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#### Abstract

Experts have observed that in the uncontrolled case we cannot guarantee where the arbitrary unstable steady-state solution will converge to because it is unstable. However, in the controlled case they have reported that the arbitrary unstable steady state solution can converge to the given steady-state solution. Our point of departure in this study is to investigate the extent of stabilizing the trivial and nontrivial unstable steady-state solutions when the final time and the initial conditions undergo certain fundamental changes.


### 1.0 Introduction

The theory of stabilizing a mathematical model of population systems has been recently defined, analysed and illustrated with four practical application problems [2]. It is on this basis that we have verified that in the uncontrolled case, we cannot guarantee where the arbitrary unstable steady-state solution will converge to because it is unstable. Other techniques of stabilizing other partial differential equation models can be studied in the interesting publications of [3], [4], [5]. However, we have also determined numerically that the arbitrary unstable steady-state solution can converge to a given steady-state solution over a long period of time. But stabilizing a mathematical model which undergoes changing final time and initial conditions remains an open problem. In this study, we have tackled this challenging and interesting scientific and mathematical problem drawing on an example from crop science which involves the competition interaction between two legumes in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria [1].

### 2.0 Mathematical Formulation

A Lotka-Volterra type system of continuous nonlinear first order ordinary differential equations is considered:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d C(t)}{d t}=\alpha_{1} C(t)\left(1-\beta_{1} C(t)-\gamma_{1} G(t)\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{d G(t)}{d t}=\alpha_{2} G(t)\left(1-\gamma_{2} G(t)-\beta_{2} C(t)\right. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with initial starting biomasses $C(0)=0.1$ grams per area of growth and $G(0)=0.2$ grams per area of growth. Here, we have considered these parameter values: $\alpha_{1}=0.168$,

$$
\beta_{1}=0.002, \gamma_{1}=0.004, \alpha_{2}=0.164, \beta_{2}=0.004 \text { and } \gamma_{2}=0.002[1]
$$

### 3.0 Method and Motivation

In this study, we have utilized a simulation approach [2] to stabilize the above system of model ODEs. As a result of its inherent difficulty of stabilizing this model using an incorrect set of initial conditions, we propose to analyse this problem with the initial starting biomasses which will produce both competition theory and stabilization of an unstable co-existence steady-state solution $(26.66,28.66)$ having two eigenvalues 0.0553 and -0.1659 . These two legumes will co-exist under

[^0]these specified biomasses but may not survive together because $\alpha_{12}=\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\beta_{1}}=2>1.0244=\frac{K 1}{K 2}$ and $\alpha_{21}=\frac{\beta_{2}}{\gamma_{2}}=2>0.9762=$ $\frac{K 2}{K 1}$. The arbitrary steady-state solution $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{N}_{2 \mathrm{e}}\right)$ is said to be unstable, that is, $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$ is not convergent to $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{N}_{2 \mathrm{e}}\right)$ when the time variable approaches infinity. How do we stabilize this unstable steady-state solution? Following Yan and Ekaka-a [2], we consider the following numerical steps

Step 1: Find the linearized problem about the arbitrary steady-state solution $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{N}_{2 \mathrm{e}}\right)$.
Step 2: Find the positive definite matrix $P_{i}$ from the Riccati equation.
Step 3: Apply $P_{i}$ in the nonlinear equations, then $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ is convergent to $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{le}}, \mathrm{N}_{2 \mathrm{e}}\right)$.

### 4.0 Results and Discussions

The application of the above method clearly shows that a biological interaction called competition is a dominant type of interaction which describes the deterministic dynamics between cowpea and groundnut over changing duration of growth and initial conditions or initial biomasses. This first set of results which complements a biological theory of competition is displayed in Table 1:

Table 1: Determining the type of interaction between cowpea and groundnut under changing Tfinal or duration of growth and initial conditions (ICs)

| Example | Tfinal <br> (days) | ICs (grams) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (grams) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bi}}$ (grams) | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (grams) | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{bi}}$ (grams) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 40 | $0.1,0.2$ | 13.02 | 41.75 | 32.98 | 51.93 |
| 2 | 50 | $0.2,0.3$ | 16.90 | 76.77 | 38.58 | 76.30 |
| 3 | 60 | $0.3,0.4$ | 17.23 | 83.03 | 39.60 | 81.12 |
| 4 | 70 | $0.4,0.5$ | 17.55 | 83.86 | 39.36 | 81.86 |
| 5 | 80 | $0.5,0.6$ | 19.43 | 83.98 | 36.10 | 81.98 |
| 6 | 90 | $0.6,0.7$ | 25.96 | 84.00 | 29.40 | 82.00 |
| 7 | 95 | $0.7,0.8$ | 40.06 | 84.00 | 17.28 | 82.00 |

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ represents the biomass of cowpea in interaction with groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bi}}$ represents the biomass of cowpea growing in isolation of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$ represents the biomass of groundnut in interaction with cowpea, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} i}$ represents the biomass of groundnut growing in isolation of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$

On the basis of a popular ecological theory [6], Table 1 clearly shows that a dominant competition is in place between two legumes such as cowpea and groundnut.

Next, we considered the extent of stabilizing the unstable steady-state solution $(26.66,28.66)$ when the initial conditions are (1,2), the step length is $k=0.01$ and the number of repeated loops is $\mathrm{M}=\frac{\text { Tfinal }}{k}$. Our application of these data has enabled us to stabilize this unstable steady-state solution over repeated simulations. The results of this numerical method are displayed in Table 2:

Table 2: Stabilization of unstable steady-state solution (26.66, 28.66) undergoing changing final time with an initial condition $(1,2)$

| Example | Tfinal (days) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 40 | 24.861752067947737 | 26.655594696401593 |
| 2 | 50 | 25.564733822280726 | 27.438575729867097 |
| 3 | 60 | 26.008154529079839 | 27.932557627675983 |
| 4 | 70 | 26.277995022272869 | 28.233204808305889 |
| 5 | 80 | 26.438642416953893 | 28.412206430853722 |
| 6 | 90 | 26.533038354111614 | 28.517392040720630 |
| 7 | 95 | 26.564266954811142 | 28.552190922406936 |
| 8 | 100 | 26.588079223471613 | 28.578725843747776 |
| 9 | 200 | 26.663512583446742 | 28.662785610884690 |
| 10 | 400 | 26.663823418954600 | 28.663131997603582 |
| 11 | 800 | 26.663823424115471 | 28.663132003354640 |
| 12 | 1000 | 26.663823424115471 | 28.663132003354640 |

Similar convergence to the steady-state solution $(26.66,28.66)$ has been observed for other initial conditions such as $(2,5)$ and $(4,10)$ which we cannot present the full results in this single paper.

### 5.0 Conclusion

On the basis of repeated simulations undergoing changes in the final time or duration of growth and initial conditions or initial biomasses, we have been able to stabilize the unstable co-existence steady-state solution. In particular, over a long period of time, that is when Tfinal is 1000 days, the starting steady-state solution $(26.66,28.66)$ is approached approximately. We will expect both the delayed and full stabilization outputs to provide useful insights to crop scientists who are actively involved in stability functioning and crop yields in the event of unexpected climate change as a short-term mitigation strategy. Interested mathematicians can extend our present approach to search for the instances of performance deterioration phenomena [signs to avoid] which crop science experts are concerned to know about in order to avoid the degeneracy of the unstable steady-state solution with the anticipation of identifying the incorrect choice of starting biomasses which are capable of affecting the correct agricultural policy in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria in the event of climate change. In the competition theory of interacting legumes, can the performance of stabilization still be realised when the parameter values c and e otherwise called the inter-specific coefficients far outweigh the parameter values $b$ and $f$ also called the intra-specific coefficients? This is an open stabilization problem which has vital application in the agricultural sector.
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