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#### Abstract

The sole aim of this work is to develop a mathematical model for dredging (excavating) three open channel sections, namely, the circular, parabolic and trapezoidal sections using the conditions for best hydraulic performance for the channels. Applying the model to a numerical example, new dimensions of the new channel for the three channel sections are determined and compared with the original ones, if the cross sectional area, bed slope and Manning's friction factor remain unchanged for each channel and if the side slopes are also stable with respect to the trapezoidal channel. Furthermore, a combination of our model with Darcy's formula can provide an alternative method for comparing the hydraulic performances of the three channel sections.


### 1.0 Introduction

An open channel is a conduit for flow with a free surface, e.g. canals, rivers and pipes which are not running full. The pressure at the surface is constant, usually atmospheric. Hence the flow is not due to pressure differences along the channel, but is caused by differences in the potential energy head due to the slope of the channel (Chow [1]). Various investigations have been done in open channel flows. For instance, in studying flow in a channel with a slot in the bed, Nasser et al. [2] tried to provide an insight into some aspects of spatially varied open channel flow. Other investigators include, notably, Bradley and Peterka [3], Repogle [4], Rand [5], Pnueli and Pekerlis[6],Baddour and Abbink [7],Scott-Moncrieff 8], Eyo [9], Khurmi [10], etc.

In this work the flow is assumed to be uniform and steady. Mathematical model governing the excavation of circular, parabolic and trapezoidal channels is developed and the three channels sections are compared. From the numerical results, for a channel flow problem, it is noticed that the parabolic section is hydraulically and economically the most effective section, followed by the circular and trapezoidal sections.

### 2.0 Conditions of hydraulic performance

### 2.1 Circular section of a channel

Consider a circular section of a channel shown in Figure 1 with the free surface subtending an angle $2 \theta$ at the centre O . Let $h$ be the depth of flow and $r$ the radius of the circular section.

The cross sectional area of the channel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{c}}=r^{2}(\theta-1 / 2 \sin 2 \theta) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript ' $c$ ' refers to the circular channel The wetted perimeter is $P=2 \mathrm{r} \theta$


Figure 1: Circular section of an open channel

Therefore the hydraulic mean depth is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{A_{C}}{P}=r^{2} \frac{\theta-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta}{2 r \theta} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For effective hydraulic performance the velocity u in Chezy's law [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=C \sqrt{\left(M S_{0}\right)}=C\left(\frac{A_{c}}{P} S_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be maximum where $C=$ Chezy's coefficient, $S_{0}=$ bed slope, $M, P$ and $A_{\mathrm{c}}$ are as above. This maximum requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \theta}\left(\frac{A_{c}}{P}\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus differentiating (2.3) with respect to $\theta$ we find

$$
\frac{2 r \theta r^{2}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)-r^{2}\left(\theta-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta\right) 2 r}{4 r^{2} \theta^{2}}=0
$$

which on simplification gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \theta=\tan 2 \theta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this equation gives $2 \theta=257.5^{0}$
Therefore
$\theta=128.75^{0}$
$\theta=2.2474 \mathrm{rad}$.
or
Here the notation 'rad.' = radians, Depth of flow (see Figure 1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=r-r \cos \theta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting (2.9) into (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {effective }}=4.4948 r \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a condition for best hydraulic performance for the circular channel. Similarly, substituting (2.7) and (2.9) into (2.3) we find $\mathrm{M}_{\text {effective }}=0.6085 r$
while substitution of (2.8) into (2.10) yields $\quad h_{\text {effective }}=1.6259 r$
as other conditions for effective hydraulic performance

### 2.2 Parabolic section

The cross sectional area of flow is (see Figure 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}=\frac{2 B h}{3} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=$ top width and $h$ is above. The subscript ' $P$ ' denotes the parabolic channel (Figure 2 here).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The wetted perimeter is } \quad P=B+\frac{8 h^{2}}{3 B} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hydraulic mean depth is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{A_{p}}{P}=\frac{\frac{2}{3} B h}{B+\frac{8 h^{2}}{3 B}}=\frac{2 B^{2} h}{3 B^{2}+8 h^{2}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Parabolic section of an open channel
From (2.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\frac{3 A_{p}}{2 h} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.17) into (2.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{18 A_{p}^{2} h}{27 A_{p}^{2}+32 h^{4}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For efficient performance the hydraulic mean depth must be maximum for a given value of $A_{p}$. This requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d M}{d h}=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\frac{\left(27 A_{p}^{2}+32 h^{4}\right) 18 A_{p}^{2}-18 A_{p}^{2} h 128 h^{3}=0}{\left(27 A_{p}^{2}+32 h^{4}\right)^{2}}$. Therefore $\quad A_{p}^{2}=\frac{32 h^{4}}{9}$
Substituting (2.20) into (2.18) for conditions of efficient hydraulic performance we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\text {efficient }}=\frac{h}{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for parabolic channel. Substituting (2.20) into (2.17) we obtain another condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B}{h}=2 \sqrt{2} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determines the relationship of top width to depth of flow.

### 2.3 Trapezoidal section of a channel

Consider a trapezoidal section of a channel shown in Figure 3 with side slopes of 1 vertical to $k$ horizontal. Let $h$ be the depth of flow and $b$ the bottom width. The cross sectional area of the trapezoidal channel is


Figure 3: Trapezoidal section of an open channel

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{T}=h(b+k h) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the subscript ' $T$ ' refers to the trapezoidal channel. The wetted perimeter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=b+2 h\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the hydraulic mean depth is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{A_{T}}{P}=\frac{h(b+k h)}{b+2 h\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.23) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{A_{T}}{h}=k h \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (26) into (25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{A_{T} h}{A_{T}+\alpha h^{2}} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=2\left(1+\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\mathrm{k} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For best hydraulic performance the hydraulic mean depth $M$ must be maximum for a given value of $A_{T}$.
This requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d M}{d h}=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\quad \frac{A_{T}\left(A_{T}+\alpha h^{2}\right)-2 \alpha h\left(A_{T} h\right)}{\left(A_{T}+\alpha h^{2}\right)^{2}}=0$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{T}=\alpha h^{2} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.30) into (2.27) for conditions for best hydraulic performance we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\text {best }}=\frac{h}{2} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.26), (2.28) and (2.30) we obtain another condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{h}=2\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-2 k \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determines the relationship of bottom width to depth of flow.

### 3.0 Development of mathematical model for dredging the three open channels

Throughout, the two systems in dredging an open channel shall be denoted by the symbols 0 and N where

System $0=$ original open channel (i.e. open channel before dredging)
System $\mathrm{N}=$ new open channel (i.e. open channel after dredging)

### 3.1 Mathematical model for circular channel

(i) Determination of the new radius $r_{N}$

For constancy in the cross sectional area, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{N}^{2}\left(\theta_{N} r a d-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta_{N}^{0}\right)=A_{c}=r_{0}\left(\theta_{0}^{2} \mathrm{rad}-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta_{0}^{0}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{N}=\left(\frac{A_{c}}{\theta_{N} r a d-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta_{N}^{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Determination of the new wetted perimeter $P_{N}$

From (2.11) $\quad P_{N}=4.4948 r_{N}$
(iii) Determination of the new hydraulic mean depth $M_{N}$

From (2.12) $\quad M_{N}=0.6086 r_{N}$
(iv) Determination of the new depth $h_{N}$

From (2.13) $\quad h_{\mathrm{N}}=1.6259 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}$
(v) Determination of the new discharge $Q_{N}$

From Manning's formula [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{n} A(M)^{\frac{2}{3}} S_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=$ discharge, $n=$ roughness factor, $S_{0}=$ bed slope, $A$ and $M$ as above, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}=\frac{1}{n} A_{c}\left(M_{N}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} S_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(vi) Determination of the new mean velocity $u_{N}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { From the relation } \\ \text { we obtain } & Q=A u \\ \text { so that } & Q_{N}=A_{c} u_{N} \\ & U_{N}=\frac{Q_{N}}{A_{c}}\end{array}$
Alternatively, from Manning's formula (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{N}=\frac{1}{n}\left(M_{N}\right) \frac{2}{3} S_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(vii) Determination of $\theta_{N}$

From (2.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \theta_{N}^{0}=257 \cdot 5^{0} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, from (2.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{rad}=2.2474 \mathrm{rad} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2 Mathematical model for parabolic channel
(viii) Determination of $h_{N}$

From (2.22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{N}}(2 \sqrt{ } 2) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the cross sectional area is constant, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} B_{N} h_{N}=A_{p}=\frac{2}{3} B_{0} h_{0} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15) and simplifying we obtain $\quad h_{N}=\left(\frac{3 A_{p}}{4 \sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
(ix) Determination of $B_{N}$

Substituting (3.16) into (3.14) we find $\quad B_{N}=\left(\frac{3 A_{p}}{4 \sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2 \sqrt{2}$
(x) Determination of $P_{N}$

From (2.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}=B_{N}+\frac{8 h_{N}^{2}}{3 B_{N}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xi) Determination of $M_{N}$

From (2.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}=\frac{h}{N} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xii) Determination of $Q_{N}$

From (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}=\frac{1}{n} A_{p}\left(M_{N}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} S_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xiii) Determination $u_{N}$

From (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{N}=\frac{Q_{N}}{A_{p}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Mathematical model for trapezoidal channel

(xiv) Determination of $h_{N}$

From (2.32)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N}=h_{N}\left(2\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-2 k\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the cross sectional area is constant for both channels, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N}\left(b_{N}+k h_{N}\right)=A_{T}=h_{0}\left(b_{0}+k h_{0}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.22) and (3.23) and simplifying we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N}=\left(\frac{A_{T}}{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xv) Determination of $b_{N}$

Substituting (3.24) into (3.22) we find $b_{N}=\left(\frac{A_{T}}{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(2\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-2 k\right)$
(xvi) Determination of $P_{N}$

From (2.24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}=b_{N}+2 h_{N}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xvii) Determination of $M_{N}$

From (2.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}=\frac{h N}{2} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xviii) Determination of $Q_{N}$

From (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}=\frac{1}{n} A_{T}\left(M_{N}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} S_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xix) Determination of $u_{N}$

From (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{N}=\frac{Q_{N}}{A_{T}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.7), (3.10) or (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) constitute the mathematical model for dredging the circular channel. For the parabolic channel the model is given by the expressions (3.16) - (3.21) while the expressions (3.23) - (3.29) constitute the model in respect of the trapezoidal channel.

### 4.0 Alternative method for comparing hydraulic performances of the channels

From Darcy's formular [1] the head loss $h_{f}$ due to friction in an open channel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{f}=\frac{f L u^{2}}{M 2 g} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus
(xx) Head loss in the original channel $\left(h_{f}\right)_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h_{f}\right)_{0}=\frac{f L_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)^{2}}{M_{0} 2 g} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xxi) Head loss in the new channel $\left(h_{f}\right)_{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h_{f}\right)_{N}=\frac{f L_{N}\left(u_{N}\right)^{2}}{M_{N} 2 g} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xxii) Decrease in head loss due to dredging $\left(h_{f}\right)_{0}-\left(h_{f}\right)_{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f L_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)^{2}}{M_{0} 2 g}\right)-\left(\frac{f L_{N}\left(u_{N}\right)^{2}}{M_{N} 2 g}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the relations (4.2) - (4.4) constitute the alternative model for comparing the hydraulic performances of the three channel sections.

### 5.0 Numerical illustration

Consider, for example, a channel with bed slope 1 in 500 , bottom width 20 m and conveying water at a depth of 5 m , Manning's coefficient n is 0.012 . Using the model we wish to determine, after dredging, the new dimensions of a channel to give the maximum discharge, the new discharge, the new mean velocity and the percentage decrease in head loss in
(a) Circular section of radius 20 m
(b) Parabolic section of top width 20 m
(c) Trapezoidal section of sides 1 vertical to 2 horizontal

### 5.1 Solution

### 5.1.1 Circular section

For the original channel:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}=20 \mathrm{~m}, h_{0}=5 \mathrm{~m}, \theta_{0}=41^{0}=0.7156 \mathrm{rad} ., \\
& n=0.012, S_{0}=1 / 500, A_{c}=88.24 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, P_{0}=28.62 \mathrm{~m} \\
& M_{0}=3.08 \mathrm{~m}, Q_{0}=695.597 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}, u_{0}=7.883 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The dimension $r_{N}$ of the new (excavated) circular channel is obtained by substituting (3.12) and (3.13) and the value of $A_{c}$ above into the model expression (3.2). This yields $r_{N}=5.679 \mathrm{~m}$. The parameters $P_{N}$, $M_{N}, h_{N}$ of the new channel are determined respectively from the expressions (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) using the value of $r_{N}$. Thus we find $P_{N}=25.528, M_{N}=3.456 m, h_{N}=9.234 m$. Other parameters of the new circular channel, namely, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{N}}$ are computed respectively from the relations (3.7), (3.10) or (3.11) via appropriate substitution. The result is $Q_{N}=751.157 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}, u_{N}=8.512 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

### 5.2 Parabolic section

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{0}=20 \mathrm{~m}, h_{0}=5 \mathrm{~m}, n=0.012, S_{0}=1 / 500, A_{\mathrm{p}}=66.666 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& P_{0}=23.333 \mathrm{~m}, M_{0}=2.857 \mathrm{~m}, Q_{0}=499.911 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}, u_{0}=7.497 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the original channel. For the new parabolic channel the parameters $h_{N}$ and $B_{N}$ are obtained respectively by substituting the value of $A_{P}$ above into the model expressions (3.16) and (3.17). Thus, $h_{N}=$ $5.946 m, B_{N}=16.818 m$. The remaining parameters, $P_{N}, M_{N}, Q_{N}, u_{N}$ of the new parabolic channel are determined respectively from the expressions (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), (3.21) through appropriate substitution of the above data in these relations. This yields, $P_{N}=22.424 m, M_{N}=2.973 \mathrm{~m}, Q_{N}=513.323$, $u_{N}=7.699 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

### 5.3 Trapezoidal section

For the original channel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}=20 \mathrm{~m}, h_{0}=5 \mathrm{~m}, k=2, n=0.012, S_{0}=1 / 500, A_{T}=150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, \\
& P_{0}=42.361 \mathrm{~m}, M_{0}=3.541 \mathrm{~m}, Q_{0}=1297.611 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}, u_{0}=8.651 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The dimensions $h_{N}$ and $b_{N}$ of the excavated trapezoidal channel are obtained respectively by substituting the appropriate data above in the model expression (3.24) and (3.25). Thus, $h_{N}=7.789 \mathrm{~m}$ and $b_{N}=3.677 \mathrm{~m}$. Other parameters $P_{N}, M_{N}, Q_{N}, u_{N}$ of the new trapezoidal channel are determined respectively from the model expressions (3.26), (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) through appropriate substitution. Thus, we find $P_{N}=$ $38.513 \mathrm{~m}, M_{N}=3.894 \mathrm{~m}, Q_{N}=1382.561 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}, u_{N}=9.217 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

### 5.4 Application of the alternative method

> From the alternative method (4.4) we obtain
(a) Decrease in head loss in circular channel due to dredging:

$$
\left(h_{f}\right)_{0}=\left(h_{f}\right)_{N}=\left(\frac{0.012 \times 20}{3.08}\right)\left(\frac{(7.883)^{2}}{2 \times 9.81}\right)-\left(\frac{0.012 \times 5.679}{3.456}\right)\left(\frac{(8.512)^{2}}{2 \times 9.81}\right)=0.1739
$$

Therefore percentage decrease in head loss in the circular channel $=70.49 \%$
(b) Decrease in head loss in parabolic channel due to dredging

$$
\left(h_{f}\right)_{0}=\left(h_{f}\right)_{N}=\left(\frac{0.012 \times 20}{2.857}\right)\left(\frac{(7.498)^{2}}{2 \times 9.81}\right)-\left(\frac{0.012 \times 16.818}{2.973}\right)\left(\frac{(7.699)^{2}}{2 \times 9.81}\right)=0.0356
$$

Therefore percentage decrease in head loss in the parabolic channel $=14.79 \%$
(c)

Decrease in head loss in trapezoidal channel due to dredging:

$$
\left(h_{f}\right)_{0}=\left(h_{f}\right)_{N}=\left(\frac{0 \cdot 012 \times 20}{3.541}\right)\left(\frac{(8 \cdot 651)^{2}}{2 \times 9 \cdot 81}\right)-\left(\frac{0 \cdot 012 \times 3 \cdot 677}{3 \cdot 894}\right)\left(\frac{(9 \cdot 217)^{2}}{2 \times 9 \cdot 81}\right)=0 \cdot 2094
$$

Therefore percentage decrease in head loss in the trapezoidal channel $=81.19 \%$

### 6.0 Discussion and conclusion

Tables 1, 2 and 3 show respectively the results (corrected to two decimal places) of the analysis of the flow problem for the original and new (excavated) circular, parabolic and trapezoidal channels. The new dimensions for the new channel sections are also shown in the three Tables. For instance, the new dimension $r_{N}$ for the circular section is displayed in Table 1. Table 2 shows the new dimensions $h_{N}$ and $B_{N}$ for the parabolic section, while Table 3 shows the new dimensions $b_{N}, h_{N}$ in respect of the trapezoidal section. Furthermore the three Tables show that whereas for each channel the new depth, new wetted perimeter, new hydraulic mean depth, new discharge and the new mean velocity are greater than the original ones, the new width for each channel is lower than the original one. Comparison of the wetted perimeters of the three channel sections shows that the parabolic section has the minimum perimeter for a particular cross sectional area, and hence it becomes more effective hydraulically than the circular and trapezoidal sections. Moreover, the parabolic section is economically better than the other two sections because its wetted perimeter is minimum and this therefore results in minimum excavation and lining costs. From the results obtained from (4.4) we observe that the parabolic section has the smallest percentage decrease in head loss due to friction (see the three Tables). This percentage is in direct proportion to the wetted perimeter of each channel section. Thus, in view of this minimum percentage (and hence minimum wetted perimeter) the parabolic section still becomes (of the three sections) the most effective hydraulic section.

Finally, it is clear from the three Tables that the new channel is deeper than the original one as a result of dredging, and this therefore removes the danger of a ship grounding if it sails too fast. Besides, apart from economic cost due to dredging and lining, the new channel is much more effective hydraulically than the original one with the parabolic section the most effective.

Table 1: Result for circular channel

|  | Circular channel |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original channel | New channel |
| Bed slope | $1 / 500$ | $1 / 500$ |
| Manning's $n$ | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| Angle $\theta$ | $41^{0}$ | $128.75^{0}$ |
| Radius $r$ | 20 m | 5.68 m |
| Depth $h$ | $5 m$ | 9.23 m |
| Area of cross section $A_{c}$ | $88.24 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $88.24 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Wetted perimeter $P$ | 28.62 m | 25.53 m |
| Hydraulic mean depth $M$ | 3.08 m | 3.45 m |
| Discharge $Q$ | $695.60 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $751.16 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Mean velocity $u$ | $7.88 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | $8.51 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Decrease in head loss | 0.1739 |  |
| $\%$ Decrease in head loss | $70.49 \%$ |  |

Table 2: Result for parabolic channel

|  | Parabolic channel |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Original channel | New channel |
| Bed slope | $1 / 500$ | $1 / 500$ |
| Manning's $n$ | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| Tope Width $B$ | 20 m | 16.82 m |
| Depth $h$ | 5 m | 5.95 m |
| Area of cross section $A_{p}$ | $66.67 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $66.67 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Wetted perimeter $P$ | 23.33 m | 22.42 m |
| Hydraulic mean depth $M$ | 2.86 m | 2.97 m |
| Discharge $Q$ | $499.91 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $513.32 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Mean velocity $u$ | $7.50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | $7.70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Decrease in head loss | 0.0356 |  |
| \% Decrease in head loss | $14.79 \%$ |  |

Table 3: Result for Trapezoidal channel

|  | Trapezoidal channel |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original <br> channel | New channel |
| Side slope | 1vertical to 2 <br> horizontal | 1vertical to 2 <br> horizontal |
| Bed slope | $1 / 500$ | $1 / 500$ |
| Manning's $n$ | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| Width $b$ | 20 m | 3.67 m |
| Depth $h$ | 5 m | 7.79 m |
| Area of cross section $A_{T}$ | $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Wetted perimeter $P$ | 42.36 m | 38.51 m |
| Hydraulic mean depth $M$ | 3.54 m | 3.89 m |
| Discharge $Q$ | $1297.61 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $1382.56 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Mean velocity u | $8.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | $9.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Decrease in head loss | 0.2094 |  |
| \% Decrease in head loss | $81.19 \%$ |  |

## References

[1] Chow, V.T. (1959). Open channel hydraulics. McGraw Hill, New York
[2] Naser, M.S., Venkataraman, P. and Ramamurthy, A.S. (1980). Flow in a channel with a slot in the bed. J. Hydr. Res., 18, No. 4, $359-367$.
[3] Bradley, J.N. and Peterka, J.A. (1975). The hydraulic design of stilling basin. ASCE, J. Hydr. Div. 83, No. HY5, 13911395.
[4] Repogle, J.A. (1962). Discussion of Paper, "End depth at a drop in trapezoid channels", by Diskin, M.H. (Proc. Papter 2851). Proceeding, ASCE, J. Hydr. Div., HY2, 161-165.
[5] Rand, W. (1965). Flow over a vertical sill in an open channel. J. Hydr.Div., ASCE, 91:HY4, 97-121.
[6] Pnueli, A and Pekeris, C.L. (1968). Free tidal oscillations in flat basins of the form of rectangles and sectors of circles. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser.A, 263, 149-171.
[7] Baddour, R.E and Abbink, H. (1983). Turbulent underflow in a short channel of limited depth. J. Hydr.Div., ASCE, 109: HY6, 722 - 740.
[8] Scott-Moncrieff, A. (1974). Behaviour of water jet in a diverging shallow open channel. Fifth Australian Conference on Hydraulic and Fluid Mechanics, New Zealand, 42-48.
[9] Eyo, A.E. (2007). Mathematical modeling and its impact on dredging a rectangular open channel with hydraulic jump. J. Sci. Engr. Tech., 14,No. 2, 7375 -7387.
[10] Khurmi, R.S. (2004). A textbook of hydraulics. S. Chand and Company Ltd., New Delhi.

