

Effect of transmission rate in an SIR epidemic model

¹R.O.Ayeni, ² O.Adebimpe, ¹T.O.Oluyo, ¹S.O.Adewale, ¹A.O.Popoola and ¹A.W.Ogunsola.

¹Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics,
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology,
Ogbomoso, Nigeria.

²Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences,
Osun State University, Osogbo, Nigeria.

Abstract

We extend the transmission rate to nonlinear orders and show that S+I+R is still attractive. When the nonlinearity order is greater than 1, we show that the basic reproduction number decreases as the recruitment increases. We provide the patterns of the stability of the equilibrium states.

Keywords: transmission rate, SIR model, basic reproduction number, stability.

1.0 Introduction

The study of epidemics has a long history with a vast variety of models and explanation for the spread and cause of epidemic outbreaks [2] and [18]. Throughout the history, mankind has suffered many devastating infectious diseases and continues to be fearful of such diseases. Over the past hundred years, mathematics has been used to understand and predict the spread of diseases, relating important public-health questions to basic transmission parameters.

Controlling infectious diseases has been an increasingly complex issue for several countries in recent years. Many scholars have investigated and studied lots of epidemic models of ordinary differential equations [24]. The SIR model proposed by [15] provides an established basis to model the transmission dynamics of infectious diseases. By using the compartmental approach several scholars had extensively analyzed the dynamics of the SIR and SIRS epidemic model where S (t), I (t) and R (t) denote the number of susceptible, infective and recovered at time t, respectively.

One of the fundamental questions of mathematical epidemiology is to find threshold conditions that determine whether an infectious disease will spread in a susceptible population when the disease is introduced into the population (Hyman and Li, 2000; [3], [4], [7], [8], [19] and [21]). The threshold conditions are characterized by the so-called reproductive number commonly denoted by R_0 in mathematical epidemiology.

The incidence in an epidemiological model is the rate at which susceptible become infectious. Many researchers ([6], [11], [16] and [17]) have proposed transmission laws in which the nonlinearities are more than quadratic. [22] studied an epidemic model with a specific nonlinear incident rate $\frac{\lambda I^p S}{(1+\alpha I^q)}$ were proposed by many researchers and authors ([1], [5], [9], [10], [12], [14], [17] and [23]).

Pathak et al [20] studied an SIR epidemic model with an asymptotically homogenous transmission function. They addressed the stability of the disease-free and the endemic equilibrium.

We extend the work done by Pathak et al, by extending the transmission rate to nonlinear orders and show that SIR model is still attracting. When the nonlinearity order is greater than 1, we show that the basic reproduction number decrease as the recruitment rate increases. We provide the patterns of the stability of the equilibrium states.

2.0 Mathematical Model

We modify the model of [20] by extending the transmission rate to nonlinear orders.

Corresponding author: Tel. (O. Adebimpe); +2348060438613

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = b - dS - \frac{kSI}{1 + \alpha S + \beta I} + \gamma R$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{kSI}{1 + \alpha S + \beta I} - (d + \mu)I$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \mu I - (d + \gamma)R$$

Modified Model

The modified model is as follows:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = b - dS - \frac{kSI}{1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q} + \gamma R \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{kSI}{1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q} - (d + \mu)I \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \mu I - (d + \gamma)R \quad (2.3)$$

The transmission rate $\phi(S, I) = 1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q$

where $S(t)$, $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ denote the number of susceptible, infective and recovered at time t , respectively. b is the recruitment rate of the population, d is the natural death rate of the population, μ is the natural recovery rate of the infective individuals, γ is the rate at which recovered individuals lose immunity and return to the susceptible class, α and β are the parameters which measure the effects of sociological, psychological or other mechanisms. In literature $p = q = 1$ [20]

3. Stability of the Critical Points

To obtain the critical points, we set equations (2.1) - (2.3) above to zero, i.e. $\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{dR}{dt} = 0$.

The critical points are $(b/d, 0, 0)$

$$\text{and } \left(\frac{bd + b\gamma - e^{\sqrt{Q}}(\gamma d - \mu e^{\sqrt{Q}}(d - e^{\sqrt{Q}})d^2)}{(d + \gamma)d}, e^{\sqrt{Q}}, \frac{\mu e^{\sqrt{Q}}}{d + \gamma} \right) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$Q = Zq - \ln \left(\frac{1}{(d + \gamma)d\beta(d + \mu)} \left(-d^2 \mu \alpha e^{l \left(\frac{bd + b\gamma - e^{\sqrt{Q}}(\gamma d - \mu e^{\sqrt{Q}}(d - e^{\sqrt{Q}})d^2)}{(d + \gamma)d} \right)^p} \right) - d \mu \alpha e^{l \left(\frac{bd + b\gamma - e^{\sqrt{Q}}(\gamma d - \mu e^{\sqrt{Q}}(d - e^{\sqrt{Q}})d^2)}{(d + \gamma)d} \right)^p} \gamma + \right. \\ \left. bkd + bk\gamma - d^2 \mu - d\mu\gamma - ke^z \gamma d - d^3 - d^2 \gamma - k\mu e^z d - ke^z d^2 - d^3 \alpha e^{l \left(\frac{bd + b\gamma - e^{\sqrt{Q}}(\gamma d - \mu e^{\sqrt{Q}}(d - e^{\sqrt{Q}})d^2)}{(d + \gamma)d} \right)^p} \right. \\ \left. - d^2 \alpha e^{l \left(\frac{bd + b\gamma - e^{\sqrt{Q}}(\gamma d - \mu e^{\sqrt{Q}}(d - e^{\sqrt{Q}})d^2)}{(d + \gamma)d} \right)^p} \gamma \right) \quad (3.2)$$

The disease-free equilibrium is $(b/d, 0, 0)$ while the second is the endemic equilibrium. We now set

Corresponding author: Tel. (O. Adebimpe); +2348060438613

$X = S - \frac{b}{d}$, $I = I$, $R = R$, so that the equations (2.1) – (2.3) become

$$(1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q) \frac{dX}{dt} = b(1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q) - d \left(X + \frac{b}{d} \right) (1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q) - kSI + \gamma R (1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q)$$

$$(1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q) \frac{dI}{dt} = kSI - (d + \mu)(1 + \alpha S^p + \beta I^q) I$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \mu I - (d + \gamma) R$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -dX + \gamma R - \frac{k \frac{b}{d} I}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^p} + \text{higher order terms}$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{k \frac{b}{d} I}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^p} - (d + \mu) I + \text{higher order terms}$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \mu I + (d + \gamma) R$$

The Jacobian matrix becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dX}{dt} \\ \frac{dI}{dt} \\ \frac{dR}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -d & -\frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^p} & \gamma \\ 0 & \frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^p} - (d + \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & -(d + \gamma) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ I \\ R \end{pmatrix} + \text{higher order terms}$$

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} -(d + \lambda) & -\frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p} & \gamma \\ 0 & \left(\frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p} - (d + \mu) \right) - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & -(d + \gamma) - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$-(d + \lambda) \left[\left(\frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p} - (d + \mu) \right) - \lambda \right] (-d + \gamma) - \lambda = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 = -d, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p} - (d + \mu), \quad \lambda_3 = -(d + \gamma)$$

$$\text{Let } R_0 = \frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{(d + \mu) \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p \right)}$$

Lemma 1: $P(\lambda)$ has no positive roots if $R_0 < 1$

Proof: Clearly, $\lambda_1 < 0$, $\lambda_3 < 0$ since $d > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$

Now, if $R_0 < 1$, then $\lambda_2 < 0$

Hence, all the roots are negative.

Lemma 2: The infection free equilibrium is stable if $R_0 < 1$.

Proof: The equilibrium is asymptotically stable because $\lambda_1 < 0$, $\lambda_2 < 0$ and $\lambda_3 < 0$.

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3: $R_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$ for $\alpha > 1$.

$$\text{Proof: } R_0 = \frac{k \frac{b}{d}}{(d + \mu) \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p \right)}$$

$$\frac{dR_0}{db} = \left[\frac{\frac{k}{d} (d + \mu) \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p \right) - \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^{p-1} k \frac{b}{d} + (d + \mu)}{\left((d + \mu) \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^p \right) \right)^2} \right]$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{dR_0}{db} = \frac{\frac{k}{d}(d + \mu)}{\left((d + \mu)^2 \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^p \right)^2 \right)}$$

$$\frac{dR_0}{db} = \frac{k}{d(d + \mu) \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^p \right)^2}$$

Hence, $\frac{dR_0}{db} > 0$

$$R_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad b \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} R_0 = \frac{\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{d}}{(d + \mu) \left(P \alpha \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^{p-1} \right)} = 0$$

The Stability of the Endemic Equilibrium:

Let us denote the infected equilibrium (3.2) by

$$(S_*, I_*, R_*)$$

where each component corresponds to an earlier specified value.

Let

$$X = S - S_*, \quad Y = I - I_*, \quad Z = R - R_*$$

Then,

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -dX - \frac{kI_*X}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} - \frac{kS_*Y}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \gamma Z + \text{nonlinear terms}$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = \frac{kI_*X}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \frac{kS_*Y}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} - (d + \mu)Y + \text{nonlinear terms}$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = \mu Y - (d + \gamma)Z$$

Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^1 \\ Y^1 \\ Z^1 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\left(d + \frac{k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q}\right) & -\frac{k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} & \gamma \\ \frac{k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} & \left(\frac{k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} - (d + \mu)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & -(d + \gamma) \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} -\left(d + \frac{k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q}\right) - \lambda & -\frac{k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} & \gamma \\ \frac{k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} & \left(\frac{k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} - (d + \mu)\right) - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & -(d + \gamma) - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

By solving $P(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^3 + \left(3d + \gamma + \mu + \frac{k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \frac{k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q}\right) \lambda^2 + \\ & \left(3d^2 + 2\gamma d + 2\mu d + \frac{2dk I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \frac{\mu k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} - \frac{dk S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} - \frac{\gamma k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \gamma \mu\right) \lambda \\ & - \frac{d^2 k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + d^3 - \mu d^2 - \frac{\gamma d k S_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \gamma d^2 + \gamma d \mu + \frac{d^2 k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} + \frac{d \mu k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} \\ & + \frac{d \gamma k I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\gamma \mu > k S_* \delta \tag{3.3}$$

$$\text{where } \delta = \frac{d + \gamma}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q}$$

So,

$$d^3 + \gamma d(d + \mu) + dk\delta I_* \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q}\right) > d(k\delta S_* + d\mu) \tag{3.4}$$

Put (3.3) into (3.4), we obtain

$$d^3 + \gamma d^2 + dk\delta S_* + \frac{\mu dk\delta I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} > d k \delta S_* + d^2 \mu$$

$$d^3 + \gamma d^2 + \frac{\mu dk\delta I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} > d^2 \mu$$

$$d^2 (d + \gamma) + \frac{\mu dk\delta I_*}{1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q} > d^2 \mu$$

$$d^2 (d + \gamma) (1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q) + \mu dk\delta I_* > d^2 \mu (1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q)$$

$$\text{Let } d^2 (1 + \alpha S_*^p + \beta I_*^q) = A$$

we obtain

$$A(d + \gamma) + \mu dk\delta I_* > A \mu$$

Theorem: If $A(d + \gamma) + \mu dk\delta I_* > A \mu$, the infected equilibrium is asymptotically stable.

Proof: By Routh-Hurwitz criteria (Wang and Lu, 2006). All zeros of $P(\lambda)$ have negative real parts if and only if $A(d + \gamma) + \mu dk\delta I_* > A \mu$.

Therefore, the infected equilibrium is asymptotically stable.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have carried out the stability of the equilibrium states for the modified model. In terms of the basic reproduction number R_0 , the results indicate that when R_0 decreases, the recruitment increases. This implies that the spread of the disease decreases as the transmission rates for the infective increases. These results are in good agreement with those of Pathak et al [17].

References

- [1] Alexander M.E. and Moghadas S.M (2004): Periodicity in an epidemic model with a generalized nonlinear incidence. *Math. Biosci.*, 189, pp.75-96.
- [2] Anderson R.M. and May R.M (1998): *Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and Control*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [3] Chaharborj, S.S; Abu Bakar, M.R; Malik, A.H. and Alli, V. (2009): Reproductive Number. *International Mathematical Forum*, 4, no.7, pp.347-350.
- [4] Chaharborj, S.S; Abu Bakar, M.R; Fudziah, I; Noor Akma, I; Malik, A.H. and Alli, V. (2010): Behavior Stability in two SIR-Style Models for HIV. *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol.4, no.9, pp.427-434.
- [5] Derrick A.W. and Van den Driessche. P (1993): A disease transmission model in nonconstant population. *J. Math. Biol.* 31, pp.495-512.
- [6] Esteva L and Matias M. (2001): A model for vector transmitted diseases with saturation incidence. *Journal of Biological Systems*, 9, (4) 235-245.
- [7] Guardiola J and Vecchio A (2003): The basic reproduction number for infections dynamics Models and the global stability of stationary points, November 26, 2003.
- [8] Heffernan, J.M.; Smith, R.J. and Wahl, L.M. (2005): Perspectives on the Basic Reproduction Ratio. *J.R. Soc. Interface* 2, p.281-293.
- [9] Hethcote, A.W and Van den Driessche. P (1991): Some epidemiological model with nonlinear incidence. *J. Math. Biol.*, 29, pp.271-287.
- [10] Hethcote, A.W.; Lewis, M.A. and Van den Driessche. P (1989): An epidemiological model with delay and a nonlinear incidence rate. *J. Math. Biol.*, 27, pp.49-64.
- [11] Hethcote H.W and Levin S.A. (1988): Periodicity in Epidemiological models. In *Mathematical Ecology*, volume II, ed. By Levin S.A., Hallam T.G. and Gross L.: Springer Verlag, New York.

Corresponding author: Tel. (O. Adebimpe); +2348060438613

- [12] Hethcote, A.W. and Tudor,D.W (1980):Integral equation models for endemic infectious diseases. J.Math.Biol.,9,pp.37-47.
- [13] Hyman,J.M and Li,J (2000):An intuitive formulation for the reproductive number for the spread of diseases in heterogenous population.Journal of Mathematical Biosciences 167,pp.65-86.
- [14] Kar,T.K. and Ashim Batabyal (2010):Modelling and Analysis of an epidemic model with Research,vol.2;No.1,pp.103-115.
- [15] Kermack,W.O. and McKendrick (1927):Contribution to mathematical theory of epidemics,P.Roy.Soc.London.A Mat.,115,pp.700-721.
- [16] Liu, W.M.; Hethcote,A.W and Levin,S.A (1987):Dynamical Behaviour of epidemiological models with nonlinear incidence rate. J.Math.Biol.,25,pp.359-380.
- [17] Liu, W.M.; Levin,S.A.and Juasa,Y (1986):Influence of nonlinear incidence rates upon the behaviour of SIRS epidemiological models. J.Math.Biol., 24, pp.187-204
- [18] Murray,J .D. (1993):Mathematical Biology, Springer Verlag ,New York.
- [19] Nishiura Hiroshi (2010): Correcting the Actual Reproduction Number:A Simple Method to Estimate Ro from Early Epidemic Growth Data.Int.J.Environ.Res.Public Health,7,pp291-302.
- [20] Pathak,S.;Maiti,A.and Samanta,G.P.(2010):Rich Dynamics of an SIR epidemic model. Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, 2010, vol.15.No.1,pp71-81.
- [21] Reluga,T.C.;Medlock,J. and Galvani,A. (2009) : The Discounted Reproductive Number For Epidemiology.J.of Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, vol.6, no.2, pp.379-395.
22. Ruan, S.and Wang. (2003): Dynamical behavior of an epidemic model with nonlinear incidence rate.J.Differential Equations, 188, 135-163.
- 23 .Xiao, D.and Ruan,S.(2007):Global analysis of an epidemic model with nonmonotone incidence rate.Math.Biosci.208,419-429.
- 24.Zou,Q.;Gao,S. and Zhong,Q. (2009) : Pulse Vaccination Strategy in an Epidemic Model with Time Delays and Nonlinear Incidence.Advanced Studies in Biology.Vol.1,no.7,pp.3077-321.

Corresponding author: Tel. (O. Adebimpe); +2348060438613