

Certain inequalities and convolution properties for multivalent and meromorphically multivalent functions

¹Abiodun Tinuoye Oladipo and ²M.O. Ogundiran

¹*Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
 Ladoke Akintola University of Technology,
 Ogbomosho, Oyo State, Nigeria*

²*Department of Physical Sciences
 Bells University of Technology, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria*
¹e-mail: atlab_3@yahoo.com, 08033929030

Abstract

By means of certain extended derivative operator of Salagean type, the author introduces and investigates three new subclasses of p-valently analytic functions. The reason for this is to use generalized Salagean derivative operator to bring together many earlier introduced subclasses of p-valently functions to become special cases of the newly defined subclasses, see [2,8]. The various results obtain for these functions include coefficient inequalities, coefficient bounds and convolution properties. These results coincides with many existing results using different choices of n and β

Keywords: Analytic function, P-valent, Meromorphic, Multivalent, Salagean, and Convolution.

1.0 Introduction

Let $T(p)$ and $M(p)$ denote the classes of functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ of the forms

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (p \in N) \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic and multivalent in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and in the punctured unit disk $U = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ respectively, see [2,5].

The author here wishes to define the following subclasses as follows:

$$T_n(p, \beta) = \{f \in T(p) : \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1,p} f(z)}{D^{n,p} f(z)} > \beta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p \in N\} \quad (1.3)$$

$$K_n(p, \beta) = \{f \in T(p) : \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n,p} f(z)}{z^p} > \beta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p \in N\} \quad (1.4)$$

$$M_n(p, \beta) = \{f \in M(p) : \operatorname{Re} - \frac{D^{n+1,p} g(z)}{D^{n,p} g(z)} > \beta, \quad n=0,1,2,\dots, p \in N\} \quad (1.5)$$

where $D^{n,p} f$ is the extended Salagean derivative operator defined as

$$D^{0,p} f = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad D^{1,p} f = (1-p)f(z) + zf'(z), \dots, D^{n,p} f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (k+1-p)^n a_k z^k \text{ for every } f \in T_n(p, \beta) \text{ and } K_n(p, \beta) \text{ and}$$

$$D^{0,p} g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \dots, D^{n,p} f = (1-2p)^n z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (k+1-p)^n a_k z^k$$

for every $g \in M_n(p, \beta)$, see [4]. These three new subclasses are the extension of the classes introduced in [2] and the result obtained for them are new. Also with different choices of n and β other existing results can be obtained see [8]. The Hadamard product (or convolution) of the function $f \in T(p)$ is given by

$$\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(z) = (f_1 * \dots * f_m)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (a_{k,j}) z^k \right)$$

and

$$\prod_{j=1}^m g_j(z) = (g_1 * \dots * g_m)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (a_{k,j}) z^k \right),$$

see [6].

To prove our main results the following shall be necessary.

Lemma A [1,3], Aini et al.

If $p \in P$ then, $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each k

2 0 Coefficient inequalities

In this section we shall state the following theorems:

Theorem 2.1

$$\text{Let } f \in T(p) \text{ satisfy } \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^n (\alpha_0 + \beta) |a_k| \leq 1 - \beta \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha = (k+1-p)$ and $\alpha_0 = (k-p-1)$ then $f \in T_n(p, \beta)$ where $n=0,1,2,\dots, 0 \leq \beta < 1, p \in N$, see method of proof in [7].

Theorem 2.2

$$\text{Let } f \in T(p) \text{ satisfies } \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^n |a_k| \leq 1 - \beta \text{ where } \alpha = (k+1-p) \text{ then } f \in$$

$K_n(p, \beta), n=0,1,2,\dots, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, p \in N$, see method of proof in [7]

Theorem 2.3

$$\text{Let } g \in M(p) \text{ satisfies } \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^n (\alpha + \beta) a_k \leq \rho^n (2p-1-\beta) \text{ where } \alpha = (k+1-p)$$

and $\rho = (1-2p)$ then $g \in M_n(p, \beta)$

3.0 Coefficient bounds

Theorem 3.1

Let $f \in T_n(p, \beta)$. Then we have the following inequalities.

- (i) $|a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2^{n-1}}$
- (ii) $|a_{p+2}| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{n-2}}$
- (iii) $|a_{p+3}| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{n-3}} + \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{2^{n-3}}$

Proof

Since $f \in T_n(p, \beta)$, we have
$$\frac{D^{n+1,p} f(z)}{D^{n,p} f(z)} = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \quad (3.1)$$

for $p \in P$. Setting $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ and comparing coefficients in (3.1) the results follow. ■

Theorem 3.2

Let $f \in K_n(p, \beta)$. Then we have

$$a_{p+j} = \frac{(1-\beta)c_j}{2^n} \text{ or } |a_{p+j}| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2^{n-1}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof

Since $f \in T_n(p, \beta)$ we have

$$\frac{D^{n,p} f(z)}{z^p} = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \quad (3.2)$$

Comparing the coefficients in (3.2) the results follow. ■

Theorem 3.3

Let $g \in M_n(p, \beta)$ then we note that the coefficient bounds for the functions in the subclass $g \in M_n(p, \beta)$ are zeros.

Proof

Since $g \in M_n(p, \beta)$ ■

4.0 Convolution properties

Theorem 4.1.

If $f_j(z) \in T_{n_j}^*(p, \beta)$, ($j = 1, \dots, m$), then $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(z) \in T_n(p, \gamma_m)$ where

$$\gamma_m = 1 - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m (1 - \beta_j)}{n - \sum_{j=1}^m n_j} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \beta_1 \beta_2 + \prod_{j=1}^m (1 - \beta_j)$$

where $n - \sum_{j=1}^m n_j \geq 0$

Proof

With the aid of theorem 2.1 we need to find the γ_m such that

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^n (\alpha_0 + \gamma_m) \prod_{j=1}^m |a_{k,j}| \leq 1 - \gamma_m.$$

Note here that if $m = 1$, then $\gamma_1 = \beta_1$. Now suppose $m = 2$. Then for functions $f_1(z) \in T_{n_1}^*(p, \beta_1)$ and $f_2(z) \in T_{n_2}^*(p, \beta_2)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^{n_1} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1) |a_{k,1}| \leq 1 - \beta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^{n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_2) |a_{k,2}| \leq 1 - \beta_2$$

so that $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n_1} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)}{1 - \beta_1} |a_{k,1}| \leq 1$ and $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_2)}{1 - \beta_2} |a_{k,2}| \leq 1$.

Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{n_1+n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)(\alpha_0 + \beta_2)}{(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2)}} |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}| \leq 1 \quad (4.2)$$

In order to prove that $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in T_n^*(p, \gamma_2)$ it is sufficient to show that

$$\sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{n_1+n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)(\alpha_0 + \beta_2)}{(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2)}} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_2}{\alpha^n (\alpha_0 + \gamma_2)} \right)$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \alpha^n (\alpha_0 + \beta) |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}| \leq (1 - \gamma_2) \sum \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{n_1+n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)(\alpha_0 + \beta_2)}{(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2)}} |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|$$

$$\leq (1 - \gamma_2) \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n_1} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)}{(1 - \beta_1)} |a_{k,1}| \right) \left(\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_2)}{(1 - \beta_2)} |a_{k,2}| \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 - \gamma_2$$

But from (4.2) we have for all $k = p + 1, (p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\})$

$$\sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|} \leq \frac{(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2)}{\alpha^{n_1+n_2} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)(\alpha_0 + \beta_2)}$$

Hence it is sufficient to find the largest γ_2 such that

$$\sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}{\alpha^{n_1+n_2}(\alpha_0+\beta_1)(\alpha_0-\beta_2)}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{n_1+n_2}(\alpha_0+\beta_1)(\alpha_0-\beta_2)}{(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}} \left(\frac{1-\gamma_2}{\alpha^n(\alpha_0+\gamma_2)} \right)$$

That is, we have $\frac{(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}{\alpha^{n_1+n_2}(\alpha_0+\beta_1)(\alpha_0+\beta_2)} \leq \frac{1-\gamma_2}{\alpha^n(\alpha_0+\gamma_2)}$

that is, $\gamma_2 \leq 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha_0)(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}{\alpha^{n_1+n_2-n}(\alpha_0+\beta_1)(\alpha_0+\beta_2) + (1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}$

It is readily seen that the right hand side of (4.3) is an increasing function of k . Hence the large value of

$$\gamma_2 \text{ is given by } \gamma_2 = 1 - \frac{(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}{2^{n_1+n_2-n} \beta_1 \beta_2 + (1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2)}.$$

$$\text{Next we suppose that } \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(z) \in T_n'(p, \gamma_m, \lambda), \quad \gamma_m = 1 - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j)}{2^{\sum_{j=1}^m n_j - n} \beta_1 \beta_2 + \prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j)}.$$

Then, by repeating the processes above we obtain the $\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} f_j(z) \in T_n(p, \gamma_{m+1})$ where

$$\gamma_{m+1} = 1 - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1-\beta_{m+1})(1-\gamma_m)}{2^{n+1} \beta_{m+1} + \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1-\beta_{m+1})} \quad \text{so that } \gamma_{m+1} = 1 - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1-\beta_j)}{2^{\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} n_j - n} \beta_j + \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1-\beta_j)}.$$

Hence conclusion follow by induction. ■

Theorem 4.2

If $f_j(z) \in K_{n_j}(p, \beta_j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$, then $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(z) \in K_n(p, \gamma_m) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$,

where
$$\gamma_m = 1 - 2^{-\sum_{j=1}^m n_j} \prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j) \tag{4.3}$$

where $n - \sum_{j=1}^m n_j \geq 0$

Proof

The method of proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 with the use of Theorem 2.2. ■

Theorem 4.3

If $g_j(z) \in M_{n_j}(p, \beta_j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$, then $\prod_{j=1}^m g_j(z) \in M_n(p, \gamma_m) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$,

where

$$\gamma_m = \frac{(2p-1) \prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j) - \rho^{\sum_{j=1}^m n_j} \prod_{j=1}^m (2p-1-\beta_j)}{\rho^{\sum_{j=1}^m n_j} \prod_{j=1}^m (2p-1-\beta_j) + \prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j)} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof

The method of proof is the same as in Theorem 4.1 using theorem 2.3. ■

2.0 Conclusion

In conclusion, we are able to unify some existing subclasses under these three subclasses by different choices of n and β see [2,8].

References

- [1] Aini Janteng, Suzeni Abdul Halim and Maslina Darus, (2006). Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part. *J. Inequalities in Pure and Applied Math.* pp 1-11.
- [2] Imark, H. and Owa, S. (2003). Certain inequalities for multivalent Starlike and meromorphically multivalent Starlike functions. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, pp 11-21.
- [3] Ram Sing, (1973). On Bazilevic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pp 261-271.
- [4] Salagean G.S. (1983). Subclass of univalent functions, *Complex Analysis. Fifth omanian-Finish seminar, part I (Bucharest, 1981) lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 1013; 362-372.
- [5] Nehari, Z. E. Netanyahu, (1971). On the coefficients of Meromorphic schicht functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125-138.
- [6] Owa, S. and Srivastva, H. M. (2002). Some generalized convolution properties associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions *J. Ineq. In Pure and Applied Mathematics* vol. 3, Issue 3, Article 42, 1-13
- [7] Oladipo, A.T., Babalola, K.O, and Opoola, T.O (2007). Generalized convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions. *Research Journal of Applied Science*, 2(3) 289-294
- [8] Srivastava, H.M. and Owa, S. (1992). *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory* World Scientific Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, and Hong Kong