{\delta}{F} (\bar{\xi}_{2} h_{1}^{''} + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{''} + \cdots) \xi_{2,t\tau} \\ &+ R^{2} \xi_{2} + \frac{R^{2}}{F} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} = \bar{\xi}_{2} R^{2} B \end{split}$$
(3.15)

where,

$$F = (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)) \equiv F(\tau); B = \frac{\lambda \cos(\varphi)}{1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)} \equiv B(\tau)$$
  
We assume the following asymptotic series expansions  
$$\xi_1(t, \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L^{ij}(t, \tau) \bar{\xi}_2^i \delta^j$$

$$i = 1 J = 0$$
  

$$\xi_2(t,\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G^{ij}(t,\tau) \overline{\xi}_2^i \delta^j \qquad (3.16b)$$

where ij in  $L^{ij}$  and  $G^{ij}$  are superscripts and not powers. By substituting (3.16a, b) into (3.14) and (3.15) and equating powers of  $\bar{\xi}_2 \delta$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{split} & (3.174a) \\ L_{tt}^{11} + Q^{2}L^{11} = \frac{-2L_{tt}^{10}}{F_{2}^{1}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{2}^{3}}L_{t}^{10} \\ L_{tt}^{11} + Q^{2}L^{12} = \frac{-2L_{tt}^{11}}{F_{2}^{1}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{2}^{3}}L_{t}^{11} - \frac{L_{trt}^{10}}{F_{1}} \\ L_{tt}^{12} + Q^{2}L^{12} = \frac{-2L_{tt}^{11}}{F_{2}^{1}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{2}^{3}}L_{t}^{11} - \frac{L_{trt}^{10}}{F_{1}} \\ L_{tt}^{12} + Q^{2}L^{20} = \frac{Q^{2}}{F_{1}^{2}}(k_{1}(L^{10})^{2} - k_{2}(G^{10})^{2}) - \frac{2h_{1}'}{F_{2}^{1}}L_{tt}^{10} \\ L_{tt}^{21} + Q^{2}L^{21} = \frac{2Q^{2}}{F_{2}^{1}}(k_{1}L^{10}L^{11} - k_{2}G^{10}G^{11}) \\ L_{tt}^{21} + Q^{2}L^{21} = \frac{2Q^{2}}{F_{2}^{1}}(k_{1}L^{10}L^{11} - k_{2}G^{10}G^{11}) \\ L_{tt}^{21} + Q^{2}L^{22} = \frac{Q^{2}}{F_{2}^{1}}[k_{1}(L^{11^{2}} + 2L^{10}L^{12}) - k_{2}(G^{11^{2}} + 2G^{10}G^{12})] - \frac{2h_{1}'L_{tt}^{10}}{F_{2}^{1}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{2}^{3}}L_{tt}^{21} - \frac{h_{1}'L_{tt}^{11}}{F_{2}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{-\frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}}} \\ (3.17e) \\ L_{tt}^{22} + Q^{2}L^{22} = \frac{Q^{2}}{F_{1}^{2}}[k_{1}(L^{11^{2}} + 2L^{10}L^{12}) - k_{2}(G^{11^{2}} + 2G^{10}G^{12})] - \frac{2h_{1}'L_{tt}^{11}}{F_{2}^{1}} - 2h_{1}'L_{tt}^{11} - \frac{2L_{tt}^{21}}{F_{2}^{1}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{2}^{3}}}L_{t}^{21} - \frac{h_{1}'L_{tt}^{1}}{F_{1}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{-\frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}} \\ - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2G_{tt}}}{F_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{1}^{3}}}G_{t}^{10} \\ (3.18a) \\ G_{tt}^{11} + R^{2}G^{11} \\ = -\frac{2G_{tt}}}{F_{1}^{1}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_{1}^{3}}}G_{t}^{11} \\ - \frac{G_{tt}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}G_{tt}^{10}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}}} \\ (3.18b) \\ = G_{tt}^{12} + R^{2}G^{12} \\ = -\frac{2h_{t}}}{2H_{t}^{10}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1}^{2}} \\ - \frac{2h_{t}}}{F_{1$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{rtt}^{21} + R^2 G^{21} &= -\frac{2h_1^{'}G_{rtt}^{11}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{R^2}{F} \left(L^{10}G^{11} + L^{11}G^{10}\right) - \frac{2G_{rtt}^{20}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} G_{rt}^{20} - \frac{2h_1^{'}G_{rtr}^{10}}{F} \\ &- \frac{h_1^{''}G_{rt}^{10}}{F} & (3.18e) \\ G_{rtt}^{22} + R^2 G^{22} &= -2h_1^{'}G_{rtt}^{12} - \frac{R^2}{F} \left(L^{10}G^{12} + L^{11}G^{11} + L^{12}G^{10}\right) - \frac{2G_{rtr}^{21}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} G_{rt}^{21} - \frac{2h_1^{'}G_{rtr}^{11}}{F} - \frac{2h_1^{''}G_{rtr}^{11}}{F} \\ &- \frac{G_{rtr}^{20}}{F} & (3.18f) \end{aligned}$$

The initial conditions on  $L^{ij}$  and  $G^{ij}$  are as follows:  $L^{ij}(0,0) = G^{ij}(0,0) = 0, i = 1,2..., j = 0,1,2,...$  $O(\bar{\xi}_2): L_{t}^{10}(0,0) = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi_2}\delta): L_{t_1}^{11}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{t_1}^{10}(0,0) = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_2 \delta^2)$ :  $L_{t_1}^{12}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_{t_1}^{11}(0,0) = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}): L_{t}^{20}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{t}^{10}(0,0) = 0$  (v)  $O(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}\delta): L_{t}^{21}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_{1}'(0)[L_{t}^{11}(0,0) + L_{tr}^{20}(0,0)] = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}\delta): L_{t}^{22}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_{1}^{'}(0)[L_{t}^{12}(0,0) + L_{t}^{21}(0,0)] = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_2): G_{t}^{10}(0,0) = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi_2}\delta): \ G_{,t}^{\ 11}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{,t}^{\ 10}(0,0) = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_2 \delta^2)$ :  $G_{tt}^{12}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} G_{tt}^{11}(0,0) = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}\delta): G_{,t}^{21}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_{1}'(0)[G_{,t}^{11}(0,0) + G_{,t}^{20}(0,0)] = 0$  $O(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}\delta): G_{t}^{22}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_{1}^{\prime}(0)[G_{t}^{12}(0,0) + G_{tr}^{21}(0,0)] = 0$ From (3.18a), that is,  $L_{,tt}^{10} + QL^{10} = 0$ (3.19)and the initial conditions,  $L^{10}(0,0) = 0 = L^{10}_{t}(0,0)$ We now solve (3.19), and get  $L^{10}(t,\tau) = \alpha_1(\tau) \cos Qt + \beta_1(\tau) \sin Q$ (3.20)Using the initial conditions of (3.18a) in (3.20), we get  $L^{10}(0,0) = \alpha_1(0) = 0 \quad \therefore \quad \alpha_1(0) = 0$  $L_{t}^{10}(0,0) = \beta_1(0) = 0 \therefore \beta_1(0) = 0$ Solving (3.18b), we first substitute for  $L^{10}$ , and get  $L_{,tt}^{11} + Q^2 L^{11} - \frac{2Q}{\frac{1}{2}} (-\alpha_1' sinQt + \beta_1' cosQt) - \frac{\lambda Q \phi}{2E_2^3} sin(\phi) (-\alpha_1 sinQt + \beta_1 cosQt)$ (3.21a) with the initial conditions  $L^{11}(0,0) = 0$ , and  $L^{11}_{tt}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}L^{10}_{\tau}(0,0) = 0$ Simplifying(3.21a), we have  $L_{,tt}^{11} + Q^2 L^{11} = \left(\frac{2Q\alpha_1'}{F_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{Q\lambda\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \sin Qt - \left(\frac{2Q\beta_1'}{F_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{Q\lambda\phi\beta_1\sin(\varphi)}{2F_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \cos Qt$ (3.21b)To ensure a uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zero in (3.21b), the coefficients of cosQt and sinQtFrom the coefficient of *cosQt*, we have  $\frac{2Q\beta_{1}'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{Q\lambda\beta_{1}\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.22a) From the coefficient of *sinQt*, we have  $\frac{2Q\alpha'_1}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{Q\lambda\alpha_1\phi\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ Solving (3.22a), we get (3.22b)  $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin(\varphi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))} = 0$ After integrating the above equation, we get  $In\beta_1 + \frac{1}{4}In(1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi)) = InC_1$ Thus, we get  $\beta_1(\tau) = C_1(1 - \lambda \cos\left(\varphi\right))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.23a)  $\beta_1(0) = C_1(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore C_1 = 0$ 

and  $\beta_1(\tau) = 0$ Similarly from (3.22b), we get  $\frac{\alpha_1'}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin(\varphi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))} = 0$  $\therefore \ln\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{4}\ln(1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi)) = \ln C_2$  $\therefore \alpha_1(\tau) = C_2(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.23b)  $\alpha_1(0) = C_2(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \quad \therefore \quad C_2 = 0$ Substituting  $C_2$  in (3.23b), we get,  $\alpha_1(\tau) = 0$ . Substituting  $\alpha_1(\tau)$  and  $\beta_1(\tau)$  in (3.20), we obtain  $L^{10}(t,\tau) = 0$ (3.24)Solving the remaining equation in (3.21b), we get  $L^{11}(t,\tau) = \alpha_2(\tau) cosQt + \beta_2(\tau) sinQt$ (3.25)Using the initial conditions, we get,  $L^{11}(0,0) = \alpha_2(0) = 0$   $\therefore \alpha_2(0) = 0$  $L_{t}^{11}(0,0) = \beta_2(0) = 0$ , since  $L^{10} = 0$ ,  $\therefore \beta_2(0) = 0$ Next we solve (3.17c), first substituting for  $L^{10}$  and  $L^{11}$ , and getting  $L_{tt}^{12} + Q^2 L^{12} = -\frac{2Q}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} (-\alpha_2' \sin Qt + \beta_2' \cos Qt) - \frac{\lambda Q \phi \sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} (-\alpha_2 \sin Qt + \beta_2 \cos Qt) \quad (3.26a)$ The initial conditions are  $L^{12}(0,0) = 0$ , and  $L_{tt}^{12}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{tt}^{11}(0,0) = 0$ From (3.26a), we get  $L_{tt}^{12} + Q^2 L^{12} = \left(\frac{2Q\alpha_2'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\lambda Q \phi \alpha_2 \sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \sin Qt - \left(\frac{2Q\beta_2'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\lambda Q \phi \beta_2 \sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \cos Qt$ (3.26b) To ensure uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zero in (3.26b), the coefficients of cosQt and sinQt. From the coefficient of sinQt, we get  $\frac{2Q\beta_{2}'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\lambda\phi\beta_{2}\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.27a) And from the coefficient of *cosQt*, we have  $\frac{2Q\alpha_{2}^{'}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\lambda Q\phi\alpha_{2}\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.27b) Solving (3.27b), we get  $\frac{\alpha'_2}{\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin(\phi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))} = 0$ Integrating both sides of (3.27c), we get (3.27c)  $ln\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}ln(1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi)) = lnC_3$ i.e.,  $\alpha_2(\tau) = C_3 (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.27d) i.e.,  $\alpha_2(0) = C_3(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \quad \therefore \quad C_3 = 0, \quad \therefore \quad \alpha_2(\tau) = 0$ Similarly from (3.27a), we get  $\beta_2(\tau) = C_4 (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.28) $\beta_2(0) = C_4(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \quad \therefore \quad C_4 = 0, \quad \therefore \quad \beta_2(\tau) = 0$ Substituting  $\alpha_2(\tau)$  and  $\beta_2(\tau)$  in (3.25), we get  $L^{11}(t,\tau) = 0$ (3.29)Solving the remaining equation in (3.26a), we have  $L^{12}(t,\tau) = \alpha_3(\tau) cosQt + \beta_3(\tau) sinQt$ (3.30)Using the initial conditions of (3.17c) in (3.30), we get  $L^{12}(0,0) = \alpha_3(0) = 0 \therefore \alpha_3(0) = 0$ and  $L_{t}^{12}(0,0) = \beta_2(0) = 0$ , since  $L^{11} = 0 \therefore \beta_2(0) = 0$ 

J. of NAMP

We next solve (3.18a), ie  $G_{,tt}^{10} + R^2 G^{10} = R^2 B$ with the initial conditions  $G^{10}(0,0) = 0 = G^{10}_{t}(0,0)$ Solving (3.18a), we get  $G^{10}(t,\tau) = Y_1(\tau) cosRt + \gamma_2(\tau) sinRt + B$ (3.31a) Using the initial conditions of (3.18a) in (3.31a), we get  $G^{10}(0,0) = \gamma_1(0) + B(0) = 0$  $\therefore \gamma_1(0) = -B(0) = \frac{-\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ (3.31b)Using the second initial conditions of (3.18a) in (3.31a), we get  $\gamma_2(0) = 0$ We next solve (3.18b), and first substitute for  $G^{10}$  and get  $G_{,tt}^{11} + R^2 G^{11} = \left(\frac{2R\gamma_1'}{F_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\lambda\phi\gamma_2\sin(\varphi)}{2F_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)sinRt - \left(\frac{2R\gamma_2'}{F_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\lambda\phi\gamma_2\sin(\varphi)}{2F_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)cosRt \quad (3.32)$ with the initial conditions  $G^{11}(0,0) = 0$ and  $G_{r_{t}}^{11}(0,0) + G_{r_{t}}^{10}(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ For uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zero in (3.32), the coefficients of cosRt and sinRt. From the coefficient of *sinRt*, we get  $\frac{2R\gamma_{1}^{'}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\lambda\gamma_{1}\phi\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.33a) And from the coefficient of *cosRt*, we get  $\frac{2R\gamma_{2}'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\lambda\gamma_{2}\phi\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.33b)Solving (3.33a), we get  $\frac{\gamma'_1}{\gamma_1} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin(\phi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))} = 0$ Integrating both sides of (3.33c), we get (3.33c)  $ln\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{4}ln(1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi)) = lnC_4$  $\therefore \gamma_1(\tau) = C_4 (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.33d) i.e.,  $\gamma_1(0) = C_4(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{-\lambda}{1-\lambda} = -B(0)$ i.e.,  $C_4 = -B(0)(1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  $\therefore \gamma_1(\tau) = -B(0) \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda\cos(\omega)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.33e)Solving (3.33b), we get  $\frac{\gamma'_2}{\gamma_2} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin(\varphi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))} = 0$ Integrating both sides, we get  $In\gamma_2 + \frac{1}{4}In(1 - \lambda\cos(\varphi)) = InC_5$ i.e,  $\gamma_2(\tau) = C_5 (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.34a)  $\gamma_2(0) = C_5(1-\lambda) = 0 \therefore C_5 = 0, \therefore \gamma_2(\tau) = 0$ Solving the remaining equation of (3.18b), we get  $G^{11}(t,\tau) = \gamma_3(\tau) cosRt + \gamma_4(\tau) sinRt$ (3.34b)Using the initial conditions in (3.34b), we get  $G^{11}(0,0) = \gamma_3(0) = 0 \therefore \gamma_3(0) = 0$ 

Also using the second condition, we get

$$\int \frac{d}{d\tau} \Big( \gamma_4 \big( 1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi) \big)^{\frac{1}{4}} \Big) d\tau = -\int_0^{\tau} \frac{\gamma_1}{R} \big( 1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi) \big)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds + C_7 \qquad (3.39b)$$
  
$$\gamma_4(\tau) = -(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[ \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\gamma_1''}{R} \big( 1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi) \big)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds + C_7 \right] \qquad (3.39c)$$

 $\gamma_4(0) = -(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}}C_7 = 0 \therefore C_7 = 0$ and we get  $\gamma_4(\tau) = \left(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\gamma_1^{''}}{R} \left(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds$ (3.39d) From (3.35a), we note that since  $\gamma_3(\tau) = 0$ , then  $G^{11}(t,\tau) = \gamma_4 sinRt$ (3.40)The remaining equation in (3.37) is  $G_{,tt}^{12} + R^2 G^{12} = \frac{B''}{F}$ (3.41)Solving (3.41), we get  $G^{12}(t,\tau) = \gamma_5(\tau) \cos Rt + \gamma_6(\tau) \sin Rt + \frac{B''}{R^2 F}$ (3.42)Using the initial conditions in (3.41), we get  $G^{12}(0,0) = \gamma_5(0) + \frac{B''(0)}{R^2 F(0)} = 0 \therefore \gamma_5(0) = \frac{B''(0)}{R^2 F(0)} = \frac{B''(0)B(0)}{R^2 (1-\lambda)^2}$ We note that  $B''(\tau) = -\frac{\lambda \varphi^{2}(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{2} \cos(\varphi))}{(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{3}}, \quad B''(0) = -\frac{\lambda \varphi^{2}(0)}{1 - \lambda} \quad (3.43)$ Also using the second initial condition, we obtain,  $\gamma_6(0) = 0$ . On solving (3.18d), we get,  $G_{,tt}^{20} + R^2 G^{20} = -\frac{2h_1' G_{tt}^{10}}{F_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{F^2}{F} L^{10} G^{10}$ with the initial conditions  $G^{20}(0,0) = 0$ and  $G_{t}^{20}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h'_{1}(0)G_{t}^{10}(0,0) = 0$ Substituting for L<sup>10</sup> and G<sup>10</sup> in (3.18d), we get  $G_{,tt}^{20} + R^2 G^{20} = \frac{2h' \gamma_1}{r_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} RsinRt$ (3.44)To ensure uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zero in (3.44), the coefficient of sinRt For the coefficients of sinRt, we get  $\frac{2h_{1}'\gamma_{1}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0$ (3.45a)Solving (3.45a), we have  $h_1'(\tau) = 0 \therefore h(\tau) = \text{constant}$ (3.45b) Solving the remaining terms in (3.44), we get  $G^{20}(t,\tau) = \gamma_7(\tau) \cos Rt + \gamma_8(\tau) \sin Rt$ (3.46)Using the initial conditions, we get  $G^{20}(0,0) = \gamma_7(0) = 0 \therefore \gamma_7(0) = 0$ Also from the second condition  $\gamma_8(0) = 0$ , since  $h_1(0) = 0$ Solving (3.17d), we get  $L_{,tt}^{20} + Q^2 L^{20} = \frac{Q^2}{F} k_1 L^{10^2} - k_2 G^{10^2} - \frac{2h_1'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} L_{,tt}^{10}$ with the initial conditions  $L^{20}(0,0) = 0$ and  $L_{,tt}^{20}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h'_{1}(0)L_{,t}^{10} = 0$ First substituting for  $L^{10}$ ,  $G^{10}$  and  $L_{,tt}^{10}$ , we get  $L_{,tt}^{20} + Q^2 L^{20} = -\frac{Q^2 k_2}{F} \left[ \left( B^2 + \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \right) + 2\gamma_1 \cos R + \frac{\gamma_1^2}{2} \cos 2Rt \right] \quad (3.47)$ 

J. of NAMP

Solving (3.47), we get  $L^{20}(t,\tau) = \alpha_4 \cos Qt + \beta_4 \sin Qt - \frac{K_2 Q^2}{Q^2 F} \left(\frac{\gamma_1^2}{2} + B^2\right) - \frac{\gamma_1^2 k_2 Q^2}{2F(Q^2 - 4R^2)} \cos 2Rt - \frac{2k_2 \gamma_1 Q^2}{F(Q^2 - R^2)}$ (3.48) where  $Q \neq 2R$ , and  $Q \neq R$  $L^{20}(t,\tau) = \gamma_4 \cos Qt + \beta_4 \sin Qt + r_0 + r_1 \cos 2Rt + r_2 \cos Rt$ (3.49)where,  $\begin{aligned} r_{0}(\tau) &= \frac{-k_{2}}{F} \left( \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{2} + B^{2} \right); \quad r_{1}(\tau) = \frac{\gamma_{2}Q^{2}\gamma_{1}}{2F(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})}; \quad r_{2}(\tau) = \frac{-2k_{2}\gamma_{1}Q^{2}}{F(Q^{2} - R^{2})} \\ r_{0}(0) &= \frac{-3k_{2}B^{2}}{1 - \lambda}; \\ r_{1}(0) &= \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}B^{2}(0)}{2(1 - \lambda)(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})}; \quad r_{2}(0) = \frac{2k_{2}Q^{2}B(0)}{(1 - \lambda)(Q^{2} - R^{2})} \\ \\ \text{Solving (3.18e), first we substitute for } L^{10}, L^{10}, h_{1}', G^{10} \text{and } G^{20}, \text{ we have} \end{aligned}$ (3.50)(3.51) $G_{tt}^{21} + R^2 G^{21} = \left(\frac{2 \gamma_7' R}{F^{1/2}} + \frac{R \gamma_7 \lambda \phi' \sin(\phi)}{2F_2^3}\right) \sin Rt - \left(\frac{2 \gamma_8' R}{F_2^1} + \frac{R \gamma_8 \lambda \phi' \sin(\phi)}{2F_2^3}\right) \cos Rt \quad (3.52)$ with the initial conditions.  $G^{20}(0,0) = 0$ and  $G_{t}^{21}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-1}h_{1}^{'}(0)G_{t}^{11}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{t}^{20}(0,0) = 0$ To solve (3.52), we equate to zero, the coefficient of sinRt and cosRt in (3.52), to ensure uniformly valid solution in t.From the coefficient of sinRt, we get  $\frac{2\gamma_1^{'}R}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\gamma_7\lambda^{'}\phi\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.53a)And from the coefficient of cosRt, we get  $\frac{2\gamma'_8 R}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\gamma_8 \lambda \phi' \sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$ (3.53b) Solving (3.53a), we get  $\frac{\dot{\gamma_7}}{\gamma_7} + \frac{\lambda \varphi' \sin(\varphi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))} = 0$ (3.54a)i.e.  $\ln \gamma_7 + \frac{1}{4} ln(1 - \lambda cos(\varphi)) = \ln C_8$  $\gamma_7(\tau) = C_8 (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ i.e., (3.54b) i.e.,  $\gamma_7(0) = C_8(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore \gamma_7(\tau) = 0$ Also solving (3.53b), we get  $\frac{\dot{\gamma_8}}{\gamma_8} + \frac{\lambda \phi' \sin(\phi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))} = 0$ (3.54c)Integrating both sides, we get,  $In\gamma_8 + \frac{1}{4}In(1 - \lambda cos(\phi)) = InC_9$  $\therefore \gamma_8(\tau) = C_9 (1 - \lambda \cos(\theta))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (3.54d)  $\gamma_8(0) = C_9(1 - \lambda(\phi))^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore C_9 = 0 \therefore \gamma_8(\tau) = 0$ Substituting  $\gamma_7(\tau)$  and  $\gamma_8(\tau)$  in (3.46), we get  $G^{20}(t,\tau) = 0$ (3.55)Solving the remaining equation in (3.52), we get  $G^{21}(t,\tau) = \gamma_9 \cos Rt + \gamma_{10} \sin Rt$ (3.56)To solve (3.17e), we first substitute for the values of  $L^{10}$ ,  $L^{11}$ ,  $h'_1$ , and  $L^{20}$ , ie  $L_{tt}^{21} + Q^2 L^{21} = \frac{2Q^2 k_2}{F} G^{10} G^{11} - \frac{2L_{tt}^{20}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\lambda \varphi' \sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} L_{tt}^{20}$ (3) (3.57)with the initial conditions  $L^{21}(0,0) = 0$ And

$$\begin{aligned} L_{tt}^{21}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h'_{1}(0)L_{t}^{11}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{\tau}^{20}(0,0) &= 0\\ \text{Simplifying (3.57), we get}\\ L_{tt}^{21} + Q^{2}L^{2} &= \left\{ \frac{2Q^{2}k_{2}\gamma_{1}\gamma_{4}}{2F} + \frac{4\gamma_{1}^{'}R}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\gamma_{1}R\phi'\sin(\phi)}{F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\}\sin 2Rt + \left\{ \frac{-2BQ^{2}k_{2}\gamma_{4}}{F} + \frac{2\gamma_{2}^{'}R}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\gamma_{1}R\phi'\sin(\phi)}{F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\}\sin Rt \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{2\alpha_{4}Q}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{Q\alpha_{4}\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\}\sin Qt + \left\{ \frac{2\beta_{4}Q}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\beta_{4}Q\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\}\cos Qt \quad (3.58) \end{aligned}$$

To ensure uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zeroin (3.58), the coefficients of sint and cost. From the coefficient of  $\sin Qt$ , we get  $2\alpha' Q = \alpha Q\lambda \alpha' \sin(\alpha)$ 

$$\frac{2\alpha'_{4}Q}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\alpha_{4}Q\lambda\phi\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$$
(3.59a)

And from the coefficient of  $\cos Qt$ , we get

$$\frac{2\beta'_4}{F^2} + \frac{\beta_4 Q \,\lambda \,\varphi' \sin(\varphi)}{2F^2} = 0 \tag{3.59b}$$

Solving (3.59a), we have  

$$\frac{\alpha'_4}{\alpha_4} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin(\phi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))} = 0$$
(3.60a)

Integrating both sides of (3.60a), we have,  $\ln \alpha_4 + \frac{1}{4} \ln (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)) = \ln C_{10}$ 

$$\therefore \ \alpha_4(\tau) = C10(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$
But from (3.49), we have that
$$K \ \Omega^2 \mathbb{P}^2(\Omega)$$
(3.60b)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{4}(0) &= -(r_{0}(0) - r_{1}(0) + r_{2}(0) = -P(0)\frac{K_{2}Q^{2}B^{2}(0)}{1 - \lambda} \\ \text{where,} \\ P(0) &= \left\{ \frac{3}{Q^{2}} - \frac{1}{2(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})} + \frac{2}{Q^{2} - R^{2}} \right\} \\ \text{From (3.60b), we obtain} \\ \alpha_{4}(0) &= C_{10}(1 - \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{-P(0)k_{2}Q^{2}B^{2}(0)}{1 - \lambda} \\ \therefore C_{10} &= \frac{-k_{2}Q^{2}B^{2}(0)(1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}P(0)}{(1 - \lambda)} \\ \text{Solving (3.59b), we get} \\ \frac{\beta_{4}'}{\beta 4} + \frac{\lambda \phi' \sin(\phi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))} = 0 \\ \text{By integration we have} \\ \ln \beta_{4} + \frac{1}{4}\ln(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi)) = InC_{11} \\ \text{i.e. } \beta_{4}(\tau) = C_{11}(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \beta_{4}(0) = C_{11}(1 - \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \quad \therefore C_{11} = 0 \quad \therefore \beta_{4}(\tau) = 0 \\ \text{Substituting } \beta_{4}(\tau) \text{ in (3.49), we have} \\ L^{20}(t, \tau) &= \alpha_{4} \cos Qt + r_{0}(\tau) + r_{1}(\tau) \cos 2Rt + r_{2}(\tau) \cos Rt \\ \text{Solving the remaining equation in (3.58), we have} \\ L^{21} &= \alpha_{5}(\tau) \cos Qt + \beta_{5} \sin Qt + r_{3}(\tau) \sin 2Rt + r_{4}(\tau) \sin Rt \\ \text{where,} \\ r_{3}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{Q^{2} - 4R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{4\gamma'_{1}R}{F_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\gamma_{1}R\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{F_{3}^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{Q^{2}k_{2}\gamma_{1}\gamma_{4}}{F} \right\}$$
(3.60)
(3.60a)
(3.60b)

$$r_{4}(\tau) = \frac{1}{Q^{2} - R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{Kr_{2}R}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{Kr_{2}\lambda\phi\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{2BQ^{2}R_{2}\gamma_{4}}{F} \right\}$$
(3.63b)  

$$r_{3}(0) = r_{4}(0) = 0$$
Using the initial conditions

$$L^{21}(0,0) = \alpha_r(0) = 0 \therefore \alpha_5(0) = 0$$

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 52, (July & Sept., 2019 Issue), 141–164

J. of NAMP

Also the second condition gives, 
$$\beta_{5}(0) = 0$$
.  
Solving (3.17f), but first substituting the values of  $_{L}^{10}$ ,  $_{L}^{11}$ ,  $_{G}^{10}$ ,  $_{G}^{12}$ ,  $h'_{1}$ ,  $_{L}^{20}$ ,  $_{L}^{21}$ , we get  
 $L_{tt}^{22} + Q^{2}L^{22} = \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}}{F} (G^{11^{2}} + 2G^{10}G^{12}) - \frac{2L_{tt}^{21}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\lambda\phi \sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} L_{t}^{21} - \frac{L_{tt}^{20}}{F}$  (3.64)  
with the initial conditions  
 $L^{22}(0,0) = 0$   
and  
 $L_{t}^{22}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}h'_{1}(0) L_{t}^{12}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{t}^{21}(0,0)$   
Substituting  $G^{11}$ ,  $G^{10}$  and  $G^{12}$  and simplifying, gives  
 $L_{tt}^{22} + Q^{2}L^{22} = \left\{ \frac{-k_{2}Q^{2}}{F} (\gamma_{1}\gamma_{5} + \frac{2BB''}{R^{2}F} + \frac{\gamma_{4}^{2}}{2})r_{0}^{"} \right\} - \left( \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}\gamma_{1}\gamma_{6}}{F} \right) \sin 2Rt + \left\{ \frac{-k_{2}Q^{2}}{F} (\gamma_{1}\gamma_{5} - \frac{\gamma_{4}}{2}) + \frac{4Rr'_{3}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{4Rr_{3}\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{r_{1}^{"}}{F} \right\} + \cos 2Rt \left\{ -\frac{k_{2}Q^{2}}{F} (\frac{2B''\gamma_{1}}{2F} + 2_{B}\gamma_{5}) + \frac{2Rr'_{4}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{Rr_{4}\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{r_{1}^{"}}{F} \right\} \cos Rt - \left( \frac{2k_{2}Q^{2}B\gamma_{4}}{F} \right) \sin Rt + \left\{ \frac{2\alpha'_{5}Q}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\alpha_{5}Q\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\} \sin Qt - \left\{ \frac{2\beta'_{5}Q}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\beta_{5}Q\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\} \cos Qt$  (3.65)

To ensure uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zero in (3.65), the coefficients of sinQt and cosQt. From the coefficients of sinQt, we get

$$\frac{2a_{5}Q}{r_{2}^{1}} + \frac{a_{5}Q\lambda\phi\sin(\phi)}{2r_{2}^{3}} = 0$$
(3.66a)  
And for the coefficients of  $cosQt$ , we have  

$$\frac{2\beta_{5}Q}{r_{2}^{1}} + \frac{\beta_{5}Q\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2r_{2}^{3}} = 0$$
(3.66b)  
Solving (3.66a), we get  

$$\frac{a_{5}'}{a_{5}} + \frac{\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{4(1-\lambda\cos(\phi))} = 0$$
(3.67a)  
i. e,  $\ln a_{5} + \frac{1}{4}\ln(1-\lambda\cos(\phi)) = C_{12}$ .  
 $a_{5}(\tau) = C_{12}(1-\lambda\cos(\phi))^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore C_{12} = 0 \therefore a_{5}(\tau) = 0$   
Also solving (3.66b), we get  

$$\frac{\beta_{5}'}{\beta_{5}} + \frac{\lambda4'\sin(\phi)}{4(1-\lambda\cos(\phi))} = 0$$
(3.67b)  
 $a_{5}(0) = C_{12}(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore C_{12} = 0 \therefore a_{5}(\tau) = 0$   
Also solving (3.66b), we get  

$$\frac{\beta_{5}'}{\beta_{5}} + \frac{\lambda4'\sin(\phi)}{4(1-\lambda\cos(\phi))} = 0$$
(3.68)  
Integrating (3.68), we have  
 $\ln \beta_{5} + \frac{1}{4}\ln(1-\lambda\cos(\phi)) = \ln C_{13}$ , i.  $e\beta_{5}(\tau) = C_{13}(1-\lambda\cos(\phi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$   
i. e,  $\beta_{5}(0) = 0 = C_{13}(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ ,  $C_{13} = 0$ ,  $\therefore \beta_{5}(\tau) = 0$   
Substituting  $\beta_{5}(\tau)$  and  $a_{5}(\tau)$  in (3.62), we have  
 $L^{21}(\tau, \tau) = r_{3}(\tau) sin2Rt + r_{4}(\tau)sin Rt$ (3.69)  
Solving the remaining equation in (3.65), we get  
 $L^{22}(\tau, \tau) = \gamma_{6}(\tau)cosQt + \beta_{6}(\tau)sinQt + r_{5}(\tau) + r_{6}(\tau)cos2Rt + r_{7}(\tau)cosRt + r_{8}(\tau)sinRt$ (3.70)

where,

$$r_{5}(\tau) = \frac{-k_{2}}{F} \left( \gamma_{1}\gamma_{5} + \frac{2BB''}{R^{2}F} + \frac{\gamma_{4}^{2}}{2} \right) + \frac{\gamma_{0}^{''}}{Q^{2}}$$
(3.71a)

$$r_{5}(\tau) = \frac{1}{Q^{2} - 4R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}}{F} \left( \gamma_{1}\gamma_{5} - \frac{\gamma_{4}}{2} \right) + \frac{4R\gamma_{3}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{4\lambda R\gamma_{3}\varphi'\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\gamma_{1}''}{F} \right\}$$
(3.71b)  
$$r_{7}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}}{F} \left( 2B''\gamma_{1} + 2B\gamma_{5} \right) + \frac{2R\gamma_{4}}{F} - \frac{R\gamma_{4}\lambda\varphi'\sin(\varphi)}{3} \right\}$$
(3.71c)

$$r_{8}(\tau) = \frac{-2}{Q^{2}-R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}B\gamma_{6}}{F} \right\}; r_{9}(\tau) = \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}\gamma_{1}\gamma_{6}}{F(Q^{2}-4R^{2})}$$
(3.71d)

$$r_{5}(0) = \frac{k_{2}\varphi^{1^{2}}(0)B^{2}(0)}{(1-\lambda)^{3}} \left\{ \frac{4Q^{2} - R^{2}(\lambda+7)}{4R^{2}Q^{2}} \right\}$$
(3.72a)

$$r_{6}(0) = \frac{Q^{2}B^{2}(0)\phi^{2}(0)}{(1-\lambda)^{2}(Q^{2}-4R^{2})} \left\{ \frac{-k_{2}}{R^{2}} + \frac{4R}{(1-\lambda)^{2}(Q^{2}-4R^{2})} \left\{ 1-k_{2}Q^{2} \left( \frac{1}{2(1-\lambda)(Q^{2}-4R^{2})} + \frac{B(0)}{R} \right) \right\} - \frac{3k_{2}}{8(Q^{2}-4R^{2})} \right\}$$
(3.72b)  
$$2k_{2}Q^{2}\phi^{2}(0)R^{2}(0) \left\{ 1-R^{2} - 1 - \left( -3R - R(0) \right) \right\}$$

$$r_{7}(0) = \frac{2k_{2}Q^{2}\phi(0)B^{2}(0)}{(1-\lambda)^{2}(\phi^{2}-R^{2})} \left\{ \frac{1-R^{2}}{R^{2}} + \frac{1}{Q^{2}-R^{2}} \left( \frac{-3R}{Q^{2}-4R^{2}} + \frac{B(0)}{2(1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\}$$
(3.72c)  
$$r_{8}(0) = 0; \quad r_{9}(0) = 0$$
(3.72d)

Applying the initial conditions in (3.70), we obtain  

$$L^{22}(0,0) = 0 = \alpha_6(0) + r_5(0) + r_6(0) + r_7(0) \therefore \alpha_6(0) = -\{r_5(0) + r_6(0) + r_7(0)\}$$
  
Using the second initial condition gives,  $\beta_6(0) = 0$ .

Solving (3.18f), but first substituting the value of  $h_1^{'}$ ,  $L^{10}$ ,  $G^{10}$ ,  $L^{11}G^{20}$ ,  $G^{21}$ , we get

$$G_{tt}^{22} + R^2 G^2 = \frac{R^2}{F} L^{12} G^{10} - \frac{2G_{tt}^{21}}{F^2} - \frac{\lambda \varphi \sin(\varphi)}{2F^2} G_{tt}^{21}$$
(3.73)

with the initial conditions  $G^{22}(0,0) = 0$ 

and (0,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{tt}^{22}(0,0) + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [h'_{1}(0)G_{t}^{12}(0,0) + G_{r}^{21}(0,0)] &= 0 \\ \text{From (3.73), we have} \\ G_{tt}^{22} + R^{2}G^{2} &= \frac{R^{2}\alpha_{3}}{2F}\cos(Q+R)t - \frac{R^{2}\gamma_{1}\alpha_{3}}{2F}\cos(R-Q)t - \frac{R^{2}\gamma_{1}\beta_{3}}{2F}\sin(Q+R)t + \frac{R^{2}\gamma_{1}\beta_{3}}{2F}\sin(R-Q)t - \frac{R^{2}\alpha_{3}}{F}\cosQt - \frac{R^{2}B\beta_{3}}{F}\sinQt \\ &+ \left(\frac{2R\gamma_{9}'}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\gamma_{9}\gamma\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\sinRt - \frac{\left(\frac{2R\gamma_{10}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{R\gamma_{10}\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\cosRt \end{aligned}$$
(3.74)

To ensure uniformly valid solution in t, we equate to zero in (3.74) the coefficients of sinRt and cosRt, and get, First for the coefficients of sinRt

$$\frac{2R\gamma'_{9}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{2Y_{9}\lambda\varphi'\sin(\varphi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$$
(3.75a)
And for the coefficients of *cosRt*,

$$\frac{2R\gamma_{10}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{2Y_{10}\lambda\phi'\sin(\phi)}{2F^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$$
Solving (3.75a), we get
$$(3.75b)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_9}{\gamma_9} + \frac{\lambda \phi \sin \phi(\phi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\phi))} = 0$$
(3.76a)

Integrating both sides of 
$$(3.76a)$$
, we have

$$\ln \gamma_{9} + \frac{1}{4} \ln (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)) = \ln C_{13}, i. e \gamma_{9}(\tau) = C_{13} (1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$
(3.76b)  

$$\gamma_{9}(0) = C_{13} (1 - \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore C_{13} = 0 \quad \therefore \gamma_{9}(\tau) = 0$$
Also solving (3.75b), we get  

$$\frac{\Gamma_{10}}{\gamma_{10}} + \frac{\lambda \varphi' \sin(\varphi)}{4(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))} = 0$$
(3.77a)

$\therefore \operatorname{In}\gamma_{10} + \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{In}(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi)) = \operatorname{In}C_{14}$			
$\gamma_{10}(\tau) = C_{14}(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$	(3.77b)		
$\gamma_{10}(0) = C_{14}(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0 \therefore C_{14} = 0 \therefore \gamma_{10}(\tau) = 0$ From (3.56), if we substitute $\gamma_0(\tau)$ , we have			
$G^{21}(\mathbf{t},\boldsymbol{\tau}) = 0$	(3.78)		
Solving the remaining terms in $(3.74)$ , we get			
$G^{22}(t, t) = \gamma_{11} \cos Rt + \gamma_{12} \sin Rt + r_{10} \cos (Q + R)t + r_{11} \cos (R - Q)t + r_{12} \sin (Q + R) + r_{13} \sin (Q - R)t + r_{14} \cos Qt + r_{15} \sin Qt$ where	(3.79)		
$r_{1,1}(\tau) = -\frac{-R^2 a_3}{R} + (0 + R)$	(3.802)		
$r_{10}(t) = \frac{1}{2F(R^2 - (Q+R)^2)},  R \neq (Q+R)$	(3.804)		
$r_{11}(\tau) = \frac{-R^2 \gamma_1 \alpha_3}{2F(R^2 - (R - Q)^2)},  R \neq (Q - R)$	(3.80b)		
$\mathbf{r}_{12}(\tau) = \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 \gamma_1 \beta_3}{2\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R}^2 - (\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{R})^2)},  \mathbf{r}_{13}(\tau) = \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 \gamma_1 \beta_3}{2\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R}^2 - (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q})^2)}$	(3.80c)		
Also, $r_{10}(0) = r_{11}(0) = r_{12}(0) = r_{14}(0) = r_{15}(0) = 0$ Applying the initial conditions in (3.79), we get			
$G^{22}(0,0) = \gamma_{11} = 0 \therefore \gamma_{11} = 0$ The second condition gives: (0) = 0. From (2.16c), we obtain			
The second condition, gives $\gamma_{12}(0) = 0$ . From (5.10a), we obtain	(2.01)		
$\xi_1 = \xi_2(L^{20} + \delta L^{21} + \delta + L^{22} + \dots) + \xi_2(L^{20} + \delta L^{21} + \delta L^{22} + \dots) + \dots$ From (3.16b), we get	(3.81)		
$\xi_2 = \bar{\xi}_2 (G^{10} + \delta G^{11} + \delta^2 G^{12} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_2^2 (G^{20} + \delta G^{21} + \delta^2 G^{22} + \dots) + \dots$	(3.82)		
At maximum displacement for $\xi_1$ , we need			
$\frac{\alpha \zeta_1}{1\pi} = 0$	(3.83)		
at This implies (from (3.12b)) and for $\xi_1$			
$\therefore \xi_{1,t} + \delta(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi_{,\tau} = 0$	(3.84)		
Let the values of t and $\tau$ for which $\xi_1$ is a maximum be $t_{1-}$ and $\tau_{1-}$ respective	rely. We assume the following a asymptotic		
expansions of $t_{1a}$ and $\tau_{1a}$	ery. We assume the following a asymptotic		
$\mathbf{t}_{1a} = \mathbf{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \mathbf{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \mathbf{t}_{02}^{(1)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_{2} (\mathbf{t}_{10}^{(1)} + \delta \mathbf{t}_{11}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \mathbf{t}_{12}^{(1)} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} (\mathbf{t}_{20}^{(1)} + \dots)$	$+ \delta t_{21}^{(1)} + \delta t_{22}^{(1)} + \cdots )$		
Let the value of $\bar{t}$ at maximum of $\xi_1$ be $\bar{t}_{1a}$ , where .	(3.03)		
$\bar{t}_{1a} = \left[\bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta\bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^{2}\bar{t}_{02}^{(1)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_{2}(\bar{t}_{10}^{(1)} + \delta\bar{t}_{11}^{(1)} + \delta^{2}t_{12}^{(1)} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}(\bar{t}_{20}^{(1)} + \delta\bar{t}_{21}^{(1)} + \delta\bar{t}_{12}^{(1)} + \delta$	$\left[ b_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \overline{t}_{21}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \overline{t}_{22}^{(1)} + \cdots \right] $		
+	(3.86)		
Therefore, we get from (3.11b) that,			
$\begin{aligned} &\tau_{1a} = \delta \bar{t}_{a}^{(1)} = \delta \left[ \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \bar{t}_{02}^{(1)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_{2} \left( \bar{t}_{10}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \bar{t}_{12}^{(1)} + \dots \right) + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} \left( \bar{t}_{20}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \bar{t}_{22}^{(1)} + \dots \right) \\ & \text{Expanding asymptotically each term of (3.82) and noting that } L^{10} = L^{11} = $	$\left[ \cdot \right] + \cdots \left[ (3.87) \right]$ $L^{12} = 0$ we obtain the following:		
$\overline{\xi}_{2}^{2} L_{t}^{20} = \overline{\xi}_{2}^{2} \left[ L_{t}^{20} + \left\{ \delta \overline{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\} L_{tt}^{20} + \delta \left\{ \overline{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \overline{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\} L_{tt}^{20} + \delta \left\{ \overline{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \overline{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\} L_{t\tau}^{20} \right]$			
$+\frac{1}{2} \int \int \delta \bar{t}^{(1)} + \delta^2 t^{(1)} + \dots \int^2 I^{20} + 2\delta \bar{t}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}^{(1)} + \dots \int^2 I^{20} + \dots \int^2 I^{20} + \dots \int^2 $	$\{\delta t^{(1)} + \delta^2 t^{(1)} + \dots\} I^{20} + \delta^2 \{\overline{t}^{(1)} + \dots\}^2 I$		

 $+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\}^2 L_{ttt}^{20} + 2\delta \left\{ \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\} \left\{ \left\{ \delta t_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\} L_{tt\tau}^{20} + \delta^2 \left\{ \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\}^2 L_{t\tau\tau}^{20} \right\} \right\} \right]$   $= 0 \qquad (3.88a)$   $\bar{\xi}_2^2 \delta L_t^{21} = \bar{\xi}_2^2 \delta \left[ L_{tt}^{21} + \left\{ \delta t_{0}^{(1)} + \cdots \right\} L_{tt}^{21} + \cdots \delta \left( \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \cdots \right) L_{\tau\tau}^{21} \right] = 0, \\ \bar{\xi}_2^2 \delta^2 L_t^{22} = \bar{\xi}_2^2 \delta^2 L_t^{22} = 0 \qquad (3.88b)$ Equating the orders of  $(\delta^{j\bar{\xi}^1})$  we get

Equating the orders of  $(\delta^j \overline{\xi}_2^i)$ , we get

$$O\left(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}\right): \quad L_{t\tau}^{20}\left(t_{0}^{(1)},0\right) = 0$$

$$O\left(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}\delta\right): L_{t\tau}^{20} + \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)}L_{t\tau}^{20} + L_{t\tau}^{21} + (1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{t\tau}^{20} = 0$$
(3.89a)
(3.89b)

$$\begin{split} & 0\left(\tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}\delta^{2}\right):L_{t}^{22}=0 & (3.89c) \\ & \text{From } (3.89a), \text{we get } L_{t}^{20}(t_{0}^{(1)}0)=0, \\ & L_{t}^{20}\left(t_{0}^{(1)},0\right)=-\alpha_{4}(0)Q\sin(t_{0}^{(1)}-2Rr_{1}(0)\sin2Rt_{0}^{(1)}-Rr_{2}(0)\sin2Rt_{0}^{(1)}=0, \\ & \alpha_{4}(0)=0 \\ & \therefore -R[2Rr_{1}(0)\sin2Rt_{0}^{(1)}+r_{2}(0)\sinRt_{0}^{(1)}]=0, \text{ since } R\neq 0 & (3.90) \\ & \therefore 2r_{1}(0)\left\{2Rt_{0}^{(1)}-\frac{(2Rt_{0}^{(1)})^{3}}{3!}+\cdots\right\}+r_{2}(0)\left\{Rt_{0}^{(1)}-\frac{(Rt_{0}^{(1)})^{3}}{3!}+\cdots\right\}=0 & (3.91) \\ & r_{1}(0)\left\{4R-\frac{8R^{3}t_{0}^{(1)^{2}}}{3}+\cdots\right\}+r_{2}(0)\left\{R-\frac{R^{3}t_{0}^{(1)^{2}}}{6}+\cdots\right\}=0 \\ & i.e. & \left\{4Rr_{1}(0)+Rr_{2}(0)\right\}=\left\{\frac{8r_{1}(0)}{3}+\frac{r_{3}(0)R^{3}}{6}\right\}t_{0}^{(1)^{2}} \\ & \vdots.t_{0}^{(1)}=\pm\sqrt{\frac{4Rr_{1}(0)+Rr_{2}(0)}{\frac{8r_{1}(0)R^{3}}{3}+\frac{r_{2}(0)R^{3}}{6}}, \\ & \text{We shall however take the positive value of } t_{0}^{(1)}. \text{ From } (3.89b), \text{ we have} \\ & t_{0}^{(1)}L_{t}^{20}+\tilde{t}_{0}L_{t}^{21}+L_{t}^{21}+(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \\ & \vdots.t_{0}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{L_{t}^{22}}\left\{t_{0}L_{t}^{20}+L_{t}^{21}+(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{t}^{20}\right\}|_{t_{0}^{(1)}0} \\ & \text{We note that } \xi_{1a}=\xi(t_{1}^{(1)},\tau_{1a}), \text{where, } \xi_{1a} \text{ is the maximum of } \xi_{a}. However, we equally note that \\ & \xi_{1}=\xi_{2}(L^{10}+\delta L^{21}+\delta^{2}L^{2}+\cdots)+\overline{\xi_{2}^{2}}(L^{20}+\delta L^{21}+\delta^{2}L^{22}+\cdots)+\cdots \quad (3.93a) \\ & \text{and that,} \\ & L^{10}=L^{-1}=L^{12}=0 \\ & \text{From } (3.93a), \text{ we therefore get} \\ & \xi_{1}=\xi_{2}(L^{20}+\delta L^{21}+\delta^{2}L^{2}L^{2}+\cdots)+\overline{\xi_{2}^{2}}(L^{20}+\delta L^{21}+\delta^{2}L^{2}L^{2}+\cdots)+L_{t}^{20} \\ & \left\{\delta\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\delta^{2}\overline{t}_{0}^{(2)}+\cdots\right\}L_{t}^{20}+\delta\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots\right\}L_{t}^{20} \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\{\delta\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\delta^{2}\overline{t}_{0}^{(2)}+\cdots\right\}L_{t}^{20}+\delta^{2}\overline{\xi}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots\right\}L_{t}^{20}+\delta_{t}^{2}\overline{\xi}_{0}^{2}+\cdots\right\}L_{t}^{20}\right\}\right\}\right\}\right\}\right\}=0 \\ \\ & \delta\xi_{2}^{2}L^{21}=\delta\xi_{2}^{2}\left[L^{20}+\left\{\delta\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\delta^{2}\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots\right\}L_{t}^{21}+\delta(\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots)L_{t}^{21}\right]=0, \\ & \delta\xi_{2}^{2}L^{22}=0. \\ & \text{Then, we go on to obtain} \\ & \xi_{1a}=\overline{\xi}_{2}^{2}\left[L^{20}+\delta\left\{t_{0}^{(1)}L_{t}^{20}+\overline{t}_{0}^{(1)}L_{t}^{20}+L^{21}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \delta^{2} \left\{ \left\{ t_{02}^{(1)} L_{t}^{20^{0}} + \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} L_{\tau}^{20^{0}} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ t_{01}^{(1)^{2}} L_{tt}^{20} + 2\bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} t_{01}^{(1)} L_{t\tau}^{20^{0}} + \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} L_{\tau\tau}^{20} \right\} + t_{01}^{(1)} L_{\tau\tau}^{21^{0}} + \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} L_{\tau\tau}^{21} + L^{22} \right\} \right\} \right\} \left| t_{(t_{01}^{(1)})} + \cdots$$

$$(3.94)$$

Equating non-zero terms of (3.94), evaluated at  $(t_0^{(1)}, 0)$ , we obtain the following:

$$L^{20}(t_0^{(1)}, 0) = \frac{\theta_1 B(0)}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{\theta_2 B^2(0)}{1 - \lambda}$$
(3.95)

where,

$$\theta_1 = \frac{2k_2}{Q^2 - R^2} \left\{ \cos R t_{01}^{(1)} - \cos Q t_0^{(1)} \right\}$$
(3.96)

$$\theta_{2} = -3k_{2} \left\{ 1 - \cos Q t_{0}^{(1)} \right\} - \frac{\kappa_{2}Q}{2(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})} \left\{ \cos 2R t_{0}^{(1)} - \cos Q t_{01}^{(1)} \right\}$$
(3.97)  
$$L_{tt}^{20} \left( t_{0}^{(1)}, 0 \right) = \theta_{3}B(0) + \theta_{4}B^{2}(0)$$
(3.98)

where  

$$\theta_{3} = \frac{3k_{2}Q^{2}}{1-\lambda}\cos t - \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}}{2(1-\lambda)(Q^{2}-4R^{2})} \left\{ Q^{2}\cos Qt_{0}^{(1)} - 4R^{2}\cos 2Rt_{0}^{(1)} \right\} (3.99)$$

$$\theta_{4} = \frac{2k_{2}Q^{2}}{(1-\lambda)(Q^{2}-R^{2})} \left\{ Q^{2}\cos Qt_{0}^{(1)} - R^{2}\cos Rt_{0}^{(1)} \right\} (3.100)$$

$$(1 - \lambda)(Q^2 - R^2)^{(1)} = \theta_5 B^2(0) + \theta_6 B^3(0)$$
(3.101)

where,

$$\theta_{6} = -\left\{ \frac{3k_{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{4} + \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{4(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})} \right\} \cos Qt_{0}^{(1)}$$

$$\theta_{5} = \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{2(Q^{2} - R^{2})} \cos Qt_{0}^{(1)} - \frac{3k_{2}Q^{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{8(1 - \lambda)(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})} \cos 2Rt_{0}^{(1)} - \frac{3k_{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{2(1 - \lambda)(Q^{2} - R^{2})} \cos Rt_{0}^{(1)} - \frac{k_{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{4R^{2}Q^{2}} \right\}$$

$$(3.102)$$

$$(3.102)$$

$$(3.103)$$

$$(1-\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 4R^2Q^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L_{rr}^{21}(t_0^{(1)}, 0) = \theta_7 B^2(0) + \theta_8 B^3(0)$$
(3.104)

$$\theta_7 = -\left\{\frac{2k_2 Q^2 \varphi'_{(0)}^2 R}{(1-\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}} (Q^2 - R^2)}\right\} sinRt_0^{(1)}$$
(3.105)

$$\theta_{8} = \frac{1}{Q^{2} - 4R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{-2k_{2}Q^{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{2}R}{(1 - \lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q^{2} - 4R^{2})} + \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{2}}{4R(1 - \lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\} \sin 2Rt_{0}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{Q^{2} - R^{2}} \left\{ \frac{k_{2}Q^{2}\varphi_{(0)}^{'2}}{4R(1 - \lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\} \sin Rt_{0}^{(1)}$$
(3.106)  
$$L^{22}(t_{0}^{(1)}, 0) = \theta_{9}B^{2}(0)$$
(3.107)

where,

$$\theta_{9} = \frac{k_{2} \varphi'_{(0)}^{2}}{(1-\lambda)^{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\lambda} - 2 \right\}$$
Thus (3.94) becomes
(3.108)

$$\xi_{1a} = \xi_2^2 \left[ \theta_1 B(0) + \theta_2 B^2(0) + \delta^2 \left\{ \frac{t_{01}^{(1)}}{2} \left( \theta_3 B(0) + \theta_4 B^2(0) \right) + \left( \theta_7 B^2(0) \right) + \left( \theta_5 B^2(0) + \theta_6 B^3(0) \right) t_0^{-(1)^2} + \theta_9 B^2(0) \right\} \right]$$
(3.109)

We recall that,

$$\frac{d\tilde{t}}{d\bar{t}} = [1 - \lambda \cos\{\varphi(\delta\bar{t})\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{t} = \int [1 - \lambda \cos\{\bar{\varphi}(\delta\bar{t})\}]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\bar{t}$$
(3.110)  
Now,  
$$\cos\{\varphi(\delta\bar{t})\} = 1 - \frac{\varphi^2}{2!} + \frac{\varphi^4}{4!} - \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} + \cdots$$
(3.111)

$$\therefore \lambda cos\{\varphi(\delta \bar{t})\} = \lambda \left[ 1 - \frac{\varphi^2}{2!} + \frac{\varphi^4}{4!} - \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} + \cdots \right]$$
(3.112)

$$[1 - \lambda cos\{\varphi(\delta \bar{t})\}] = 1 - \lambda \left[1 - \frac{\varphi}{2} + \frac{\varphi}{24} - \frac{\varphi}{6!} + \cdots\right]$$
(3.113)  
=  $\left[(1 - \lambda) + \lambda \left\{\frac{\varphi^2}{2} - \frac{\varphi^4}{24} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} + \cdots\right\}\right]$ (3.114)

$$= (1 - \lambda) \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\varphi^2}{2} - \frac{\varphi^4}{24} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} + \cdots \right\} \right]$$
(3.115)

$$\varphi(\delta \bar{t}) = \varphi(0)^0 + \delta \bar{t} \dot{\varphi}(0) + \frac{(\delta \bar{t})^2}{2!} \varphi''(0) + \frac{(\delta \bar{t})^3}{3!} \varphi'''(0) + \cdots$$
(3.118)

$$= \delta \bar{t} \phi(0) + \frac{(\delta t)}{2} \phi''(0) + \frac{(\delta t)}{3!} \phi'''(0) + \cdots$$
Thus, we have
(3.119)

$$\varphi^{2} = \left[ \left[ (\delta \bar{t}) \, \dot{\varphi}(0) + \frac{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \varphi^{''}(0)}{2} + \frac{(\delta \bar{t})^{3} \varphi^{'''}(0)}{3!} + \dots \right]^{2}$$
(3.120)

$$= \left[ (\delta \bar{t})^2 \dot{\varphi}^2(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^3 \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi''(0) + \frac{(\delta \bar{t})}{4} \varphi''^2(0) + \frac{(\delta \bar{t})}{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0) + \cdots \right] \quad (3.121)$$
  
$$\varphi^2 \cong \left[ (\delta \bar{t})^2 \dot{\varphi}^2(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^3 \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi''(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^4 \left\{ \frac{\varphi''^2(0)}{4} + \frac{\dot{\varphi}(0)\varphi'''(0)}{3} \right\} + \cdots \right] \quad (3.122)$$
  
Similarly, we get

$$\varphi^4 = (\delta \bar{t})^4 \dot{\varphi}^4(0) + O(\delta^5)$$
(3.123)  
While,  

$$(3.123)$$

$$\begin{split} [1 - \lambda \cos\{\varphi(\delta \bar{t})\}]_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda \varphi}{4(1 - \lambda)} + \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1 - \lambda)} - \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) \right\} \varphi^{4} + \cdots \right] \qquad (3.124) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda}{4(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ (\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^{4} \left( \frac{\varphi^{''}{}^{2}(0)}{4} + \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi^{'''}(0) \right) \right) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{48} \left( \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) - \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{2} \right) \left\{ (\delta \bar{t})^{4} \dot{\varphi}^{4}(0) + O(\delta^{5}) \right\} \right] (3.125) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ (\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi^{''}(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^{4} \left( \frac{\varphi^{''2}(0)}{4} + \frac{\dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi^{'''}(0)}{3} \right) \right\} - \frac{1}{48} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{2} \right) (\delta \bar{t})^{4} \dot{\varphi}^{4}(0) + O(\delta^{5}) \right] \qquad (3.126) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ (\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi^{''}(0) \right\} \\ &\quad - \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1 - \lambda)} + \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4(1 - \lambda)} \left( \frac{\varphi^{''2}}{4} + \frac{\dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi^{''}(0)}{3} \right) \right\} (\delta \bar{t})^{4} \\ &\quad + O(\delta^{5}) \right] \qquad (3.127) \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{t} = (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{ (\delta \bar{t})^2 \dot{\varphi}^2(0) + (\delta \bar{t})^3 \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi''(0) \} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{{\varphi''}^2(0)}{4} + \varphi 0 \varphi''' 0 \right) \right\} dt$$
(3.128)

J. of NAMP

$$\begin{split} \tilde{t} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg[ \bar{t} - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \Big\{ \frac{\delta^{2} t^{3}}{3} \phi^{2}(0) + \frac{\delta^{2} \bar{t}^{4}}{4} \phi(0) \varphi''(0) \Big\} - \Big\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{32} \Big( \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \Big)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \Big( \frac{\varphi''^{2}(0)}{4} + \frac{\phi(0)\varphi'''(0)}{3} \Big) \frac{\delta^{4} \bar{t}^{5}}{5} \Big\} \bigg] \\ \text{But, } t &= \tilde{t} \\ \therefore t_{1a} &= \tilde{t}_{1a} = \\ (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg[ \bar{t}_{1a} + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \Big\{ \frac{\delta^{2} \bar{t}_{1a}^{3} \phi^{2}(0)}{3} + \frac{\delta^{2} \bar{t}_{1a}}{4} \phi(0) \varphi''(0) \Big\} - \Big\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} + \frac{1}{32} \Big( \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \Big)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \Big( \frac{\varphi''^{2}(0)}{4} + \frac{\phi(0)\varphi'''(0)}{3} \Big) \frac{\delta^{4} \bar{t}_{1a}^{5}}{5} \Big\} \bigg] \\ (3.130) \\ \text{Expanding both sides of (3.130) asymptotically, we have} \\ t_{0}^{(1)} + \delta t_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} t_{02}^{(1)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_{2} (t_{11}^{(1)} + \delta t_{11}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} t_{12}^{(1)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_{2} (t_{20}^{(1)} + \delta t_{21}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} t_{22}^{(1)} + \dots \\ &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg[ \bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} t_{02}^{(1)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_{2} (\bar{t}_{10}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \bar{t}_{22}^{(1)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_{2} (\bar{t}_{10}^{(1)} + \delta t_{21}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} \bar{t}_{22}^{(1)} + \dots \Big) \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \bigg\{ \frac{\delta^{2} \phi^{2}(0)}{3} (\bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(1)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_{2} \bar{t}_{10}^{(1)} + \dots \Big)^{3} + \frac{\delta^{2} \phi^{2}(0) \varphi'''(0)}{4} (\bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \cdots \Big)^{4} \\ &+ \bigg\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{32} \Big( \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \Big)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} \Big( \frac{\varphi''^{2}(0)}{4} + \frac{\phi(0) \varphi'''(0)}{3} \Big) \delta^{5} (\bar{t}_{0}^{(1)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(1)} + \cdots \Big)^{5} \bigg\} \bigg] \end{aligned}$$

On equating coefficients of  $(\xi_2^i \delta^j)$ , we get

(3.131a)
(3.131b)
(3.131c)
(3.131d)
(3.131e)
(3.131f)
(3.132a)
(3.132b)
(3.132c)

## Maximization of $\xi_2$

Let  $t_{2a}$  and  $\tau_{2a}$  be the values of t and  $\tau$  respectively at the maximum of  $\xi_2$ . Expanding  $t_{2a}$  and  $\tau_{2a}$  asymptoically, we get  $t_{2a} = t_0^{(2)} + \delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(2)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{12}^{(2)} + \dots)$ 

$$+ \xi_2^{(2)} \left( t_{20}^{(2)} + \delta t_{21}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{22}^{(2)} + \cdots \right)$$
(3.133)

Again, we let  $\bar{t}_a^2$  be the value of  $\bar{t}$  at the maximum of  $\xi_2$  and let it be expanded as,  $\bar{t}_a^{(2)} = \bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{02}^{(2)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_2 (\bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_2^2 (\bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{22}^{(2)} + \dots)$  (3.134) Therefore, we get

$$\tau_{2a} = \delta \bar{t}_{a}^{(2)} = \delta \{ \bar{t}_{0}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^{2} \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_{2} (\bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \delta^{2} t_{12}^{(2)} + \dots ) + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} (t_{20}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(2)} + \dots )$$
(3.135a)

From (3.82), we have  $\xi_2 = \bar{\xi}_2 (G^{10} + \delta G^{11} + \delta^2 G^{12} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_2^2 (G^{20} + \delta G^{21} + \delta^2 G^{22} + \dots) + \dots \quad (3.135b)$ For maximum displacement of  $\xi_2$ , we get, as in (3.84)

$$\xi_{2,t} + \delta(1 - \lambda \cos(\varphi))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi_{\tau} = 0$$
(3.136)  
Then, expanding each term of (3.136) asymptotically, we have

Osuji, Ette and Chukwuchekwa

J. of NAMP

$$\begin{split} & \{\xi_{0}^{-10} = \xi_{1}[G_{*}^{-10} + (\delta t_{0}^{(1)} + \delta^{2} t_{0}^{(2)} + \dots + \xi_{2}(t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \dots) + \xi_{2}^{2}(t_{20}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \dots) + \xi_{2}^{2}(t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta^{2} t_{10}^{(2)} + \dots) + \xi_{2}^{2}(t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta^{2} t_{11}^{(2)} + \dots) + \xi_{2}^{2}(t_{10}^{(2)} + \xi_{10}^{(2)} + \xi_{10}^{(2)}$$

J. of NAMP

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}_2 G^{10}(t_{2a}, \tau_{2a}) &= \bar{\xi}_2 [G_{10}(t_0^{(2)}, 0) + \{\delta G_{tt}^{10} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{12}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} G_{tt}^{10} + \delta \{\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (\bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{12}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} G_{tt}^{10} + \frac{1}{2} \{\{\{\delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(1)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \cdots \}^2 G_{tt}^{10} + 2\delta \{(\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (\bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{02}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} + \bar{\xi}_2^2 (\bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} \{\delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} G_{tt}^{11} + \delta \{\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \frac{1}{2} \{\{\delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} G_{tt}^{11} + \delta \{\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \frac{1}{2} \{\{\delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \cdots)\} G_{tt}^{11} + \delta \{\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \frac{1}{2} \{\{\delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \frac{1}{2} \{\{\delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \cdots \} G_{tt}^{11} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2 \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_2$$

$$\bar{\xi}_{2}\delta^{2}G^{12} = \bar{\xi}_{2}\delta^{2}[G^{12} + \left\{ \dots \bar{\xi}_{2}(t_{10}^{(2)} + \dots) \right\} G_{t}^{12}]; \ \delta^{2}\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2}G^{22} = \delta^{2}\xi_{2}^{2}G^{22}$$
Grouping further, we obtain
$$\bar{\xi}_{2g} = \bar{\xi}_{2}[G^{10} + \delta\{t_{0}^{(2)}G_{t}^{10^{0}} + \bar{t}_{0}^{(2)}G_{t}^{10^{0}} + G^{11^{0}}\} + \delta^{2}\{t_{02}^{(2)}G_{t}^{10^{0}} + \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)}G_{t}^{10^{0}} + \frac{1}{\tau}t_{01}^{(2)^{2}}G_{t}^{10} + \bar{t}_{0}^{(2)}t_{01}^{(2)}G_{t}^{10} + \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)^{2}}G_{t}^{10} + \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)^{2}}G_{t}^$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{2a} &= \zeta_{2} [G^{-1} + \delta \{ l_{0}^{-1} G_{rt}^{-1} + l_{0}^{-1} G_{rt}^{-1} + G^{-1} \} + \delta^{-} \{ l_{02}^{-1} G_{rt}^{-1} + l_{01}^{-1} G_{rt}^{-1} + l_{01}^{-1} G_{rt}^{-1} + l_{01}^{-1} G_{rt}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} l_{01}^{-1} d_{rt}^{-1} + l_{01}^{-1} d_{rt}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} l_{01}^{-1} d_{rt}^{-1} + l_{01}^{-1} d_{rt}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} l_{01}^{-1}$$

where (3.141) is evaluated at 
$$(t_0^{(2)}, 0)$$
, that is,  $t_0^{(2)} = \frac{\pi}{R}, \tau = 0$ ,  

$$\therefore \ \xi_{2a} = \bar{\xi}_2 \left[ 2B(0) + \delta^2 \left\{ \bar{t}_0^{(2)2} \left( B(0) - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2(0)B^2(0)}{4} \right) - \frac{2\dot{\phi}^2 B(0)}{R^2(1-\lambda)^2} \right\} \right] \\
+ \delta^2 \bar{\xi}_2^2 \left\{ B(0) - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2(0)B^2(0)}{4} \right\} \bar{t}_{10}^{(2)}$$
(3.142)

To obtain 
$$\bar{t}_{0}^{(2)}$$
 that occurs in (3.142), we recall that,  

$$\frac{d\tilde{t}}{d\tilde{t}} = [1 - \lambda \cos \{\varphi(\delta \bar{t})\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \therefore \tilde{t} = \int [1 - \lambda \cos \{\varphi(\delta \bar{t})\}]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\bar{t} \quad (3.143)$$
Expanding  $[1 - \lambda \cos \{\varphi(\delta \bar{t})\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and substituting (3.143), we have,  
 $\tilde{t} = (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)\} - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)] + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)] + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)] + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)] + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int [1 + \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \{(\delta \bar{t})^{2} \dot{\varphi}(0) + \delta \bar{t})^{3} \dot{\varphi}(0) \varphi'''(0)] + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi (0) + \delta \bar{t}$ 

$$\left\{\frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} + \frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)}\left(\frac{\varphi^{''\,2}(0)}{4} + \frac{\phi(0)\varphi^{'''}(0)}{3}\right)\right\}(\delta\bar{t})^4]d\bar{t}$$
(3.144)

$$\tilde{t}_{2a} = (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \bar{t}_{2a} - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\delta^2 \bar{t}_{2a}^3}{3} \phi^2(0) + \frac{\delta^3 \bar{t}_{2a}^4}{4} \phi(0) \phi''(0) \right\} + \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{\phi''^2(0)}{4} + \frac{\phi(0)\phi'''(0)}{3} \right) \frac{\delta^4 \bar{t}_{2a}^5}{5} \right\} \right] (3.145)$$
  
But  $t = \tilde{t}$  since  $t = \tilde{t}$ . Therefore, on expanding both sides of (3.145) asymptotically, we get

But 
$$t_{2a} = \tilde{t}_{2a}$$
, since  $t = \tilde{t}$ . Therefore, on expanding both sides of (3.145) asymptotically, we get  
 $t_0^{(2)} + \delta t_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{02}^{(2)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \delta t_{11}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{12}^{(2)} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_2^2 (t_{20}^{(2)} + \delta t_{21}^{(2)} + \delta^2 t_{22}^{(2)} + \dots)$ 

$$= (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{02}^{(2)} + \dots + \bar{\xi}_2 (\bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{11}^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{12}^{(2)} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_2^2 (\bar{t}_{20}^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{21}^{(2)} + \delta^2 \bar{t}_{22}^{(2)} + \dots) \right]$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{4(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\delta^2 \dot{\phi}^2(0)}{3} (\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \dots) + \bar{\xi}_2 (t_{10}^{(2)} + \dots)^3 + \frac{\delta^2}{4} \phi(0) \varphi''(0) (\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \dots)^4 \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{48(1 - \lambda)} + \frac{1}{32} (\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda})^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\varphi''(0)}{4} + \phi(0) \varphi''(0) \frac{\delta^5}{5} (\bar{t}_0^{(2)} + \delta \bar{t}_{01}^{(2)} + \dots)^5 \right\} \right]$$

On equating coefficients of  $\xi_2^i \delta^j$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0(1): t_0^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_0^{(2)} & (3.146a) \\ 0(\delta): t_{01}^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_{01}^{(2)} & (3.146b) \\ 0(\delta^2): t_{02}^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_{02}^{(2)} & (3.146c) \\ 0(\bar{\xi}_2): t_{10}^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_{10}^{(2)} & (3.146d) \\ 0(\bar{\xi}_2 \delta): t_{11}^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_{12}^{(2)} & (3.146e) \\ 0(\bar{\xi}_2 \delta^2): t_{12}^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_{12}^{(2)} & (3.146f) \\ \text{From } (3.146a), \text{ we have} \\ \overline{t}_0^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{t}_0^{(2)} &= (1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\pi}{R} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.146b), we have  $\bar{t}_{10}^{(2)} = 0$ , since  $t_{10}^{(2)} = 0$ Thus, from (3.142), we have

$$\xi_{2a} = \bar{\xi}_2 \left[ 2B(0) + \delta^2 \{ (\frac{\pi}{R})^2 \left( 1 - \lambda \right)^{-1} \left\{ B(0) - \frac{\phi^2(0)B^2(0)}{4} \right\} - \frac{2\phi^2(0)B(0)}{R^2(1 - \lambda)^2} \right]$$
(3.147)

Net displacement of the spherical shell

Let the net displacement be  $\zeta$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \xi_{1a} + \xi_{2a} \end{aligned} \tag{3.148} \\ \zeta &= \bar{\xi}_2 \left[ 2B(0) + \delta^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{R} \right)^2 (1 - \lambda)^{-1} (B(0) - \frac{\dot{\psi}^2(0)B^2(0)}{4} - \frac{2\dot{\psi}^2(0)B}{R^2(1 - \lambda)^2} \right\} \right] + \bar{\xi}_2^2 \left[ \frac{B^2(0)\theta_2}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{\theta_1 B(0)}{1 - \lambda} + \delta^2 \left\{ \frac{t_{01}^{(1)^2}}{2} (\theta_3 B(0) + \theta_1 B(0) + \theta_2 B(0) + \theta_2$$

We can further write 
$$\zeta$$
 as,  
 $\zeta = \Theta_1 \overline{\xi_2} + \Theta_2 \overline{\xi_2^2} + \cdots$  (3.150)  
where,  
 $\Theta_1 = 2B(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta^2}{2B} \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{R} \right)^2 (1 - \lambda)^{-1} (B(0) - \frac{\phi^2(0)B^2(0)}{4} - \frac{2\phi^2(0)B(0)}{R^2(1 - \lambda)^2} \right\} \right] + \cdots$  (3.151a)  
 $\Theta_2 = \frac{B^2 \theta_2}{1 - \lambda} \left[ 1 + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 B(0)} + \frac{(1 - \lambda)\delta^2}{B^2(0)\theta_2} \left\{ \frac{t_{01}^{(1)2}}{2} (\theta_3 B(0) + \overline{t}_0^{(1)2} (\theta_2 B^2(0) + \theta_6 B^3(0) + \theta_9 B^2(0)) \right\} \right] + \cdots$  (3.151b)  
Reversing the series (3.150), we have  
 $\overline{\xi_2} = f_1 \zeta + f_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots$  (3.152)  
Substituting for  $\zeta$ , we have  
 $\overline{\xi_2} = f_1 (\overline{\xi_2} \Theta_1 + \overline{\xi_2^2} \Theta_2 + \cdots) + f_2 (\overline{\xi_2} \Theta_1 + \overline{\xi_2^2} \Theta_2)^2 + \cdots$  (3.153)  
Equating the coefficients of powers of  $\overline{\xi_2}$ , we get  
 $O(\overline{\xi_2})$ :  $1 = f_1 \Theta_1$  (3.154a)  
 $O(\overline{\xi_2})$ :  $0 = f_1 \Theta_1 + f_2 \Theta_1^2$  (3.154b)  
From (3.154a), we get  
 $f_1 = \frac{1}{\Theta_1}$  (3.154b), we get  
 $f_2 = \frac{-f_1 \Theta_2}{\Theta_1^2} = \frac{-\Theta_2}{\Theta_1^2}$  (3.155b)

We now evaluate (3.150) at dynamic buckling. Let  $\zeta_c$  be the value of  $\zeta$  at dynamic buckling. To determine the dynamic buckling load we use the maximization,

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 52, (July & Sept., 2019 Issue), 141-164

(3.155b)

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\zeta_c} = 0 \tag{3.156a}$$

Thus, we get by differentiating both sides of (3.152) that

$$\frac{d(\xi_2)}{d\zeta_c} = \frac{d}{d\zeta_c} \{ f_1 \zeta + f_2 \zeta^2 + \dots \}$$
(3.156b)

Following the procedure in [6], for the case  $\zeta = \zeta_c$ , we obtain

$$0 = \frac{d(f_1)}{d\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{d\zeta_c} \zeta_c + f_1 + \frac{d(f_2)}{d\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{d\zeta_c} \zeta_c + 2f_2\zeta_c$$
(3.156c)  
$$-f_1 \qquad \Theta_1^2$$

$$\therefore 0 = f_1 + 2f_2\zeta_c , \quad \therefore \quad \zeta_c = \frac{-f_1}{2f_2} = \frac{e_1}{2e_2}$$
(3.157)

If we evaluate (3.152) at bluckling, we get

$$\bar{\xi}_2 = f_1 \zeta_c + f_2 \zeta_c^2 + \cdots$$
Subtituting for  $f_1, \zeta_c$  and  $f_2$ , we get
$$(3.158)$$

$$\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{\zeta_c}{2\mathbf{e}_1} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_1}{4\mathbf{e}_2}$$
(3.159)

*i.e.*, 
$$\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{e}_1}{4\mathbf{e}_2} = \frac{2B\left\{1 + \frac{\delta^2 f_{12}}{2B(0)}\right\}}{\frac{4B^2(0)\theta_2}{1-\lambda}\left\{1 + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2B(0)} + \frac{(1-\lambda)\delta^2 f_{22}}{\theta_2B^2\theta_2}\right\}}$$
(3.160)

Further simplication of (3.160) gives

$$(1 - \lambda_D)^2 = 2\lambda_D \theta_2 \bar{\xi}_2 \left\{ \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 B(0)} + \frac{(1 - \lambda_D)\delta^2 f_{22}}{B^2(0)\theta_2}\right)}{1 + \frac{\delta^2 f_{12}}{2B(0)}} \right\}$$
(3.161)

where,

where,  

$$f_{12} = \left(\frac{\pi}{R}\right)^2 (1-\lambda)^{-1} (B(0) - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2(0)B^2(0)}{4} - \frac{2\dot{\phi}^2(0)B(0)}{R^2(1-\lambda)^2}$$

$$f_{22} = \frac{t_{01}^{(1)^2}}{2} \{\theta_3 B(0) + \theta_4 B^2(0)\} + \bar{t}_0^{(1)^2} \{\theta_5 B^2(0) + \theta_6 B^3(0) + \theta_9 B^2(0)\}$$
(3.163)  
 $\lambda_1$  is the dynamic hyperbolic back and it depends on  $\delta^2$  and not on  $\delta$ 

 $\lambda_D$  is the dynamic buckling load and it depends on  $\delta^2$  and not on  $\delta$ .

### 4.0 **RESULTS AND CONCLUSION**

A simple computer program written with Qbasic, gives the values of  $\lambda_D$  at different values of  $\bar{\xi}_2$  and  $\delta$ . And  $\varphi(\tau) = 1 - e^{-\alpha^2 \tau}$ ,  $\omega'(0) = \alpha^2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.01$ ,  $\delta = 0.01$ , 0.02 and 0.03, we have the values in Table 1.

Table 1: The relationship between the dynamic buckling load,  $\lambda_D$  and the Imperfection parameter,  $\bar{\xi}_2$  for some fixed values of damping factors,  $\delta$  using equation (3.161).

ξ	$\lambda_{\rm r}$ for $\delta = 0.01$	$\lambda_{\rm r}$ for $\delta = 0.02$	$\lambda_{\rm p} for \delta = 0.03$
\$2	$x_{D} = 0.01$	$n_{D} = 0.02$	$n_{D}$ ) or $0 = 0.05$
0.01	0.900000	0.900000	0.900000
0.02	0.875839	0.875839	0.875839
0.03	0.863434	0.863434	0.863434
0.04	0.857440	0.857440	0.857440
0.05	0.846083	0.846083	0.846083
0.06	0.833333	0.833333	0.833333
0.07	0.833333	0.833333	0.833333
0.08	0.833333	0.833333	0.833333
0.09	0.827411	0.827411	0.827411
0.10	0.819002	0.819002	0.819002



# Figure 1: Graphical Plot showing the relationship between the dynamic buckling load, $\lambda_D$ and the Imperfection parameter, $\bar{\xi}_2$ for some fixed values of damping factors, $\delta$ using equation (3.161).

Analyses of the results of the elastic spherical shell are hereby presented. In general, the dynamic buckling load decreases with increased imperfection amplitude and vice-versa. This is equivalent to saying that, the nearer the structure is to a perfect nature, the more stable it is for a periodic load with slowly varying circular frequency. Besides, the least dependence of the dynamic buckling load on the circular frequency is of order two with respect to the slow time parameter  $\delta$ , that is,  $\lambda_D$  is of order  $\delta^2$ . Thus, if  $\delta$  is very small relative to unity (as we have assumed in this work), then,  $\delta^2$  is even smaller so that in the limit as  $\delta$  tends to zero, the results of the periodic load having a slowly varying circular frequency tend to those of a step load. Besides, we clearly observe that, within the limit of accuracy retained in this work, there is no marked difference in the values of  $\lambda_D$  for the different cases of  $\delta = 0.01$ , 0.02 and 0.03. This IS because since  $\lambda_D$  is of the order  $\delta^2$ , and  $\delta$  happens to be small relative to unity, then  $\delta^2$  is even smaller so that its effect is very minimal and hence negligible. This is clearly observed, even in the table and graph of the results of the spherical shell structure with various values of  $\xi_2$  for some fixed values of  $\delta$ . In such table and graph, we clearly observe that the difference in the value of  $\lambda_D$  for different values of  $\bar{\xi}_2$  is minimal and as  $\delta$  becomes increasingly small, such results tend to those of a step load. Thus, we can justifiably say that the step load is a limiting process of the periodic load with slowly varying circular frequencies. Besides, it is observed that the dynamic buckling load  $\lambda_D$  depends on the first derivative of the slowly varying function  $\varphi(\tau)$  (evaluated at the initial time t = 0). We thus demand that  $\varphi(\tau)$  be continuous and differentiable and also have a right hand derivatives of all orders at t = 0. In this study, the results are given in a form that shows the dependence of the load degradation (i.e. the difference  $(1 - \lambda_D))$  on the imperfection parameter. In the case of the model structure in [6], the load degradation is of order $\epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}$  while for

the spherical shell, it is of order  $\overline{\xi}_2^{\overline{2}}$ . Hence, the smaller the imperfection, the greater the value of  $\lambda_D$  and so, the smaller the load degradation. All results so far obtained are strictly asymptotic and so are valid as the small parameters  $\delta$  and  $\overline{\xi}_2$  become increasingly small relative to unity. We note, specifically that in this analysis, we have assumed that the two small parameters  $\overline{\xi}_2$  and  $\delta$  be independent and that such an assumption prompted the adoption of a two-timing regular perturbation procedure. The extreme nonlinearity of the this problem and the occurrence of these two small parameters translate to our adopting asymptotic series expansions whereby higher order terms in the asymptotic expansions are neglested relative to lower order ones. The asymptotic results so far obtained in this problem turn out to be in line with expectations.

Though we have limited our analysis to a spherical shell with quadratic nonlinearity, we can, in principle, extend this analysis to any other elastic structure while taking care of whatever nonlinearities that are inherent in such problems. We expect this to be another phase of development in subsequent investigations.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Amazigo, J. C.(1971); Buckling of stochastically imperfect columns on nonlinear elastic foundations. Quart.App. Math. 29, 403 409.
- [2] Ette, A. M.(1992); On the dynamic buckling of a finite imperfect imperfect simply supported column on quadratic cubic foundations under step loading analytical approach, J. of the Nigerian Math. Soc., 11, 101 114.

- [3] Ette, A. M. (2003); Buckling of a cylindrical shell pressurized by an impulse , J. of Nigerian Math. Soc., 22, 82 110.
- [4] Ette, A. M.(1997); Dynamic buckling of an imperfect spherical shell under an axial impulse. Int. J. Non-linear Mech. 32(1), 201 2019.
- [5] Collinge, I.R. and Ockendon, J.R. (1974); transition through resonance of a Duffing oscillator, SIAM .J. Appl Math. 37,(2), 350-357.
- [6] Osuji, A. C, Ette, A. M and Chukwuchekwa, J. U. (2016); Perturbation technique in the buckling of a cubic model structure struck by a periodic load with slowly varying frequency, Journal of the Nigerian Association of mathematical Physics, Vol. 37 (September, 2016), pp. 71 – 90.
- [7] Birman, V. (1989); Problems of dynamic buckling of anti-symmetric rectangular laminates, Composite structures, 12, 1-5.
- [8] Danielson, D. (1969); Dynamic buckling loads of imperfection-sensitive structures from perturbation procedures, A.I.A.A.J. 7, 1506-1510.
- [9] Budiansky, B. (1966); Dynamic buckling of elastic structures; criteria and estimates in, Dynamic Stability of Structures, Pergamom, New York. pp350-357.
- [10] Osuji, W.I. (2008); Dynamic Buckling Impuse load of a lightly damped imperfect discretized spherical cap stressed by an axial impulse. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, FUTO.
- [11] Ozoigbo, G.E (2011), Dynamic stability of an imperfect viscously damped spherical cap trapped by a step load. M.sc. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, FUT, Owerri