On Ionization and Porosity in MHD Couette Flow of a Two-Component Plasma

M.A. Alabraba

Department of Physics, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Port Harcourt. Nigeria.

Abstract

The effect of ionization and porosity on the field structure of two-component plasma is studied. The flow is initiated by a relative motion of two horizontal flat plates in the presence of a uniformly applied magnetic field. A fully developed, viscous, incompressible flow with no convection current applies and the profile together with the effect of ionization and porosity on the velocity, temperature and induced magnetic field studied for astrophysical and geophysical systems.

Keywords: Couette flow, two-component plasma, MHD, porosity, ionization **Nomenclature**

^{<i>u</i>} dimensional velocity component	Pr Prandtl number		
$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix}$			
(x, y, z) dimensional cartesian coordinates	Q volumetric heat source		
k thermal conductivity M Hartmann number			
D dimensional electric displacement	f collision frequency		
C _p specific heat at constant pressure	R _M Magnetic Reynolds number		
Ec Eckert number	A ₀ Alfven speed		
H ₀ constant transverse magnetic field	N Ratio of Alfven to wall velocity		
R _H Magnetic pressure number	M _A dimensionless parameter		
H _x induced magnetic field	k ₁ dimensional porosity parameter		
T_0 constant temperature at the lower wall	T_1 constant temperature at the upper wall		
Greek symbols			
electrical conductivity of ionized particles	μ_{in} coefficient of viscosity		
electric permittivity	$\mu_{\rm m}$ magnetic permeability		
	+ ²		
dimensionless temperature gradient	^{<i>i</i>,<i>n</i>} Wormesley frequency type parameter		
†.,	W.,		
<i>u</i> , <i>t</i> electrical conductance of plate's	^{<i>u</i>,<i>t</i>} electrical conductance ratio		
v kinematic viscosity	measure of degree of ionization		
ρ hydrodynamic density	3 heat source parameter		
κ_{in}^2 Dacy number	} magnetic diffusivity		

1.0 Introduction

Usually in classical magneto hydrodynamics and plasma physics, a fully ionized plasma is assumed to simplify the real-life situation of partial ionization and hence neutral-ionized particle interaction. In this study which is an extension of the work of Alabraba et al. [1] a partially ionized plasma is assumed and the following procedure is adopted. First the formulation of the problem is done by describing the regime of application and derivation of governing equations with solutions of velocity and induced magnetic fields. Using these solutions; the expressions for the temperatures are obtained. Finally comments on the profile and effects of ionization and porosity are presented quantitatively.

Corresponding author: M.A. Alabraba, Tel.: +2348037629953

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 30, (May, 2015), 133 – 142



Fig. 1 Coordinate system of parallel plate couette flow

2.0Mathematical Formulation

N A

f

We consider the flow of partially ionized plasma. The flow is viscous, incompressible and bounded by two horizontal parallel plates moving relative to each other along their common axis with velocities $\mp U_0$. The plates are maintained at temperatures T_1 and T_0 ($T_1 > T_0$) and employing Cartesian coordinate system (x', y', z') with one plate at y = L while the other at y = L-L. The system is infinite along the x and z direction (See Fig. 1). Such systems are obtained in geophysics where geophysical fluids like crude oil is trapped between tectonic plates moving relative to each other with constant earth's magnetic field, constant heat source and very low ionization (1% to 2%). Also in astrophysics as found in the ionosphere trapped between 90km to 500km above the earth surface; having high degree of ionization (90% to 99%) and the upper and lower layers moving relative to each other with constant heat source.

Assuming a steady and fully developed flow along the x direction, all the physical variables will depend on y except temperature, With such a flow the governing equations are: Continuity

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{V}_{in} \approx 0 \quad (1)$$
Modified Navier- Stokes equation
$$\rho_{in} \left(\vec{V}_{in} \bullet \nabla \right) \vec{V}_{in} = \mu_{in} \nabla^2 \vec{V}_{in} + \mu_m \vec{J}_{in} \times H' + F\left(\pm \vec{V}_{in}\right) - \frac{v_{in}}{k_1} \vec{V}_{in} \quad (2)$$
Energy equation
$$\rho_{in} c_p \left(\vec{V}_{in} \bullet \nabla \right) T'_{in} = k_{in} \nabla^2 T'_{in} + \mu_{in} \left(\frac{\partial U'_{in}}{\partial y'} \right)^2 + \frac{J'^2}{\sigma} + Q'_{in} \quad (3)$$
the substantial derivative (i.e. derivative following the motion) is used
The system is infinite in x and z direction puts $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 0$ and since it is steady $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$

where $J'_n = 0$, the subscripts and later superscripts i, n are ionized and neutral components respectively. $\frac{J^2}{\sigma}$ is the Ohmic dissipation $F(\pm \vec{V}_{in})$ is the collision frictional force term, $\mu_{in} \nabla^2 \vec{V}_{in}$ is the viscous force term, $\mu_m J'_{in} \times \vec{I}'$ is the magnetic body force term and $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{V}_{in}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{V}_{in}}$ is the term introduced due to porosity. The electromagnetic field equations take the form :

$$V \times H = J \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H}$$

and the modified Ohras law
$$\vec{l} = \sigma\{\vec{E} + \mu_m(\vec{V} \times \vec{H})\}$$
 (8)

From Eqs. (4), (6) and (8) the interaction between flow velocity and magnetic field becomes

$$V \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) = \mu \mu_m (\nabla \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}))$$
 (9)
From Eqs. (5) and (7) we get $H_y = constant$ which we write as H_0 , the applied magnetic field. Consequently we set
 $V_{in}^{i} = U'(y')\hat{\iota}, \quad \vec{H} = H'_x(y')\hat{\iota} + H'_0\hat{\jmath}$ (10)

where H'_x is the induced magnetic field and \hat{i} , \hat{j} are respectively unit vectors along x and y directions.

On Ionization and Porosity in MHD... Alabraba J of NAMP

It can be deduced that all variables are functions of y' only except T' which has an additional dependence on x'. Splitting Eqs. (2), (3) and (9) into ionized particle and neutral specie equations and writing them in component form gives the dimensional equations:

$$0 = \mu_i \frac{d^2 U_i}{dy'^2} + \mu_m H_0' \frac{dH_x'}{dy'} + f_i \rho_i' \beta' (U_n' - U_i') - \frac{v_i'}{k_1} U_i'$$
(11)

$$0 = \mu_n \frac{d^2 U_n}{dy'^2} - f_n \rho'_n \beta' (U'_n - U'_i) - \frac{\nu_n}{k_1} U'_n$$
(12)

$$0 = \frac{d^2 H_x}{dy'^2} + \mu_m \epsilon H_0 \frac{dU_i}{dy'}$$
(13)

$$\dots_{i}C_{p}U_{i}^{\dagger}\frac{\partial T_{i}^{\dagger}}{\partial x^{\prime}} = k_{i}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}T_{i}^{\dagger}}{\partial x^{\prime 2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}T_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial y^{\prime 2}}\right) + \gamma_{i}\left(\frac{dU_{i}^{\dagger}}{dy^{\prime}}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\dagger}\left(\frac{dH_{x}^{\dagger}}{dy^{\prime}}\right)^{2} + Q$$

$$\tag{14}$$

$$\dots_{n}C_{p}U_{n} \frac{\partial T_{n}}{\partial x} = k_{n} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}T_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}T_{n}}{\partial y^{2}} \right) + \gamma_{n} \left(\frac{dU_{n}}{dy} \right)^{2} + Q$$
(15)

where the collision frictional force which is the coupling factor between the ionized and neutral species is defined as $\vec{F}(\vec{V}) = f_{i\cdots i} S(\vec{V}_{n} - \vec{V}_{i})$ [2]

To facilitate analysis it is expedient to introduce the non-dimensional variables:

$$x, y = \frac{x', y'}{L} \quad ; U_{i,n} = \frac{U_{i,n}}{U_0} \quad ; \quad H_x = \frac{H_x}{H_0}$$

Substituting this into Eqs. (11), (12) and (13) we get

$$\frac{d^2 U_i}{dy^2} + R_M N \frac{dH_x}{dy} + \sigma_i^2 \beta (U_n - U_i) - \kappa_i^2 U_i = 0$$
(16)

$$\frac{d^2 U_n}{dy^2} - \sigma_n^2 \beta (U_n - U_i) - \kappa_n^2 U_n = 0$$
(17)
$$\frac{d^2 H_x}{dx^2} + \frac{R_M M_A \, dU_i}{dt^2} = 0$$
(18)

$$\frac{^{2}H_{\chi}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{R_{M}M_{A}}{N}\frac{dU_{i}}{dx} = 0$$

subject to the following boundary conditions:

$$U_{in} = \mp 1$$
 on $y = \pm 1$

(ii) Magneto-hydrodynamic [3]

$$\frac{dH_x}{dy} + \frac{1}{w_u}H_x = 0 \text{ on } y=1$$
$$\frac{dH_x}{dy} - \frac{1}{w_l}H_x = 0 \text{ on } y=-1$$

(i) Hydrodynamic

subscripts u and l stand for upper and lower plates and $W_{u,l} = \frac{T_{u,l}}{T}$

We now solve the equations simultaneously and after integration, substitution and reconciliation of the constants we get the expressions

$$U_{i} = A_{1}Cosh(m_{1}y) + A_{2}Sinh(m_{1}y) + A_{3}Cosh(m_{2}y) + A_{4}Sinh(m_{2}y) + A_{5}$$
(19)

$$U_{n} = A_{1}B_{1}Cosh(m_{1}y) + A_{2}B_{1}Sinh(m_{1}y) + A_{3}B_{2}Cosh(m_{2}y) + A_{4}B_{2}Sinh(m_{2}y) + A_{5}\frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}\beta}{\sigma_{n}^{2}\beta+\kappa_{n}^{2}}$$
(20)

$$H_{x} = -\frac{R_{M}M_{A}}{N} \left\{ \frac{A_{1}Sinh(m_{1}y)}{m_{1}} + \frac{A_{2}Cosh(m_{2}y)}{m_{2}} + \frac{A_{3}Sinh(m_{2}y)}{m_{2}} + \frac{A_{4}Cosh(m_{2}y)}{m_{2}} \right\} + A_{5}y \left\{ \sigma_{i}^{2}\beta + \kappa_{i}^{2} + R_{M}^{2}M_{A} - \frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}\beta\sigma_{i}^{2}\beta}{\sigma_{n}^{2}\beta+\kappa_{n}^{2}} - \frac{R_{M}M_{A}}{N} \right\} + A_{6}$$
(21)

Where A1; A2; A3; A4; A5; A6 are arbitrary constants which are determined from the boundary conditions as a 6X6 determinant.

3.0 Fluid Temperature Under Variable Wall Temperature

We assume that the temperature varies linearly along the wall with a constant heat source. We therefore set

$$T'(x', y') = \overline{T}'(y') + x'\Gamma'$$
(22)
and write
 $T_{i,n}'(x', -L) = \overline{T}_{i,n}'(-L) + x'\Gamma' = T_0$
(23)

On Ionization and Porosity in MHD...

Alabraba J of NAMP

$$T_{i,n}(x^{\cdot}, L) = \overline{T}_{i,n}(L) + x^{\cdot}\Gamma^{\cdot} = T_{1}$$

$$T_{1} > T_{0}$$
(24)

Differentiating Eq. (22) twice and substituting in Eq. (14) and (15) we get

$$\dots_{i}C_{p}U_{i}^{'}\Gamma^{'} = k_{i}\frac{d^{2}\overline{T_{i}}}{dy^{'2}} + \sim_{i}\left(\frac{dU_{i}^{'}}{dy^{'}}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\dagger}\left(\frac{dH_{x}}{dy^{'}}\right) + Q$$
(25)

$$\dots_{n}C_{p}U_{n}\Gamma' = k_{n}\frac{d^{2}\overline{T_{n}}}{dy'^{2}} + \gamma_{n}\left(\frac{dU_{n}}{dy'}\right)^{2} + Q$$
(26)

In addition to the non-dimensional variables we include these two

 $\pi_{i,n}(y) = \frac{\overline{T_{i,n}} - T_0}{T_1 - T_0}$ $\Gamma = \frac{\Gamma L}{T_1 - T_0}$

Eqs. (25) and (26) yield the following equations after substituting the above dimensionless variables

$$\frac{d^{2} c_{i}}{dy^{2}} + \beta_{i}^{i} \left(\frac{dU_{i}}{dy}\right)^{2} + \beta_{2}^{i} \left(\frac{dH_{x}}{dy}\right)^{2} + \beta_{3}^{i} - S_{4}^{i} U_{i} = 0$$

$$(27)$$

$$\frac{d^{2} \theta_{n}}{dx^{2} \theta_{n}} + cn \left(\frac{dU_{n}}{dy}\right)^{2} - cn cn U_{n} = 0$$

(28)
$$\frac{d^2\theta_n}{dy^2} + \beta_1^n \left(\frac{dU_n}{dy}\right)^2 + \beta_3^n - \beta_4^n U_n = 0$$

Substituting for $\left(\frac{dU_i}{dy}\right)^-$ and $\left(\frac{dH_x}{dy}\right)^-$ in Eq. (27) and integrating twice we get an equation for w_i with two arbitrary

constants subject to the boundary conditions:

 $y_{i} = 0$ on y = -1 $y_{i} = 1$ on y = 1

This gives the final expression for _i as

$$= \frac{1}{2}(1+y) + S_4^{i} \left[\frac{A_1}{m_1^2} \{ Cosh(m_1y) - Cosh(m_1) \} + \frac{A_2}{m_1^2} \{ Sinh(m_1y) - ySinh(m_1) \} + \frac{A_3}{m_2^2} \{ Cosh(m_2y) - Cosh(m_2) \} + \frac{A_4}{m_2^2} \{ Sinh(m_2y) - ySinh(m_2) \} + \frac{A_5}{2} (y^2 - 1) \right] - \frac{S_3^{i}}{2} (y^2 - 1) - \left(S_2^{i}M_AM^2 \right) \left[\frac{A_1^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_1y)}{4m_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_1)}{4m_1^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_2^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_1y)}{4m_1^2} - \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_1)}{4m_1^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_3^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_2y)}{4m_2^2} + \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_2)}{4m_2^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_4^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_2y)}{4m_2^2} - \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_2)}{4m_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

$$= S_{2}^{i}M_{A}M^{2} \begin{cases} \frac{A_{1}A_{2}}{4m_{1}^{2}}\{Sinh(2m_{1}y) - ySinh(m_{1})\} + \\ A_{1}A_{3}\left\{\frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} + \frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}}\right\} + \\ A_{1}A_{4}\left\{\frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{ySinh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} + \frac{ySinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}}\right\} + \\ A_{2}A_{3}\left\{\frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} + \frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{ySinh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{ySinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}}\right\} + \\ A_{2}A_{4}\left\{\frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} + \frac{Cosh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}}\right\} + \\ \frac{A_{3}A_{4}}{4m_{2}^{2}}\{Sinh(2m_{2}y) - ySinh(2m_{2})\} \end{cases}$$

On Ionization and Porosity in MHD...

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{A_{1}^{2}m_{1}^{2}}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_{1}y)}{4m_{1}^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{1})}{4m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_{2}^{2}m_{1}^{2}}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_{1}y)}{4m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{1})}{4m_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_{3}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_{2}y)}{4m_{2}^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{2})}{4m_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_{4}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_{2}y)}{4m_{2}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{2})}{4m_{2}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_{4}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_{2}y)}{4m_{2}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{2})}{4m_{2}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{A_{4}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{4} \left\{ Sinh(2m_{1}y) - ySinh(2m_{1}) \right\} + A_{1}A_{3}m_{1}m_{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} \right\} + \frac{A_{1}A_{4}m_{1}m_{2}}{4} \left\{ Sinh(2m_{1}y) - ySinh(2m_{1}) \right\} + A_{1}A_{3}m_{1}m_{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} \right\} + \frac{A_{1}A_{4}m_{1}m_{2}}{4} \left\{ Sinh(1+m_{2}) - \frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} \right\} + \frac{A_{2}A_{3}m_{1}m_{2}}{4} \left\{ \frac{Sinh(y(m_{1}+m_{2}))}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} \right\} + \frac{A_{2}A_{4}m_{1}m_{2}}{4} \left\{ Sinh(2m_{2}y) - ySinh(2m_{2}) \right\} + \frac{A_{2}A_{4}m_{1}m_{2}}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} \right\} + \frac{A_{2}A_{4}}{4} \left\{ Sinh(2m_{2}y) - ySinh(2m_{2}) \right\}$$

In similar vein we deduce $\int \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A}$

$${}_{m_n} = \frac{1}{2}(1+y) + {\sf S}_4^n \left[\frac{\frac{B_1A_1}{m_1^2} \{Cosh(m_1y) - Cosh(m_1)\} + \frac{B_1A_2}{m_1^2} \{Sinh(m_1y) - ySinh(m_1)\} + \frac{B_2A_3}{m_2^2} \{Cosh(m_2y) - Cosh(m_2)\} + \frac{B_2A_4}{m^2} \{Sinh(m_2y) - ySinh(m_2)\} + \frac{A_5}{2}(y^2 - 1) \right] -$$

$$- S_{1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} B_{1}^{2}A_{1}^{2}m_{1}^{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh(2m_{1}y)}{4m_{1}^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{1})}{4m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} + \frac{B_{1}^{2}A_{2}^{2}m_{1}^{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Cosh(2m_{1}y)}{4m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{1})}{4m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{B_{1}^{2}A_{2}^{2}m_{1}^{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Cosh(2m_{1})}{4m_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{B_{2}^{2}A_{3}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Cosh(2m_{2}y)}{4m_{2}^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{2} - \frac{Cosh(2m_{2})}{4m_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{B_{2}^{2}A_{4}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Cosh(2m_{2}y)}{4m_{2}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{Cosh(2m_{2}y)}{4m_{2}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{B_{1}^{2}A_{1}A_{2}}{4} \{ Sinh(2m_{1}y) - ySinh(2m_{1}) \} \\ + \frac{B_{1}^{2}A_{1}A_{2}}{4} \{ Sinh(2m_{1}y) - ySinh(2m_{1}) \} \\ B_{1}B_{2}A_{1}A_{3}m_{1}m_{2} \left\{ \frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Cosh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{VSinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} + \frac{VSinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{S_{1}B_{2}A_{2}A_{3}m_{1}m_{2} \left\{ \frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}+m_{2})]}{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Sinh[y(m_{1}-m_{2})]}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{VSinh(m_{1}+m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} - \frac{VSinh(m_{1}-m_{2})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}} + \frac{VSinh(m_{$$

Alabraba J of NAMP

$$-S_{1}^{n}\left[\frac{B_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}{4}\left\{Sinh(2m_{2}y)-ySinh(2m_{2})\right\}\right]$$

4.0 **Results and Discussion**

The effects of ionization and porosity on the Couette flow of a two-component plasma is presented in Astrophysical and Geophysical systems. To have a feel of the parameters used, numerical results are presented with constants as follows:

N=2.0, $Pr^{i} = Pr^{n} = 0.71$, $R_{M}=3.0$, $Re^{i} = Re^{n} = 1.0$, $R_{H}=2.0$, M=3.0, $\Gamma = M_{A} = {\uparrow_{i}}^{2} = {\uparrow_{n}}^{2} = 1.0$,

 $Ec^{i} = Ec^{n} = 0.1$, $S_{3}^{i} = S_{3}^{n} = 2.0$, $W_{u} = W_{l} = 1.0$ (upper and lower walls are conducting). Table 1 shows different values of and combined to give the graphs.

(A) Astrophysical Plasma

A striking feature is that this plasma which is about 90% (=0.11) to 99% (=0.01) ionized, there is separation of the ionized specie from the neutral, as can be seen in **Fig.2a** with the neutral on either side of the channel higher in velocity. This is in good agreement with the result of Alabraba et al [1] where the ionization is 50% (=1).

For this type of plasma since the ionized specie is dominant, we concentrate on it.

- (i) The velocity is higher the greater the degree of ionization {from graph I(90%) to II(99%)}(See Fig. 2b.)
- (ii) The induced magnetic field like the velocity is higher for graph II than graph I and becomes more appreciable as it gets close to the center of the channel.(See Fig.2c.)
- (iii) The temperature difference is not appreciable for the level of ionization though graph II (99%)ionized is higher than graph I (90%) ionized.(See Fig. 2d)
- (**B**) Geophysical Plasma (Crude Oil)

By the same reasoning as in astrophysical plasmas, the ionization in geophysical fluids is very low (1% to 2%) and so we consider the neutrals to be dominant. For this type of fluids we notice that the ionized particles have the same profile as the neutrals and so virtually no separation.(See**Fig. 3.)** Graphs III and IV show the effect of porosity which is measured by the Dacy number on the flow.

- (i) Increase in porosity causes a decrease in velocity.(See Fig. 4a.)
- (ii) Increase in porosity causes the induced magnetic field to be higher only beyond half the channel on both sides. (See Fig 4b.)
- (iii) There is a slight increase in temperature beyond the positive half of the channel and peaks up to 1.64 on y=0.3. It then decreases to 1.0 on y=1. (See Fig. 4c.)

Finally the effect of ionization on geophysical fluids are shown in graphs III and V.

(See Fig. 5a to 5c.)

There is no noticeable effect on the velocity of the neutral particles, the induced magnetic field and the temperature.

		Degree of Ionization	Graphs
0.1	0.11	90%	Ι
0.1	0.01	99%	II
0.1	99	1%	III
2.0	99	1%	IV
0.1	49	2%	V

 Table 1: Combination of and in the graphs



Fig. 2a: Velocity profile in couette flow of a two component plasma in Astrophysical fluids



Fig. 2c: Effect of ionization on induced magnetic field of the ionized specie in Astrophysical fluids



Fig. 3: Velocity profile in couette flow of a two component plasma in Geophysical fluid.



Fig. 2b: Effect of ionization on velocity of ionized specie in Astrophysical fluids



Fig. 2d: Effect of ionization on temperature of the ionized specie in Astrophysical fluid



Fig, 4a: Effect of porosity on velocity in Geophysical fluid



Fig, 4b: Effect of porosity on induced magnetic field in Geophysical fluid.



Fig. 5a: Effect of ionization on velocity in Geophysical fluids



Fig. 5c: Effect of ionization on temperature in Geophysical fluids



Fig. 4c: Effect of porosity on temperature in Geophysical fluid



Fig. 5b: Effect of ionization on induced magnetic field in Geophysical fluids

5.0 Conclusion

We make the following conclusion by studying the effects of ionization and porosity in MHD parallel plate couette flow on astrophysical and geophysical systems:

- (i) There is separation of the components in astrophysical systems but not in geophysical systems which has not been expressed in any literature.
- (ii) Increased porosity in geophysical systems cause a decrease in velocity in accordance with flow in a porous medium, increase in induced magnetic field and slight increase in temperature while increase in ionization does not have effect on velocity, induced magnetic field or temperature.
- (iii) For astrophysical systems increased ionization brings about increased velocity and temperature only slightly and increased induced magnetic field close to the center of the channel.

6.0 Appendix A

The following constants have been used

$$\begin{aligned} a &= c_{i}^{2}\beta + \kappa_{i}^{2} + c_{n}^{2}\beta + \cdot_{n}^{2} + R_{M}^{2}M_{A} \\ b &= {}_{n}^{2}(\sigma_{i}^{2}\beta + \kappa_{i}^{2} + R_{M}^{2}M_{A}) + \kappa_{i}^{2}\sigma_{h}^{2}\beta + R_{M}^{2}M_{A} {}_{n}^{2}\beta \\ A_{0} &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{m}H_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{i}}} \\ N_{i} &= \frac{\mu_{i}}{\rho_{i}} \\ N_{i} &= \frac{A_{0}}{U_{0}} \\ \frac{2}{\ln} &= \frac{f_{in}L^{2}}{v_{in}} \\ \frac{M_{A} &= \frac{i}{\Lambda}}{\Lambda} \\ \lambda &= \frac{1}{\frac{\mu_{m}\sigma}} \\ \frac{2}{\ln} &= \frac{v_{in}L^{2}}{k_{1}\mu_{in}} \\ \beta &= \frac{2}{n} \\ \beta_{1}^{in} &= \frac{\mu_{in}U_{0}^{2}}{k_{in}(T_{1} - T_{0})} = Pr^{in}Ec^{in} \\ \beta_{2}^{i} &= \frac{H_{0}^{2}}{\sigma k_{i}(T_{1} - T_{0})} = \frac{R_{H}Pr^{i}Ec^{i}}{M_{A}} \\ \frac{in}{2} &= \frac{Q_{in}L^{2}}{k_{in}(T_{1} - T_{0})} \\ \frac{in}{4} &= \frac{\rho_{in}c_{p}U_{0}L\Gamma}{k_{in}} = Pr^{in}Re^{in}\Gamma \\ R_{M} &= \frac{A_{0}L}{v_{i}} \\ R_{H} &= \frac{\mu H_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{i}U_{0}^{2}} \\ m_{1} &= \sqrt{\frac{a + \sqrt{(a^{2} - 4b)}}{2}} \\ m_{2} &= \sqrt{\frac{a - \sqrt{(a^{2} - 4b)}}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

On Ionization and Porosity in MHD... Alabraba J of NAMP

$$B_1 = 1 - \frac{m_{1^{\circ}}^2}{\sigma_i^2 \beta} + \frac{\kappa_i^2 + R_M^2 M_A}{\sigma_i^2 \beta}$$
$$B_2 = 1 - \frac{m_{2^{\circ}}^2}{\sigma_i^2 \beta} + \frac{\kappa_i^2 + R_M^2 M_A}{\sigma_i^2 \beta}$$

7.0 References

- [1] M. A. Alabraba , A.G. Warmate , A.R.C Amakiri J. Amonieah , Heat transfer in Magneto hydrodynamic (MHD) couette flow of a two-component plasma with variable wall temperature Global Journal of Pure & Applied Sciences 14(4) (2008) 439-449
- [2] R. K. Chhajlani , D.S. Vaghela, Gravitational Stability of finitely conducting two-component plasma through porous medium. Astrophys. Space Sci. 139 (1987) 337-352
- [3] S.V. Seshagiri-Rao Heat transfer in Magneto hydrodynamic couette flow with variable wall temperature. Phys. letters 69A (5) (1979) 332-335.