

Multivariate Marshall and Olkin Exponential Minification Process

Umar S.M.

**Department of Mathematical Sciences,
 Bayero University, P.M.B. 3011 Kano-Nigeria**

Abstract

A stationary bivariate minification process with bivariate Marshall-Olkin exponential distribution that was earlier studied by Miroslav et al [15] is in this paper extended to multivariate minification process with multivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution as its stationary marginal distribution. The innovation and the joint distributions of random vectors $(X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)})$ and $(X_{n-j}^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-j}^{(k)})$, $j > 0$, are presented. The autocovariance and the autocorrelation matrices are developed. Lastly, the unknown parameters are estimated and their asymptotic properties are also investigated in this research work.

Keywords: Ergodic; Estimation; Minification process; Multivariate Marshall and Olkin Exponential Distribution; uniformly mixing.

1.0 Introduction

Minification process can be of several orders. A minification process of the first order is given by

$$X_n = R \min(X_{n-1}, \epsilon_n), \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{where } R > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \{\epsilon_n, n \geq 1\},$$

is an innovation process of independent and identically distributed random variables.

According to [1], a first order autoregressive minification process can be defined as a sequence having the general structure
$$X_n = \begin{cases} kX_{n-1} & \text{with probability } P \\ k \min(X_{n-1}, \epsilon_n) & \text{with probability } 1 - P \end{cases}$$

Where $\{\epsilon_n\}$ is an innovation process of independent and identically distributed random variables chosen to ensure that $\{X_n\}$ is a stationary Markov process with a specified marginal distribution function $F_X(X)$.

Another form of minification process is the one with structure

$$X_n = \begin{cases} k \epsilon_{n-1} & \text{with probability } P \\ k \min(X_{n-1}, \epsilon_n) & \text{with probability } 1 - P. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, [2] defined first order autoregressive minification process model of random vectors $\{(X_n, Y_n)\}$ with Marshall and Olkin bivariate semi-Pareto distribution as

$$X_n = \begin{cases} U_n & \text{with probability } p \\ \min(X_{n-1}, U_n) & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

$$Y_n = \begin{cases} V_n & \text{with probability } p \\ \min(Y_{n-1}, V_n) & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

where $\{(U_n, V_n)\}$ are innovations, which are independent of $\{(X_{n-k}, Y_{n-k})\}$ for $k=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Corresponding author: E-mail: surajoumar@yahoo.com, Tel.: +2347030608737

where $\lambda_i > 0, \forall i$ and $\lambda_{ij} > 0, \forall i \text{ and } j$, $R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i + \lambda_{ij})}{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ij}}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The sequence

$\{(\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2}, \dots, \epsilon_{nk}), n \geq 1\}$ is independently and identically distributed random vectors. Also, the random vectors $(X_m^{(1)}, X_m^{(2)}, \dots, X_m^{(k)})$ and $(\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2}, \dots, \epsilon_{nk})$ are independent for $m < n$.

The innovation distribution of random vector $(\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2})$ was developed by [13] and represented by [15].

The random vector $(\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2})$ has the bivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution

$BVE(\lambda_1 R, \lambda_2 R, \lambda_{12} R - \lambda)$. The marginal distributions of random variables ϵ_{n1} and ϵ_{n2} are $f(c_1)$ and $f(c_2)$, respectively, where $c_1 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})R - \lambda$ and $c_2 = (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})R - \lambda$. Following this, the innovation distribution of

random vectors $(\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2}, \dots, \epsilon_{nk})$ is the multivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution

$MVE(\lambda_1 R, \lambda_2 R, \dots, \lambda_n R, \lambda_{1n} R - \lambda)$. The marginal distribution of random variables $\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2}, \dots, \epsilon_{nk}$ are $f(c_i), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, respectively where $c_i = (\lambda_i + \lambda_{ij})R - \lambda, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$.

From the above information, we obtain the joint survival function of random vectors $(X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)})$ and $(X_{n-j}^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-j}^{(k)})$, $j > 0$. We denote joint survival function of $(X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)})$ and

$(X_{n-j}^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-j}^{(k)})$ by

$$T_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, R) = P(X_n^{(1)} > x_1, \dots, X_n^{(k)} > x_n, X_{n-j}^{(1)} > x_1^*, \dots, X_{n-j}^{(k)} > x_n^*). \quad \dots(2.2)$$

The joint

survival function $T_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, R)$, $j \geq 1$, can be obtained recursively as

$$T_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, R) = \frac{\bar{F}\left(\max\left(\frac{x_1}{R_j}, \frac{x_2}{R_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{R_j}, x_1^*\right), \dots, \max\left(\frac{x_1}{R_j}, \frac{x_2}{R_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{R_j}, x_n^*\right)\right) \bullet \bar{F}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\bar{F}\left(\max\left(\frac{x_1}{R_j}, \frac{x_2}{R_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{R_j}\right), \max\left(\frac{x_1}{R_j}, \frac{x_2}{R_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{R_j}\right)\right)}$$

$$= T_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*; R_j).$$

It is clear that the joint distribution and properties of the random vector

$(X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-j}^{(k)})$ can be obtained from the joint distribution and properties of the random

vector $(X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)})$ by replacing R with R_j .

3.0 Autocovariance and Autocorrelation

The autocovariance structure of the multivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential minification process

$\{(X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0)\}$ is given by

$$\Gamma(j) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{cov}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}) & \text{cov}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}) & \dots & \text{cov}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(k)}) \\ \text{cov}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}) & \text{cov}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}) & \dots & \text{cov}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-j}^{(k)}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \text{cov}(X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}) & \text{cov}(X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}) & \dots & \text{cov}(X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(k)}) \end{bmatrix}$$

To derive the autocovariance matrix $\Gamma(j)$, it is enough to obtain the autocovariance matrix $\Gamma(1)$.

To compute the moment $E[X_n^{(1)} X_{n-1}^{(1)}]$, we consider the conditional expectation

$$E \left[\frac{X_n^{(1)}}{X_{n-1}^{(1)} = x_1, X_{n-1}^{(2)} = x_2, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)} = x_k} \right]. \text{ From the definition of the process}$$

$\left\{ (X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0) \right\}$, we have the conditional distribution for $X_n^{(1)}$ given

$$X_{n-1}^{(1)} = x_1, X_{n-1}^{(2)} = x_2, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)} = x_k \text{ as}$$

$$P \left\{ \frac{X_n \leq z}{X_{n-1}^{(1)} = x_1, X_{n-1}^{(2)} = x_2, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)} = x_k} \right\} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{e^{-c_1 z}}{R}, & z < R \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \\ 1, & z \geq R \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \end{cases}$$

Note that this is not an absolute continuous distribution, since the probability

$$P \left\{ \frac{X_n^{(1)} = R \min(X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)})}{X_{n-1}^{(1)} = x_1, X_{n-1}^{(2)} = x_2, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)} = x_k} \right\}$$

$$= P \left\{ \epsilon_{n1} > \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \right\} = e^{-c_1 \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)}$$

is non negative. The conditional expectation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{X_n^{(1)}}{X_{n-1}^{(1)} = x_1, X_{n-1}^{(2)} = x_2, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)} = x_k} \right] \\ = \frac{c_1}{R} \int_0^{R \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)} z e^{-\frac{c_1 z}{R}} dz + R \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) e^{-c_1 \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)} \\ = \frac{R}{c_1} (1 - e^{-c_1 \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)}). \end{aligned}$$

From the above, we have

$$E[X_n^{(1)} X_{n-1}^{(1)}] = \frac{R}{c_1} E \left[X_{n-1}^{(1)} \left(1 - e^{-c_1 \min(X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)})} \right) \right] \tag{3.1}$$

The following lemma leads to $E[X_n^{(1)} X_{n-1}^{(1)}]$.

Lemma 3.1: Let $(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(k)})$ be a random vector with multivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution. Let $U_i = X^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $V = \min(X^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$. Then the random vector (U_i, V) has the survival function

$$P(U_1 > x_1, U_2 > x_2, \dots, U_k > x_k, V > \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)) = e^{-(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - \lambda_{i+j} x_k}$$

and $P(U_i = V) = \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_{i,i+j}}{\lambda}, \forall i \text{ and } j.$

Proof: From the definition of the random variables U_i and V , we have $P(U_1 > x_1, U_2 > x_2, \dots, U_k > x_k, V > \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)) = P(X^{(k-1)} > \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), X^{(k)} > x_k) = e^{-\lambda_1 \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - \lambda_2 x_k - \lambda_{12} \max(\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), x_k)} = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}) \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - \lambda_2 x_k}$ and $P(U_1 = V) = P(X^{(1)} \leq X^{(k)}) = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda}.$

Letting $U_1 = X_{n-1}^{(1)}$ and $V = \min(X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)})$ in (3.1) and using this lemma, we have

$$E[X_n^{(1)} X_{n-1}^{(1)}] = \frac{R}{c_1} E[U_1 (1 - e^{-c_1 V})] = \frac{R}{c_1} \lambda_2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}) \int_0^\infty \int_0^{u_1} u_1 (1 - e^{-c_1 v}) e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}) u_1 - \lambda_2 v} dv du_1 + \frac{R}{c_1} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}) \int_0^\infty U_1 (1 - e^{-c_1 u_1}) e^{-\lambda_2 u_1} du_1 = \frac{R+1}{R(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2}.$$

From this result, $\text{cov}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{R(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2}$, similarly, $\text{cov}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{R(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2}$. Using

the same argument, $\text{cov}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-1}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{R(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2}$ and so on. Thus, the autocovariance matrix

$\Gamma(1)$ is given as:

$$\Gamma(1) = \frac{1}{R} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2} \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{12})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{12})^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{12})^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we replace R by R_j in $\Gamma(1)$, we have autocovariance matrix $\Gamma(j)$ as

$$\Gamma(j) = \frac{1}{R_j} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})^2} \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})^2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{12})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{12})^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{12})^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, let us discuss the autocorrelation structure of multivariate minification process with multivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution. We define the autocorrelation matrix by

$$H(j) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{corr}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}) & \text{corr}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}) & \dots & \text{corr}(X_n^{(1)}, X_{n-j}^{(k)}) \\ \text{corr}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}) & \text{corr}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}) & \dots & \text{corr}(X_n^{(2)}, X_{n-j}^{(k)}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \text{corr}(X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(1)}) & \text{corr}(X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(2)}) & \dots & \text{corr}(X_n^{(k)}, X_{n-j}^{(k)}) \end{bmatrix}$$

After simplification, we have

$$H(j) = \frac{1}{R_j} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}} & \dots & \frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}} \\ \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}} & 1 & \dots & \frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}} & \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The autocorrelation matrix $H(1)$, can be obtained by replacing $R(j)$ with $R(1)$. Hence,

$$H(1) = \frac{1}{R} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}} & \dots & \frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}} \\ \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}} & 1 & \dots & \frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}} & \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that: $0 \leq \text{corr}(X_n^{(i)}, X_{n-1}^{(i)}) \leq 1, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k.$

4.0 Estimation of the Parameters

In this section, we will estimate the unknown parameters

$$R, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \text{ and } \lambda_{12}.$$

From [11], [13] and [15], we can see that multivariate minification process is ergodic and uniformly mixing. Now, let

$\{(X_0^{(1)}, X_0^{(2)}, \dots, X_0^{(k)}), (X_1^{(1)}, X_1^{(2)}, \dots, X_1^{(k)}), \dots, (X_{N-1}^{(1)}, X_{N-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{N-1}^{(k)})\}$ be a sample of size N . First, we estimate the parameter R . The estimate of R is given by

$\hat{R}_N = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N-1} \left\{ \frac{X_n^{(1)}}{\min(X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)})} \right\}$. This estimate is strongly consistent estimate and is not asymptotically

normal. Similarly, we can use the estimate

$\hat{R}_N = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N-1} \left\{ \frac{X_n^{(2)}}{\min(X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{(k)})} \right\}$ as another strongly consistent estimator of R. Following the same

argument, we can have k- different estimators of R.

All the estimators can be used in practical situation, since the true values of the parameters can be obtained for small N.

For the estimation of the remaining parameters, we use the estimates

$$\bar{X}_N^{(1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} X_i^{(1)}, \bar{X}_N^{(2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} X_i^{(2)}, \dots, \bar{X}_N^{(k)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} X_i^{(k)}.$$

Since multivariate minification process with multivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution is ergodic, it

follows that the estimates $\bar{X}_N^{(1)}, \bar{X}_N^{(2)}, \dots, \bar{X}_N^{(k)}$ are strongly consistent estimates of the parameters

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_m + \lambda_{12}}, m = 1, 2, \dots, k \text{ respectively.}$$

Now, we consider the asymptotic properties of the estimated parameters. As the multivariate minification process is

stationary and uniformly mixing and $\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \psi^{1/n}(h) < \infty$, it follows from [16] that $\sqrt{N} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{X}_N^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}} \\ \bar{X}_N^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}} \\ \dots \\ \bar{X}_N^{(k)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}} \end{bmatrix}$ has

asymptotically multivariate normal distribution as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

So, we can take the estimates of the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ and λ_{12} , as the solutions of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_N^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}}, \\ \bar{X}_N^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}}, \\ &\dots \\ \bar{X}_N^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

5.0 Conclusion

From the foregoing, we can conclude that the bivariate minification process with bivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential distribution as its stationary marginal distribution can be extended to multivariate case. Multivariate autocovariate and autocorrelation matrices can also be derived as an extension of bivariate ones earlier presented by [15]. Parameters that are unknown in this research work can be estimated. Its asymptotic properties can also be investigated.

Acknowledgements: The Author thanks all those that contributed with suggestions that led to the improved version of this paper.

References

- [1] Lewis, P.A.W and Mckenzie, E (1991): Minification Process and their transformations. *J App Prob* 28:45-57.
- [2] Thomas, A and Jose, K.K (2004): Bivariate semi-Pareto minification processes, *Metrica* 59: 305-313.
- [3] Tavares, L.V (1980): An exponential Markovian stationary process. *J. Appl. Prob.* 17: 1117-1120.
- [4] Sim, C.H (1986): Simulation of Weibull and Gamma autoregressive stationary process. *Commun. Stat. Simul. Computat.* 15: 1141-1146.
- [5] Yeh, H.C, Arnold, B.C and Robertson, C.A (1988): Pareto process. *J. Appl. Prob.* 25: 291-301.
- [6] Arnold B.C and Robertson, C.A (1989): Autoregressive logistic Processes. *J. App Prob* 26:524-531.
- [7] Pillai, R.N (1991): Semi-Pareto processes. *J. Appl. Prob.* 28: 461-465.
- [8] Pillai, R.N, Jose, K.K and Jayakumar, K (1995): Autoregressive minification processes and the class of distributions of universal geometric minima. *Journal Ind- Stat- Assoc* 33:53-61.
- [9] Balakrishna, N (1998): Estimation for the semi-Pareto processes. *Statist. Theory Meth.* 27: 2307-2323.
- [10] Balakrishna, N and Jayakumar, K (1997): Bivariate semi-Pareto distributions and processes, *statistics paper* 38: 149-165.
- [11] Balakrishna, N and Jacob, T.M (2003): Parameter estimation in minification processes. *Commun. Statist. Theory Meth.* 32: 2139-2152.
- [12] Thomas, A and Jose, K.K (2002): Multivariate minification processes, *STARS internet.* J 3: 1-9.
- [13] Ristic, M.M (2006): Stationary bivariate minification processes, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 76:439-445.
- [14] Marshall, A.W and Olkin, I (1967): A multivariate exponential distribution. *J. Amer.Stat. Assoc.* 62: 30-44.
- [15] Miroslav, M.R, Biljana, C.P, Aleksandar, N and Miodrag, D (2008): A bivariate Marshall and Olkin exponential minification Process, *Filomat* 22:69-77.
- [16] Billingsley, P (1968): *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, Now York.