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## Abstract <br> We generate some results for some particular subclasses of starlike and close-to-convex functions using Briot-Bouquet differential subordination method.

### 1.0 Introduction

Let A denote the class of function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ of the form $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$, which are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\mathrm{U}=\{\mathrm{z}:|\mathrm{z}|<1\}$, normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$, With $\mathrm{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $C C(\alpha)$ denoting the subclasses of $A$ that are, respectively starlike and close-to-anvere of order $\alpha, \alpha \in[-1,1)$, see [5]. Also, for two functions $f(\mathrm{z})$ and $g(\mathrm{z})$ analytic in $U$, we say that the function $f(\mathrm{z})$ is subordinate to $g(\mathrm{z})$ in U , and write, $f(\mathrm{z}) \prec$ $g(\mathrm{z})$ or $f \prec \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in U with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1, \mathrm{z} \in U$, such that, $f(z)=g(w(z)), \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}$.

In particular, if the function $g(\mathrm{z})$ is univalent in U , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f$ $(\mathrm{U}) \subset g(U)$.

The general theory of differential subordination was introduced in 1981 by Millerr and Mocanu (see 2). The first - order differential subordination

$$
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\beta p(z)+\gamma} \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \gamma \neq 0 \text {, Re } \gamma \geq 0 \text {, }
$$

$h$ is convex and $p(z)$ regular in U known as the Briot - Bouquet differential subordination was introduced by the same author as a special case of the general theory of differential subordination [ see 2]. Some of its properties were studied by the same author in $[3,4]$.

This first order differential subordination with many interesting applications in the theory of univalent functions was considered by many authors see (3, 4 and 5).

The main purpose of this work is to apply a method based on the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination to derive some subordination results involving some particular classes of starlike and close-to-convex functions for special values of $\beta, \gamma$ and $h$.

### 2.0 Preliminary results:

In order to prove our main results, we shall need the following definitions and lemmas.

## Definition 2.1

For a function $f(z) \in A$, let $D^{n}$ be the salagean differential operator defined in [1] as $D^{0} f(z)=f(z) D^{l} f(z)=$ $D f(z) z f^{l}(z), D^{n} f(z)=D\left[D^{n-1} f(z)\right]=z\left[D^{n-1} f(z)\right]^{l}, z \in U, n \geq 1$.

With the above definition, we introduce some classes of A denoted by $S_{n}^{*}(\alpha, r)$ and $C_{n}(\alpha, r)$ as follows:

## Definition 2.2

Let $f \in A$, we say that the function $f(z) \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\alpha, \mathrm{r})$, if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}\right) \prec\left(\frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}\right), z \in U,
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, r \in[-1.1), \alpha+\mathrm{r} \geq 0, n \in N$.

## Definition 2.3

Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{A}$, we say that the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{n}}(\alpha, \mathrm{r})$ in respect to the function $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\alpha, \mathrm{r})$ where $\alpha \geq 0$, $\mathrm{r} \in[-1,1),(\alpha+\mathrm{r}) \geq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}\right) \prec\left(\frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}\right), z \in U$, where $\alpha \geq 0, r \in[-1.1), \alpha+r \geq 0, n \in N$.
Lemma 2.1.[3,4]
Let $h(z)$ be convex in $U$ and $\operatorname{Re}[\beta h(z)+r)>0, z \in U$. If $P(z)$ is analytic in $U$ with $P(0)=h(0)$ and $P(z)$ satisfied the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination $p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\beta p(z)+r} \prec h(z)$, then $p(z) \prec h(z)$.

## Lemma 2.2 [2,4]

Let $q(z)$ be convex in $U$ and $j(z)$ be analytic in $U$ with $\operatorname{Re}[j(z)]>0$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $U$ and $p(z)$ satisfied the differential subordination $p(z)+j(z) . z p^{\prime}(z) \prec q(z)$, then $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}) \prec \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{z})$

### 3.0 Main Results

## Theorem 3.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { If } F(z) \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\alpha, \mathrm{r}) \text { with } \alpha \geq 0, r \in[-1,1) \text {, then the integral operator } f(z) \text { defined by } \\
& f(z)=\frac{c}{z^{c+y}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z F(t) t^{c+y}}{t} d t \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$z \in U, c, y \in N$, is also in $S_{n}^{*}(\alpha, r)$.
Proof:
Let $F(z) \in S_{n}^{*}(\alpha, r)$, then by definition $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}\right) \prec\left(\frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}\right), \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}, \alpha \geq 0, r \in(-1,1)$. By
differentiating (3.1) we obtain: $\quad c F(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)+(c+y) f(z)$
By applying the linear operator $D^{n+1}$ we obtain:

$$
C D^{n+1} F(z)=D^{n+2} f(z)+D^{n+1}(c+y) f(z)
$$

Similarly, application of the linear operator $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}}$ yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C D^{n} F(z)=D^{n+1} f(z)+(c+y) D^{n} f(z) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\quad \frac{C D^{n+1} F(z)}{C D^{n} F(z)}=\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)+(c+y) D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)+(c+y) D^{n} f(z)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)} \bullet \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}+\frac{(c+y) \cdot D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}}{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}+(c+y)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}=p(z) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that $p(z)$ has the following series expansion; $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\ldots$,
By differentiating (3.6), we have, $\quad z p^{\prime}(z)=z \cdot\left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
=\frac{z\left[D^{n} f(z) \cdot\left(D^{n+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-D^{n+1} f(z)\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right]}{\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{2}} \\
=\frac{D^{n} f(z) D^{n+2} f(z)-\left(D^{n+1} f(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p(z)} \cdot z p^{\prime}(z)=\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)}-\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)} \\
\therefore \quad & \frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)}=\frac{1}{p(z)} \cdot z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.5), we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} F(z)} & =\frac{\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+p(z) \cdot p(z)+(c+y) \cdot p(z)\right.}{p(z)+(c+y)} \\
& =p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+(c+y)} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Conclusion follow from Lemma (2.1) by considering $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})$ to be convex in U with $h(0)=1, \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{y}) \geq 0$ and thus, $\operatorname{Re}(h(z)+(c+y))>0$ and with Lemma (2.1), we obtain: $p(z) \prec h(z)$. Thus, $p(z)=\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)} \prec h(z)$. By taking $h(z)=\frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}$. Hence, $f(z)=\frac{c}{z^{c+y}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z F(t) t^{c+y}}{t} d t \in S_{n}^{*}(\alpha, r), \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}$.

## Theorem 3.2

If $F(z) \in C C_{n}(\alpha, r)$, in respect to the function $g(z) \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\alpha, \mathrm{r})$ with $\alpha \geq 0, r \in[-1,1)$, then the integral operator $f(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{c}{z^{c+y}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z F(t) t^{c+y}}{t} d t, z \in \mathrm{U}, c, y \in N \tag{3.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also in $C C_{n}(\alpha, r)$ in respect to the function $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\alpha, \mathrm{r})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{c}{z^{c+y}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z G(t) t^{c+y}}{t} d t \boldsymbol{z} \in U, c, y \in N \neq 0 \tag{3.10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof
Let $F(z) \in C C_{n}(\alpha, r)$, in respect to $G(z) \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\alpha, \mathrm{r})$, then by definition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{N+1} F(z)}{D^{n} G(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}, z \in U, \alpha \geq 0, r \in[-1,1)
$$

By differentiating (3.10) we obtain: $c F(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)+(c+y) f(z)$ and $G(z)=z g^{\prime}(z)+(c+y) g(z)$
By the application of the linear operator $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, we obtain, $C D^{n+1} F(z)=D^{n+2} f^{\prime}(z)+(c+y) D^{n+1} f(z)$.
Similarly, the application of the linear operator $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}}$, we obtain, $C D^{n} G(z)=D^{n+1} g(z)+(c+y) D^{n} g(z)$. Thus, simple calculation, shows that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} G(z)}=\frac{\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} g(z)} \cdot \frac{D^{n+1} g(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}+\frac{(c+y) \cdot D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}}{\frac{D^{n+1} g(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}+(c+y)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting $\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}=p(z)$, and $\frac{D^{n+1} g(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}=k(z)$
By differentiating (3.12) logarithmically, we obtain,

$$
z p^{\prime}(z)=z \cdot\left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{D^{n+2} f(z) \cdot D^{n} g(z)-D^{n+1} f(z) \cdot D^{n+1} g(z)}{\left(D^{n} g(z)\right)^{2}}
$$

and $\frac{1}{k(z)} \cdot z p^{\prime}(z)=\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} g(z)}$, which lead us to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} g(z)}=\frac{1}{k(z)} \cdot z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.11),
$\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} G(z)}=\frac{k(z) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{k(z)} \cdot z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right)+(c+y) \cdot p(z)}{k(z)+(c+y)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{k(z)+(c+y)}$
The conclusion follows form Lemma (2.2), by taking $q(z)$ to be convex in $U$, then $\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} G(z)}$ $=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{k(z)+(c+y)} \prec q(z)$, where from the condition of the theorem, we have $\operatorname{Rek}(z)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(c+y) \geq 0$, thus, $\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{k(z)+(c+y)}>0$. With this condition and from Lemma (2.2) and taking $j(z)=\frac{1}{k(z)+(c+y)}$, we obtain, $p(z) \prec q(z)$. From here it follows that, if, $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} G(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}$ then $p(z)=\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} g(z)} \prec \frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}$. Taking $q(z)$ to be $\frac{1+\alpha z}{1+r z}$. Hence, $\mathrm{f}(z) \in C C_{n}(\alpha, r)$, in respect to $g(z) \in S_{n}^{*}(\alpha, r), \alpha \geq 0, r \in[1,1]$.

### 2.0 Conclusion

In this paper we are able to generate some subordination results for some particular subclasses of univalent functions (mainly, the starlike and close - to - convex functions) via a method based upon a special; case of differential subordination known as Briot - Bouquet differential subordination for special values of $\beta, \gamma$ and $h$.
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