

ITERATIVE SOLUTION OF CERTAIN NONLINEAR OPERATOR EQUATIONS
 ARISING IN MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

by

C. Moore
 Department of Mathematics
 Nnamdi Azikiwe University
 Awka, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Let Ω be an open domain bounded in \mathbb{R}^n and let L be some differential operator. The mixed initial-boundary value problem:

$$u_t + Lu = f(x,u) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T)$$

$$Bu = g(x,u) \text{ on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega \times (0,T)$$

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

is often a suitable mathematical model for several situations arising in chemical flows, gas dynamics, heat conduction, and other physical processes. Using a purely abstract approach, the existence, uniqueness, and strong convergence of fixed point iterations to a solution to the above problem is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Time-dependent irreversible processes such as heat conduction, diffusion, chemical reactions, biological processes, etc, are frequently modelled mathematically by semi-linear parabolic differential equations of the form

$$u_t + Lu = f(x,u) \text{ in } \Omega \tag{P1}$$

$$Bu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega$$

where Ω is an open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with a smooth boundary Γ and $u = u(x,t)$. The nonlinear term f represents the interactions of the process. The solutions to the corresponding semi-linear elliptic boundary value problems

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Lu &= f(x,u) \text{ in } \Omega \\ Bu &= g(x,u) \text{ on } \Gamma \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{P2}$$

represent stationary states. (We observe that (P2) is an example of the so-called Stoklov problem, since $g = g(x,u)$). Typical examples of (P2) are the cases

(i) $Lu = -\Delta u + a(x,u)$

$Bu = u$

(ii) $Lu = -\Delta u + a(x)u$

$Bu = \partial u / \partial n + \beta(x)u$

and

(iii) $Lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} D_i D_j u + \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_i u + au$

$Bu = u + \partial u / \partial n$

where $\partial u / \partial n$ denotes the outer normal derivative. Here $u = u(x)$, that is, u is time independent.

Let us consider a modified form of (P1):

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + Lu &= f(x,u) \text{ in } Q_T \\ Bu &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \\ u(x,0) &= u_0(x) \end{aligned} \quad (P3)$$

where $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$, $T > 0$, and $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \times (0,T)$. (P2) with $g = 0$ is the corresponding stationary elliptic problem. If u is taken to be the temperature of the body Ω , then (P3) means that we are given an initial temperature at time $t = 0$ and the behaviour of the temperature on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ for the time period $(0,T)$, that is, either

$$u = 0$$

or

$$\beta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$$

on $\partial\Omega$ for all $t \in (0,T)$. (Suppose $v > 0$ denotes the heat conductivity of the body Ω . Then, $j = -v \partial u / \partial n$ is the heat flux density in the direction of the outward normal. If $u = 0$ is the external temperature, then $\beta \geq 0$ necessarily.) It is known, from observation, that stable processes reach a stationary final state of temperature u where u is no longer time dependent, and hence we have (P2). This evidence leads to the important main stability question: Which initial states evolve into stable final states as t goes to infinity? The accepted way of answering the above question is to work in ordered Banach spaces using order cones, and to regard initial states as sub- or super-solutions to (P2), the stationary problem, and the final states as the corresponding smallest or greatest solution to (P2). Then, using such known results as that if w is a subsolution and v is a supersolution, then there exists $u^* \in [w,v]$ such that u^* is a solution. Details of our efforts in this area will be presented in a future publication. The interested reader may, however, consult Deimling [1] and Zeidler [2], and the references cited therein. Here, we adopt a purely abstract approach.

To ensure monotonicity of the right hand side of (P2), we carry out the following modification

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + Lu + ru &= ru + f(x,u) \text{ in } Q_T \\ Bu &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \\ u(x,0) &= u_0(x) \end{aligned} \quad (P4)$$

Notice that the Green's function approach transforms (P4) or (P3) to the equivalent abstract formulation

$$u + Ku = 0 \quad (1)$$

where K is the linear integral operator on the Green's function as its kernel, while N is the Nemyckij operator:

$$Nu(x,t) = ru(x,t) + f(x,u(x,t)) \quad (2)$$

In spite of our abstract approach, the conditions we posit are governed by their relevance to physical processes. For example, K is often strongly elliptic, that is, $\langle Ku, u \rangle \geq c \|u\|_2^2$; $c > 0$, and f satisfies suitable growth conditions which ensure that the Nemyckij operator satisfies certain continuity and monotonicity conditions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let V be a normed linear space and V^* its dual. Let $J: V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$ be

the normalized duality mapping and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the generalized duality pairing between V and V^* . Suppose $T : V \rightarrow V^*$ satisfies the conditions that there exists a mapping $F : V^* \rightarrow V$ (V^{**}) with $R(T) \subseteq D(F)$ such that for each pair x, y in $D(T)$ and $w \in J(x-y)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx - Ty, Fw \rangle \geq -\lambda \|x - y\|^c; \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

Then T is called F -bounded below with constant λ . If $T : V \rightarrow V$ then $F : V \rightarrow V$ with $D(T) \subseteq D(F)$ and $z \in J(Fx - Fy)$, (3) becomes

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx - Ty, z \rangle \geq -\lambda \|x - y\|^2 \quad (4)$$

For a linear T and F with $w \in Jx$, $z \in J(Fx)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Fw \rangle \geq -\lambda \|x\|^2 \quad (3a)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, z \rangle \geq -\lambda \|x\|^2 \quad (4a)$$

Several classes of operators such as the classes of K^* -positive-definite, positive, bounded below, and invertible operators are subclasses of this class of operators. See, for example, Petryshyn [3], Chidume and Aneke [4], and Moore [5]. We now give an example to show that such operators as defined above do actually exist.

Example: Consider the operator

$$Ax = x'' + x' - x(x^2).$$

It is straightforward to see that A satisfies the following condition on a Hilbert space over some given interval $I = [a, b]$ where $x(a) = x(b) = 0$:

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq -\|x\|^2$$

or, which is the same thing,

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \leq -\|x - y\|^2,$$

so that A is dissipative. But if we define

$$Kx = -2cx; c > 1,$$

then we have that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax, Kx \rangle \geq 2c\|x\|^2 = (2c)^{-1}\|Kx\|^2$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax - Ay, Kx - Ky \rangle \geq 2c\|x - y\|^2 = (2c)^{-1}\|Kx - Ky\|^2.$$

Thus A is K -positive-definite (K -strongly accretive) but it is not positive (accretive or monotone). If $Kx = cx$, $c > 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax, Kx \rangle \geq -c\|x\|^2$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax - Ay, Kx - Ky \rangle \geq -c\|x - y\|^2.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1: Let X be a real reflexive Banach space and X^* its dual. Suppose $K : X^* \rightarrow X$ is a bounded linear operator and $N : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a hemicontinuous K^* -bounded-below operator with constant $\lambda \in (-\infty, 1)$. Then for each fixed $f \in X$, the Hammerstein equation

$$x + KNx = f \quad (5)$$

has a unique solution. (K^* is the adjoint or conjugate of K .)

Proof: Let $S = I + KN$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sx - Sy, w \rangle &= \langle x - y, w \rangle + \langle KNx - KNy, w \rangle \\ &= \langle x - y, w \rangle + \langle N(x - y), K^*w \rangle \\ &\geq (1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Hammerstein operator $S = I + KN$ is strongly monotonic with constant $1 - \lambda > 0$. Observe that S is hemicontinuous since N is, by hypothesis, K is linear, and I is the identity operator. Moreover, setting $y = 0$, we have

$$\langle Sx, w \rangle \geq (1 - \lambda) \|x\|^2 + \langle KN0, w \rangle$$

so that

$$\langle Sx, w \rangle / \|x\| > (1 - \lambda) \|x\| + \langle S0, w \rangle / \|x\|$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, S is coercive. Thus $R(S) = X$ since S is monotone, hemicontinuous, and coercive. Therefore (5) is solvable for each given $f \in X$ fixed.

Suppose u and z are solutions to (5), that is, $Su = f = Sz$. Then, with $w \in J(u - z)$, we have

$$0 = \langle Su - Sz, w \rangle \geq (1 - \lambda) \|u - z\|^2.$$

Hence, $u = z$ and the solution to (5) is necessarily unique. This completes the proof.

Remark: Let V be a normed linear space. Suppose $N : V \rightarrow V^*$ is everywhere defined in V , that is, $D(N) = V$. Then, $S = I + KN : V \rightarrow V$ is also everywhere defined in V , that is, $D(S) = V$. (Of course, $K : V^* \rightarrow V$ and $I : V \rightarrow V$). Now, if N is K^* -bounded-below with constant $\lambda < 1$, then S is strongly accretive (monotonic) with constant $1 - \lambda > 0$. Thus

$$\|Sx - Sy\| \|x - y\| \quad \langle Sx - Sy, w \rangle \geq (1 - \lambda) \|x - y\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\|Sx - Sy\| \geq (1 - \lambda) \|x - y\|.$$

S is, therefore, injective, and so, uniquely invertible. Observe that $S^{-1} \in \text{Lip}(k)$, $k = (1 - \lambda)^{-1}$. Now, since S is injective and $D(S) = V$, then S is necessarily surjective, that is, $R(S) = V$. Thus,

for each fixed $f \in V$, $q = S^{-1}f$ is the unique solution to (5).

Corollary 1: Let V be a normed linear space and V^* its dual. Let $K : V^* \rightarrow V$ be a bounded linear operator and $N : V \rightarrow V^*$ be a nonlinear-everywhere-defined K^* -bounded-below with constant $\lambda < 1$ operator. Then, for each fixed $f \in V$ given, the Hammerstein equation (5) has a unique solution.

The results above are topological. The next result is both topological and algebraic.

Theorem 2: Let X be UWP(b), $b \geq 1$. Let $m > 0$ and K, N be as in theorem 1, with $N \in \text{Lip}(m)$. Set $L \equiv 1 + \|K\|m$ and assume that

$$0 < 1 - (1 - \lambda)^2 / bL^2 < 1.$$

Then, (5) has a unique solution. Moreover, the Picard iterations for (5) converge in norm to this unique solution at least as fast as a geometric progression with ratio

$$c = (1 - (1 - \lambda)^2 / bL^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof: $N \in \text{Lip}(m)$. Then,

$$\|Sx - Sy\| = \|x + KNx - y - KNy\|$$

$$\leq \|x - y\| + \|K\| \|Nx - Ny\|$$

$$\leq (1 + \|K\|m) \|x - y\| = L \|x - y\|.$$

Let us define the auxiliary fixed point operator

$$T_x = x - r(Sx - f).$$

Obviously, $T_x x^* = x^*$ iff $Sx^* = f$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 &= \|(x - y) - r(Sx - Sy)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2r \langle Sx - Sy, w \rangle + r^2 b \|Sx - Sy\|^2 \\ &\leq [1 - 2(1 - \lambda)r + bL^2 r^2] \|x - y\|^2 \\ &= [1 - (1 - \lambda)^2 / bL^2] \|x - y\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

on setting $0 < r = (1 - \lambda) / bL^2 < 1$. Hence,

$$\|T_r x - T_r y\| \leq c \|x - y\|$$

where

$$c = (1 - (1 - \lambda)^2 / bL^2)^{1/2} \in (0, 1),$$

by hypothesis. Thus, T_r is a strict contraction and hence has a unique fixed point which is the unique solution to (5). For $x_0 \in X$ arbitrary, let

$$x_{n+1} = T_r x_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then, we have, by the Banach contraction mapping theorem, that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq c^n \|x_0 - x^*\|$$

or

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq c^n / (1 - c) \|x_1 - x_0\|.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark: A solution to (P3) is a function $u \in C([0, T]; C(\bar{\Omega}))$. Now, since $\bar{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded, then $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact. Also, $[0, T]$ is a compact interval of \mathbb{R} . Hence, since every continuous function on a compact set is bounded on the set, we have the following continuous embeddings:

$$\begin{aligned} C([0, T]; C(\bar{\Omega})) &\hookrightarrow L^\infty([0, T]; L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})) \\ &\hookrightarrow L^p([0, T]; L^p(\bar{\Omega})) \end{aligned}$$

($1 \leq p < \infty$). Hence, we work in the L^p spaces. Observe that $L^p, 2 \leq p < \infty$, spaces are UWP(b) with b minorized by $p - 1$, that is, $b \geq p - 1$.

Theorem 3: Let X, K , and N be as in theorem 2. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = f - KNx$. Let $\{t_n\}$ be a real sequence satisfying

$$(i) \quad 0 \leq t_n \leq (1 - \lambda) / (1 + bL^2 - 2\lambda) < 1; \quad n \geq 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum t_n = +\infty.$$

Then, the iterative sequence generated from $x_0 \in X$ arbitrary,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - t_n)x_n + t_n Tx_n; \quad n \geq 0$$

converges strongly to the unique solution to (5). Moreover, if $t_n = (1 - \lambda) / (bL^2 - 2\lambda + 1)$, then the convergence rate is at least as fast as a geometric progression with ratio $(1 - \mu)^{1/2}$,

$$\mu = (1 - \lambda)^2 / (bL^2 - 2\lambda + 1) \in (0, 1),$$

where L is the Lipschitz constant of KN and $\lambda^2 < bL^2$.

Proof: $Tx^* = x^*$ if and only if $x^* + KNx^* = f$. Also, for each pair x, y in X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tx - Ty, w \rangle &= -\langle KNx - KNy, w \rangle \\ &= -\langle Nx - Ny, K^*w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Set $\rho_n = \|x_n - x^*\|^2$; $w_n \in J(x_n - x^*)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{n+1} &\leq [(1 - t_n)^2 + 2 t_n(1 - t_n) + bL^2 t_n^2] \rho_n \\ &\leq [1 - (1 - t_n)] \rho_n \quad (\text{using condition (i)}) \\ &\leq [\exp(1 - (1 - \lambda)t_n)] \rho_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\rho_{n+1} \leq [\exp(1 - (1 - \lambda) \sum_0^n t_n)] \rho_0,$$

which goes to zero as m goes to infinity, by condition (ii). Hence,

$x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $t_n = (1 - \lambda)/(bL^2 - 2\lambda + 1)$, then,

$$\rho_{n+1} \leq [1 - (1 - \lambda)^2 / (bL^2 - 2\lambda + 1)] \rho_n$$

and the result follows, completing the proof.

Remark: In (3) and (4), if $\lambda < 0$ so that $\alpha = -\lambda > 0$, then T is said to be F -positive definite. Or, to distinguish this class of operators from the class studied by Petryshyn [3], we say that this T is F -positive bounded below. We thus have the following corollary on noting that we may take $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ without loss of generality.

Corollary 2: In theorem 3, let N be K^* -positive-definite with constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let the real sequence $\{t_n\}$ satisfy, in place of condition (i),

$$(i)' \quad 0 \leq t_n \leq (1 + \alpha)/(bL^2 + 2\alpha + 1); \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then, the same conclusions are obtained with

$$\mu = (1 + \alpha)^2 / (bL^2 + 2\alpha + 1)$$

if

$$t_n \equiv (1 + \alpha)/(bL^2 + 2\alpha + 1).$$

Proof: Set $\alpha = -\lambda$ in theorem 2 and the result follows.

Example: Consider the 2-dimensional elliptic problem

$$\delta(pu_x)/\delta x + \delta(pu_y)/\delta y = w(x, y; u(x, y)) \quad (6)$$

with $p(x, y) > 0$ and prescribed appropriate boundary conditions so that the linear part possesses a Green's function $k(x, y; r, s)$. Obviously, (6) has the equivalent formulation

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = g(x, y; u(x, y)) - h(x, y; u(x, y))$$

where $g = p^{-1}w$ and $h = p^{-1}(p_x u_x + p_y u_y)$. We then obtain

$$u(x, y) = - \int_{\Omega} k(x, y; r, s) f(r, s; u(r, s)) dr ds$$

where Ω is a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^2 and $f = g - h$. So that defining the linear integral operator

$$Kv(\dots) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y; \dots) v(\dots) dr ds$$

and the Nemyckij operator

$$Nu(\dots) = f(\dots; u(\dots))$$

we then have the abstract form

$$u + KNu = 0$$

which is a homogeneous Hammerstein equation. Let us now state and prove theorem 4.

Theorem 4: Let E be a Banach space with a uniformly convex dual E^* . Let $K: E^* \rightarrow E$ be bounded linear and $N: E \rightarrow E^*$ be K^* -bounded

below. Let C be a symmetric bounded closed convex subset of E (for example, $C = \overline{B}(0, d)$, $d < \infty$) and suppose that $K : N(C) \rightarrow C$, that is, K maps the image of C under N into C . Define $T : C \rightarrow C$ by $Tx = -KNx$. Let $\{t_n\}$ be a real sequence satisfying the conditions

(i) $0 \leq t_n \leq 1$, all $n \geq 0$,

(ii) $\sum t_n = \infty$,

and

(iii) $\sum t_n b(t_n) < +\infty$.

Then, the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges in norm to the unique solution to (7).

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \|(1 - t_n)(x_n - x^*) + t_n(Tx_n - x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - t_n)^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2t_n(1 - t_n) \langle Tx_n - x^*, j(x_n - x^*) \rangle \\ &\quad + \max[(1 - t_n) \|x_n - x^*\|, 1] t_n \|Tx_n - x^*\| \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot b(t_n \|Tx_n - x^*\|) \\ &\leq (1 - t_n)^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2t_n(1 - t_n) \langle Tx_n - x^*, j(x_n - x^*) \rangle \\ &\quad + \max[(1 - t_n) \|x_n - x^*\|, 1] \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \max[\|Tx_n - x^*\|, 1] \|Tx_n - x^*\| t_n b(t_n) \\ &\leq [1 - (1 - \lambda)t_n] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + Mt_n b(t_n) \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\rho_{n+1} \leq (1 - r_n) \rho_n + M \sigma_n$$

where $r_n = (1 - \lambda)t_n$, $\rho_n = \|x_n - x^*\|^2$, and $\sigma_n = t_n b(t_n)$. Well-known arguments (see, for example, ref [6]) now show that $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

Remarks: 1. If N is Lipschitz continuous, then the requirement that K maps the image of C under N into C can be dispensed with.

2. If $t_n = s/(\lambda(n+1))$; $1 < s \leq 2$, then a convergence rate of the order $n^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-1)}$ is obtained. That is,

$$\|x_n - x^*\| = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-1)}).$$

Hence, for $E = L_p$; $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|x_n - x^*\| = \begin{cases} O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}); & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2 \\ O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}); & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3: In theorem 4, let $E = L_p$ ($1 < p \leq 2$) and replace condition (iii) on the real sequence $\{t_n\}$ by (iii)'' : $\sum t_n^p < +\infty$. Then the same conclusion is obtained.

Corollary 4: In theorem 4, let $E = L_p$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$) and replace

(iii) by the condition (iii)": $\sum_n t_n^2 < +\infty$. Then the same conclusion is obtained.

Remark: If $R(N)$ is bounded, then the boundedness of C is no longer required. Hence, in this case, we may take $C = E$. And in this case, the Hammerstein equation need not be homogeneous. That is, we will be solving (5) instead of (7).

REFERENCES

1. K. Deimling, "Nonlinear functional analysis", Springer, New York, 1985
2. E. Zeidler, "Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications", vol 1, Springer, New York, 1986
3. W. V. Petryshyn, "Direct and iterative methods for the solution of linear operator equations in Hilbert space", Trans AMS 105 (1962), pp 136 - 175
4. C. E. Chidume & S. J. Aneke, "Existence, uniqueness, and iterative approximation of a solution to a linear operator equation in Banach spaces", ICTP preprint IC/89/88, Trieste, Italy, 1989
5. C. Moore, "Iterative approximation of the solution to a K-accrative operator equation in certain Banach spaces", Indian J. Pure Appl. Maths. 21(12), (1990), pp36 - 42
6. C. E. Chidume, "Fixed point iterations for certain classes of nonlinear mappings II", J. Nig. Math. Soc. 8(1989), pp11 - 23