

Asymptotic null controllability of nonlinear neutral volterra integrodifferential system with delays in control

¹A. N. Eke, and ²P. C. Jackreece.

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Nigeria, Nsukka,

²Department of Mathematics/ and Statistic, University Of Port Harcourt,
 Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Abstract

In this paper by using Larry-Schauder's fixed point theorem, we; obtained sufficient conditions for the asymptotic null controllability of nonlinear neutral volterra integrodifferential system.

Keywords: Asymptotic null controllability, volterra integral system, Larry-Schauder's Fixed point theorem.

1.0 Introduction

Neutral functional differential equation in Banach spaces has been studied by several authors [8,9,12] A neutral functional differential equations is one in which the derivatives of the past history or derivatives of functional of the past history are involved as well as the present state of the system. Neutral differential equations arise in many areas of applied mathematics and such equations have received much attention in recent years [4,5,7,8,11,13].

The concept of controllability plays a major role in finite-dimensional control theory, so it is natural to try to generalize this to infinite-dimension. The first step in the study of the problem of controllability is to determine if an object can be reached by some suitable control function. For continuous time invariant linear system in finite dimensional space the concept of controllability and reachability are equivalent and they are independent of time. But for infinite dimensional space, the situation is more complex and many different type of controllability and reachability has been studied in the literature. A weaker condition than exact controllability is the property of being able to steer all points exactly to the origin. This has important connections with the concept of stabilizability. Several authors have studied the null controllability of various kind of dynamic systems [1,7]. In [6], sufficient conditions for the controllability of semi-linear integrodifferential systems in a banach space are established using the asymptotic fixed point theorem for *k*-set contractions.

Rahima and Said [10], Jackfreece [4] established sufficient conditions for the relative controllability of the nonlinear neutral volterra integrodifferential system with distributed delays in the control of the form (1.1) using Schauder's fixed point theorem.

In this paper, we used the Larry-Schauder's fixed point theorem to develop conditions for the nonlinear neutral volterra integrodifferential system with distributed delays in the control of the form (1.1) to be asymptotically null controllable. Specifically, system (1.1) is defined by the nonlinear neutral volterra integrodifferential system of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)ds - g(t) \right] = A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t G(t,s)x(s)ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(t, \theta, x(t), u(t))u(t + \theta) + f(t, x(t), u(t)) \tag{1.1}$$

$$x(0) = x_0$$

¹e-mail: <a.n.eke@yahoo.com>

²e-mail: preboj@yahoo.com

²corresponding author

where $x \in C R^n$, $u \in C R^p$, $t \in J = [0, \infty)$ and $H(t, \theta, x(t), u(t))$ is an $n \times p$ dimensional matrix, continuous in $(t, x(t), u(t))$ for fixed θ and of bounded variation in θ on $[-h, 0]$ for each $(t, x, u) \in J \times R^{n \times p}$, the $n \times n$ matrix $A(t)$, $C(t, s)$ and $G(t, s)$ are continuous in their various variables. The n -vector functions f and g are respectively continuous and absolutely continuous. The integral is in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes sense.

2.0 Preliminary results

Let D denote the Banach space of continuous $R^n \times R^p$ -valued function defined on $J = [0, \infty)$ with the sup norm $\|(x, u)\| = \|x\| + \|u\|$ where $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in J\}$ and $\|u\| = \sup\{|u(t)| : t \in J\}$.

Consider the linear system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)ds - g(t) \right] = A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t G(t, s)x(s)ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(t, \theta, x(t), u(t))u(t + \theta) \quad (2.1)$$

The solution of (2.2) is given as in [12] by

$$x(t) = Z(t, 0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t, s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t Z(t, s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, x(s), u(s))u(t + \theta) \right] ds \quad (2.2)$$

where $Z(t, s)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(t, s)$ are continuous matrices satisfying

$$\mathcal{Z}(t, s) - \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t, \tau)C(\tau, s)d\tau + C(t, s) = -Z(t, s)A(s) - \int_0^t Z(t, s)G(t, s)d\tau \quad (2.3)$$

and where $Z(t, s) = I$ (identity matrix) and the solution of (1.1) is given by

$$x(t) = Z(t, 0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) - \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t, s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t Z(t, s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, x(s), u(s))u(t + \theta) + f(s, x(s), u(s)) \right] ds \quad (2.4)$$

Let us assume the following limit exists

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = Z \neq 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}(t) = \bar{Z}, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} k(\cos \tan t), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t) = W$$

where

$$W(t) = \int_0^t S(t, s)S^T(t, s)ds \quad (2.5)$$

$W(t)$ is the controllability matrix where T denotes the matrix transpose and

$$S(t, s) = \int_{-h}^0 Z(t, s - \theta)d_\theta \bar{H}(s - \theta, \theta, x, u)$$

$$\text{where } \bar{H}(s, \theta, x, u) = \begin{cases} H(s, \theta, x, u) & \text{for } s \leq t \\ 0 & \text{for } s > t \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.1

The system (1.1) is said to be asymptotically null controllable if for every $x_0 \in R^n$ there exists a control u defined in J such that $x(t) = x_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

Theorem 2.2

The linear system (2.1) is asymptotically null controllable if and only if W is non-singular.

Proof

Assume that W is non-singular, then for each $x_0 \in R^n$, $x_0 \neq 0$, define the control u in J as

$$u(t) = -(S^T(t, s)W)^{-1} \left[Z(x(0) - g(0)) + k + \int_0^\infty \bar{Z}g(s)ds \right]$$

clearly $x(0) = x_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ and so system (2.1) is asymptotically null controllable. Conversely assume that W is singular. Then there exists a vector $v \neq 0$ such that $v^T W v = 0$. It follows that

$$\int_0^\infty v^T S(t,s) (v^T S^T(t,s))^T ds = 0,$$

therefore $v^T S(t,s) = 0$ for $s \in J$. Since the solution is asymptotically null controllable, there exists a control $u(\cdot)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ Z(t,0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t,s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t Z(t,s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s,\theta, x(s), u(s))u(t+\theta) \right] ds \right\} = 0$$

Letting $g = 0$ we have $Z(t,s)x_0 + \int_0^\infty S(t,s)u(t+\theta)ds = 0$, so that $v^T Z(t,s)x_0 + \int_0^\infty v^T S(t,s)u(t+\theta)ds = 0$

which implies that $v^T Z(t,s)x_0 = 0$, so $v^T = 0$ which is a contradiction to the fact that $v \neq 0$, hence W must be non-singular. For the asymptotic null controllability of the non-linear system (1.1), we define an operator $T: C^n \times C^m \rightarrow C^n \times C^m$, $T(x,u) = (z,w)$ for which any fixed point $(x,u) \in C^n \times C^m$ will satisfy (1.1) with $x(0) = x_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$. Now for each $(x,u) \in C^n \times C^m$ we define

$$z(t) = Z(t,0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t,s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t Z(t,s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s,\theta, x(s), u(s))u(s+\theta) + f(s, x(s), u(s)) \right] ds$$

$$w(t) = (S^T(t,s))W^{-1} \left[-Z(x(0) - g(0)) - k - \int_0^\infty \bar{Z}g(s)ds - \int_0^\infty Zf(s, x(s))u(s)ds \right]$$

Note that if $(x,u) \in C^n \times C^m$ is a fixed point of T we have

$$x(t) = Z(t,0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t,s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t Z(t,s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s,\theta, x(s), u(s))u(s+\theta) + f(s, x(s), u(s)) \right] ds$$

$$u(t) = (S^T(t,s))W^{-1} \left[-Z(x(0) - g(0)) - k - \int_0^t \bar{Z}g(s)ds - \int_0^\infty Zf(s, x(s), u(s))ds \right]$$

Thus $x(t)$ is the solution of (1) corresponding to the control $u(t)$, with $x(0) = x_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$. To find such a fixed point we introduce a parameter $\mu \in [0,1]$ into problem (1.1) as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)ds - g(t) \right] = A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t G(t,s)x(s)ds + \mu \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(t,\theta, x(t), u(t))u(t+\theta) + f(t, x(t), u(t)) \right]$$

Consider the operator $T(x, u, \mu) = (z, w)$, where

$$z(t) = \mu \left\{ Z(t,0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t,s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t Z(t,s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s,\theta, x(s), u(s))u(t+\theta) + f(s, x(s), u(s)) \right] ds \right\}$$

$$w(t) = \mu \left\{ (S^T(t,s))W^{-1} \left[-Z(x(0) - g(0)) - k - \int_0^\infty \bar{Z}g(s)ds - \int_0^\infty Zf(s, x(s))u(s)ds \right] \right\}$$

We need to show that in an appropriate Banach space D there exists a pair $(x,u) \in D$ with $T(x,u,1) = (x,u)$. To show this we need the following Larry-Schauder's fixed point theorem as used in [2,3]. Note that $T(x, u, \mu)$ is completely continuous in (x,u) for each $\mu \in [0,1]$ and maps every bounded subset of D into a relatively compact set.

3.0 Main result

We state and prove sufficient conditions for the system (1.1) to be asymptotically null controllable. For this result we denote $\sigma = \|W^{-1}\|$ and for any bounded J we let $C(J, R^{n+m})$ be the Banach space of all continuous R^n -valued function on J with the sup norm.

Theorem 3.1

Assume the following to hold for system (1.1) and system (2.1)

- (i) System (2.1) is asymptotically null controllable
- (ii) The fundamental matrix solution $Z(t)$ is such that $\|Z(t)\| \leq m_1$ and $\|g(t)\| \leq m_2$ for $t \in J$

where m_1 and m_2 are some positive constants.

- (iii) The $n \times p$ continuous matrix $H(t, \theta, x(t), u(t))$ is bounded on J with

$$\max \sup \left\| \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, x(s), u(s)) ds \right\| \leq \beta \text{ for } \beta > 0, s \in J$$

- (iv) There exist constants P, R, N, M such that for $s \in J$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t, s) g(s) ds \right\| \leq P$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\| \int_0^t Z(t, s) \left(\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, x(s), u(s)) u(s + \theta) \right) ds \right\| \leq M$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\| \int_0^t Z(t, s) f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds \right\| \leq R(\|x\| + \|u\|) + N$$

- (v) The matrix W is nonsingular

The system (1.1) is asymptotically null controllable if we can choose the constants such that

$$[(M + R) + \beta m_1 \sigma R] < 1$$

Proof

Let $J_1 = [0, 1]$, $d = n + p$ and let the sup norm of $C(J_1, R^d)$ be $\|\cdot\|_1$. Assume that $\omega = (x, u) \in C(J_1, R^n)$ and consider the function $\bar{\omega}(\cdot)$ defined by $\bar{\omega}(t) = \omega(t)$ $t \in J_1$, $\bar{\omega}(t) = \omega(1)$ $t \in [1, \infty)$. Clearly the set of all $\bar{\omega}$ is a Banach space which we designate by C_1 with norm $\|\bar{\omega}\|_2 = \|\omega\|_1$. Here $C_1 = D_1 \times E_1$ where D_1 is defined with elements $x \in C(J_1, R^n)$ and E_1 defined with elements $u \in C(J_1, R^p)$ so $\|\bar{\omega}\|_2 = \|\bar{x}\|_{D_1} + \|\bar{u}\|_{E_1}$ where

$\bar{\omega} = (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in C_1$. Consider the operator $T: C_1 \rightarrow C_1$ defined by $T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu) = (\bar{z}, \bar{w})$, where

$$\bar{z}(t) = \mu \left\{ Z(t, 0)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}(t, s) g(s) ds + \int_0^t Z(t, s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, x(s), u(s)) u(s + \theta) + f(s, x(s), u(s)) \right] ds \right\}$$

$$\bar{w}(t) = \mu \left\{ (S^T(t, s)) W^{-1} \left[-Z(x(0) - g(0)) - k - \int_0^\infty \bar{Z} g(s) ds - \int_0^\infty \bar{Z} f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds \right] \right\}$$

Here we want to show that $T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, 1)$ has a fixed point. First we shall prove that $T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu)$ is continuous in μ . To see this let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in C_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu_1)(t) - T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu_2)(t)| &\leq |\mu_1 - \mu_2| \left\{ \left| Z(t)[x(0) - g(0)] + |g(t)| + \left| \int_0^t Z(t,s)g(s)ds \right| \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left| \int_0^t Z(t,s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s))u(s+\theta) + f(\bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right] ds \right| \right\} \\
&\quad + |\mu_1 - \mu_2| \left\| (S^T(t,s))W^{-1}[Z(x0) - g(0)] - k - \int_0^\infty \bar{Z}g(s)ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^\infty Zf(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s))ds \right\| \\
&\leq |\mu_1 - \mu_2| \{ m_1 |x_0 - g(0)| + m_2 + p + M \|\bar{u}\| + R(\|\bar{x}\| + \|\bar{u}\|) + N \\
&\quad + |\mu_1 - \mu_1| \beta m_1 \sigma \{ m_1 |x_0 - g(0)| + k + P + R(\|\bar{u}\| + \|\bar{x}\|) + N \}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu_1) - T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu_2)\|_2 = \sup_{t \in J_1} |T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu_1)(t) - T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu_2)(t)|$. It follows that the operator $T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu)$ is continuous in μ uniformly in any bounded subset of C_1 . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\omega} &= (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \quad \bar{\omega}_n = (\bar{x}_n, \bar{u}_n), \quad \omega, \omega_n \in C_1 \quad n = 1, 2, \Lambda \\
\bar{y}_n &= (\bar{z}_n, \bar{\omega}_n) = T(\bar{x}_n, \bar{u}_n, \mu), \quad \bar{y} = (\bar{z}, \bar{\omega}) = T(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \mu)
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\omega}_n - \bar{\omega}\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\omega_n - \omega\|_1 = 0$. That is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{x}_n - \bar{x}\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\|\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}\| = 0$$

Then we have

$$\|\bar{y}_n - \bar{y}\| = \sup_{t \in J_1} |y_n(t) - y(t)| = \sup_{t \in J_1} [|z_n(t) - z(t)| + |w_n(t) - w(t)|]$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in J_1} |z_n(t) - z(t)| &\leq \int_0^\infty \left\| Z(s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), (\bar{u}_n(s) - \bar{u}(s)))(\bar{u}_n(s+\theta) - \bar{u}(s+\theta)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{\omega}_n(s)) - f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{\omega}(s)) \right] \right\| ds
\end{aligned}$$

And the integral tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus it follows that from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{z}_n - \bar{z}\| = 0$. Similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\omega}_n - \bar{\omega}\| = 0, \text{ therefore } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{y}_n - \bar{y}\| = 0.$$

Thus this proves that $T(\bar{\omega}, \mu)$ with respect to $\bar{\omega}$ is continuous. Let K be a bounded subset of C_1 with bound b_K . We now show that the family of functions $\bar{y} = T(\bar{\omega}, \mu)$, $\bar{\omega} \in K$, $\mu \in [0, 1]$ are equicontinuous.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, then,

$$|\bar{y}(t_1) - \bar{y}(t_2)| = |\bar{z}(t_1) - \bar{z}(t_2)| + |\bar{w}(t_1) - \bar{w}(t_2)|$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
|z(t) - \bar{z}(t)| &\leq \|Z(t_1) - Z(t_2)\| \|x_0 - g(0)\| + \|g(t_1) - g(t_2)\| + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \mathcal{Z}(t_1, s)g(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} \mathcal{Z}(t_2, s)g(s)ds \right\| \\
&+ \left\| \int_0^{t_1} Z(t_1, s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \bar{u}(s + \theta) + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right] ds \right. \\
&- \left. \int_0^{t_2} Z(t_2, s) \left[\int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \bar{u}(s + \theta) + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right] ds \right\| \\
&\leq \|Z(t_1) - Z(t_2)\| \|x_0 - g(0)\| + \|g(t_1) - g(t_2)\| + \int_0^{t_1} \|\mathcal{Z}(t_1, s) - \mathcal{Z}(t_2, s)\| \|g(s)\| ds - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\mathcal{Z}(t_2, s)\| \|g(s)\| ds \\
&+ \int_0^{t_1} \|Z(t_1, s) - Z(t_2, s)\| \left\| \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \bar{u}(s + \theta) + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right\| ds \\
&+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Z(t_2, s)\| \left\| \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \bar{u}(s + \theta) + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right\| ds \\
&+ \int_0^{t_1} \|Z(t_1, s) - Z(t_2, s)\| \left\| \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \bar{u}(s + \theta) + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right\| ds \\
&+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Z(t_2, s)\| \left\| \int_{-h}^0 d_\theta H(s, \theta, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \bar{u}(s + \theta) + f(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{u}(s)) \right\| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \|\bar{w}(t_1) - \bar{w}(t_2)\| \leq \|S^T(t_1, s) - S^T(t_2, s)\| \sigma [m_1 \|x_0 - g(0)\| + k + P + R \|\bar{w}\| + N]$$

These estimates show that the given family of functions is equicontinuous. To show that the family of functions is uniformly bounded follows from the following argument, $\|\bar{y}\|_2 = \|\bar{z}\|_{D_1} + \|\bar{w}\|_{E_1}$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{z}\|_{D_1} &\leq m_1 \|x_0 - g(0)\| + m_2 + P + M (\|\bar{u}\|_{E_1} + \|\bar{x}\|_{D_1}) + N \\
\|\bar{w}\|_{E_1} &\leq \beta m_1 \sigma [m_1 \|x_0 - g(0)\| + k + P + R (\|\bar{u}\|_{E_1} + \|\bar{x}\|_{D_1}) + N]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the given family of functions is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Hence, the equicontinuity and uniform boundedness of the family of functions implies that $T(k, \mu)$ is relatively compact in C_1 for each $\mu \in [0, 1]$. Now assume that the equation

$$T(\bar{w}, \mu) - \bar{w} = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

Has a solution \bar{w} in C_1 for fixed $\mu \in [0, 1]$, then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{w}(t)\| &= \|\bar{x}(t) + \bar{u}(t)\| \leq m_1 \|x_0 - g(0)\| + m_2 + P + M \|\bar{u}\| + R \|\bar{w}\| + N \\
&+ \beta m_1 \sigma [m_1 \|x_0 - g(0)\| + k + P + R \|\bar{w}\| + N] \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \|\bar{w}\| \quad t \in [0, 1]
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_1 = (1 + \beta m_1 \sigma) [m_1 \|x_0 - g(0)\| + P + N] + m_2 + \beta m_1 \sigma$, $\delta_2 = (M + R) + \beta m_1 \sigma R$

$$\text{which implies } \|\bar{w}\|_{C_1} = \|\omega\| \leq \delta_1 (1 - \delta_2)^{-1} \equiv \delta \tag{3.2}$$

By the assumption $[(M + R) + \beta m_1 \sigma R] < 1$. It follows that the solution of (3.1) is uniformly bounded with respect to $\mu [0, 1]$. Hence the conditions of Larry-Schauder's theorem are satisfied for the interval $J_1 = [0, 1]$, so that $T(\bar{w}, 1)$ has a fixed point $\bar{y} = T(\bar{w}, 1) = \omega \in C_1$ and so equation (1.1) is asymptotically null controllable in the interval J_1 . The above analysis can be similarly carried to further intervals and indeed the entire interval $J = (t_0, \infty)$ by considering intervals $J_k = [t_0, t_k]$, $1 \leq k < \infty$. Hence theorem is proved.

4.0 Conclusion

We proved the asymptotic null controllability of a nonlinear neutral volterra integrodifferential system using the Larry-Schauder's theorem. The method involves the development of sufficient conditions that guarantees steering the solution of the control system to the zero in the long run.

Reference:

- [1] Anthony N. Eke, (1990), Null Controllability Of Linear Systems, Jour. Inst. Math & Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser) Vol 3, No. 2, 149-154.
- [2] Anthony N. Eke, (1990), Total Controllability For Nonlinear Perturbed Systems, Jour. Inst. Math. & Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser) Vol 3, No 3, 335-340.
- [3] A. G. Kartsatos, (1974), The Larry-Schauder's Theorem And The Existence Of Solutions To Boundary Valued Problem On Infinite Interval, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 23, 1021-1029.
- [4] Jackreece P.C., (2006), Relative Controllability Of Nonlinear Neutral Volterra Integrodifferential Systems With Delays In The Control, Journal Of The Nigerian Association Of Mathematical Physics, Vol 10, 543-546.
- [5] K. Balachandran And E. R. Anandhi, (2004), Controllability Of Neutral Functional Integrodifferential Infinite Delay Systems In Banach Space, Taiwanese Journal Of Mathematics, Vol 8, No 4, 689-702.
- [6] K. Balachandrn, J. P. Dauer And P. Balasubramaniam, (1996), Controllability Of Semilinear Integrodifferential Systems In Banach Spaces, Journal Of Mathematical Systems Estimations And Control, Vol 6, No 4, 1-10.
- [7] K. Balachandran And Leelamani, (2006), Null Controllability Of Neutral Evolution Integrodifferential Systems With Infinite Delay.
- [8] K. Balachandran, Jeong-Hoonkim And A. Leelamani, (2006), Existence Results For Nonlinear Abstract Neutral Differential Equations With Time Varying Delays, Applied Mathematics, E-Notes, 6, 186-193.
- [9] K. Balachandran And J. P. Dauer, (1998), Existence Of Solutions Of A Nonlinear Mixed Neutral Equation, Applied Mathematics Letters, 11, 23-28.
- [10] Klamka J, (1978), Relative Controllability Of Nonlinear Systems With Distributed Delays In Control, International Journal Of Control, 28, 307-312.
- [11] Rahima Atmania, Said Mazouzi, (2005), Controllability Of Semilinear Integrodiffeential Equations With Nonlinear Conditions, Electronic Journal Of Differential Equations, Vol 2005, No 75, 1-9.
- [12] S. K. Ntouyas, Y.G. Sficas And P.Ch. Tsamatos, (1994), Existence Result For Initial Value Problems For Neutral Functional Differential Equations, Journal Of Differential Equations, 114, 527-537.
- [13] Xian Iong Fu, (2003), Controllability Of Neutral Functional Differential Systems In Abstract Space, Applied Mathematics And Computation, 141, 281-296.
- [14] Wu, J, (1978), Periodic Solution Of Non Convolution Neutral Integrodifferential Equations, Acta Math. Sc. 8, 301-34.