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## Abstract

The Hubbard Hamiltonian was diagonalized using ValatinBogojiubov transformations. The following results were obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{i}^{2}=\frac{\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{2\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\left(2 \Delta_{i}^{2}-\varsigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{4\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}} \\
& V_{i}^{2}=1-U_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$V_{i}$ and $U_{i}$ are numbers defined for positive values of $i$. The energy of the quasiparticle vacuum was found to be

$$
E_{0}=\sum_{\langle i J\rangle}\left(t_{i j}-\lambda\right) v_{i}^{2}+U \sum_{i} V_{i}^{4}
$$

And the number of particles in the ground state was found to be $N_{o}$

$$
N_{0}=\sum_{i}\left\{1-\frac{\left(s_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{2\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\left(2 \Delta_{i}^{2}-\varsigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+4}\left(s_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right) \Delta_{i}^{2}}{2\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

where $\varsigma_{i}=\left(\lambda-t_{i j}\right), \Delta_{i}=-\Sigma U U_{i} V_{i}$, t is the hopping parameter, $U$ is the on-site interaction, $\lambda$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

### 1.0 Introduction

The Hubbard Hamiltonian describes electrons on a lattice with one orbital per site. The Hamiltonian has the form: $H=\sum_{<i j>, \sigma} t_{i j} a_{i \sigma}^{+} a j \sigma+\sum_{i} u n_{i} \uparrow n_{i \downarrow}$ where $t_{i j}$ is the hopping matrix element between different sites. Electrons on the same site are the only ones that interact. $n_{i \sigma}=a_{i \sigma}^{+} a_{i \sigma}$ is the occupation number operator.

In Fock space, all systems with an arbitrary number of particles in them, get diagonalized simultaneously. This is why Fock space calculations are more powerful then configuration space. Once our physical problem is expressed in the term of a diagonalization of a certain matrix one can resort to any approximate method that is justifiable mathematically. One of the most powerful methods of approximating the eigenvalues of H involves the transformation to a scheme that mixes states of different numbers of particles.

Bogoljubov and Valatin suggested the following canonical transformation from $a_{i}$ to the operators $\alpha_{i}$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=U_{i} a_{i}-V_{i} a_{-i}^{+}, \alpha_{-i}=U_{i} a_{-i}+V_{i} a_{i}^{+} i>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $U i$ and $V i$ are numbers defined only for positive values of $i$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{+}=U_{i} a_{i}^{+}-V_{i} a_{-i}, \alpha_{-i}^{+}=U_{i} a_{-a}^{+}+V_{i} a_{i} i>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha_{\mathrm{I}}$ operators satisfy the anticommutation relations $\left[\alpha_{i a}^{+}, \alpha_{j \sigma^{\prime}}\right]_{+}=\delta_{j i} \delta_{a \sigma^{\prime},} U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ satisfy $U_{i}^{2}+V_{i}^{2}=1$. It is observed that since the $\alpha_{i}$ 's obey Fermion anticommuntation rules the numbers operator in " $\alpha$ space" $\alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}$ will have eigenvalue 1 or 0 . Equations (1.1) and (1.2) can be inverted to express the $a_{i}$ 's in terms of the $\alpha_{i}^{\prime} s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i}=U_{i} \alpha_{i}+V_{i} \alpha_{-i}^{+}, a_{-i}=U_{i} \alpha_{-i}-V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} i>0  \tag{1.3}\\
& a_{i}^{+}=U_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+}-V_{i} \alpha_{-i}, a_{-i}^{+}=U_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+}+V_{i} \alpha_{-i} i>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.0 Calculations

The non interacting part of the Hubbard Hamiltonian is diagonalized first.

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =\sum_{<i j>\sigma} t_{i j} a_{i \sigma}^{+} a_{j \sigma}  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\sum_{<i j>} t_{i j}\left(U_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}-U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}-V_{i} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}+V_{i}^{2} \alpha_{-i}-\alpha_{i}^{+}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

To solve for the energy of the system described by $H_{\mathrm{o}}$ subject to the condition that the average number of particles is fixed one has to introduce the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ multiplying the number operator $\hat{N}$, where $\hat{N}=\sum_{<i j>}^{n} a_{i}^{+} a_{j}$. We are thus looking for the eigrenvalues and eigenfunctions of

$$
\begin{align*}
H-\lambda \hat{N}= & \sum_{<i j>}\left(t_{i j}-\lambda\right)\left(U_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}-U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}-V_{i} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}+V_{i}^{2} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{i}^{+}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\sum\left(t_{i j}-\lambda\right)\left\{\left(U_{i}^{2}-V_{i}^{2}\right) \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}+V_{i}^{2}-U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}-V_{i} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is to be fixed by the prescribed expectation value of $\hat{N} . N=\langle\hat{N}\rangle$
The operators $\alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}$and $\alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}$, however, do not commute with $\hat{N}_{\alpha}$. Hence to diagonalize H- $\lambda \hat{N}$, we choose $U_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ such that the last two terms in equation (2.2) vanish. This leads to the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i} V_{i}=0 \text { for all } i ' s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking equation (2.3) with the condition $V_{i}^{2}+U_{i}^{2}=1$. We have that if

$$
V_{i}=0, U_{i}=1 \text { or } U_{i}=0, V_{i}=1
$$

The lowest eigenstate of $\mathrm{H}-\lambda \hat{N}$ is the quasi-particle vacuum $10>\alpha$. It satisfies

$$
(H-\lambda \hat{N})|0\rangle_{\alpha}=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle_{\sigma}}\left(t_{i j}-\lambda\right) v_{i}^{2}|0\rangle_{\alpha}
$$

The lowest eigenvalue of $\mathrm{H}-\lambda \hat{N}$ is obtained by setting $V_{i}=1, U_{i}=0$ if $t_{i j}<\lambda, V_{i}=0, U_{i}=1$, if
$t_{i j}>\lambda$. The value of $\lambda$ can be determined by the condition ${ }_{\alpha}\langle 0| \hat{N}|0\rangle_{\alpha}=\sum_{i} V_{i}^{2}=N_{o}$. Let us now go over to the Hubbard Hamiltonian $H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle_{\alpha}} t_{i j} a_{i \sigma}^{+} a_{j \sigma}+U \sum_{i} a_{i \sigma}^{+} a_{i \sigma} a_{i \sigma}^{+} a_{i \sigma}$
Introducing the transformations of equations (1.3) and (1.4) we have $H=A+H_{11}+H_{20}+H^{\prime}$
where $A=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} t_{i j} V_{i}^{2}+U \sum_{i} V_{i}^{4}$
$H_{11}=\sum_{<i j>} t_{i j}\left(U_{i}^{2}-V_{i}^{2}\right) \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}+\sum_{i} U\left(U_{i}^{4} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}+U_{i}^{2} V_{i}^{2} \alpha_{-i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}-U_{i}^{2} V_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}-V_{i}^{4} \alpha_{-i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}\right)$
$H_{20}=-\sum_{<i j>} t_{i j}\left(U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}+V_{i} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{i}\right)+\sum_{i} U\left(U_{i}^{3} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}^{+}-V_{i} U_{i}^{3} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}-2 V_{i}^{3} U_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}^{+}\right.$
$-U_{i}^{3} V_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{i}+V_{i} U_{i}^{3} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}+2 V_{i}^{3} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{i}-V_{i}^{3} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}+V_{i}^{3} U_{i} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{-i}+V_{i}^{3} U_{i} \alpha_{-i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}^{+}$
$\left.-V_{i}^{3} U_{i} \alpha_{-i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}\right)$
$H^{\prime}$ is proportional to terms containing four $\alpha$ 's operators and is neglected in this work.
To ensure that there is a fixed number of real particles in the quasiparticle ground state one imposes the condition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\hat{N}\rangle=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle}\left(V_{i}^{2}-V_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}+U_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}-U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}-V_{i} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}\right)=N_{o} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be achieved by diagonalizing $\mathrm{H}-\lambda \hat{N}$, and choosing the lagrange multiplier $\lambda$, so that equation (2.6) is satisfied. One is thus led to the problem of diagonalizing the operator $H=A^{\prime}+H_{11}^{\prime}+H_{20}^{\prime}+H^{\prime}$ , where $A^{\prime}=A-\lambda \sum_{i} V_{i}^{2}, H_{11}^{\prime}=H_{11}-\lambda \sum_{\langle i j\rangle}\left(U_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}-V_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}\right)$
$H_{20}^{\prime}=H_{20}-\lambda \sum\left(U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}+V_{i} U_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{i}\right)$. The diagonalization of $H_{0}^{\prime}=A^{\prime}+H_{11}^{\prime}+H_{20}^{\prime}$ amounts
to choosing $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ such that $H_{20}^{\prime}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{20}^{\prime}=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle}\left\{\left(\lambda-t_{i j}\right) U_{i} V_{i}-\sum_{i} U U_{i}^{2} U_{i} V_{i}\right\} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+} \\
& +\sum_{\langle i j\rangle}\left\{\left(\lambda-t_{i j}\right) U_{i} V_{i}-\sum_{i} U V_{i}^{2} U_{i} V_{i}\right\}_{-i} \alpha_{-i}+\sum_{i}\left\{U U_{i}^{2}-2 U V_{i}^{2}\right\}_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}^{+}  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\sum_{i} U V_{i}^{2} U_{i} V_{i} \alpha_{-i} \alpha_{-i}+\sum_{i} U U_{i}^{2} U_{i} V_{i}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{-i} a_{i}\right)+\sum_{i} U V_{i}^{2} U_{i} V_{i}\left(\alpha_{-i}^{+} \alpha_{-i}^{+}-\alpha_{-i}^{+} \alpha_{i}^{+}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.0 Introducing the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
\varsigma_{i} & =\left(\lambda-t_{i j}\right) \\
\Delta_{i} & =-\sum_{i} U V_{i} U_{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and noting the mutual independence of the operators for different valyes of $i$, we see that $H^{\prime}{ }_{20}=0$ leads to the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varsigma_{i} U_{i} V_{i}+\Delta_{i} V_{i}^{2}=0  \tag{3.2a}\\
& \zeta_{i} U_{i} V_{i}+\Delta_{i} U_{i}^{2}=0  \tag{3.2b}\\
& \left(U U_{i}^{2}-2 U V_{i}^{2}\right) U V_{i}=0  \tag{3.2c}\\
& U_{i} V_{i}^{2}=0 \tag{3.2d}
\end{align*}
$$

If we assume that for some values of $i$, that the product $U_{i} V_{i}^{2} \neq 0$, from equation (3.2a) and the condition $U_{i}^{2}+V_{i}^{2}=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{i}^{2}=\frac{\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{2\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\left(2 \Delta_{i}^{2}-\varsigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{4\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}} \\
V_{i}^{2}=1-U_{i}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and
The remaining part of the Hamitonian $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}+\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }_{11}$ is then diagonal in the $\alpha$-scheme. The quasiparticle vacuum $\mid 0>\alpha$ has the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}={ }_{\alpha}\langle 0|\left(A^{\prime}+H_{11}^{\prime}\right)|0\rangle_{\alpha}=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle}\left(t_{i j}-\lambda\right) V_{i}^{2}+U \sum_{i} V_{i}^{4} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

determine the determine the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
N O=\sum_{i}\left(1-\frac{\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{2\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\left(2 \Delta_{i}^{2}-\varsigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)}{4\left(\varsigma_{i}^{2}-2 \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.0 Conclusion

The many electron problem has been studied using the Hubbard model. The powerful tool of BogojiubovValatin canonical transformation has been used to derive the ground rate energy which has a remarkable simple form. Equations (3.1), (3.3) and (3.5) have remarkable resemblance to the celebrated superconducting solutions of BCS, where the Bogoljubov transformation was first used.
This gives credence to the belief that the physics of high- $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ superconductivity may yet be found in the Hubbard model or variants of it.
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