

Three Stage Implicit Rational Runge-Kutta Schemes for Solving Discontinuous Initial Value Problems of Ordinary Differential Equations (ODES)

Patricia Olayinka Babatola

Federal University of Technology,
Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Abstract

In this paper, family of Three Stage Rational Runge-Kutta schemes were derived, analysed and computerized to solve discontinuous initial value problems of ordinary differential equations. Their derivation and analysis make use of Taylor and Binomial series expansion, Dahlquist stability model test equation and Pade's approximation techniques respectively. The theoretical results show that the schemes are consistent, convergent, A-stable, P-stable and A(α) stable with large interval of Absolute stability(-∞, 0) and (-∞, ∞).

Keywords: A-Stable, P-stable, A(α) stable, Consistent, Absolute stability, Convergent, Singular.

1.0 Introduction

The mathematical formulation of physical situations in simulation. Electrical Engineering, Control theory and Economics often lead to an initial value problems..

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0 \tag{1.1}$$

In which there is a pole in the solution or a singular low order derivative.

A simple example is the innocent looking initial value problem

$$y' = y^2, y(0) = 1 \quad 0 < x \leq 2 \tag{1.2}$$

whose theoretical solution

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} \tag{1.3}$$

has a simple pole at x = 1.

Another simple example is the initial value problem

$$y' = 1 + y^2, y(0) = 1 \tag{1.4}$$

in the interval $0 < x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$, with theoretical solution

$$y(x) = \tan(x + \frac{\pi}{4}) \tag{1.5}$$

such problems are classified as discontinuous initial value problems.

Researchers that have contributed to the development of these areas of ODEs include Cash [1], Enright [2], Burrage Butcher and Chipman[3], Gafney[4], Norsett[5], Alexander[6], Kap and Kentrop[7] Roger[8], Lubitch et al[9]. The consequences of these contributions lead to an introduction of the Rational one-step method of the form

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n^2}{y_n - hf_n} \tag{1.6}$$

called Inverse Euler's scheme [10]. This perhaps made Hong-Yuafu [11] to propose a more general Rational Runge-Kutta method of the form

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n + \sum_{i=1}^R W_i K_i}{1 + y_n \sum_{i=1}^R V_i H_i} \tag{1.7}$$

Corresponding author **Babatola P. O.**, E-mail: pobabatola@yahoo.com, -, Tel. +234 7037290018

where ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1 &= hf(x_n, y_n) \\
 K_i &= hf(x_n + c_i h, y_n + \sum_{j=1}^R a_{ij} K_j) \\
 H_1 &= hg(x_n, z_n) \\
 H_i &= hg\left(x_n + d_i h, z_n + \sum_{j=1}^R b_{ij} H_j\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$g(x_n, z_n) = -z_n^2 f(x_n, y_n)$$

and

$$z_n = \frac{1}{y_n} \tag{1.8}$$

In his development, he considered the explicit family of the method that is the case $a_{ij} = b_{ij} = 0$ for $j \geq i$ and developed family of order one, two and three of the methods. Okunbor [12] also develop the higher stage of the method. This prompted Babatola [13] to consider the implicit family of the stages one and two. In this work, we shall consider the formular of stage three Implicit Rational Runge–Kutta. Next section discusses the development of the new schemes.

2.0 The Development of the Proposed Schemes

Recall from equation (1.7) that an R-stage Implicit Rational Runge-Kutta scheme is

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n + \sum_{i=1}^R W_i K_i}{1 + y_n \sum_{i=1}^R V_i H_i} \tag{2.1}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_i &= hf\left(x_n + c_i h, y_n + \sum_{i=1}^R a_{ij} K_j\right) \\
 H_i &= hg\left(x_n + d_i h, z_n + \sum_{j=1}^R b_{ij} H_j\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$g(x_n, z_n) = -z_n^2 f(x_n, y_n) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$z_n = \frac{1}{y_n}$$

with the constraints

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij}, i = 1(1)R \tag{2.4}$$

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^i b_{ij}, i = 1(1)R \tag{2.5}$$

and h is the step size or grid spacing. These constraints ensure the consistency of the schemes. The parameter $V_i, W_i, C_i, d_i, a_{ij}$ and b_{ij} are to be determine from the system on non-linear equation generated by adopting the following steps;

- (i) Adopting the Taylor and Binomial series expansion and Insert the series of expansion into equation (2.1)
- (ii) Compare the final expansion with the Taylor series expansion of y_{n+1} about (x_n, y_n) in the powers y_{n+1} of h.

The numbers of parameters normally exceeds the number of equations, but in the spirit of King[14], Gill[15] and Blum[16] these parameters are chosen to ensure that (one or more of the following conditions are satisfied).

- 1. Adequate order of accuracy of the scheme is achieved
- 2. Minimum bound of local truncation error exists.
- 3. The method has maximize interval of absolute stability .
- 4. Minimize computer storage facilities are utilized.

2.1.1 Three – Stage Schemes

By equation (2.1), the general three-stage Implicit Rational Runge-Kutta scheme is of the form

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n + \sum_{i=1}^3 W_i K_i}{1 + y_n \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i H_i} \tag{2.6}$$

where,

$$K_i = hf \left(x_n + c_i h, y_n + \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij} K_j \right), i=1(1)3 \tag{2.7}$$

$$H_i = hg \left(x_n + d_i h, z_n + \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij} H_j \right), i = 1(1)3 \tag{2.8}$$

with $g(x_n, z_n) = -z_n^2 f(x_n, y_n)$ (2.9)

and $z_n = 1/y_n$ (2.10)

with the constraints

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij} \tag{2.11}$$

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij} \tag{2.12}$$

Adopting Binomial expansion theorem on the right hand side of equation (2.6) and ignoring higher order terms, we obtained

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \sum_{i=1}^3 W_i K_i - y_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i H_i + (\text{higher order term}) \tag{2.13}$$

Also the Taylor series expansion of y_{n+1} about y_n gives

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h y'_n + \frac{h^2}{2!} y''_n + \frac{h^3}{3!} y'''_n + \frac{h^4}{4!} y^{(iv)}_n + \frac{h^5}{5!} y^{(v)}_n + \frac{h^6}{6!} y^{(vi)}_n + O h^7 \tag{2.14}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} y'_n &= f(x_n, y_n) = f_n \\ y''_n &= f_x + f_y f_y = Df_n \\ y'''_n &= D^2 f_n + f_y Df_n \\ y^{(iv)}_n &= D^3 f_n + f_y D^2 f_n + 3 Df_n Df_y + f_y^2 Df_n \\ y^{(v)}_n &= D^4 f_n + f_y D^3 f_n + f_{yy} D^2 f_n + 6 Df_y D^2 f_n + 3 Df_n Df_y + f_y^2 D^3 f_y \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D^4 f_n &= f_{xxxx} + 4 f_n f_{xxx} + 6 f_n^2 f_{xxy} + 4 f_n^3 f_{xyy} + f_n^4 f_{yyy} \\ D^3 f_n &= f_{xxx} + 3 f_n f_{xy} + 3 f_n^2 f_{xxy} + 3 f_n^2 f_{xyy} + f_n^3 f_{yyy} \\ Df_y &= f_{xy} + f_n f_{yy} + f_n f_{yy} + f_y^2 \\ D^2 f_n &= f_{xx} + 2 f_n f_{xy} + f_n^2 f_{yy} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Substitute equation (2.15) into equation (2.14) we have

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf_n + \frac{h^2}{2!} Df_n + \frac{h^3}{6!} (D^2 f_n + f_y Df_n) + \frac{h^4}{24!} (D^3 f_n + f_y D^2 f_n + 3Df_n Df_y + f_y^2 Df_n) + \frac{h^5}{120} (D^4 f_n + f_y D^3 f_n + f_{yy} D^2 f_n + 6Df_y D^2 f_n + Df_n Df_y + f_y^2 D^3 f_y) \quad (2.17)$$

Similarly expand K_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ about (x_n, y_n) we have,

$$K_i = h \left(f_n + (c_i h f_x + (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3) f_y) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (c_i^2 h^2 f_{xx} + 2c_i h (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3) f_{xy} + (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3)^2 f_{yy} + \frac{1}{6} (c_i^3 h^3 f_{xxx} + 3c_i^2 h^2 (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3) f_{xxy} + 3c_i h_2 (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3)^2 f_{xyy} + (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3)^3 f_{yyy}) + \frac{1}{24} (c_i^4 h^4 f_{xxxx} + 4(c_i^3 h^3 (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3) f_{xxx} + 6c_i^2 h^2 (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3)^2 f_{xxy} + 4c_i h (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3)^3 f_{xyy} + (a_{i1} k_1 + a_{i2} k_2 + a_{i3} k_3)^4 f_{yyy}))) \quad (2.18)$$

By collecting coefficients of terms in the equal powers of h becomes

$$K_i = hA_i + h^2 B_i + h^3 E_i + h^4 D_i + h^5 P_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$A_i = f_n$$

$$E_i = (a_{i1} B_1 + a_{i2} B_2 + a_{i3} B_3) f_y + \frac{1}{2} c_i^2 f_{xx} + c_i (a_{i1} A_1 + a_{i2} A_2 + a_{i3} A_3) f_{xy} + (a_{i1} A_1 + a_{i2} A_2 + a_{i3} A_3) f_{yy} = (a_{i1} c_1 + a_{i2} c_2 + a_{i3} c_3) Df_n + \frac{1}{2} c_i^2 D^2 f_n$$

$$B_i = c_i f_x + (a_{i1} A_1 + a_{i2} A_2 + a_{i3} A_3) f_y = c_i Df_n$$

$$D_i = [a_{i1} (a_{i1} c_1 + a_{i2} c_2 + a_{i3} c_3) + a_{i2} (a_{21} c_1 + a_{22} c_2 + a_{23} c_3) + a_{i3} (a_{31} c_1 + a_{32} c_2 + a_{33} c_3)] f_y^2 Df_n + \frac{1}{2} (a_{i1} c_1^2 + a_{i2} c_2^2 + a_{i3} c_3^2) f_y D^2 f_n + \frac{1}{2} (a_{i1} c_1^2 + a_{i2} c_2^2 + a_{i3} c_3^2) f_y D^2 f_n + \frac{1}{6} c_i^3 D^3 f_n$$

$$P_i = a_{i1} (a_{11} c_1 + a_{12} c_2 + a_{13} c_3) (a_{11} + a_{21} + a_{31}) + (a_{12} + a_{22} + a_{32}) (a_{21} c_1 + a_{22} c_2 + a_{23} c_3) f_{y^2} Df_n$$

$$f_{yy} + \frac{1}{2} (c_1 + c_2 + c_3) (a_{11} c_1 + a_{12} c_2 + a_{13} c_3) Df_n Df_y + \frac{1}{2} (a_{i1} c_1^2 + a_{i2} c_2^2 + a_{i3} c_3^2) + \frac{1}{6} (c_1^3 + c_2^3 + c_3^3) D^3 f_n$$

$$+ [(c_1 + c_2 + c_3) (a_{11} c_1 + a_{21} c_1 + a_{31})] f_y Df_n + \frac{1}{2} (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2) D^2 f_n + (a_{11} c_1 + a_{21} c_2 + a_{31} c_3) Df_n$$

$$+ (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33}) f_n + \frac{1}{6} (c_1^3 + c_2^3 + c_3^3) D^3 f_n + \frac{1}{24} c_1^4 D^4 f_n \quad (2.20)$$

similar manner expanding H_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ about (x_n, z_n)

$$H_i = hN_i + h^2 M_i + h^2 R_i + h^4 L_i + h^5 G_i + 0h^6 \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$N_i = g_n$$

$$M_i = d_i g_x + (b_{i1} N_1 + b_{i2} N_2 + b_{i3} N_3) = d_i Dg_n$$

$$R_i = (b_{i1} M_1 + b_{i2} M_2 + b_{i3} M_3) + \frac{1}{2} d_i^2 g_{xx} + d_i (b_{i1} N_1 + b_{i2} N_2 + b_{i3} N_3) g_{xz}$$

$$+ (b_{i11} N_1 + b_{i22} N_2 + b_{i33} N_3) g_{xz} + (b_{i11} d_1 + b_{i22} d_2 + b_{i33} d_3) g_{zz} Dg_n + \frac{1}{2} d_i D^2 g_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_i &= (b_{i1}R_1 + b_{i2}R_2 + b_{i3}R_3)g_z + (b_{i1}M_1 + b_{i2}M_2 + b_{i3}R_3)g_{xz} \\
 &+ (b_{i11}N_1 + b_{i2}N_2 + b_{i3}N_3)(b_{i11}M_1 + b_{i2}M_2 + b_{i3}M_3)g_{zz} + \frac{1}{6}d_i g_{xxx} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2}d_1^2(b_{i11}N_1 + b_{i2}N_2 + b_{i3}N_3)g_{xxz} + \frac{1}{2}d_i(b_{i1}N_1 + b_{i2}N_2 + b_{i3}N_3)g_{xxz} + \\
 &\frac{1}{6}(b_{i11}N_1 + b_{i2}N_2 + b_{i3}N_3)g_{zzz} \\
 &= b_{i1}(b_{i1}d_1 + b_{i2}d_2 + b_{i3}d_3) + b_{i2}(b_{21}d_1 + b_{22}d_2 + b_{23}d_3)g_z^2 Dg_n + d_1(b_{i1}d_1 + b_{i2}d_2 + b_{i3}d_3)Dg_n \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2}(b_{i1}d_1^2 + b_{i2}d_2^2 + b_{i3}d_3^2)D^2 g_n + \frac{1}{6}d_1^3 D^3 g_n \\
 G_i &= [b_{11}(b_{i1}d_1 + b_{i2}d_2 + b_{i3}d_3)(b_{11} + b_{21} + b_{22} + b_{31}) + (b_{12} + b_{22} + b_{32})(b_{21}d_1 + b_{22}d_2 + b_{23}d_3)]g_y Dg_n g_{zz} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2}(d_1^2(b_{11}d_1 + b_{12}d_2 + b_{13}d_3))Dg_n, Dg_z + (b_{11}d_1^2 + b_{12}d_2^2 + b_{13}d_3^2) + \frac{1}{6}(d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2)D^2 g_n \\
 &+ (d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2)(b_{11}d_1 + b_{12}d_2 + b_{13}d_3)g_z Dg_n + \frac{1}{2}(d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2)D^2 g_n + (b_{11}d_1 + b_{22}d_2 + b_{23}d_3)D^3 g_n \\
 &+ \frac{1}{6}(d_1^3 + d_2^3 + d_3^3) + \frac{1}{24}d_1^4 D^4 g_n \tag{2.22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Expressing g and its partial derivatives in terms of f and its partial derivatives to facilitates the comparison of coefficients. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_n &= \frac{-f_n}{y_n^2}, \quad g_x = \frac{-f_x}{y_n^2}, \quad g_{xx} = \frac{-f_{xx}}{y_n^2} \\
 g_{xxx} &= \frac{-f_{xxx}}{y_n^2}, \quad g_{xxxx} = \frac{-f_{xxxx}}{y_n^2} \\
 g_z &= \frac{-2f_n}{y_n} + f_y, \quad g_{xz} = \frac{-2f_x}{y_n} + f_{xy} \\
 g_{xxz} &= \frac{-2f_{xx}}{y_n} + f_{xxy}, \quad g_{xxxz} = \frac{-2f_{xx}}{y_n^2} + f_{xxxy}, \\
 g_{zzz} &= -4y_n^2 f_{xyy} + 6y_n^2 f_{xyy} + y_n^2 f_{xyy} \\
 g_{zzz} &= 4y_n^2 f_y + 6y_n^2 + y_n^4 f_{yyy} \\
 g_{xzz} &= -2f_x + y_n^2 + f_{xxy}, \\
 g_{zzzz} &= -4y_n^2 f_{yy} + 6y_n^2 f_{yyy} + y_n^4 f_{yyyy} \tag{2.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substitute (2.23) into (2.22) obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ni &= \frac{-f_n}{y_n^2}, \quad M_i = \frac{-d_i}{y_n^2} \left(Df_n + \frac{2f_n^2}{y_n^2} \right) \\
 Ri &= \frac{-di^2}{y_n^2} \left[\left(\frac{-2f_n}{y_n} + f_y \right) \left(Df_n + \frac{f_n^2}{y_n} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left(D^2 f_n - \frac{Df_n}{y_n} \left(\frac{f_n^2}{y_n} + f_x \right) \right) \\
 L_i &= (b_{i1}R_1 + b_{i2}R_2 + b_{i3}R_3) \left(\frac{-2f_n}{y_n} + f_y \right) + \\
 &d_i (b_{i11}M_1 + b_{i2}M_2 + b_{i3}M_3) \left(\frac{-2f_x}{y_n} + f_{xy} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{d_1^3}{y_n^2} \left(D^3 f_n + \frac{6f_n f_{xx} - 6f_n f_x - 4f_n^3 f_y - f_n^3 f_{yy}}{y_n} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$G_i = (b_{i1}L_1 + b_{i2}L_2 + b_{i3}L_3) \left(\frac{-2f_n}{y_n^2} + f_y \right) + d_i (b_{21}R_1 + b_{22}R_2 + b_{21}R_3) \left(\frac{-2f_n}{y_n^2} + f_{xy} \right) + \frac{1}{24} \frac{d_1^4}{y_n^2} (D^4 f_n + f_n f_{xxx} - 12 f_n^2 f_{xx} + 16 f_n^2 f_{xxx} + 16 f_n^3 f_{xyy} + 24 f_n^2 f_{xyy} + 4 f_n^4 f_{yy} + 6 f_n^4 f_{yyy}) \tag{2.24a}$$

Recalling (2.13)

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + (W_1 K_1 + W_2 K_2 + W_3 K_3) - y_n^2 (V_1 H_1 + V_2 H_2 + V_3 H_3) + (higher\ order) \tag{2.24b}$$

Now using (2.19) and (2.21), we obtain

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h [(W_1 A_1 + W_2 A_2 + W_3 A_3) y_n^2 (V_1 N_1 + V_2 N_2 + V_3 N_3)] + h^2 (W_1 B_1 + W_2 B_2 + W_3 B_3) - y_n^2 (V_1 M_1 + V_2 M_2 + V_3 M_3) + h^3 (W_1 E_1 + W_2 E_2 + W_3 E_3) - y_n^2 (V_1 N_1 + V_2 N_2 + V_3 N_3) + h^4 (W_1 D_1 + W_2 D_2 + W_3 D_3) - y_n^2 (V_1 L_1 + V_2 L_2 + V_3 L_3) + h^5 (W_1 P_1 + W_2 P_2 + W_3 P_3) y_n^2 (V_1 G_1 + V_2 G_2 + V_3 G_3) + 0h^6 \tag{2.25}$$

Comparing the coefficient of h, h², h³, h⁴, h⁵ in equation (2.25) and (2.17) we obtain the following systems of equation for family of third stage schemes of order five

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + V_1 + V_2 + V_3 &= 1 \\ W_1 C_1 + W_2 C_2 + W_3 C_3 V_1 d_1 + V_2 d_2 + V_3 d_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \\ W_1 c_1^2 + W_2 c_2^3 + W_3 c_3^3 + V_1 d_1^2 + V_2 d_2^2 + V_3 d_3^2 &= \frac{1}{3} \\ W_1 c_1^2 + W_2 c_2^3 + W_3 c_3^3 + V_1 d_1^3 + V_2 d_2^3 + V_3 d_3^3 &= \frac{1}{4} \\ W_1 (a_{11} c_1 + a_{12} c_2 + a_{13} c_3) + W_2 (a_{21} c_1 + a_{22} c_2 + a_{23} c_3) \\ + W_3 (a_{31} c_1 + a_{32} c_2 + a_{23} c_3) + V_1 (b_{11} d_1 + b_{12} d_2 + b_{13} d_3) \\ + V_2 (b_{21} d_1 + b_{22} d_2 + b_{23} d_3) + V_3 (b_{31} d_1 + b_{32} d_2 + b_{33} d_3) &= \frac{1}{6} \\ W_1 C_1 (a_{11} c_1 + a_{12} c_2 + a_{13} c_3) + W_2 C_2 (a_{21} c_1 + a_{22} c_2 + a_{23} c_3) \\ + W_3 C_3 (a_{31} c_1 + a_{22} c_1^2 + a_{32} c_2^2 + a_{33} c_3^2) + \\ V_1 d_1 (b_{11} d_1 + b_{12} d_2 + b_{13} d_3) + V_2 d_2 (b_{11} d_1 + b_{22} d_2 + b_{23} d_3) \\ + V_3 d_3 (b_{31} d_1 + b_{32} d_2 + b_{33} d_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \\ (W_1 a_{11} + W_2 a_{21} + W_3 b_{31}) (a_{11} c_1 + a_{12} c_2 + a_{13} c_3) + \\ (W_1 a_{12} + W_2 a_{22} + W_3 a_{23}) (a_{21} c_1 + a_{22} c_2 + a_{23} c_3) + \\ W_3 c_3 (a_{31} c_1 + a_{32} c_2 + a_{33} c_3) + (V_1 b_{11} + V_2 b_{21} + V_3 b_{31}) (b_{11} d_1 + b_{13} d_3 + b_{13} d_3) + \\ (V_1 b_{12} + V_2 b_{22} + V_3 b_{32}) (b_{21} d_1 + b_{22} d_2 + b_{23} d_3) + \\ (V_1 b_{13} + V_2 b_{23} + V_3 b_{33}) (b_{31} d_1 + b_{32} d_2 + b_{33} d_3) &= \frac{1}{24} \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Subject to constraints

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} + b_{12} + b_{13} &= d_1 \\ b_{21} + b_{22} + b_{23} &= d_2 \\ b_{31} + b_{32} + b_{33} &= d_3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} &= c_1 \\ a_{21} + a_{22} + a_{23} &= c_2 \\ a_{31} + a_{32} + a_{33} &= c_3 \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Solving these equations for the parameters W₁, W₂, W₃, V₁, V₂, V₃, a₁₁, a₁₂, a₁₃, a₂₁, a₂₂, a₂₃, a₃₁, a₃₂, a₃₃, b₁, b₁₂, b₁₃, b₂₁, b₂₂, b₂₃, b₃₁, b₃₂, b₃₃, d₁, d₂, d₃, c₁, c₂, c₃, we get;

$$(1) \quad V_1 = W_1 = \frac{1}{18} \cdot V_2 = W_2 = \frac{16 + \sqrt{6}}{72}$$

$$W_3 = V_3 = \frac{16 - \sqrt{6}}{72}, C_1 = d_1 = 0$$

$$C_2 = d_2 = \frac{6 - \sqrt{6}}{20}, C_3 = d_3 = 6 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{20}$$

$$a_{21} = b_{11} = a_{12} = b_{12} = a_{13} = b_{13} = 0$$

$$b_{21} = a_{21} = \frac{9 + \sqrt{6}}{150}; b_{22} = a_{22} = \frac{24 + \sqrt{6}}{240}$$

$$b_{23} = a_{23} = \frac{168 - 73\sqrt{6}}{1200}; a_{31} = b_3 = \frac{9 + \sqrt{6}}{150}$$

$$b_{32} = a_{32} = \frac{168 + 73\sqrt{6}}{1200}; b_{33} = a_{33} = \frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{240}$$

Yielding a third stage method of order five of the form

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n + \frac{1}{72}(4K_1 + (16 + \sqrt{6})K_2 + 2(16 - \sqrt{6})K_3)}{1 + \frac{y_n}{72}(4H_1 + (16 + \sqrt{6})H_2 + (16 - \sqrt{6})H_3)} \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$K_1 = hf(x_n, y_n)$$

$$K_2 = hf\left(x_n + \frac{(6 - \sqrt{6})h}{20}, y_n + \frac{(9 + \sqrt{6})}{150}K_1 + \left(\frac{24 + \sqrt{6}}{240}\right)K_2 + \left(\frac{168 - 73\sqrt{6}}{1200}\right)K_3\right)$$

$$K_3 = hf\left(x_n + \left(\frac{6 + \sqrt{6}}{20}\right)h, y_n + \left(\frac{9 + \sqrt{6}}{150}\right)K_1 + \left(\frac{9 - \sqrt{6}}{150}\right)K_2 + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{240}\right)K_3\right)$$

$$H_1 = hg(x_n, z_n)$$

$$H_2 = hg\left(x_n + \frac{(6 - \sqrt{6})h}{20}, z_n + \frac{(9 + \sqrt{6})}{150}H_1 + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{240}\right)H_2 + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{240}\right)H_3\right)$$

$$H_3 = hg\left(x_n + \left(\frac{6 - \sqrt{6}}{20}\right)h, z_n + \left(\frac{9 - \sqrt{6}}{150}\right)H_1 + \left(\frac{168 + 73\sqrt{6}}{1200}\right)H_2 + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{120}\right)H_3\right) \quad (2.29)$$

For the case

$$(2) \quad V_1 = W_1 = \frac{16 - \sqrt{6}}{72}, W_2 = V_2 = \frac{16 + \sqrt{6}}{72}, V_3 = W_3 = \frac{1}{18}$$

$$c_1 = d_1 = \frac{4 - \sqrt{6}}{20}, c_2 = d_2 = \frac{4 + \sqrt{6}}{20}, c_3 = d_3 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$b_{11} = a_{11} = \frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{120}, b_{12} = a_{12} = \frac{24 - 11\sqrt{6}}{240}$$

$$b_{13} = a_{13} = b_{23} = a_{23} = b_{33} = a_{33} = 0$$

$$b_{21} = a_{21} = \frac{24 + \sqrt{6}}{240}, b_{31} = a_{12} = \frac{6 - \sqrt{6}}{24} = a_{31}$$

$$a_{32} = b_{32} = \frac{6 + \sqrt{6}}{24}$$

We get another 3 – stage method of order five as:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{\frac{1}{72}((16 - \sqrt{6})K_1 + (16 + \sqrt{6})K_2 + 4K_3)}{1 + \frac{y_n}{72}((16 - \sqrt{6})H_1 + (16 + \sqrt{6})H_2 + 4H_3)} \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$K_1 = hf \left(x_n + \left(\frac{4 - \sqrt{6}}{20} \right) h, y_n + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{240} \right) K_1 + \left(\frac{24 - 11\sqrt{6}}{24} \right) K_2 \right)$$

$$K_2 = hf \left(x_n + \left(\frac{4 - \sqrt{6}}{20} \right) h, y_n + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{240} \right) K_1 - \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{120} \right) K_2 \right)$$

$$K_3 = hf \left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \left(\frac{6 - \sqrt{6}}{24} \right) K_1 + \left(\frac{6 + \sqrt{6}}{24} \right) K_2 \right)$$

$$H_1 = hg \left(x_n + \frac{(4 - \sqrt{6})h}{20}, z_n + \frac{(24 - \sqrt{6})}{240} H_1 + \left(\frac{24 - 11\sqrt{6}}{24} \right) H_2 \right)$$

$$H_2 = hg \left(x_n + \frac{(4 + \sqrt{6})h}{20}, y_n + \frac{(24 - \sqrt{6})}{240} H_1 + \left(\frac{24 - \sqrt{6}}{120} \right) H_2 \right) \tag{2.31}$$

$$H_3 = hg \left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, Z_n + \frac{(24 - \sqrt{6})}{240}, H_1 + \frac{(24 - 11\sqrt{6})}{24} H_2 \right)$$

Set of non-linear system of equations for solving third stage scheme of order six are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + V_1 + V_2 + V_3 &= 1 \\ W_1c_1 + W_2c_2 + W_3c_3 + V_1d_1 + V_2d_2 + V_3d_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \\ W_1c_1^2 + W_2c_2^2 + W_3c_3^2 + V_1d_1^2 + V_2d_2^2 + V_3d_3^2 &= \frac{1}{3} \\ W_1c_1^3 + W_2c_2^3 + W_3c_3^3 + V_1d_1^3 + V_2d_2^3 + V_3d_3^3 &= \frac{1}{4} \\ W_1(a_{11}c_1^2 + a_{12}c_2^2 + a_{13}c_3^2) + W_2(a_{21}c_1^2 + a_{22}c_2^2 + a_{23}c_3^2) \\ &+ W_3(a_{31}c_1^2 + a_{32}c_2^2 + a_{33}c_3^2) + V_1(b_{11}d_1^2 + b_{12}d_2^2 + b_{13}d_3^2) \\ &+ V_2(b_{21}d_1^2 + b_{22}d_2^2 + b_{23}d_3^2) + V_3(b_{31}d_1^2 + b_{32}d_2^2 + b_{33}d_3^2) &= \frac{1}{12} \\ W_1c_1(a_{11}c_1 + a_{12}c_2 + a_{13}c_3) + W_2c_2(a_{21}c_1 + a_{22}c_2 + a_{23}c_3) \\ &+ W_3c_3(a_{31}c_1 + a_{32}c_2 + a_{33}c_3) + V_1d_1(b_{11}d_1 + b_{12}d_2 + b_{13}d_3) \\ &+ V_2d_2(b_{21}d_1 + b_{22}d_2 + b_{23}d_3) + V_3d_3(b_{31}d_1 + b_{32}d_2 + b_{33}d_3) &= \frac{1}{6} \\ W_1(a_{11}d_1^2 + a_{12}d_2^2 + a_{13}d_3^2) + W_2(a_{21}c_1^2 + a_{22}c_2^2 + a_{23}c_3^2) \\ &+ W_3(a_{31}c_1^2 + a_{32}c_2^2 + a_{33}c_3^2) + V_1(b_{11}d_1^2 + b_{12}d_2^2 + b_{13}d_3^2) \\ &+ V_2(b_{21}d_1^2 + b_{22}d_2^2 + b_{23}d_3^2) + V_3(b_{31}d_1^2 + b_{32}d_2^2 + b_{33}d_3^2) &= \frac{1}{8} \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

With the constraints in equation (2.27) solving equations (2.32) and (2.27) we obtained a six order method of the form

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n + \frac{1}{36}(5K_1 + 8K_2 + 5K_3)}{1 + \frac{y_n}{36}(5K_1 + 8H_2 + 5H_3)} \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= hf \left(x_n + \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{15}}{20} \right) h, y_n + \frac{5}{72} K_1 + \left(\frac{10 - 3\sqrt{15}}{90} \right) K_2 + \frac{25 - 6\sqrt{15}}{360} K_3 \right) \\ K_2 &= hf \left(x_n + \frac{h}{4}, y_n + \left(\frac{10 - 3\sqrt{15}}{144} \right) K_1 + \frac{2}{18} K_2 + \left(\frac{10 - 3\sqrt{15}}{144} \right) K_3 \right) \\ K_3 &= hf \left(x_n + \left(\frac{15 - \sqrt{15}}{20} \right) h, y_n + \left(\frac{25 - 3\sqrt{15}}{360} \right) K_1 + \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}{90} K_2 + \frac{5}{18} K_3 \right) \\ H_1 &= hg \left(x_n + \frac{(5 - \sqrt{15})h}{20}, y_n + \frac{5}{72} H_1 + \frac{(10 - 3\sqrt{15})}{90} H_2 + \left(\frac{25 - 6\sqrt{15}}{360} \right) H_3 \right) \\ H_2 &= hg \left(x_n + \frac{h}{4}, z_n + \frac{(10 - 3\sqrt{15})}{144}, H_1 + \frac{2}{18} H_2 + \frac{(10 - 3\sqrt{15})}{144} H_3 \right) \\ H_3 &= hg \left(x_n + \frac{(15 - \sqrt{15})h}{20}, z_n + \frac{(25 - 6\sqrt{15})}{360} H_1 + \frac{(10 - 3\sqrt{15})}{90} H_2 + \frac{5}{18} H_3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

With the case

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 = W_1 &= \frac{5}{36}, V_2 = W_2 = \frac{4}{18}, V_3 = W_3 = \frac{5}{36} \\ d_1 = C_1 &= \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{15}}{90}, C_2 = d_2 = \frac{1}{4}, C_3 = d_3 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{20} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} = a_{11} &= \frac{5}{72}, \quad b_{12} = a_{13} = \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{15}}{45}, \\ a_{13} = b_{13} &= \frac{25 - 6\sqrt{15}}{360}, \quad a_{21} = b_2 = \frac{10 + 3\sqrt{5}}{144}, \\ b_{22} = a_{22} &= \frac{1}{9}, \quad b_{23} = a_{23} = \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}{144}, \\ a_{31} = b_{31} &= \frac{25 - 6\sqrt{15}}{360}, \quad a_{32} = b_{32} = \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{15}}{90}, \quad b_{33} = a_{33} = \frac{5}{72} \end{aligned}$$

3.0 Analysis of the Basic Properties

The basic properties required of a good computational method include error, convergence and stability. Therefore in this section, we shall consider the analysis of error, convergence and stability properties of the proposed schemes

3.1 Analysis of Error.

Errors of numerical approximation majorly classified into Round off errors, Truncation and discretization. Round off errors is an error introduced as a result of the computing devices. Mathematically, it can be expressed as

$$r_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - P_{n+1} \tag{3.1}$$

Where y_{n+1} is the expected solution of the difference equation (2.6) while P_{n+1} is the computer output at the $(n+1)^{th}$ iteration. Truncation error is the error introduced as a result of ignoring some of the higher terms of the power series (Taylor and Binomial series expansion) during the development of the new formulas.

$$T_{n+1} = y(x_{n+1}) - \frac{y(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^3 W_i K_i}{1 + y(x_n) \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i H_i} \tag{3.2}$$

$$K_i = hf(x_n + c_i h, y_n + \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij} K_j), i=1,2,3$$

$$H_i = hg(x_n + d_i h, z_n + \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij} H_j), i=1,2,3 \tag{3.3}$$

Discretization error is an error introduced as a result of transforming a differential equation (1.1) into difference equation (2.6).

Mathematically,

$$e_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - y(x_{n+1}) \tag{3.4}$$

3.2 The Convergence Property

The numerical scheme (2.6) for solving ODEs (1.1) is said to be convergent, if the numerical approximation y_{n+1} , that is generated by it tends to the exact solution $y(x_{n+1})$ of the ODEs (1.1) as the step size tends to zero.

That is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y(x_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

To analyze the convergent of the proposed scheme, we consider the following theorem which we state without proof.

Theorem: Let $\{e_j\}, j = 0(1)n$ be set of real number; if there exist finite constants R and S such that

$$|e_j| < R|e_{j-1}| + S, \quad j = 0(1)n - 1 \tag{3.6}$$

$$|e_j| \leq \left(\frac{R^j - 1}{R - 1} \right) S + R^j |e_0|, \quad R \neq 1 \tag{3.7}$$

Let e_{n+1} and T_{n+1} denote the discretization and truncation errors generated by (2.6) respectively.

$$y(x_{n+1}) = y(x_n) + h\psi_2(x_n, y(x_n); h) + h\phi_1(x_n, y(x_n); h) + \text{higher terms} + T_{n+1} \tag{3.8}$$

where ϕ_1 , and ψ_2 are continuous function in the domain $a \leq x \leq b, |y| < \infty, 0 < h \leq h_0$ defined as

$$h\phi_1(x_n, y(x_n); h) = \sum_{i=1}^3 W_i K_i \tag{3.9}$$

$$h_2\phi_2(x_n, z(x_n); h) = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i H_i$$

$$= \frac{h}{y^2(x_n)} \psi_2(x_n, y(x_n); h) \tag{3.10}$$

Similarly (3.9) yields

$$\psi_2 = y(x_n); h = 1 + y(x_n) \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij} H_j$$

$$= \phi_2(x_n, y(x_n); h) \tag{3.11}$$

$$y_{n+1} = h\psi_2(x_n, y(x_n); h) - h\phi_1(x_n, y_n; h) + \text{higher terms} \tag{3.12}$$

Subtract equation (3.9) from (3.12) and use equation (3.4) to get

$$e_{n+1} = e_n + h[\psi_2(x_n, y(x_n); h) - \psi_2(x_n, y(x_n); h)] + h[\phi_1(x_n, y(x_n); h) - \phi_1(x_n, y_n; h)] + T_{n+1} \tag{3.13}$$

By taking the absolute values on both sides of equation (3.12), we have the inequality

$$|e_{n+1}| \leq e_n + h(L + k)|e_n| + T \tag{3.14}$$

where L and K are Lipschitz constant for $\phi_1(x, y; h)$, and $\psi_2(x, y; h)$ respectively and

$$T = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |T_{n+1}| \tag{3.15}$$

By setting $N = L + K$ (3.16)

Inequality (3.14) becomes

$$|e_{n+1}| \leq e_n (1 + hN) + T, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{3.17}$$

From theorem 1, expression (3.16) becomes

$$|e_n| \leq \frac{(1 + hN)^n - 1}{hN} T + (1 + hN)^n e_o \tag{3.18}$$

Since

$$(1 + hN)^n = e^{nhN} = e^{N(x_n - a)}$$

$x_n \leq b$, then $x_n - a \leq b - a$

Therefore,

$$e^{N(x_n - a)} = e^{N(b - a)} \tag{3.19}$$

$$|e_n| \leq \frac{(e^{N(b - a)} - 1)}{hN} T + e^{N(b - a)} |e_o| \tag{3.20}$$

Considering equation

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+1} &= h[\psi_2(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n + \theta h)) - \psi_2(x_n, y(x_n))] + h[\phi_1(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n + \theta h)) - \phi_1(x_n, y(x_n))] \\ &= h[\psi_2(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n + \theta h)) - \psi_2(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n))] + \\ &h[\phi_1(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n + \theta h)) - \phi_1(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n))] + \phi_1(x_n + \theta h, y(x_n)) - \phi_1(x_n, y(x_n)) \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

By taking the absolute value of (3.20) on both sides, taking equation (3.14) we have inequality

$$T = hL|y(x_n + \theta h) - y(x_n)| + jh^2\theta + hK|y(x_n + \theta h) - y(x_n)| + Mh^2\theta \tag{3.22}$$

$$T = h^2\theta Ny'(\xi) + (J + M)h^2\theta, \quad x_n \leq \xi \leq x_{n+1} \tag{3.23}$$

Where M and J are partial derivative of ϕ_1 and ψ_2 with respect to x respectively.

By setting $Q = J + M$ and (3.24)

$$Y = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |y'(x)| \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore, equation (3.21) yields

$$T = h^2\theta (NY + Q) \tag{3.26}$$

By substituting (3.26) into (3.22), we have

$$|e_n| \leq h^2\theta e^{N(b - a)} [NY + Q] + e^{N(b - a)} e_o \tag{3.27}$$

Assuming no error in the input data. That is $e_o = 0$, then in the limit as h tends to zero, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} |e_n| &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} y_n &= y(x_n) \\ h &\rightarrow 0 \\ n &\rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

3.3 Stability

Since a Convergent one-step scheme is stable, then the scheme is stable.

However, to show that the method is A-stable and P-stable, it is adopted for solution A-stability test model equation.

$$y' = \lambda y, y(x_n) = y_o \tag{3.30}$$

Applying scheme (2.6) for the numerical solution of equation (3.30), we obtain a recurrent equation.

$$y_{n+1} = P(z) y_n \tag{3.31}$$

Where

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + zW^T(I - zA)^{-1}e}{1 - zV^T(I + zB)^{-1}e} \tag{3.32}$$

Where

$$W^T = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_R)$$

$$V^T = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_R)$$

$$B = \{b_{ij}\}, \quad i, j = 1(1)R$$

$$A = \{a_{ij}\}, \quad i, j = 1(1)R$$

$$e = [1, 1, 1, \dots, 1], \quad z = \lambda h$$

With the scheme (2.33), the recurrent equation is

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + 0.628z + 14z^2 + 8.68z^3}{z + 0.43z^2 - 0.079z^3} \tag{3.33}$$

The difference equation (3.31) yields A-stable and P-stable solution if

$$|P(z)| < 1 \tag{3.34}$$

That is if $-1 < P(z) < 1$

$$\tag{3.35}$$

it is found that the interval of absolute stability is $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(-\infty, \infty)$, which implies that the schemes are A-stable and P-stable.

4.1 Numerical Experiment

In order to confirm the applicability and suitability of the scheme for solution of discontinuous ODEs, the scheme was computerized. Its performance was checked by comparing its accuracy with third-stage classical implicit Runge-Kutta method.

Problem 1: Consider a simple example of the initial value problem

$$y' = y^2, y(0) = 1, 0 \leq x \leq 2 \tag{4.1}$$

Whose theoretical solution

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} \tag{4.2}$$

The results are shown in Table 1.

Problem 2:

The second example consider. A simple example of the initial value problem

$$y' = 1 + y^2, y(0) = 1 \tag{4.3}$$

in the interval $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ with the theoretical solution

$$y(x) = \tan\left(x + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \tag{4.4}$$

Table 1: Accuracy of Comparison of Rational Runge-Kutta Method and Classical Runge –Kutta Method on Problem 4.1

H(Step size)	YEXACT y(x _n)	ERROR OF RATIONAL R-K	ERROR OF CLASSICAL R-K SCHEME
.10000000D-01	0.10101515D+01	0.11920930D-06	0.11920930D-05
0.50000000D-02	0.10050386D+01	0.45670012D-06	0.45700230D-05
0.25000000D-02	0.10025096D+01	0.25670013D-06	0.67800012D-05
0.12500000D-02	0.10012527D+01	0.11920930D-06	0.11920930D-06
0.62500000D-03	0.10006267D+01	0.23841860D-06	0.23841860D-06
0.31250000D-03	0.10003138D+01	0.11920930D-06	0.11920930D-06
0.15625000D-03	0.10001568D+01	0.11920930D-06	0.11920930D-06
0.78125000D-04	0.10000788D+01	0.11920930D-06	0.11920930D-06
0.39062500D-04	0.10000399D+01	0.16780045D-06	0.67800123D-07
0.19531250D-04	0.10000199D+01	0.14570009D-07	0.45670012D-07
0.97656250D-05	0.10000109D+01	0.11250009D-08	0.34560123D-07
0.48828125D-05	0.10000059D+01	0.131920930D-08	0.11920930D-08
0.24414063D-05	0.10000029D+01	0.12350000D-09	0.12567800D-09
0.12207031D-05	0.10000019D+01	0.14570009D-10	0.45680123D-10

TABLE 2: Numerical Solutions Of Rational Runge- Kutta Schemes And Classical Runge Kutta Scheme On Problem (4.3)

Xn	YEXACT y (x _n)	Y IMPLICIT RATIONAL R-K	CLASSICAL Y- CLASS R- K SCHEME
0.50000000D-01	0.16005570D+01	0.16087730D+01	0.16085630D+01
0.10000000D-01	0.26887940D+01	0.26890410D+01	0.27186930D+01
0.15000000D-01	0.42650510D+01	0.42644700D+01	0.42338940D+01
0.20000000D-01	0.71333530D+01	0.71357520D+01	0.72948030D+01
0.25000000D+00	0.11710000D+02	0.11795410D+02	0.12093870D+02
0.25000000D+00	0.19787060D+02	0.19787160D+02	0.20004610D+02
0.30000000D+00	0.73072390D+02	0.73047950D+02	0.73043760D+02
0.35000000D+00	0.82315650D+02	0.82342970D+02	0.82435650D+02
0.40000000D+00	0.87418700D+02	0.87473200D+02	0.87461140D+03
0.45000000D+00	0.14473800D+03	0.14477470D+03	0.14745480D+03
0.50000000D+00	0.23754000D+03	0.24474440D+03	0.24871160D+03
0.55000000D+00	0.39157880D+03	0.40344160D+03	0.41338760D+03
0.60000000D+00	0.64512860D+03	0.66505600D+03	0.66496690D+03
0.65000000D+00	0.106911200D+04	0.10963400D+04	0.10961940D+04
0.70000000D+00	0.17542830D+04	0.18073470D+04	0.18071050D+04
0.80000000D+00	0.28933570D+04	0.29795150D+04	0.29791150D+04
0.85000000D+00	0.47632880D+04	0.49119720D+04	0.49113140D+04
0.90000000D+00	0.78512380D+05	0.80978800D+04	0.80967950D+04
0.95000000D+00	0.12944030D+05	0.13345520D+05	0.13348510D+05
0.10000000D+01	0.21300460D+05	0.21993930D+05	0.21998850D+05

Discussion

A cursory observation of results of the approximate solution y_n in Tables I show that the step size decreases as the error of the result tends to zero. The error of the new scheme decreases at faster rate than that of classical R-K schemes. This shows that the new scheme converges faster than classical R-K scheme. In Table 2, it can be seen that the result of new scheme is more accurate than that of classical R-K scheme.

References

- [1]Cash, J. R. (1983), "On the Exponential Fitting on Composite Multi-derivative Linear Multi-step Method. *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 4, pg. 620 – 625.
- [2]Enright, W. H. (1985), "Comparing Numerical Method for Stiff System of ODEs" BIT 15, Pg. 10- 48 Enright, W. H. (1985), "Comparing Numerical Method for Stiff System of ODEs" BIT 15, Pg. 10- 48
- [3]Burrage, Butcher & Enright (1979), "STRIDE Stable Runge-Kutta Integrator for Differential Equations", Computational Maths report 2.
- [4]Gafney, P. W. (1984), "A Performance Evaluation of Some Fortran Subroutines for Integration of Stiff Oscillatory ODEs" ACMTOM 10, Pg. 58 – 72.
- [5]Norsett, S.P. (1974), "One step Methods of Hermite type of Numerical Integration of Stiff System, BIT4, Pg. 63 – 77.
- [6]Alexander, R. (1977): "Diagonally Implicit R-K methods for Stiff ODEs", *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis* Vol. 14, pg. 1006- 1021.
- [7]Kaps, P. and Kentrop, P. (1979) "Generalized R-K method of order four with step size Control for Stiff ODEs", *Computation Mathematics*, Vol. 33 pg. 55 – 65.
- [8]Roger (1994), "Stability of Runge-Kutta Method for Stiff ODEs" *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 31, No. 4, Pg. 1147-1168.
- [9]Lubitch *et al* (1995), "R-K Solution of Stiff ODEs near Stationery Point" *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analyses*, Vol. 122 pg. 1296 – 1307.
- [10]Fatunla, S. O. (1982), "Numerical Integrator for Stiff and Highly Oscillatory Problem Differential Equation" *Math Computation Bol.* 34
- [11]Hong Yuafu (1982), "A class of A-stable or $A(\alpha)$ stable Explicit Schemes" *Computational and Asymptotic Method for Boundary and Interior Layer*, Proceeding of BAILJI Conference Trinity College, Dublin Pg. 236 – 241.
- [12]Okunbor, D.F (1985): "Explicit RR-K schemes for Stiff systems of ODEs" MSc Thesis, University of Benin, Benin City.
- [13] Babatola, P.O (1999), "Implicit RR-K Scheme for stiff ODEs ", M.Tech Federal University of Technology Akure.(Unpublished).
- [14]King, B. R.(1966), "Runge-Kutta Methods with Constrained Minimum Error Bound " *Math Comp* ,Vol. 20, Pg 386-391.
- [15]Gill, S. (1951), "A process of step by step integration of Differential Equation in an Automatic digital Computing Machine ", *Proc Cambridge Philos Soc* ,Vol 47,Pg95-108.
- [16]Blum, E.K.(1952), "A Modification of Runge-Kutta Fourth order Method" *Maths Computation* Vol 16,Pg176-187.