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#### Abstract

This paper performs the first order normalization that will be employed in the study of the nonlinear stability of triangular points of the perturbed restricted three - body problem with variable mass. The problem is perturbed in the sense that small perturbations are given in the coriolis and centrifugal forces. It is with variable mass as the mass of the third body varies with time. It is found that these perturbations and varying mass are capable to bring a change in the Lagrangian function, and consequently in the basic frequencies. They become successful in affecting the angle coordinates but remain unsuccessful in changing the action momenta coordinates. The transformation utilized for reduction of the second order part of the Hamiltonian to the normal form is also dependent on the perturbed basic frequencies.
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### 1.0 Introduction

The main problem in modern dynamics is the long-time prediction of the state of mechanical systems that have been modeled by differential or functional equations. The emphasis is no longer on the computational of individual solutions but on characterization of classes such as stable or unstable manifolds of solutions. For Hamiltonian systems, it is not enough to look at the linearized system alone. The higher order terms in the normalized systems can affect the stability.

In order to investigate the stability of an equilibrium point for all time and all the orders of the terms in the expansion of the Hamiltonian one has to apply KAM theorem [the work of Kolmogrov [1] extended by Arnold [2] and moser [3]]. For this, Hamiltonian $H$ is to be reduced to the normalized Hamiltonian form, $H=\omega_{1} I_{1}-\omega_{2} I_{2}+1 / 2\left(A I_{1}^{2}+2 B I_{1} I_{2}+C I_{2}^{2}\right)+\cdots$ with $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ as basic frequencies, $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$ as the action momenta coordinates: and $A, B, C$ as second order coefficients in the frequencies. Here $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\omega_{1} I_{1}-\omega_{2} I_{2}$. If $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is of positive definite form, the equilibrium position is stable by virtue of Liapunov's [4] theorem for all orders and all time. On the other hand if $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is not a function of definite sign, then the investigation of stability needs KAM theorem. To apply KAM theorem one must need normalization of the Hamiltonian.

Hence, the aim of this paper is to perform the first order normalization that will be utilized in the study of the nonlinear stability of triangular points of the present problem.

Deprit and Deprit [5] investigated the nonlinear stability of triangular points of the classical restricted threebody problem. Bhatnagar and Hallan [6] studied the effect of perturbations in the coriolis and centrifugal forces respectively on the nonlinear stability of equilibrium points of the above problem. Further, the nonlinear stability in the restricted three-body problem under different aspects was also discussed by Niedzielska [7], Subbarao and Sharma [8] and Gozdziewski [9].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we determine the Lagrangian of the problem. Then it is expanded in power series of $\xi$ and $\eta$, where $(\xi, \eta)$ are the coordinates of the third body referred to the triangular point $\mathrm{L}_{4}$ as the origin. Arranging the terms in ascending powers of $\left(\xi, \eta\right.$ ) the homogeneous poly-nomial $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ of order

2 is found. Section 3 establishes the relation between the perturbed and the unperturbed basic frequencies. With $L_{2}$ Lagrange's equations of motion are written and then under linear stability condition characteristic roots are obtained. The normal form of the second order part of the Hamiltonian is presented in section 4, where we solve a set of linear equations obtained from $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. under the normality conditions, we apply the transformation defined by Whittaker [10] for reducing $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to the normal form. In section 5 we are with conclusion.

### 2.0 Expansion of Lagrangian

The equations of motion of the restricted three-body problem, under the assumption that the mass of the third body varies with time, as found by Singh and Ishwar [11], can be written as $\xi^{\prime \prime}-2 \phi \eta^{\prime}=\Omega_{\xi,}, \eta^{\prime \prime}+2 \phi \xi^{\prime}=\Omega_{\eta}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega=\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right) \frac{\psi}{2}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)+\gamma^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{r_{1}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{2}}\right), r_{1}^{2}=\left(\xi+\mu \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}+\eta^{2} \\
r_{2}^{2}=\left\{\xi-(1-\mu) \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{2}+\eta^{2}, \beta=\alpha m_{0}^{n-1}, \gamma=\frac{m}{m_{0}}, \quad \alpha=\text { constant, } 0.4 \leq n \leq 4.4 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The mass m of the third body varies with the time $\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is its mass at $t=0$. Primes indicate differentiation with respect to $\Gamma$ where $\mathrm{dt}=\gamma^{-\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{d} \Gamma$. The parameter $\mu$ is the ratio of the mass of the smaller primary to the total mass of the primaries such that $0<\mu \leq 1 / 2$. Perturbation in the coriolis and the centrifugal forces have been considered with the aid of $\phi$ and $\psi$ such that the unperturbed value of each is unity. Consequently they may be taken as $\phi=1+\varepsilon,|\varepsilon| \ll 1$ and $\psi=1+\varepsilon^{\prime},\left|\varepsilon^{\prime}\right| \ll 1$, where $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ represent the perturbations in the coriolis and the centrifugal forces respectively. The coordinates of triangular equilibrium point $L_{4}$, as found in Singh and Ishwar [11], are
$\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}, \frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)$, where $\gamma_{1}=(1-2 \mu) \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \gamma_{2}=\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(1-\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The perturbed Lagrangian function of the restricted problem with variable mass can be written as

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{\prime 2}\right)+\phi\left(\xi \eta^{\prime}-\eta \xi^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\psi}{2}\left(1+\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}\right)\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)+\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{r_{1}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{2}}\right)
$$

We shift the origin to the triangular point $\mathrm{L}_{4}$. For that we change
$\xi \rightarrow \xi+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}, \eta \rightarrow \eta+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}$. Then the Lagrangian function L becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{\prime 2}\right)+\left[\left(\xi+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right) \eta^{\prime}-\left(\eta+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right) \xi^{\prime}\right](1+\varepsilon)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\xi+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)+\gamma^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{r_{1}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{2}}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{r}_{1}^{-1}=\left[\left(\xi+\frac{\gamma^{1 / 2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}=f(\xi, \eta)^{\text {(say), }}$

$$
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{-1}=\left[\left(\xi-\frac{\gamma^{1 / 2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}=g(\xi, \eta) \quad \text { (say). }
$$

In order to expand L in power series of $\xi$ and $\eta$ we first of all expand $f(\xi, \eta)$ and $g(\xi, \eta)$ by Taylor's theorem. Then we put the values of $r_{1}{ }^{-1}$ and $r_{2}{ }^{-1}$ in (1) and arrange the terms in ascending powers of $\xi, \eta$. Since we need here only $L_{2}$, so we have

$$
L_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(\xi \eta^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime} \eta\right)(1+\varepsilon)+\frac{1}{8}\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \xi^{2}+
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\left\{\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(12+12 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\right\} \eta^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \\
\left\{4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(3-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-3\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} s \xi \eta, \text { where } s=1-2 \mu \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.0 Relation between perturbed and unperturbed basic frequencies

We wish to establish relation between perturbed and unperturbed basic frequencies. For this to the first order, we write Lagrange's equations of motion

$$
\xi^{\prime \prime}-2(1+\varepsilon) \eta^{\prime}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\left[\xi+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left\{4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(3-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-3\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} s \eta\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta^{\prime \prime}+2(1+\varepsilon) \xi^{\prime}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(3+5 \varepsilon)\left\{4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(3-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-3\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} s \xi+\right] \\
& \left.+\left\{\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(12+12 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\right\} \eta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The characteristic equation of these equations is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{4}+\left[\left(1+8 \varepsilon-3 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\right] \lambda^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
&+\frac{3}{16}\left(1-s^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{10}{3}}\left[4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(3+8 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-\left(3+10 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\eta_{c}$ denote the critical value of the mass parameter which depends upon the varying mass of the third body and small perturbations in the coriolis and centrifugal forces.

We have seen that for $0 \prec \mu_{c} \prec \mu_{c} 0$, the roots of (3.1) are distinct and pure imaginary and the triangular point $\mathrm{L}_{4}$ is stable in the linear sense [12]. Let these roots be $\pm i \omega_{1}^{\prime}, \pm i \omega_{2}^{\prime}$, where $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}$, represent the perturbed basic frequencies. When $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime}=0$, the values of $\mathcal{E}, \varepsilon^{\prime}$ represent unperturbed basic frequencies. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{\prime}=\omega_{1}\left(1+p \varepsilon+p^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \omega_{2}^{\prime}=\omega_{2}\left(1+q \varepsilon+q^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}$ represent unperturbed basic frequencies such that $\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}=1-\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2}$,
$\omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2}^{2}=\frac{9}{16}\left(1-s^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{10}{3}}\left[4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}-1\right], 0<\omega_{2}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}<\omega_{1}<1$
and $p=-q=\frac{4}{k^{2}}, k^{2}=2 \omega_{1}^{2}-1+\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2}=1-2 \omega_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2}, \quad p^{\prime}=\frac{22 \omega_{1}^{2}-49-\frac{4}{9} \beta^{2} \omega_{1}^{2}+\frac{367}{36} \beta^{2}}{18 k^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime}=-\frac{22 \omega_{2}^{2}-49-\frac{4}{9} \beta^{2} \omega_{2}^{2}+\frac{367}{36} \beta^{2}}{18 k^{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations between the perturbed and unperturbed basic frequencies are given by (3.2) whereas $\mathrm{p}, p^{\prime}, \mathrm{q}, q^{\prime}$ and k are determined by (3.4).

### 4.0 Normal form of second order part of Hamitonian

As the normalization procedure depends strongly on the form of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ terms, thus it is important to simplify it as much as possible. For this purpose, the Hamiltonian $H$ corresponding to the Lagrangian function $L$ given by (1.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-L+P_{\xi} \xi^{\prime}+P_{\eta} \eta^{\prime} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\xi}, P_{\eta}$ are the momenta coordinates given by $P_{\xi}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi^{\prime}}$ and $P_{\eta}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \eta^{\prime}}$. Applying the translation $\xi \rightarrow \xi+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}, \eta \rightarrow \eta+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}, \quad p_{\xi} \rightarrow p_{\xi}-\phi \frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}, p_{\eta} \rightarrow p_{\eta}+\phi \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}$ on (4.1), one can obtain a new form of H.
Expanding the new form of H in power series of $\xi$ and $\eta$, the second order part of the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{\xi}^{2}+p_{\eta}^{2}\right)+(1+\varepsilon)\left(\eta p_{\xi}+\xi p_{\eta}\right)+\left\{\frac{1+8 \varepsilon-5 \varepsilon^{\prime}}{8}-\frac{3\left(3 \varepsilon^{\prime}+1\right) \beta^{2}}{32}\right\} \xi^{2}+ \\
& \left\{\frac{-5+8 \varepsilon-7 \varepsilon^{\prime}}{8}-\frac{\left(7 \varepsilon^{\prime}+24\right) \beta^{2}}{32}\right\} \eta^{2}-\frac{s}{4 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\left\{4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(3-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-3\right\} \xi \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

We solve a set of equations obtain from

$$
-\lambda P_{\xi}=\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial \xi}, \quad-\lambda P_{\eta}=\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial \eta}, \quad \lambda \xi=\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial P_{\xi}}, \quad \lambda \eta=\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial P_{\eta}} .
$$

Substituting the four values of $\lambda$, namely $\lambda_{1}=i \omega_{1 . .}^{\prime} \lambda_{2}=i \omega_{2}^{\prime} . . \lambda_{3}=-i \omega_{1 .}^{\prime}$
$\square_{4}=-\mathrm{i} \omega_{2}$.. we obtained four values of each $\xi, \eta, p_{\xi}, p_{\eta}$ and if they are denoted by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{i}, \eta_{i},\left(p_{\xi}\right)_{i},\left(p_{\eta}\right)_{i} \quad(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4), \text { we get } \\
& \xi_{i}=K_{i}\left(-d+2 a \lambda_{i} \quad \eta_{i}=K_{i}\left(2 b+\lambda_{i}^{2}-a^{2}\right),\left(P_{5}\right)_{i}=K_{i}\left(a \lambda_{i}^{3}-\lambda_{i} d-2 a b+a^{3}\right),\right. \\
& \quad\left(P_{\eta}\right)_{i}=K_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}^{3}+a^{2} \lambda_{i}+2 b \lambda_{i}-a d\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}=1+\varepsilon, \mathrm{b}=\frac{1+8 \varepsilon-5 \varepsilon^{\prime}}{8}-\frac{3}{32}\left(3 \varepsilon^{\prime}+1\right) \beta^{2}, \quad \mathrm{c}=\frac{-5+8 \varepsilon-7 \varepsilon^{\prime}}{8}-\frac{\left(7 \varepsilon^{\prime}+24\right) \beta^{2}}{32}$,
$d=-\frac{s}{4 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{5 / 3}\left(3+5 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\left[4\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}+1\right)^{-2 / 3}\left(3-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)-3\right]^{1 / 2}$ and $K_{\mathrm{i}}(i=1,2,3,4)$ are constant of proportionality
satisfying the normality conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}\left(P_{\xi}\right)_{3}-\xi_{3}\left(P_{5}\right)_{1}+\eta_{1}\left(P_{\eta}\right)_{3}-\eta_{3}\left(P_{\eta}\right)_{1}=1, \xi_{2}\left(P_{\xi}\right)_{4}-\xi_{4}\left(P_{5}\right)_{2}+\eta_{2}\left(P_{\eta}\right)_{4}-\eta_{4}\left(P_{\eta}\right)_{2}=1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we apply the canonical transformation from the phase space $\left(\xi, \eta, p_{\xi} p_{\eta}\right)$ into the phase space product of the angle coordinates $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ and the action momenta $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ as in Whittaker [10],

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi  \tag{4.3}\\
\eta \\
P_{\xi} \\
P_{\eta}
\end{array}\right)=A^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1} \\
Q_{2} \\
P_{1} \\
P_{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A^{\prime}=\left(a_{i j}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}, \quad Q_{i}=\left(\frac{2 I_{i}}{\omega_{i}^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta_{i}, P_{i}=\left(2 I_{i} \omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta_{i}(i=1,2)$, the different elements of the dydic $A^{\prime}$ are $a_{i j}^{\prime}=a_{i j}\left(1+\alpha_{i j} \varepsilon+\alpha_{i j}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}\right), i, j=1,2,3,4$ where $a_{11}=0, a_{12}=0, a_{13}=\frac{l_{1}}{2 k \omega_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{14}=-\frac{l_{2}}{2 k \omega_{2}}, \quad a_{21}=-\frac{4 \omega_{1}}{k l_{1}}, \quad a_{22}=-\frac{4 \omega_{2}}{2 k l_{2}}, \quad a_{23}=-\frac{3 \sqrt{3} s}{2 k l_{1} \omega_{1}}, \quad a_{24}=\frac{3 \sqrt{3} s}{2 k l_{2} \omega_{2}}, a_{31}=-\frac{m_{1} \omega_{1}}{2 k l_{1}}, \quad a_{32}=-\frac{m_{2} \omega_{2}}{2 k l_{2}}, \\
a_{33}=\frac{3 \sqrt{3} s}{2 k l_{1} \omega_{1}}, a_{34}=-\frac{3 \sqrt{3} s}{2 k l_{2} \omega_{2}}, a_{41}=-\frac{3 \sqrt{3} s \omega_{1}}{2 k l_{1}}, a_{42}=-\frac{3 \sqrt{3} s \omega_{2}}{2 k l_{2}}, a_{43}=\frac{n_{1}}{2 k l_{1} \omega_{1}},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{44}=-\frac{n_{2}}{2 k l_{2} \omega_{2}}, \alpha_{1 j}=\alpha_{1 j}^{\prime}=0,(j=1,2), \alpha_{13}=-\frac{\left[88 \omega_{1}^{2}+\left(3 \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{1223}{12} \omega_{1}^{2}-\frac{2813}{16}\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{k^{4} l_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \alpha_{13}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[-176 \omega_{1}^{6}-364 \omega_{1}^{4}+1332 \omega_{1}^{2}-135+\frac{736}{9} \beta^{2} \omega_{1}^{6}+\frac{15380}{3} \beta^{2} \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{24649}{4} \beta^{2} \omega_{1}^{2}+51750 \beta^{2}\right]}{18 k^{4} l_{1}^{2}} \\
& \alpha_{21}=\frac{\left[16 \omega_{1}^{6}+20 \omega_{1}^{4}+24 \omega_{1}^{2}-63+\left(\frac{6377}{48}-\frac{2911}{12} \omega_{1}^{2}-\frac{33}{2} \omega_{1}^{4}\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{k^{4} l_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \alpha_{21}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[-176 \omega_{1}^{6}-76 \omega_{1}^{4}-324 \omega_{1}^{2}+621-\left(1000 \omega_{1}^{6}-\frac{2516}{9} \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{4769}{12} \omega_{1}^{2}+\frac{565}{8}\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{18 k^{4} l_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \alpha_{23}=-\frac{8\left[\left(8 \omega_{1}^{4}+7 \omega_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{203}{72} \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{523}{288} \omega_{1}^{2}+\frac{3519}{16}\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{k^{4} l_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \alpha_{23}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[-176 \omega_{1}^{6}+532 \omega_{1}^{4}+740 \omega_{1}^{2}-63+\left(\frac{637}{3} \omega_{1}^{6}-\frac{23077}{36} \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{16939}{16} \omega_{1}^{2}-\frac{204997}{192}\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{18 k^{4} l_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \alpha_{31}=\frac{\left[44 \omega_{1}^{4}+\omega_{1}^{2}-27+\left(\frac{33}{2} \omega_{1}^{4}+\frac{17}{3} \omega_{1}^{2}-11\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{k^{4} l_{1}^{2} m_{1}}, \\
& \alpha_{31}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[704 \omega_{1}^{8}+864 \omega_{1}^{6}-5924 \omega_{1}^{4}+1656 \omega_{1}^{2}+1755+\left(913 \omega_{1}^{8}+753 \omega_{1}^{6}+\frac{2873}{3} \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{4652}{5} \omega_{1}^{2}+228\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{18 k^{4} l_{1}^{2} m_{1}} \\
& \alpha_{33}=1+\alpha_{23}, \alpha_{33}^{\prime}=\alpha_{23}^{\prime}, \alpha_{41}=\alpha_{23}+2 p, \alpha_{41}^{\prime}=\alpha_{23}^{\prime}+2 p^{\prime}, \\
& \alpha_{43}=\frac{-\left[64 \omega_{1}^{8}-64 \omega_{1}^{6}+492 \omega_{1}^{4}+540 \omega_{1}^{2}-81+\left(23 \omega_{1}^{8}+74 \omega_{1}^{6}-\frac{385}{3} \omega_{1}^{4}-\frac{1109}{4} \omega_{1}^{2}+210\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{18 k^{4} l_{1}^{2} n_{1}} \\
& \alpha_{43}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[704 \omega_{1}^{8}-2432 \omega_{1}^{6}+4644 \omega_{1}^{4}+6480 \omega_{1}^{2}-1215+\left(658 \omega_{1}^{8}+1735 \omega_{1}^{6}-1188 \omega_{1}^{4}+\frac{8113}{4} \omega_{1}^{2}-937\right) \beta^{2}\right]}{18 k^{4} l_{1}^{2} n_{1}} \\
& l_{i}^{2}=4 \omega_{i}^{2}+9-\frac{77}{6} \beta^{2}, m_{i}=4 \omega_{i}^{2}+1-\beta^{2}, \quad n_{i}=-4 \omega_{i}^{2}+9-\beta^{2}, \quad(i=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $\alpha_{i j}$ and $\alpha_{i j}^{\prime}$ for $j=2,4$ can be obtained from those for $j=1,3$ respectively by replacing $\omega_{l}$ by $\omega_{2}, l_{l}$ by $l_{2}, \mathrm{~m}_{1}$ by $m_{2} n_{l}$ by $n_{2}$ wherever they occur, keeping k unchanged.

The transformation (4.3) changes the second order part of the Hamiltonian into the normal form

$$
H_{2}=\omega_{1}^{\prime} I_{1}-\omega_{2}^{\prime} I_{2}
$$

The general solution of the corresponding equations of motion are $I_{i}=$ const.$(i=1,2)$,

$$
\theta_{1}=\omega_{1}^{\prime} \Gamma+\text { const } . \theta_{2}=-\omega_{2}^{\prime}+\text { const }
$$

### 5.0 Conclusion

We infer that small perturbations $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ given in the coriolis and centrifugal forces respectively, and the variation of mass of the third body affect the Lagrangian basic frequencies and angle coordinates. But they do not influence the action momenta coordinates. The transformation utilized for reducing the second order part of the
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