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## Abstract

It is easily seen that if $p$ can be written as the sum of distinct divisors of $q$, then the fraction $\frac{p}{q}$ can be expanded with no denominator greater than $q$ itself. The idea behind this concept has provided another method for writing the Egyptian fractions (sum of Unit fractions) for any rational $\frac{p}{q}, q \neq 0$.

### 1.0 Introduction

Definition 1.1
A practical number is an integer $N$ such that for all values of $n<N, n$ can be written as the sum of distinct divisors of $N$ i.e. $N$ is practical if every $n$ with $1 \leq n \leq N$ is a sum of distinct divisors of $N$.

For example, the numbers 4 and 12 are practical numbers as shown below:

| $N=4(n=1,2,3)$ | $N=12(n=1,2, \ldots, 11)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $1=1$ | $1=1$ |
| $2=2$ | $2=2$ |
| $3=2+1$ | $3=2+1$ |
|  | $4=3+1$ |
|  | $5=4+1$ |
|  | $6=4+2$ |
|  | $7=4+3$ |
|  | $8=6+2$ |
|  | $9=6+3$ |
|  | $10=6+4$ |
|  | $11=6+2+3$ |

Table 1.1
On the other hand, 10 is not a practical number because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=10(n=1,2, \Lambda, 9) \\
& 1=1 \\
& 2=2 \\
& 4=3+1 \text { or } 4=2+2
\end{aligned}
$$

for $4=3+1,3$ is not a divisor of 10 and for $4=2+2$, the two numbers are not distinct. Below is the list of the first twenty practical numbers

## Definition 1.2

$$
1,2,4,6,8,12,16,18,20,24,28,30,32,36,40,42,54,56,60
$$

An Egyptian Fraction is a sum of positive (Usually) distinct unit fractions e.g. $\frac{2}{7}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{28}$ (no unit fraction can be repeated [1]).

This work attempt to marry this two definitions with the purpose of being able to decompose the fraction $\frac{p}{q}$ into its Egyptian Fraction form. For example, if we want to expand $\frac{9}{20}$. Note that 9 can be written as a distinct divisors of 20 i.e. $9=4+5$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{9}{20}=\frac{4+5}{20}=\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{4} \\
& \frac{19}{28}=\frac{14+4+1}{28}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{7}+\frac{1}{28}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we consider a theorem that connects practical numbers and Egyptian fractions.

## Theorem 1.1

$\frac{m}{n}=\frac{1}{x_{1}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\Lambda+\frac{1}{x_{k}}$ if and only if there exist positive integers $M$ and $N$ and divisors $D_{1}, D_{2}, \Lambda, D_{k}$ of $N$ such that $\frac{M}{N}=\frac{m}{n}$ and $D_{1}+D_{2}+\Lambda+D_{k}=0(\bmod M)$. Also, the last condition can be replaced by $D_{1}+D_{2}+\Lambda+D_{k}=M$ and the condition $\left(D_{1}+D_{2}+\Lambda+D_{k}\right)=1$ may be added without affecting the validity of the theorem.

## Proof

First, suppose $M$ and $N$ exist which satisfy given conditions. Then we simply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{n}=\frac{M}{N}=\frac{D_{1}+D_{2}+\Lambda+D_{k}}{c N}=\frac{1}{\frac{c N}{D_{1}}}+\frac{1}{\frac{c N}{D_{2}}}+\Lambda+\frac{1}{\frac{c N}{D_{k}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, suppose $\frac{m}{n}=\frac{1}{x_{1}}+\frac{1}{x_{2}}+\Lambda+\frac{1}{x_{k}}$ is solvable. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{x_{i}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{1} \Lambda x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \Lambda x_{k}}{x_{1}, x_{2} \Lambda x_{k}}=\frac{M}{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, then $M=D_{1}+D_{2}+\Lambda+D_{k}$, where the $D_{i}$ all divide $N$. And we are done. If $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, \Lambda, D_{k}\right)=d \neq 1$, then we simply take $\frac{M}{d}$ and $\frac{N}{d}$ instead.
Also relating to Egyptian fractions, is an important property of practical numbers which was proved in [2]. Here we, state the theorem without proof.

## Theorem 1.2

If $n$ is a practical number and $q$ is any number relatively prime with $n$ and $q<2 n$, then $q n$ is also practical.

## Proof

Applying theorem 1.2, we expand $\frac{5}{23}$. First we note that 12 is practical and thus,

$$
\frac{5}{23}=\frac{5(12)}{23(12)}
$$

Since $23<2(12)$ and 12 is practical, we know that $23(12)$ is also practical by theorem 1.2. So $5(12)$ can be written as the sum of distinct divisors of 23(12).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{5(12)}{23(12)}=\frac{60}{276}=\frac{46+12+2}{276}=\frac{46}{276}+\frac{12}{276}+\frac{2}{276}=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{23}+\frac{1}{138} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\quad$ Expand $\frac{7}{31} \Rightarrow \frac{7}{31}=\frac{7(16)}{31(16)}$

Since $31<2(16)$ and 6 is practical, then $31(16)$ is practical. So we can write $7(6)$ as the sum of distinct divisors of $31(16)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{7}{31} & =\frac{7(16)}{31(16)}=\frac{62+31+16+2+1}{31(16)}=\frac{62}{31(16)}+\frac{31}{31(16)}+\frac{16}{31(16)}+\frac{2}{31(16)}+\frac{1}{31(16)} \\
& =\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{31}+\frac{2}{248}+\frac{1}{496}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.0 Properties of practical numbers

Properties of practical numbers relating to Egyptian fractions are summarized below.
(i) If $n$ has divisors $1=d_{1}<d_{2}<\Lambda<d_{c}=n$, then $n$ is practical if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{r} \geq d_{r+1}-1 \text { for all } r<c-1
$$

(ii) If $n$ has a subset of divisors $1=d_{1}<d_{2}<\Lambda<d_{c}=n$ in which each is at most twice the previous divisor; then $n$ is practical.
(iii) If $n$ is practical and $m$ is a natural number $\leq n$ then $m n$ is practical. [3]
(iv) If $n$ is practical and the sum of the divisors of $n$ is at least $n+k$ where $k$ is a non-negative integer, then $n(2 n+k+1)$ is practical. [3].

### 3.0 The practical number algorithm for Egyptian fractions

Step 1: Given $\frac{p}{q}$ in lowest terms
Step 2: set $m=1$
Step 3: If $q m$ is not practical, let $m=m+1$ and repeat step 3; otherwise
Step 4: Write $\frac{p}{q}=\frac{p m}{q m}$ and find the expansion.
Express $\frac{3}{7}$ in Egyptian form. Now $\frac{p}{q}=\frac{3}{7}$

By step 2, let $m=1$ and by step 3 test if $q m$ is practical so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3(1)}{7(1)}, 7 \text { is not practical, so we let } m=m+1=1+1=2 . \\
& \frac{3(2)}{7(2)}, \quad \text { again } 21 \text { is not practical } m=m+1=3+1=4 \\
& \frac{3(4)}{7(4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $7<24$ and 4 is practical, so we write 3(4) as the sum of distinct divisors of 7(4) i.e.

$$
\frac{3(4)}{7(4)}=\frac{7+4+1}{7(4)}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{7}+\frac{1}{28}
$$

Note that in step 3, we can instead Test to see if $p m$ can be written as the sum of distinct divisors of $q m \cdot$ however, in finding asymptotic results, we will have to take the worst case for $p-$ thus, testing for practically is more general.

Clearly, this algorithm will terminate because, if nothing else, we can increment $m$ until we reach $2^{k} \geq q$ (the binary algorithm) [4].

Also, if we let $M(N)=$ smallest $m$ such that $m N$ is practical then we can say $D(N) \leq N \cdot M(N)$, so if we can find a bound for $M(N)$, we can also find an upper bound for $D(N)$. The calculation of $M(p)$ in the procedure above is based on the following theorem.

## Theorem 3.1

$$
M\left(p_{i}\right) \leq M\left(p_{j}\right) \text { for } i<j
$$

## Proof

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(p_{j}\right)=m \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general ease, take a number $n<m p_{i}<m p_{j}$. Find $r, s$ such that $n=S p_{i}+r$ with $0 \leq r<p_{i}<$ $p_{j}$. Since $r<p_{j}$, we can write $r$ as the sum of distinct divisors of $m$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S<\frac{(n-r)}{p_{i}}<\frac{n}{p_{i}}<m \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume $m<p_{j}$ (this is clearly true for large enough $j$ ). So we can write S as the sum of distinct divisors of $m$. Thus, since $m$ and $p_{i}$ are relatively prime we can write $n$ as the sum of distinct divisors of $m p_{i}$ therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(p_{i}\right) \leq m=M\left(p_{j}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(p_{i}\right) \leq M\left(p_{j}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.0 Conclusion

Just as we have the real number system $R$, we introduce the practical number system $(P)$ where $P \subseteq R$. It is easily seen that if $P$ can be written as the sum of distinct divisors of $q$, then $\frac{p}{q}$ can be expanded with no denominator grater than $q$ itself. This and other properties of practical numbers, stated hare makes it easy for fractions such as $\frac{p}{q}$ to be expressed in Egyptian fraction form.
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