MAGNETISATION OF SOME FERROMETALS

IBIYINKA A. FUWAPE

Department of Physics, Federal University of Technology, Akure

I ABSTRACT

The variation with temperature of the magnetization of Ni, Fe, Co, Dy and Gd are studied. New data for Co, Dy and Gd is presented along with previously reported measurement for Ni, Fe, and Co. The coefficients of the T^{3/2} term evaluated are compared with result from independent experiments.

II INTRODUCTION

It has been established that spin wave excitations exist in metals ^[6] and that they provide the dominant mechanism for initial decay of the ferromagnetic moment ^[4]. By using a special expansion formalism we have already shown that spinwares, when quantized are ideal Bosons with an effective chemical potential effected by wave-wave interactions ^[3,4]. The nearest neighbour exchange interaction model of a ferromagnet is described by the following Hamiltonian ^[5]

$$H = -2J\sum_{i} S_{j}.S_{i} + B_{0}g\mu_{B}\sum_{j=1}^{N} S_{j}^{2}$$
(1)

 S_j is the spin oprator at the j^{th} atom, N is the total number of atoms, g the Lande-g factor, μB the Bohr magneton, the summation is taken over all the nearest neighbour pairs, and the external magnetic field Bo is directed along the Z-axis.

For the cubic crystals, the Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = \sum_{k} \left(Fk^2 - \mu \right) n_k \tag{2}$$

where.

$$F = \frac{2zS[J - J(K)]}{k^2}$$
 (3)

$$J(K) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ji} J_{ji} \exp\left[ik\left(\vec{R}_{j} - \vec{R}_{i}\right)\right] \tag{4}$$

where z is the number of nearest neighbours and $\vec{R}_j = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\vec{R}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$ The reciprocal lattic vector is defined by

$$\vec{k} = \{k_x, k_y, k_z\}$$

FUWAPE, I. A.

where

$$k_x = k \cos \Phi \sin \theta, k_y = k \sin \Phi \sin \theta, k_z = k \cos \theta$$

The nearest neighbour Distance on a simple cubic lattice in units of a is known, while the nearest neighbour distances on a body centered and face cubic lattice in units of ^a/2 are also known.

In most work we estimate the nearest neighbour distances on an hexagonal close parked lattice in units of a. The exchange integral is then evaluated.

III THE FOURIER TRANSFORMATION OF J(K)

For a simple cubic lattice, the Fourier transform, Eqn. (4) becomes

$$J(k) = \frac{2}{6} \sum Jji \cos k(R_i - R_j)$$
 (5)

$$J(k) = \frac{1}{3} \left[\cos(k_x a) + \cos(k_y a) + \cos(k_z a) \right]$$
 (6)

For a body centered cubic lattice, Eqn. (4) becomes

$$J(k) = J\left[\cos(k_x \frac{9}{2}) + \cos(k_y \frac{9}{2}) + \cos(k_z \frac{9}{2})\right]$$
 (7)

while for a face centered cubic lattice, we get

$$J(k) = \frac{1}{3} \left[\cos(k_x \frac{9}{\sqrt{2}}) + \cos(k_y \frac{9}{\sqrt{2}}) + \cos(k_z \frac{9}{\sqrt{2}}) \right]$$
 (8)

The Fourier transform J(k) for a hexagonal close packed lattice is obtained as follows using the estimated nearest neighbour distances.

$$J(k) = \frac{J_2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \cos(k_x x_i + k_y y_i + k_z z_i)$$
(9)

Taking each term separately, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{Y}_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{\left[(k_x x_i + K_y y_i + k_z z_i)^2 \right]}{2!} = (\mathcal{Y}_{24}) \left[D''(\Phi, \theta) (ka)^2 \right]$$
 (10)

Also

$$\frac{12}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{\left[(k_x x_i + K_y y_i + k_z z_i)^4 \right]}{4!} = \frac{12}{12} \left[\frac{12}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} \right) A''(\Phi, \theta) (ka)^4 \right]$$
 (11)

The first term is, three coefficients of Sm(1) m(0) By substitution and applications of some numerical techniques we compute the first

(52)
$$\Phi b \frac{u^{2m} - s^{2} \sqrt{s^{2} n^{12} \pi}}{\sqrt{s^{2} \sqrt{s^{2} n^{2} \sigma}}} \int_{0}^{\pi} ds \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d$$

$$(24) \qquad \Phi b \theta b \theta nis = \sqrt[N-1]{(\theta, \theta)^n} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^$$

(77)

(25)
$$\frac{\Phi b \theta b \theta nis}{((\theta, \Phi)^{n} \Lambda)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{S N^{s}(\pi 2)}{N^{s}} e^{-\pi N} \frac{S N^{s}(\pi 2)}{S N^{s}(\pi 2)}$$

The third term is

The second term 1s,

$$\left[\frac{\Phi b\theta b\theta nis(\theta,\Phi)^{n}Q}{\epsilon((\theta,\Phi)^{n}Q)}\right] \int \frac{ZT}{\hbar} - \frac{\Phi b\theta b\theta nis(\theta,\Phi)^{n}F}{\epsilon((\theta,\Phi)^{n}Q)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} \frac{m^{-s}}{\sqrt{m}}\right]_{1=m} \frac{\pi Z}{SN^{s}(\pi Z)} \frac{(XT)^{\frac{s}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{SN^{s}(\pi Z)}$$
(26)

experimentally measured values obtained by Argyle et al. [1]. Table 2.2 shows the calculated coefficients of $T^{3.2}$ (C1) and $T^{5.2}$ (C2) calculated for some ferrometals and tabulated in table 2.1. The coefficient of T12, T2 and T2 that is C1, C2, and C3 respectively have been

MAGNETISATION OF...

Similarly,

$$\lim_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{\left[(k_x x_i + k_y y_i + k_z z_i)^6 \right]}{6i} = \lim_{12} (\sqrt{\gamma_{20}}) B''(\Phi, \theta) (ka)^6$$
 (12)

We see here that the Fourier transform J(k) for a hexagonal close packed lattice is markedly different from that of the cubic lattice. Replacing F with F' for a Hexagonal close packed lattice the transformed Hamiltonian is written as:

$$H = \sum (E, \gamma, \gamma) u^{\mu}$$

Where,

$$F' = 2SzJ \Big\{ D''(\Phi, \theta)(ka)^2 - A''(\Phi, \theta)(ka)^4 + B''(\Phi, \theta)(ka)^6 + \dots \Big\}$$

IV THE SPONTANEOUS magnetization is defined by a thermal average of the z component of the magnetic moment and summed over a unit volume of the crystal.

$$\left(\frac{SN}{\langle \lambda n \rangle} - 1\right)(0)m = (T)m$$

WILETE

$$\sum \left(\frac{NS}{(nk)}\right) = \frac{V}{V} \sum_{\beta} \frac{NS}{V} \int \frac{dx}{V} \int \frac{dx}{V}$$

The expression above is a very integration, we therefore apply the technique of Novakovic [5] by introducing the following substitutions.

$$S_{0} = \left\{ D^{n}(\Phi, \theta)(k\alpha)^{2} - A^{n}(\Phi, \theta)(k\alpha)^{4} + B^{n}(\Phi, \theta)(k\alpha)^{6} \right\}_{7}^{1}$$
(17)

$$P = D^{n}(\Phi, \theta), C = a^{2}/_{1}, G = zA^{n}(\Phi, \theta)a^{2}, H = B^{n}(\Phi, \theta)a^{4}z$$
 (18)

therefore

$$2^{\circ} = C \left\{ b - C K_z + H K_+ \right\} K_z$$
 (16)

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

With the effect of the electrochemical potential, the coefficients of $T^{3/2}$, $T^{5/2}$ and $T^{7/2}$ of spontaneous magnetization of some ferromagnets have been tabulated in tables 2.1 and 2.2.

In cases of Iron and Nickel for which experimented values are available, our computed values of the coefficients are in impressive agreement with the experimental ones¹. In finding the effect of the electrochemical potential on the coefficients of T in the expression of the Spontaneous magnetization for ferromagnets with the Hexagonal close packed structures, we require the correct expansion for the terms encapsulated in the dispersion relation.

For the Hexagonal close packed crystals, th Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum \left(F'k^2 - \mu \right) n_k$$

where

$$F = 2SzJ\{D''(\Phi, \theta)(ka)^{2} - A''(\Phi, \theta)(ka)^{4} - B''(\Phi, \theta)(ka)^{6} + ...\}_{k^{2}}$$

and $D''(\Phi,\theta)$, $A''(\Phi,\theta)$, and $B''(\Phi,\theta)$ have been outlined and evaluated.

Our calculations also give the coefficients of T for the hexagonal close packed structures of Dysprosium and Gadolinium, the experimentally measured values of which are not available from literature.

It is not out of place to emphasize that the behaviour of the magnetization of these ferrometals is very well described at low temperatures by the Spinwave theory.

Table 2.1 Coefficient of T^{3/2}, T^{5/2} and T^{7/2} of spontaneous magnetization

Elements	Lattice	Spins	C_1	C_2	C_3
	structure	Nearest	-6 X10	X10	X10
and strang of	MD CHE ST	neighbours	Tan B	1. S. F. H.	UHSUN
Iron (Fe)	BCC	S=1/2, Z=7	2.292	0.828	3.167
		S=1/2, Z=7.5	3.647	0.894	3.420
		S=1/2, Z=7	4.000	1.065	4.008
Cobalt (Co)	HPC	S=1/2, Z=11	2.000	0.357	1.355
		S=1/2, Z=12	2.259	0.496	2.271
Nickel (Ni)	FCC	S=1/2, Z=12	7.359	3.600	37.87
Gadolium (Gd)	HCP	S=1/2, Z=12	2.279	7.7 X10 ⁻⁷	9.275 X10 ⁻⁸
Dysprosium (Dy)		S=1/2, Z=12	1.67 x10 ⁻⁴	2.497 _{×10} -5	6.929 X10 ⁻⁶

FUWAPE, I. A.

Table 2.2 Calculated and Experimental Values of Coefficient of T3/2 and T5/2

Lattice structure	Spins and nearest neighbour	C ₁	C ₂	C ₁ Experimental	C ₂ Experimental
BCC	S=1/2, Z=7.5	3.647 X10 ⁻⁶	0.849 x10 ⁻⁹	3.41 _{X10} -6	1± 1 x10 ⁻⁹
BCC	S=1/2, Z=8.0	4.000 X10 ⁻⁶	1.065 x10 ⁻⁹	3.41 x10 ⁻⁶	1± 1 x10 ⁻⁹
HCP -	S=1/2, Z=11	2.068 X10 ⁻⁶	0.357 _{X10} -9	1.7 X10 ⁻⁶	conto applica
HCP	S=1/2, Z=12	2.259 X10-6	0.496 x10 ⁻⁶	1.7 X10 ⁻⁹	111 83833
FCC	S=1/2, Z=12	7.395 X10 ⁻⁶	0.360 _{X10} -8	7.4 X10 ⁻⁶	$1.5\pm 2_{X10}^{-8}$
HCP	S=7/2, Z=12	2.790 _{X10} -6	0.770 X10 ⁻⁶		1 10 10 10
HCP	S=5, Z=12	1.671 X10 ⁻⁴	0.249 X10 ⁻⁴		

REFERENCES

- [1]. ARGYLE, S., CHARAP, S., and PUGH, E. (1963) Deviations from T^{3/2} law for magnetization of ferrometals; *Physical Review*. Vol 132, N^o 5, 2051 2061.
- [2]. 2. DOMB AND SYKES (1957). High temperature susceptibility expansion for the packed Hexagonal lattice. *Proceedings of the physical Society, Section B*, 70, 896-897.
- [3]. DYSON, F.J. (1956). General theory of spinwave interactions. *Physical Review*. Vol 102, N° 5, 2051 2061.
- [4]. FUWAPE, I.A. (1989). Spin complexes Ferromagnetism. Ph.D thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. 182pp
- [5]. NOVAKOVIC, L. (1960). The pseudo spin method in magnetism and ferroelectricity. Pergamon Press, Oxford, pp 79 130.
- [6]. OGUCHI, T. (1960). Theory of spinwave interactions in ferro and antiferromagnetism, *Physical Review*. Vol 117, No 1, 117 123
- [7]. RUSHBROOKE, G.S. and WOOD, P.T. (1958). On the curie points and high temperature susceptibilities of Heisenberg model ferromagnetics. *Molecular Physics*, Vol. 6, 257 283.