# NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF BAGLEY-TORVIK FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Olagunju A. S.\*<sup>1</sup>, Yakubu D. G.<sup>1,2</sup>, Komolafe E. Y<sup>1</sup>, Abang M. O.<sup>1</sup>, and Raji M. T.<sup>3</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Federal University Lafia, Nigeria<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Abubarka Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria<sup>3</sup>

Abstract

This article proffers solution to Bagley-Torvik fractional differential equation via the use of linear combination of unknown coefficients  $\alpha_i$  and shifted Chebyshev polynomial  $T^*_i(x)$  as basis function. The usability of the proposed technique is demonstrated on set of examples and result obtained shows the effectiveness of the technique.

Keywords: Bagley-Torvik equation; Chebyshev polynomials; Fractional differential equation

# **1. Introduction**

The function f(t) belongs to the space  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega = [0, T], T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

This non integer order differential equation has enjoyed a wide spectrum of applications in Economics, Biology, Physics, Chemistry, and Engineering fields. For example, it has successfully been used to provide reliable and effective model for diffusion process, financial market behaviour, blood flow phenomena, viscoelasticity and a host of other problems [1-3]. For the purpose of these diverse applications, effective solution techniques are always needed for solution to these models. Bagley-Torvik equation (BTE) is a fractional differential equation that finds application in the model of viscoelasticity problems. Typically, the BTE considered in this work takes the form:

$$A_0 y''(t) + A_1 D^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} y(t) + A_2 y(t) = f(t)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Subject to initial-boundary conditions:

$$\gamma_{0} y(0) + \gamma_{1} y'(0) = \rho_{0}$$
  

$$\gamma_{3} y(T) + \gamma_{4} y'(T) = \rho_{1}$$
(3)

where  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_1$ ,  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_3$ ,  $\gamma_4$ ,  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  are constants with  $A_0 \neq 0$  and f(t) are functions defined on the interval  $a \le t \le b$ . [2, 3]

The equation has been solved both analytically and numerically by the use of different methods such as: Adomian decomposition [4, 5], Taylor collocation[6], Adams predictor-corrector approach [7], differential transform method. Podlubny [8] prescribed discretization of the fractional derivatives using matrix approach. On a relatively new note, Fakhrodin [1] applied Chebyshev wavelet operational matrix while on the other hand Saha [4] introducedHaar wavelet operational matrix of general order for the solution of BTE.

In this work, we proffer less cumbersome but effective approach to obtain numerical solution to inhomogeneous BTE in (2). The approach demands that BTE be converted to system of algebraic equations whose numerical values when substituted into the assumed solution gives a very simple solution to BTE.

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 48, (Sept. & Nov., 2018 Issue), 57 - 60

Corresponding Author: Olagunju A.S., Email: olagunjuas@gmail.com, Tel: +2348032515655

### Numerical Solution of... Olagunju, Yakubu, Komolafe, Abang and Raji J. of NAMP

#### 2. Caputo Fractional Derivative

In the solution of fractional differential equations, there is always a need to resolve the non-integer derivative contained in the equation, this goes with a lot of standard definitions for the fractional derivatives such as Grunwald-Letnikovderivatives, Riemann-Liouville Fractional derivative, Caputo Fractional derivative and a host of others [8 - 15]. The effectiveness of these definitions has been established; however few limitations exist for some of them, for instance, Riemann-Liouville's definition leads to initial conditions containing the limit values of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives at the lower terminal t = a. As observed by Podlubny [8], in spite of the fact that initial value problems with such initial conditions can be successfully solved mathematically, their solutions are practically useless because there is no known physical interpretation for such type of initial conditions. To resolve this limitation, Caputo [8] proposed a definition for fractional derivative as:

$${}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}f(t) = {}_{c}D_{a,t}^{\alpha}f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1-n}}, & n-1 < \alpha < n \in N \\ \\ \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}}f(t), & \alpha = n \in N \end{cases}$$
(4)

It is noted that for  $\alpha \rightarrow n$  the Caputo derivative resulted into integer order  $n^{th}$  derivative of the function f(t) i.e.

$$\lim_{\alpha \to n} {}^{C}D^{\alpha} f(t) = \lim_{\alpha \to n} \left( \frac{f^{(n)}(a)(t-a)^{n-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha+1)} \int_{a}^{t} f(t-\tau)^{n-\alpha} f^{(n+1)}(\tau) d\tau \right)$$
$$= f^{n}(a) + \int_{a}^{t} f^{(n+1)}(\tau) d\tau = f^{(n)}(t) , \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(5)

The fractional part of equation (2) is thus resolved in Caputo sense in the form:

$$D^{\frac{3}{2}} y(t) = J^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{(2)}(t)$$
(6)

Where

$$J^{\frac{1}{2}}g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{0}^{t} (t-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g(u) du$$

#### 3. Shifted Chebyshev Polynomials

BTE exists in interval [0, T] rather than the natural range [-1, 1], with the usual transformation as discussed in [12, 16], Chebyshev polynomial shifted into this interval gives:

$$T_{n}^{*}(x) = \cos\left\{n\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2x-T}{T}\right)\right\}$$
  
The 3 term recursive relation gives:  
$$T_{n+1}^{*}(x) = 2\left[\frac{2x-T}{T}\right]T_{n}^{*}(x) - T_{n-1}^{*}(x)$$
  
From this, we have  
$$T_{0}^{*}(x) = 1$$
  
$$T_{1}^{*}(x) = \frac{2x}{T} - 1$$
  
$$T_{2}^{*}(x) = \frac{8x^{2}}{T^{2}} - \frac{8x}{T} + 1$$
  
$$T_{2}^{*}(x) = \frac{32x^{3}}{T^{3}} - \frac{48x^{2}}{T^{2}} + \frac{18x}{T} - 1$$

The orthogonality condition and the analytic form of  $T_n^*(x)$  are clearly given in [1,12,16]

#### 4. Numerical Techniques

The technique employed involves writing the solution as a linear combination of shifted Chebyshev polynomial and unknown coefficients  $\alpha_i$  to give

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 48, (Sept. & Nov., 2018 Issue), 57 – 60

$$\overline{y} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_i T_i^*(t) \tag{7}$$

Where  $T_i^*(x)$  represents Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind shifted into interval [0 T]. The coefficient  $\alpha_i$  are unknown adjustable coefficients/parameters frequently called generalized coordinates [12] The approach involves substituting (7) into (2) which becomes:

$$R(t) \Rightarrow A \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T_i^*(t) \right) + B \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{-1}{2}} y_n^*(s) ds \right) + C \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T_i^*(t) \right) = f(t)$$
(8)

It is to be noted that the fractional derivative is expressed via Caputo's definition as illustrated in equation (4). It is also to be noted that if f(x) is not a polynomial, it is converted using a technique discussed in [16]. The coefficients of t on both sides of (8) are thereafter equated to each other. This produces a number of equations in t. In addition to these equations, two other equations are arrived at by imposing the initial-boundary conditions on (7) such that:

$$\gamma_{0} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i} T_{i}^{*}(0) + \gamma_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i} T^{*}(0) = \rho_{0}$$

$$\gamma_{3} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i} T_{i}^{*}(T) + \gamma_{4} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i} T^{*}(T) = \rho_{1}$$
(10)

Equations arrived at in (8) together with equations (9) and (10) form a system of n + 1 equations. These are solved with the use of any algebraic system solver and the resulting numerical values of  $\alpha_i$ 's are substituted into (7) and that gives the needed approximate solution.

#### 5. Examples

Some examples of BTE are considered to illustrate the simplicity, efficiency and accuracy of the proposed method.

#### Example 5.1

Consider the following boundary value problem in the case of the inhomogeneous Bagley-Torvik equation [1].  $D^2 y(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}} y(t) + y(t) = t^2 + 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} + 2$ 

y(0) = 0

y(5) = 25

Where the exact solution of this problem is  $y(t) = t^2$ . For the solution to this problem, we apply the method described in this work with n = 2. A system of 4 linear equations is arrived at, out of which 1 is selected (With the criteria of being the equation that retains the highest number of unknowns). This is conjunction with the equations from the boundary conditions are solved and gives:

 $\alpha_0 = 18.75$ 

 $\alpha_1 = 12.5$ 

 $\alpha_2 = 3.125$ 

Substituting these into (7) gives the numerical solution to problem 5.1. When compared to the exact, this solution yields zero discrepancies across the interval of consideration.

#### Example 5.2

Consider the BTE  $D^2 y(t) + D^{3/2} y(t) + y(t) = 1 + t$ The boundary condition is given as y(0) = y'(0) = 1

The exact solution is y(t) 1 + t. With n=2, the solution of this problem gives:

 $\alpha_0 = 1.5, \ \alpha_1 = 0.5$  and  $\alpha_2 = 0$ . Putting these into (7) equals to the exact solution

Example 5.3

Solve the BTE  $D^2 y(t) + D^{3/2} y(t) + y(t) = 1 + t, 0 \le t \le 1$ with boundary conditions y(0) = 1 and y(1) = 2.

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 48, (Sept. & Nov., 2018 Issue), 57 – 60

The analytic solution is y(t) = 1 + tWhen applied, the discussed method yields:  $\alpha_0 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 0.5$  and  $\alpha_2 = 0$  when n = 2, putting these into (7) also gives the exact solution.

# Example 5.4

Consider the fractional boundary value problem [17]:

$$y''(x) + 0.5 D^{\beta} y(x) + y(x) = 3 + x^2 \left( \frac{x^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(3-\beta)} + 1 \right)$$

with boundary condition y(0) = 1, y(1) = 2

The exact solution of this problem is  $y = x^2 + 1$ . We solve this problem for  $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$  and arrived at the solution with zero error

when n=2, the numerical coefficients are  $\alpha_0 = 2.75$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 0.5$  and  $\alpha_2 = 0.125$  which are substituted into (7) to yield the approximate solution with zero discrepancies when compared to the exact solution.

## Conclusion

From numerical results obtained in examples 5.1 – 5.4, it is obvious that the proposed technique gives exact solution with a very minimal computational effort (n = 2). It is equally noted that  $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that at the particular n where the exact

solution is attained, we observe  $\alpha_i = 0$  for higher order *n*.

In conclusion, the proposed technique as applied in finding numerical solution to Bagley-Torvik equation is simple, easy to automate and highly efficient with minimal computational time.

## Reference

- [1] Fakhrodin Mohammadi, Numerical solution of Bagley-Torvik equation using Chebyshev wavelet operational matrix of fractional derivative. Int. J. Adv. Appl. Math. And Mech. 2(1) 83-91, 2014
- [2] P.J. Torvik and R.L. Bagley, On the appearance of the fractional derivative in the behaviour of real materials, Journal of Applied Mechanics 51.2 (1984):294-298
- [3] R.L. Bagley and P.J.Torvik, Fractional calculus A different approach to the analysis of viscoelastically damped structures, AIAA Journal 21.5 (1983): 741-748.
- [4] Saha, R. (2012): *Haar wavelet operational matrix of general order and its Application for the Numerical solution of Bagley-Torvik equation.* Appl. Math. Compute. 218, 5239-5248.
- [5] Enesiz, Y., Kestin, Kurnaz, A. (2002): Numerical solution of the Bagley-Torvik equation. With the generalized Taylor collocation method Journal of the Franklin Institute; 347: 452-466.
- [6] Deithelm, K., & Ford N.J. (2002): *Numerical solution of the Bagley-Torvik equation BIT numerical methods*, vol. 42, pp. 490-507.
- [7] Luchko, V., Gorenflo, R., (1999): operational an method for solving fractional Differential equations with the caputo derivatives, Act Math. Vietnamia, 24(2), pp. 207-233.
- [8] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [9] T. Bakkyaraj, R. Sahadevan, Approximate analytical solution of two coupled time fractional nonlinear Schrodinger equations. Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.2, 113–135, 2016.
- [10] A. Aghili, J. Aghili, Exponential differential operators for singular integral equations and space fractional Fokker-Planck equation. Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat., 36, 223–233, 2018.
- [11] P. R. Arantes Gilz, F. Bréhard, and C. Gazzino.Validated Semi Analytical Transition Matrix for Linearized Relative Spacecraft Dynamics via Chebyshev Polynomials. In 2018 Space Flight Mechanics Meeting, AIAA Science and Technology Forum and Exposition, page 24, 2018.
- [12] F. Bréhard, N. Brisebarre, and M. Joldes. Validated and numerically efficient Chebyshev spectral methods for linear ordinary differential equations. Preprint (https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/ hal-01526272/), May 2017.
- [13] Caputo M., *Linear model of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent- II*, The Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, Vol. 13, 1967, 529-539.
- [14] Ravi P. Agarwal, Bashir Ahmad and Ahmed Alsaedi, Fractional-order differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions: a survey. Springer, Boundary Value Problems. 2017:173, 2017.
- [15] Kai Sheng, Wei Zhang and Zhanbing Bai, Positive solution to fractional boundary-value problems with p-Laplacian on time scales. Boundary Value Problems, a Springer Open Journal, 2018:70, 2018
- [16] A. S. Olagunju. "Chebyshev Series Representation for Product of Chebyshev Polynomials and Some Notable Functions". IOSR Journal of Mathematics Vol. 2(2), pp 9-13, 2012.
- [17] Zahra W. K. and Elkholy S. M, Cubic spline solution of fractional Bagley-Torvik equation. *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*.Vol.1(2) pp. 230-241, 2013.

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 48, (Sept. & Nov., 2018 Issue), 57 – 60 s