

**A Variable Thermal Conductivity Flow of A Micropolar Fluid Over
A Stretching Surface in A Non-Darcian Porous Medium**

Adepoju O.A¹ And Ayeni R.O²

^{1,2}Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics,
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria.

Abstract

We revisited the paper of Mahmoud et al, on the hydromagnetic boundary layer micropolar fluid flow over a stretching surface embedded in a non-Darcian porous medium with radiation. We show that even when the thermal conductivity depends linearly or quadratically on temperature the problem still has a unique solution.

1.0 Introduction

The boundary layer flow of a micropolar fluid past a semi-infinite plate has been studied by [10] whereas a similarity solution for boundary layer flow near stagnation point was presented by [6]. The boundary layer flow of micropolar fluid past a semi-infinite plate was studied by [1], taking into account the gyration vector normal to the xy -plane and micro inertia effects. [9] studied hydromagnetic boundary layer micropolar fluid flow over a stretching surface embedded in a non-Darcian porous medium with radiation. Flow and heat transfer of a micropolar fluid past a continuously moving plate were studied by [12]. By drawing the continuous strips through a quiescent electrically conducting fluid subject to a magnetic field, the rate of cooling can be controlled and final product of desired characteristics can be achieved. [8] studied micropolar flow over a porous stretching shear with strong suction or injection. [4] investigated thermal radiation and buoyancy effects on hydromagnetic flow over an accelerating permeable surface with heat source or sink. [11] discussed the effect of thermal radiation on MHD asymmetric flow of an electrically conducting fluid past a semi-infinite plate.

All the above studies were confined to a fluid with constant viscosity. However, it is known that this physical property may change significantly with temperature. [3] analyzed a two dimensional mixed convection flow of a viscous incompressible fluid of temperature dependent viscosity past a vertical plate. [7] studied the influence of fluid property variation on the boundary layers of a stretching surface. [2] discussed the effect of radiation on free convection flow of a fluid with variable viscosity from a porous vertical plate. In this work, we present a variable thermal conductivity flow of a micropolar fluid over a stretching surface in a non-Darcian porous medium.

2. Mathematical Formulation

Consider a steady, two-dimensional laminar flow of an incompressible, electrically conducting micropolar fluid over a continuously moving stretching surface embedded in a non-Darcian porous medium which issues from a thin slit. The X -axis is taken along the stretching surface in the direction of the motion and y -axis is perpendicular to it. We assume that the velocity is proportional to its distance from the slit. A uniform magnetic field B_0 is imposed along y -axis. Then under the usual boundary layer approximations, the flow and heat transfer of a micropolar fluid in porous medium with non-Darcian effects included are governed by the following equations;

The equation of momentum is given by

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + k_1 \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} - \frac{\nu \phi u}{k} - c \phi u^2 - \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} u$$

(2.1)

The continuity equation is

¹Corresponding author: E-mail okay_4uall@yahoo.com, Tel. +2348035618740

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

(2.2)

The angular momentum equation is

$$G_1 \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} - 2N - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0$$

(2.3)

And finally the energy equation is

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y}$$

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial k}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y}$$

(2.4)

with the following boundary conditions

$$y = 0 : u = ax, \quad v = 0, \quad T = T_w, \quad N = 0, \\ y \rightarrow \infty : u \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_\infty, \quad N \rightarrow 0,$$

(2.5)

Where $\nu = (\mu + S)/\rho$ is the apparent kinematic viscosity, μ is the coefficient of dynamic viscosity, S is a constant characteristic of fluid, N is the microrotation component, $k_1 = S/\rho (> 0)$ is the coupling constant, $G_1 (> 0)$ is the microrotation constant, ρ is the fluid density, u and v are the velocity components along x and y directions respectively, ϕ is the porosity, k is the permeability of the porous medium, C is Forchheimer's inertia coefficient, T is the temperature of the fluid in the boundary layer, T_∞ is the temperature of the fluid far away from the plate, T_w is the temperature of the plate, K is the thermal conductivity, C_p is the specific heat at constant pressure, σ is the electrical conductivity, B_0 is an external magnetic field, and q_r is the radiative heat flux.

Using the following transformations:

$$\eta = \left(\frac{a}{\nu} \right)^{1/2} y, \quad \phi = (a\nu)^{1/2} x f(\eta), \quad N = \left(\frac{a^3}{\nu} \right)^{1/2} x g(\eta),$$

(2.6)

$$\theta = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \quad u = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x},$$

$$q_r = \left(-\frac{4\sigma_0}{3k_0} \right) \frac{\partial T^4}{\partial y}$$

(2.7)

where σ_0 is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and k_0 is the mean absorption coefficient.

Using the above transformations we have as thus,

$$v = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad u = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \quad u = ax f'(\eta),$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{a^2}{\nu} x f'''(\eta), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = af'(\eta), \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = \frac{a^2}{\nu} x g'(\eta), \quad \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} = \frac{a^2}{\nu^2} x g''(\eta)$$

Thus equation (2.1) above becomes,

¹Corresponding author: E-mail okay_4uall@yahoo.com, Tel. +2348035618740

$$f''' + f''f + Lg'(D_a^{-1} + R)f' - (1 + \lambda)f'^2 = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

Equation (2.3) reduces to, $Gg'' - (2g + f'') = 0$

$$(2.9)$$

With k being constant equation (2.4) takes the form

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y}$$

With $k = k_0(\alpha\theta + 1)$ equation (2.4) reduces to, $u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k_0\alpha}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y}$

$$(2.10)$$

and eventually becomes $3F\theta'' + \frac{3F}{(\alpha\theta + 1)}\theta'^2 + 3FP_r f\theta' + 4P_r \{r\theta''(r\theta + 1)^3 + 3(r\theta + 1)^2 r^2 \theta'^2\} = 0$

$$(2.11)$$

With $k = k_0(\alpha\theta^2 + 1)$ and $\theta = \theta(\eta)$,

equation (2.4) reduces to, $u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{2\theta k_0\alpha}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y}$

$$(2.12)$$

$T = (T_w - T_\infty)\theta + T_\infty$

(2.12) becomes:

$$3F\theta'' + \frac{6\alpha F}{\alpha\theta^2 + 1}\theta\theta'^2 + 3FP_r f\theta' + 4P_r \{r\theta''(r\theta + 1)^3 + 3(r\theta + 1)^2 r^2 \theta'^2\} = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

where $L = k_1/\nu$ denotes the coupling constant parameter, $D_a^{-1} = \phi\nu/ka$ denotes the inverse Darcy number,

$R = (\sigma_0 B_0^2)/\rho a$ denotes the magnetic parameter, $\lambda = c\phi x$ denotes the inertia coefficient parameter

$G = G_1 a/\nu$ denotes the microrotation parameter, $P_r = (\nu\rho C_p)/k$ denotes the Prandtl number

$F = (\rho C_p k_0 \nu)/(4\sigma_0 T_\infty^4)$ denotes the radiation parameter, $r = (T_w - T_\infty)/T_\infty$ is the relative difference between the temperature of the surface and the temperature far away from the surface.

The corresponding boundary conditions are:

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad g(0) = 0, \quad f'(\infty) = 0, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0, \quad g(\infty) = 0$$

3.0 Existence And Uniqueness

Theorem 1: Problem (2.11) subject to initial conditions $\theta(0) = 1, \theta'(0) = -k (k > 0)$ has a unique solution in $D = \{(\theta, \theta'(\eta), \eta), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, -k \leq \theta'(\eta) \leq 0, \eta \geq 0\}$

Theorem 2: Problem (2.13), subject to initial conditions $\theta(0) = 1, \theta'(0) = -k (k > 0)$ has a unique solution in $D = \{(\theta, \theta'(\eta), \eta), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, -k \leq \theta'(\eta) \leq 0, \eta \geq 0\}$.

Remark: For the proof we need the following ;

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x_1' &= f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), & x_1(t_0) &= x_{10} \\ x_2' &= f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), & x_2(t_0) &= x_{20} \\ &\vdots & & \\ &\vdots & & \\ &\vdots & & \\ x_n' &= f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), & x_n(t_0) &= x_{n0} \end{aligned}$$

¹Corresponding author: E-mail okay_4uall@yahoo.com, Tel. +2348035618740

$$f(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) \\ f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{X}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{10} \\ x_{20} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_{n0} \end{pmatrix}$$

That is $\underline{x}' = f(\underline{x}, t), \underline{x}(t_0) = \underline{x}_0$ (3.1)

Theorem: [5]

Let D denote the region

$$|\underline{x} - \underline{x}_0| \leq b, |t - t_0| \leq a.$$

If the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are continuous in D, then (3.1) has a unique solution.

We are now in a position to prove theorem 1

Let $x_1 = \eta, x_2 = \theta, x_3 = \theta'$

Then (2.11) becomes

$$x_1' = f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1, x_1(0) = 0, x_2' = f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3, x_2(0) = 1$$

$$x_3' = f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = - \left\{ \frac{3Fx_3^2}{\alpha x_2 + 1} 3FP_r f(x_1)x_3 + 4P_r (3(rx_2 + 1)^2 r^2 x_3^2) \right\} / (3F + 4P_r r(rx_2 + 1)^3),$$

$$x_3(0) = -k$$

Now $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are continuous. Hence by [5], theorem (1) holds.

Proof of Theorem 2

Let $x_1 = \eta, x_2 = \theta, x_3 = \theta'$

Then (2.13) becomes

$$x_1' = f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1, x_1(0) = 0$$

$$x_2' = f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3, x_2(0) = 1$$

$$x_3' = f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = - \left\{ \frac{6\alpha F x_2 x_3^2}{\alpha x_2^2 + 1} + 3FP_r f(x_1)x_3 + 12P_r (r^2 x_3^2 (rx_2 + 1)) \right\} / (3F + 4P_r r(rx_2 + 1)^3)$$

Now $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are continuous, hence by [5], the problem has a unique solution.

¹Corresponding author: E-mail okay_4uall@yahoo.com, Tel. +2348035618740

Conclusion

The resulting equation governing the flow of a micropolar fluid over a stretching surface in a non Darcian porous medium with variable thermal conductivity were shown to have a unique solution, even when the thermal conductivity is linear and also when it is quadratic.

References

- [1] Ahmadi G. (1976) Self-similar solution of incompressible micropolar boundary layer flow over a semi-infinite plate, *International Journal of Engineering Science* 14, no. 7, 639-646.
- [2] Anwar Hossain M., Khanafer K. and Vafai K. (2001) The effect of radiation on free convection flow of fluid with variable viscosity, *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* 40 , 115-124.
- [3] Anwar Hossain Md. and Munir Md.S. (2000) Mixed convection flow from a vertical plate with temperature dependent viscosity, *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* 39 , 173-183.
- [4] Chamkha A.J. (2000) Thermal radiation and buoyancy effects on hydromagnetic flow over an accelerating permeable surface with heat source or sink, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* 38, 1699-1712.
- [5] Derrick N.R. and Grossman S.L. (1976). *Differential equation with applications*, Addison Wesley Publishing Company.
- [6] Ebert F.(1973) A similarity solution for the boundary layer flow of a polar fluid, *The Chemical Engineering Journal* 5, no.1, 85-92.
- [7] Fang T. (2004) Influences of fluid property variation on the boundary layers of a stretching surface, *Acta Mechanica* 171, 105-118.
- [8] Kelson N.A. and Farrell T.W.(2001) Micropolar flow over a porous stretching sheet with strong suction or injection, *International Communication in Heat and Mass Transfer* 28 , no. 4, 479-488.
- [9] Mostafa A.A. Mahmoud, Mahmoud Abd-Elaty Mahmoud, and Shima Waheed E.(2006) Hydromagnetic boundary layer micropolar fluid flow over a stretching surface embedded in a non-Darcian porous medium with radiation. *Mathematical Problem in Engineering*, 1-10.
- [10] Peddieson J. and McNitt R.P.(1970) Boundary layer theory for a micropolar fluid, *Recent Advances in Engineering Sciences* 5, 405-426.
- [11] Raptis A. ,Perdikis C. and Takhar A.S. (2004)Effect of thermal radiation on MHD flow, *Applied Mathematics and Computational* 153, 645-649.
- [12] Takhar H.S. and Soundalgekar V.M.(1985) Flow and heat transfer of a micropolar fluid past a continuously moving porous plate, *International Journal of Engineering Science* 23, no. 2, 201-205.

¹Corresponding author: E-mail okay_4uall@yahoo.com , Tel. +2348035618740