# THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL ON THE POPULATION DYNAMICS OF DENGUE

Akhaze R.U., Ako I.I. and Olowo O.O.

Department of Mathematics, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

Abstract

We present a deterministic nonlinear mathematical model describing the population dynamics of Dengue which provides public health insights to the impact of dual infectivity of an Aedes aegypti with two strains of dengue, where both strains are cocirculating with cross-immunity (CI) and antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) in the course of the dynamics of the disease. The model is rigorously analyzed qualitatively and thresholds for eradication are established.

*Keywords*: Basic reproduction number, disease-free equilibrium, epidemic, dengue disease, infective, co-circulating, cross-immunity (CI), antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE)

#### **1.0** Introduction

Dengue virus (DENV), is an eruptive febrile illness transmitted by arthropods, it's the most common mosquito borne viral disease in human [1]. Dengue virus is also well known to affect the antigen presenting immune cells, a type of dendritic cell in the skin [2, 3]. However, the disease could also attack other vital body organs e.g bone marrow and Lymph nodes, macrophages in both the spleen, liver and monocytes in the blood [4]. Dengue virus which causes Dengue fever, is a vector borne flavivirus, a genus that also includes the West Nile virus (WNV) and yellow fever virus (YFV) [5]. There are four distinct sero-types of the virus in circulation among humans: DENV-1, DENV-2, DENV-3, DENV-4 and DENV-5, which is the potential fifth serotype, was recently isolated from a patient in Borneo [6]. However, it has not been cleared if this virus is capable of sustained transmission between humans [7].

Dengue virus is sustained in a life-cycle that involves vectors feed on blood and vertebrate hosts [8]. Report shows that this particular viruses are sustained in Africa and Southeast Asia forests by transmission from a genus Aedes female mosquitoes to humans through mosquito bites [9]. The vector obtains the virus from an infected human by taking a blood meal during the initial 2 to 10 days febrile phase of illness [10].

Dengue fever starts suddenly after the period of incubation of 3 to 7 days. The first is called the febrile phase, the second phase is called the critical phase and the third is called the recovery phase [11]. When invaded with a pathogen like the dengue virus, the immune system defends the body against the organism (virus) [12]. The immune system is made up of two parts; the first part that gives general protection and provides the body with the immediate defense is called the innate immune system. The innate immune response recognizes and responds rapidly to the pathogen, but it doesn't proffer long-term immunity for an infected person against an invading pathogen [4]. The second part of the immune system is responsible in producing cells that efficient to target microorganism and cells that are infected and it's called the adaptive immune system [4]. The adaptive immune system cells produce antibody- secreting B cells and cytotoxic T cells called immunoglobulin, or Ig. These antibodies secreted by the B cells recognize and bind to foreign molecules [4]. The adaptive immune system provides a person with long-term against a pathogen but takes longer time to respond unlike the innate immune response [4].

When a person recovers from a first dengue infection, the person is been protected from other three dengue serotypes for about two to three months but the protection is not for long [4]. After a short interval, the person becomes susceptible and can be infected with any of the serotypes. Individuals that are been exposed to dengue virus the second time will have high risk of severe dengue infection due to antibody-dependent enhancement [13].

Anti-body dependent enhancement (ADE) occurs when non-neutralizing antiviral proteins facilitate virus entry into host cell which can lead to increased infectivity in the cells. Documented evidence had it that memory cells provide immunity from reinfection with the dengue serotypes that cause the first infection, persons who recover for the first dengue serotype that cause the infection becomes permanently immune to that particular stain and be- come temporary immune to the other strain [14]. Individuals who recover from one dengue strain enjoy cross-immunity against other strains [15].

Correspondence Author: Akhaze R.U., Email: rosemary.akhaze@uniben.edu, Tel: +2347012183667

Further research reveals that the first infection produces antibodies that spread the dengue viral infection and the amount of virus in the bloodstream. This occurrence can also manifest in children who acquire antibodies against dengue virus from their mothers while in the womb. Surprisingly, the existing antibodies and the antibodies produced by the memory B cells assist the virus to infect host cells more instead of destroying the virus [12]. In conclusion, the consequence of antibody dependent enhancement is that the body's immune system response actually makes the clinical manifestation of dengue worse and raises the risk of severe dengue illnesses [16].

Dengue fever without signs are discomfort and pain, skin rash, joint pain, headache, abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting, positive tourniguet test and leukopenia. Warning signs of dengue are persistent vomiting, severe abdominal pain, clinical accumulation of fluids, agitation, bleeding from mucosae letharaggy, and hepatomegaly. Severe stage of Dengue is respiratory insufficiency, accumulation of fluids, shock (dengue shock syndrome (DSS)) with severe bleeding, liver, severe organ compromise, altered conscious, heart and other organs [17].

During the febrile phase of the dengue fever, antipyretic treatment with pain relievers and oral fluid administration is recommended. Other medicine with aspirin should be avoided, a patient can be managed at home if he or she has access to a health care facility nearby with observation of daily full blood. Admission to hospital for close observation is highly needed when the case result in excessive vomiting, diarrhea, dehydration and bleeding [17].

#### 1.0 Model Formulation

We present a new deterministic nonlinear mathematical model describing the spread dynamics of Dengue which asses and the impact of dually infected vectors on the population dynamics of Dengue taking into consideration the phenomenon of antibody dependent enhancement (ADE) and cross immunity (CI) in a given human population. The classical work, to be outlined, consider homogeneous mingling of the human and insect (mosquito) populace, so that each insect mouthful has equivalent coincidental of transferring the virus to a susceptible individuals in the populace. Hence the total populace of human at any time *t* is denoted by  $N_{h0}(t)$ , is sub-divided into jointly restrictive populaces of susceptible individuals  $(S_{h0}(t))$ exposed humans with variant 1  $(E_{h1}(t))$ , infectious humans with variant 1  $(I_{h1}(t))$ , recuperated humans from variant 1  $(R_{h1}(t))$ , populace of susceptible humans to variant 2 who recover from variant 1  $(S_{h12}(t))$ , exposed humans with variant 2  $(E_{h2}(t))$ , infectious humans with variant 2  $(I_{h2}(t))$ , recuperated humans from variant 2  $(R_{h2}(t))$ , population of susceptible humans to variant 1 who had earlier recuperated from variant 2  $(S_{h21}(t))$ , Population of exposed humans to variant 2 who had earlier recuperated from variant 1  $(E_{h12}(t))$ , population of exposed humans to variant 2  $(E_{h21}(t))$ , population of exposed humans to variant 1 who had earlier recuperated from variant 2  $(I_{h21}(t))$ , Population of infectious humans with variant 1 who had earlier recuperated from variant 2  $(E_{h21}(t))$ , Population of infectious humans with variant 1 who had earlier recuperated from variant 2  $(I_{h21}(t))$ , population of infectious humans with variant 1 who had earlier recuperated from variant 2  $(I_{h21}(t))$ , Population of infectious humans with variant 1 who had earlier recuperated from variant 2  $(I_{h21}(t))$  and population of recuperated humans from both variant  $(M_h(t))$ , so that

$$\begin{split} N_h(t) &= S_{h0}(t) + E_{h1}(t) + I_{h1}(t) + R_{h1}(t) + E_{h2}(t) + I_{h2}(t) + R_{h2}(t) + S_{h12}(t) + E_{h12}(t) + \\ I_{h12}(t) + S_{h21}(t) + E_{h21}(t) + I_{h21}(t) + M_h(t) \end{split}$$

Likewise, the insect (mosquitoes) populace at time t, is split into susceptible vectors (mosquitoes)  $(S_v(t))$ , exposed vectors with variant  $1_{(E_{v1}(t))}$ , infectious vectors with variant  $1_{(I_{v2}(t))}$ , exposed vectors with variant  $2_{(E_{v2}(t))}$ , infectious vectors with variant  $2_{(I_{v2}(t))}$ , population of exposed vectors with variant 1 now exposed to variant  $2_{(E_{v12}(t))}$ , infectious vectors with variant 1 now also infectious with variant  $2_{(I_{v12}(t))}$ , exposed vectors with variant 2 now infected with variant  $1_{(E_{v2}(t))}$ , infectious vectors with variant 2 now also infectious with variant 2 now also infectious with variant  $1_{(I_{v21}(t))}$ .

(1)

The susceptible human populace is assumed to be produced via enrollment at the frequency  $(\Lambda_v(t))$ . This populace decreases as susceptible individuals make contact with infected vectors, the case results into dengue infection with either of the variant at the rate  $\lambda_{h1}$ ,  $\lambda_{h2}$ ,  $\lambda_{h3}$  and  $\lambda_{h1}$  (the force of infection with both variants), where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{h1} &= \frac{\beta_{\nu h1}(\eta_{\nu 1}E_{\nu 1}+I_{\nu 1})}{N_{h}} \\ \lambda_{h2} &= \frac{\beta_{\nu h2}(\eta_{\nu 2}E_{\nu 2}+I_{\nu 2})}{N_{h}} \\ \lambda_{h3} &= \frac{\beta^{1}_{\nu h}(\eta_{\nu 12}E_{\nu 12}+I_{\nu 12})}{N_{h}} \\ \lambda_{h4} &= \frac{\beta^{2}_{\nu h}(\eta_{\nu 2}E_{\nu 2}+I_{\nu 21})}{N_{h}} \end{aligned}$$
(2)

also  $\beta_{vh1}$  and  $\beta_{vh2}$  are the rate of Dengue transmission from vectors to humans with variant 1 and variant 2 respectively,  $\beta_{vh}^1$  and  $\beta_{vh}^2$  are the rate of Dengue transmission from vectors that are dually infected with variant 1 and variant 2. The modification parameters  $\eta_{v1}$  and  $\eta_{v2}$  model the reduced transmissibility of exposed Dengue vectors with variant 1 or variant 2  $(E_{v1} and E_{v2})$  compared to vectors with active Dengue  $(I_{v1} and I_{v2})$ , also  $\eta_{v12}$  and  $\eta_{v21}$  models the reduced transmissibility of exposed Dengue vectors that are dually infected  $(E_{v12} and E_{v21})$  compared to vectors with active Dengue  $(I_{v1} and I_{v2})$ , also  $\eta_{v12}$  and  $\eta_{v21}$  models the reduced transmissibility of exposed Dengue vectors that are dually infected  $(E_{v12} and E_{v21})$  compared to vectors with active Dengue with both variant  $(I_{v12} and I_{v21})$ , hence the assumption  $0 < \eta_{v1} < \eta_{v2} < \eta_{v12} < \eta_{v21} < 1$  [18].  $\sigma_1$  is the rate of increased infectiousness due to cross enhancement with variant 1,  $\sigma_2$  is the rate of increased infectiousness due to cross enhancement with variant 2.

Likewise, the rate at which vectors becomes infected with variant 1 or 2 or both is given by  $(\lambda_{v1} \text{ and } \lambda_{v2})$  (the force of infection for vectors with both variants) respectively, where

$$\lambda_{v1} = \frac{\beta_{hv1}(\eta_{h1}E_{h1} + I_{h1}) + \beta_{hv21}\sigma_{1}(\eta_{h21}E_{h21} + I_{h21})}{N_{h}}$$

$$\lambda_{v2} = \frac{\beta_{hv2}(\eta_{h2}E_{h2} + I_{h2}) + \beta_{hv12}\sigma_{2}(\eta_{h12}E_{h12} + I_{h12})}{N_{h}}$$
(3)

and  $\beta_{hv1}$  and  $\beta_{hv2}$  is the rate of Dengue transmission from humans to vectors with variant 1 or variant 2,  $\beta_{hv12}$  and  $\beta_{hv21}$  is of Dengue transmission from humans infected with variant 1 and variant 2. Also  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are parameters that account for increased infectiousness of infected individuals with one strain but had recovered from the second variant. The modification parameters  $\eta_{h1}$  and  $\eta_{h2}$  represent the reduced transmissibility exposed humans with variant 1 or variant 2 compared to humans with active Dengue ( $I_{h1}$  and  $I_{h2}$ ). Also  $\eta_{h3}$  and  $\eta_{h4}$  models the reduced transmissibility of exposed Dengue humans that are infected with both variants ( $E_{h12}$  and  $E_{h21}$ ), again we assume that  $0 < \eta_{h1} < \eta_{h2} < \eta_{h3} < \eta_{h4} < 1$ Entities in each partition undergo expected mortality at the frequency  $\mu_h$ .

The frequency of conversion of the susceptible human populace is generated by recruitment at the frequency  $\Lambda_h$ . This populace decrease as they come in contact with vectors infectious with variant 1 at the frequency  $\lambda_{h1}$ , with variant 2 at the frequency  $\lambda_{h2}$ , with the dual infected at the frequency  $\lambda_{h3}$  and  $\lambda_{h4}$  and finally by natural death at the frequency  $\mu_h$ . Thus

$$\frac{dS_{h0}}{dt} = \Lambda_h - \lambda_{h1}S_{h0} - \lambda_{h2}S_{h0} - \lambda_{h3}S_{h0} - \lambda_{h4}S_{h0} - \mu_hS_{h0}, \qquad (4)$$

The parameter  $p_{v21}$  represents the proportion of new human contamination with variant 2 vectors initially infected with variant 1 while  $(1 - p_{v21})$  represent the remaining fraction of infections with variant 1 from such vectors. Similarly,  $p_{v12}$  illustrates the proportion of new humans with infections with variant 2 generated by vectors initially infected with variant 1 and now infected with variant 2, while  $(1 - p_{v12})$  illustrates the remaining fraction of infections of infections with variant 2 generated by vectors initially infected with variant 1 and now infected with variant 2, while  $(1 - p_{v12})$  illustrates the remaining fraction of infections with variant 1 from such vectors. The populace of infected individuals is generated by new infection at the frequency of  $\lambda_{h1}$ , the remaining fraction of new humans with infections with variant 2 at the rate  $(1 - p_{v12}) \lambda_{h3}$ , the remaining fraction of new humans infections vectors initially infected with variant 2 and now infected with variant 1 at the frequency of  $(1 - p_{v21}) \lambda_{h4}$  and reduces by progression of individuals (at the frequency  $\gamma_{h1}$ ) and natural death (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,  $\frac{dE_{h1}}{dt} = \lambda_{h1}S_{h0} + (1 - p_{v12})\lambda_{h3}S_{h0} + (1 - p_{v21})\lambda_{h4}S_{h0} - (\gamma_{h1} + \mu_h)E_{h1}$ , (5)

The populace of infectious humans with variant 2 is generated by the advancement of infected humans with variant 2 (at the frequency  $\gamma_{h2}$ ). This populace decreases due to recovery from variant 2 (at the frequency  $\alpha_h$ ), expected mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ) and dengue induced mortality (at the frequency  $\delta_{h2}$ ). This gives,

$$\frac{dI_{h1}}{dt} = \gamma_{h1}E_{h1} - (\alpha_{h1} + \mu_h + \delta_{h1})I_{h1}, \qquad (6)$$
The recovered class from variant 2 is generated by humans from infectious class who recovered from variant

The recovered class from variant 2 is generated by humans from infectious class who recovered from variant 2 (at the frequency  $\alpha_{h_2}$ ).

This populace is decreased by the waning cross-immunity to variant 1 due to recovery from variant 2 (at the frequency  $\theta_1$ ) and by natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,

$$\frac{dR_{h1}}{dt} = \alpha_{h1} I_{h1} - (\theta_2 + \mu_h) R_{h1}.$$
(7)

The population of susceptible humans to variant 2 who recover from variant 1 increases by the inflow of individuals from  $(R_{h1})$  class at the frequency  $\theta_2$ . The populace is reduced due to infections with variant 2 at the frequency  $\tau_{h2}\lambda_{h2}$ ,  $\tau_{h2}r_{v12}^2\lambda_{h3}$ , and  $\tau_{h2}r_{v21}^2\lambda_{h4}$ , respectively, where  $\tau_{h2}(0 < \tau_{h2} < 1)$  represents cross-enhancement due to the previous infection with variant 1 and finally reduces by usual death (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,

$$\frac{dS_{h12}}{dt} = \theta_2 R_{h1} - \tau_2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h2} - \tau_2 r_{\nu_1 2}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h3} - \tau_2 r_{\nu_2 1}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h4} - \mu_h S_{h12} , \qquad (8)$$

Population of infectious humans with variant 1 who lost temporary immunity to variant 1 after recovering from variant 2  $(I_{h21})$  is generated by individuals who progressed from exposed humans with variant 1 who lost temporary immunity to variant

1 after recovering from variant 2 class  $(E_{h_{21}})$  to infectious class (at the frequency  $\gamma_{h_{21}}$ ) and the populace is decreased by recovered individual with variant 2 (at frequency  $\alpha_{h_{21}}$ ). The population further reduce as a result of dengue induced mortality (at frequency  $\delta_{h_{21}}$ ) and usual mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,

$$\frac{dE_{h2}}{dt} = \lambda_{hi}S_{h0} + p_{v12}\lambda_{h3}S_{h0} + p_{v21}\lambda_{h4}S_{h0} - (\gamma_{h2} + \mu_h)E_{h2}$$
(9)

The populace of infectious humans with variant 2 is generated by the advancement of infected humans with variant 2 (at the frequency  $\gamma_{h2}$ ). This populace increases due to recovery from variant 2 (at the frequency  $\alpha_{h2}$ ), expected mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ) and dengue induced mortality (at the frequency  $\delta_{h2}$ ). This gives,

$$\frac{dI_{h2}}{dt} = \gamma_{h2} E_{h2} - (\alpha_{h2} + \mu_h + \delta_{h2}) I_{h2}.$$
(10)

The recovered class from variant 2 is generated by humans from infectious class who recovered from variant 2 (at the frequency  $\alpha_{h_2}$ ) this populace is decreased by the waning cross-immunity ti variant 1 due to recovery from variant 2(at the

frequency  $\theta_1$ ) and by natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ).

Thus, 
$$\frac{dR_{h_2}}{dt} = \alpha_{h_2} I_{h_2} - (\theta_1 + \mu_h) R_{h_2}.$$
 (11)

The population of susceptible humans to variant 1 who recover from variant 2 increases by the inflow of individuals from  $(R_{h2})$  class at the frequency  $\theta_1$ . The populace is reduced due to infections with variant 2 at the frequency  $\tau_{h1}\lambda_{h1}$ ,

 $\tau_{h1}r_{v12}^{1}\lambda_{h3}$ , and  $\tau_{h1}r_{v21}^{1}\lambda_{h4}$ , where  $\tau_{h1}(0 < \tau_{h1} < 1)$  represent cross-enhancement due to the previous infection with variant 1 and finally reduces by usual death (at the frequency  $\mu_{h}$ . Thus,

$$\frac{dS_{h21}}{dt} = \theta_1 R_{h2} - \tau_1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h1} - \tau_1 r_{\nu_{12}}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h3} - \tau_1 r_{\nu_{21}}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h4} - \mu_h S_{h21}$$
(12)

The population of infected humans to variant 2 who recover from variant 1 increases by the inflow of individuals from ( $S_{h12}$ ) class at the frequency  $\tau_{h2}\lambda_{h2}$ ,  $\tau_{h2}r_{v12}^2\lambda_{h3}$  and  $\tau_{h2}r_{v21}^2\lambda_{h4}$ . This populace is reduced by progression of humans (at the

frequency  $\gamma_{h12}$ ) and natural death (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,  $dE_{h12}$   $dE_$ 

$$\frac{dT_{h12}}{dt} = \tau_2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h2} + \tau_2 r_{v12}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h3} + \tau_2 r_{v21}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h4} - (\gamma_{h12} + \mu_h) E_{h12}$$
(13)

The population of infectious humans with variant 2 who recover from variant 1 is increased by inflow of individual from

(14)

 $E_{h12}$  class at the frequency  $\gamma_{h12}$  and reduced recovery from variant 2 (at the frequency  $\alpha_{h12}$ ), dengue induced death (at the frequency  $\delta_{h12}$ ) and natural death (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). This gives,

$$\frac{dI_{h12}}{dt} = \gamma_{h12}E_{h12} - (\alpha_{h12} + \mu_h + \delta_{h12})I_{h12}$$

The population of infected humans to variant 1 who recover from variant 2 increase by the inflow of individuals from ( $S_{h_{21}}$ ) class at the frequency  $\tau_1 \lambda_{h_1}$ ,  $\tau_1 r_{v_{12}}^1 \lambda_{h_3}$  and  $\tau_1 r_{v_{21}}^1 \lambda_{h_4}$ . This populace is reduced by progression of humans (at the frequency  $\gamma_{h_{21}}$ ) and natural death (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,

$$\frac{dE_{h21}}{dt} = \tau_1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h1} + \tau_1 r_{v12}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h3} + \tau_1 r_{v21}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h4} - (\gamma_{h21} + \mu_h) E_{h21}$$
(15)

The population of infectious humans with variant 1 who lost temporary immunity to variant 1 after recovering from variant 2  $(I_{h_{21}})$  is generated by individuals who progressed from exposed humans with variant 1 who lost temporary immunity to variant 1 after recovering from variant 2 class $(E_{h_{21}})$  to infectious class (at the frequency  $(\gamma_{h_{21}})$ ) and the populace is decreased by recovered individual with variant 2 (at frequency  $\alpha_{h_{21}}$ ). The population further reduces as a result of dengue-induced mortality (at frequency  $E_{h_{21}}$ ) and usual mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Thus,

$$\frac{dI_{h21}}{dt} = \gamma_{h21} E_{h21} - (\alpha_{h21} + \mu_h + \delta_{h21}) I_{h21}$$
(16)

The recovered class from both variant is generated by humans from  $I_{h12}$  and  $I_{h21}$  (at the frequency  $\alpha_{h12}$  and  $\alpha_{h21}$ ). This populace is de-creased by usual death (at the frequency  $\mu_h$ ). Hence,

$$\frac{dM_h}{dt} = \alpha_{h12} I_{h12} + \alpha_{h21} I_{h21} - (\theta_1 + \mu_h) \mu_h M_h.$$
(17)

The populace of susceptible vectors is increased by birth (at the frequency  $\Lambda_{\nu}$ ) and decrease by infection, with Infested humans with variant 1 or 2 (at the frequency  $\lambda_{\nu 1}$  or  $\lambda_{\nu 2}$ , separately). The vector populace undergoes natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_{\nu}$ ). Therefore, the degree of change of the susceptible mosquitoes is given by,

$$\frac{dS_{\nu}}{dt} = \lambda_{\nu 1}S_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu 1}S_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu 2}S_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu}E_{\nu 1}$$
(18)

The populace of infected vectors with variant 1 is increased via infection at the frequency  $\lambda_{v1}$ , decreased by infected vector with variant 2 at the frequency  $\pi_{hv1}^E \lambda_{v2}$  where  $\pi_{hv1}^E$  (is the parameter that account for dually infected vectors), progression (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v1}$ ) and natural death at the frequency  $\mu_v$ .

This gives:

$$\frac{dE_{\nu 1}}{dt} = \lambda_{\nu 1} S_{\nu} - \pi_{h\nu 1}^{E} \lambda_{\nu 2} E_{\nu 1} - (\gamma_{\nu 1} + \mu_{\nu}) E_{\nu 1}$$
(19)

The populace of infectious vectors with variant 1 is produced by the progression of infested vectors with variant 1 (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v1}$ ), decreased by infectious vector with variant 1 (at the frequency  $\pi_{hv1}^I \lambda_{v2}$ ) where  $\pi_{hv1}^I$  is a parameter that account for dually infected vector infectious with variant 1, natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_v$ ) and mortality due to dengue disease (at the frequency  $\delta_v$ ,). This gives:

$$\frac{dI_{\nu_1}}{dt} = \gamma_{\nu_1} E_{\nu_1} - \pi^I_{h\nu_1} \lambda_{\nu_2} I_{\nu_1} - (\mu_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu}) I_{\nu_1}$$
(20)

The populace of infected vectors with variant 2 is generated by the infected vector (at the frequency  $\lambda_{\nu 2}$ ), diminished by infected vectors (at the frequency  $\pi_{h\nu 2}^{E}$   $\lambda_{\nu 1}$ ) where  $\pi_{h\nu 2}^{E}$  (is the parameter that account for dually infected vector with variant 2), normal mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_{\nu}$ ) and progression (at the frequency  $\gamma_{\nu 2}$ ). This gives:

$$\frac{dE_{\nu_2}}{dt} = \lambda_{\nu_2} S_{\nu} - \pi_{h\nu_2}^E \lambda_{\nu_1} E_{\nu_2} - (\gamma_{\nu_2} + \mu_{\nu}) E_{\nu_2}$$
(21)

The infectious vectors populace is produced by the progression of vectors from infected vectors with variant 2 (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v2}$ ) diminished by infected vector with variant 2 (at the frequency  $\pi_{hvl}^{T}\lambda_{v2}$ ) normal mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_{v}$ ) mortality as a result of dengue virus (at the frequency  $\delta_{v}$ ). Thus

...

$$\frac{dI_{\nu_2}}{dt} = \gamma_{\nu_2} E_{\nu_2} - \pi_{h\nu_2}^I \lambda_{\nu_1} I_{\nu_2} - (\mu_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu}) I_{\nu_2}$$

The populace of vectors infected with variant 1 now infected with variant 2 is generated by the exposed vector (at the frequency  $\pi_{hvl}^E \lambda_{v2}$ ), increased by infectious vector with variant 1 (at the frequency  $\pi_{hvl}^E \lambda_{v2}$ ). This populace is diminished by natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_v$ ) and progression of vectors (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v12}$ ). This gives:

$$\frac{dE_{\nu 12}}{dt} = \pi_{h\nu 1}^{E} \lambda_{\nu 2} E_{\nu 1} + \pi_{h\nu 1}^{I} \lambda_{\nu 2} I_{\nu 1} - (\gamma_{\nu 12} + \mu_{\nu}) E_{\nu 12}, \qquad (23)$$

The Populace of infectious vectors with variant 2 now also infectious with variant 1 is generated by the progression of vectors (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v12}$ ), and decreases by natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_v$ ) and mortality due to dengue disease (at the frequency  $\delta_v$ ), This gives:

$$\frac{dI_{\nu 12}}{dt} = \gamma_{\nu 12} E_{\nu 12} - (\mu_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu}) I_{\nu 12}.$$
(24)

The populace of infected vectors with variant 2 now exposed to variant 1 is generated by the infected vectors with variant 2(at the frequency  $\pi_{hv2}^E \lambda_{v1}$ ), increased by infectious vector with variant 2(at the frequency  $\pi_{hv2}^I$ ). This populace diminishes by natural mortality (at the frequency  $\mu_v$ ) and progression of vectors (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v21}$ ). This gives:

$$\frac{dE_{\nu_{21}}}{dt} = \pi_{h\nu_{2}}^{E} \lambda_{\nu_{1}} E_{\nu_{2}} + \pi_{h\nu_{2}}^{I} \lambda_{\nu_{1}} I_{\nu_{2}} - (\gamma_{\nu_{21}} + \mu_{\nu}) E_{\nu_{21}}.$$
(25)

The population of infectious vectors with variant 2 now also infectious with variant 1 is produced by the progression of vectors from exposed vector with both variant (at the frequency  $\gamma_{v_{21}}$ ). This populace moderated by usual mortality (at

the frequency  $\mu_{\nu}$ ) and mortality as a result of dengue disease (at the frequency  $\delta_{\nu}$ ). Thus:

$$\frac{dI_{\nu_{21}}}{dt} = \gamma_{\nu_{21}} E_{\nu_{21}} - (\mu_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu}) I_{\nu_{21}}$$
(26)

Hence, the dengue transmission model comprises of the resulting system of 23 of nonlinear ordinary differential equations is given in (27). Figure 1 gives the graphic illustration of the exchange of humans between compartments as well the mosquito dynamics as in (26). Table (1.1) and (1.2) respectively, explain the sub-populations and parameters employed in the mathematical formulations.



Figure 1: Schematic representation for the basic Dengue model

Transactions of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 17, (Oct. – Dec., 2021), 67–82

(22)

(27)

$\frac{dS_{_{h0}}}{dt} = \Lambda_{_{h}} - \lambda_{_{h1}}S_{_{h0}} - \lambda_{_{h2}}S_{_{h0}} - \lambda_{_{h3}}S_{_{h0}} - \lambda_{_{h4}}S_{_{h0}} - \mu_{_{h}}S_{_{h0}},$
$\frac{dE_{h1}}{dt} = \lambda_{hi}S_{h0} + (1 - p_{v12})\lambda_{h3}S_{h0} + (1 - p_{v21})\lambda_{h4}S_{h0} - (\gamma_{h1} + \mu_h)E_{h1},$
$rac{dI_{_{h1}}}{dt}=\gamma_{_{h1}}E_{_{h1}}-ig(lpha_{_{h1}}+\mu_{_{h}}+\delta_{_{h1}}ig)I_{_{h1}}\;,$
$rac{dR_{h1}}{dt} = lpha_{h1}  I_{h1}  -  ig(  heta_2 + \mu_h  ig) R_{h1}  ,$
$\frac{dS_{h12}}{dt} = \theta_2 R_{h1} - \tau_2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h2} - \tau_2 r_{v12}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h3} - \tau_2 r_{v21}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h4} - \mu_h S_{h12} ,$
$\frac{dE_{h2}}{dt} = \lambda_{hi}S_{h0} + p_{v12}\lambda_{h3}S_{h0} + p_{v21}\lambda_{h4}S_{h0} - (\gamma_{h2} + \mu_h)E_{h2},$
$\frac{dI_{h2}}{dt} = \gamma_{h2}E_{h2} - (\alpha_{h2} + \mu_h + \delta_{h2})I_{h2}$ ,
$rac{dR_{h2}}{dt} = lpha_{h2}  I_{h2}  -  ig(  heta_{ ext{\tiny i}}  I_{h2}  -  ig(  heta_{ ext{\tiny i}}  +  \mu_{ ext{\tiny h}}  ig) R_{h2} \; ,$
$\frac{dS_{h21}}{dt} = \theta_1 R_{h2} - \tau_1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h1} - \tau_1 r_{\nu12}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h3} - \tau_1 r_{\nu21}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h4} - \mu_h S_{h21},$
$\frac{dE_{h12}}{dt} = \tau_2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h2} + \tau_2 r_{r12}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h3} + \tau_2 r_{r21}^2 S_{h12} \lambda_{h4} - (\gamma_{h12} + \mu_h) E_{h12} ,$
$\frac{dI_{h12}}{dt} = \gamma_{h12}E_{h12} - (\alpha_{h12} + \mu_h + \delta_{h12})I_{h12}  ,$
$\frac{dE_{h21}}{dt} = \tau_1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h1} + \tau_1 r_{v12}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h3} + \tau_1 r_{v21}^1 S_{h21} \lambda_{h4} - (\gamma_{h21} + \mu_h) E_{h21} ,$
$\frac{dI_{h21}}{dt} = \gamma_{h21}E_{h21} - (\alpha_{h21} + \mu_h + \delta_{h21})I_{h21}  ,$
$\frac{dM_{h}}{dt} = \alpha_{h12} I_{h12} + \alpha_{h21} I_{h21} - (\theta_{i} + \mu_{h}) \mu_{h} M_{h} ,$
$\frac{dS_{\nu}}{dt} = \lambda_{\nu i}S_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu i}S_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu 2}S_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu}E_{\nu 1},$
$\frac{dE_{_{\rm vl}}}{dt} = \ \lambda_{_{\rm vl}} {\rm S}_{_{\rm v}} - \pi^E_{_{hvl}} \lambda_{_{\rm v2}} {\rm E}_{_{\rm vl}} - \ \left(\gamma_{_{\rm vl}} + \ \mu_{_{\rm v}}\right) {\rm E}_{_{\rm vl}} ,$
$\frac{dI_{v_1}}{dt} = \gamma_{v_1} E_{v_1} - \pi_{h_{v_1}}^I \lambda_{v_2} I_{v_1} - \left(\mu_v + \delta_v\right) I_{v_1} ,$
$\frac{dE_{v2}}{dt} = \lambda_{v2}S_v - \pi^E_{hv2}\lambda_{v1}E_{v2} - (\gamma_{v2} + \mu_v)E_{v2},$
$\frac{dI_{v2}}{dt} = \gamma_{v2} E_{v2} - \pi_{hv2}^{I} \lambda_{v1} I_{v2} - (\mu_{v} + \delta_{v}) I_{v2} \ ,$
$\frac{dE_{v12}}{dt} = \pi_{hv1}^{E} \lambda_{v2} E_{v1} + \pi_{hv1}^{I} \lambda_{v2} I_{v1} - (\gamma_{v12} + \mu_{v}) E_{v12},$
$\frac{dI_{v12}}{dt} = \gamma_{v12} E_{v12} - (\mu_v + \delta_v) I_{v1} ,$
$\frac{dE_{v21}}{dt} = \pi_{hv2}^{E} \lambda_{v1} E_{v2} + \pi_{hv2}' \lambda_{v1} I_{v2} - (\gamma_{v21} + \mu_{v}) E_{v21},$
$\frac{dI_{v^2}}{dt} = \gamma_{v21} E_{v21} - (\mu_v + \delta_v) I_{v21} ,$

Table 1.1: Definitions of model variables

State Variables	Description
$S_{h0}(t)$	Populace of susceptible humans
$E_{h1}(t)$	Populace of humans exposed to variant 1
$I_{h1}(t)$	Populace of infectious individual with Variant 1
$R_{h1}(t)$	Populace of recovered humans from variant 1
$E_{h2}(t)$	Populace of humans exposed to variant 2
$I_{h2}(t)$	Populace of infectious humans with variant 2
$R_{h2}(t)$	Populaceofrecovered humans from vaire
$S_{h12}(t)$	Populace of susceptible humans to variant 2 who recover from variant 1
$E_{h12}(t)$	Populace of exposed humans to variant 2 who had earlier recovered from variant 1
$I_{b12}(t)$	Populace of infectious humans with variant 2 after recovering from variant 1
$S_{h21}(t)$	Populace of susceptible humans to variant 1 who had earlier recovered from variant2
$E_{h21}(t)$	Populace of exposed humans to strain 1 who had earlier recovered from variant2
$I_{\mu\gamma1}(t)$	Populace of infectious humans with variant 1 who lost temporary 8 immunity to variant 1 after recovering from variant 2
$M_{\mu}(t)$	Populaceofrecovered humans from both variant.
$S_{v}(t)$	Populace of susceptible vectors
$E_{v1}(t)$	Populace of exposed vectors to variant 1
$I_{v1}(t)$	Populace of infectious vectors with variant 1
$E_{v^2}(t)$	Populace of exposed vectors to variant 2
$I_{v2}(t)$	Populace of infectious vectors with variant 2
$E_{v12}(t)$	Populace of exposed vectors with variant 1 now exposed to variant2
$I_{v12}(t)$	Populace of infectious vectors with variant 1 now also infectious with variant 2
$E_{v21}(t)$	Populace of exposed vectors with variant 2 now infected with variant 1
$I_{y^{21}}(t)$	Populace of infectious vectors with variant 2 now also infectious with variant 1 height

1 uolo 1.2. Dominion of model purumeters
--

Parameter	Description
Δ.	Human enrollment frequency
$\mu_{h}$	natural death rate of humans
$\Delta_{v}$	Vector recruitment frequency
$\mu_{v}$	natural death frequency of vectors
$\beta_{hy}$	Spread probability from humans to vectors
$\beta_{vh}$	Spread probability from vectors to humans
$\pi_{hv}$	Adjustment parameter to dually infected vector
$\pi_{hv}$	Adjustment parameter to dually infected vector
$\eta_{h1}$	Adjustment parameters that accounts for the Lessen transmissibility of unprotected humans with variant 1
$\eta_{h2}$	$Adjust ment parameters that accounts for the Lessen transmissibility \ of unprotected \ humans \ with \ variant 2$
$\eta_{_{v1}}$	Adjustmentparameters that accounts for the Lessen transmissibility of unprotected vectors with variant 1
$\eta_{v^2}$	Adjustmentparameters that accounts for the Lessen transmissibility of exposed vectors with variant 2
$\alpha_{h1}$	Recovery rate of humans from variant 1
$\alpha_{h2}$	Recovery rate of humans from variant 2
$\gamma_{v1}$	Progression rate of exposed vectors with variant 1
$\gamma_{v2}$	Progression rate of exposed vectors with variant 2
$\gamma_{h1}$	Progression rate of exposed humans with variant 1
$\gamma_{h2}$	Progression rate of humans with variant 2
$\delta_{_{h1}}$	Disease prompted mortality for humans with variant 1
$\delta_{h2}$	Disease prompted mortality for humans with variant 2
$\delta_{h12}$	Disease prompted mortality for humans with strain 2 who recovered from variant 1
$\delta_{_{h21}}$	Disease prompted mortality for humans with variant 1 who recovered from variant
$ heta_1$	Cross immunity for variant1
$\theta_{2}$	Cross immunity for variant 2
$ au_1$	Cross enhancement due to previous infection with variant 1
$ au_2$	Cross enhancement due to previous infection with variant 2
$r_{12}^1$	Proportion of variant 1
$r_{12}^2$	Proportion of variant 2
$r_{21}^{1}$	Proportion of variant 1
$r_{21}^2$	Proportion of variant 2
$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	Increased infectiousness due to crossen hancement to variant 1
$\sigma_{2}$	Increased infectiousness due to cross enhancement to variant 2
$p_{v12}$	Fraction of new humans infections with variant 2
$p_{v21}$	Fraction of new humans infections with variant 1

# 2.0 MODEL ANALYSIS

We prove that all state variables of the model (27) are always positive for all time, t and that the orbits generated are positively invariant for all time.

Theorem 2.0 Let the initial figures for the dengue model (4) be given as

 $S_{\nu}(0) > 0, \ E_{\nu 1}(0) > 0, \ I_{\nu 1}(0) > 0, \ E_{\nu 2}(0) > 0, \ I_{\nu 2}(0) > 0, \ E_{\nu 12}(0) > 0, \ I_{\nu 12}(0) > 0, \ E_{\nu 21}(0) > 0, \ I_{\nu 21}(0) > 0, \ S_{h0}(0) > 0, \ E_{h1}(0) > 0, \ I_{h1}(0) > 0, \ S_{h12}(0) > 0, \ E_{h12}(0) > 0, \ S_{h12}(0) > 0, \ S_{h12}(0)$ 

 $I_{h_{21}}(0) > 0$ , and  $M_h(0) > 0$ .

Then the trajectories  $(s_{\nu}(t), E_{\nu 1}(t), I_{\nu 1}(t), E_{\nu 2}(t), I_{\nu 2}(t), E_{\nu 12}(t), I_{\nu 12}(t), E_{\nu 21}(t), I_{\nu 21}(t),$ 

 $s_{h0}(t), E_{h1}(t), I_{h1}(t), R_{h1}(t), s_{h12}(t), E_{h2}(t), I_{h2}(t), R_{h2}(t), s_{h21}(t), E_{h21}(t), I_{h21}(t), M_{h}(t))$ 

of the model with positive initial conditions, will remain positive for all time t > 0.

Proof: Following [19] and [20], the model (4) can be written in the form

(5)

 $\frac{dY_1}{dt} = F_1 + G_1$ 

$$\begin{split} Y_{1} &= (S_{h0}(t), E_{h1}(t), I_{h1}(t), R_{h1}(t), S_{h12}(t), E_{h2}(t), I_{h2}(t), R_{h2}(t), S_{h21}(t), E_{h12}(t), I_{h12}(t), E_{h21}(t), I_{h21}(t), M_{h}(t), \\ S_{v}(t), E_{v1}(t), I_{v1}(t), E_{v2}(t), I_{v2}(t), E_{v12}(t), I_{v21}(t), E_{v21}(t), I_{v21}(t), ) \\ & \text{where} \end{split}$$

and  $f(Y_1)$ , is a 23 x 23 matrix given as

```
f(Y_1) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1(12x12)} & & f_{2(12x11)} \\ f_{3(11x12)} & & f_{4(11x11)} \end{pmatrix}
```

# Where

	(-	$(F_1 + \mu_1)$	h) 0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	$ F_2 $		$g_5$	0	0	0	0	0	(	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	$-\gamma_{h1}$	$-g_6$	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	0		0	$\alpha_{h1}$	$-g_7$	0	0	0	(	0	0	0	0	0
	$F_3$		0	0	0	$-g_8$	0	0	(	)	0	0	0	0
	0		0	0	0	$\gamma_{h2}$	$-g_{9}$	0	C	)	0	0	0	0
$f_1 =$	0		0	0	0	0	$\alpha_{h}$	$2 - g_{10}$	0	)	0	0	0	0
	0		0	0	$\theta_2$	0	0	0 -	$(F_4 -$	$+ \mu_h)$	0	0	0	0
	0		0	0	0	0	0	$\theta_1$	0	- (	$F_5 + \mu_h$	) 0	0	0
	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	F	4	0	$-g_{11}$	0	0
	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	$\gamma_{h12}$	$-g_{12}$	0
	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		$F_5$	0	0 -	$g_{12}$
	00	$0 - \beta_{vh1}$	$7_{v1} - 4$	$g_{vh1} -$	$\beta_{vh2}$	7 <sub>v2</sub> - /	$\beta_{vh2} -$	$eta_{\scriptscriptstyle vh}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle v12}$	$-\beta_{vh}^1$ .	$-\beta_{vh}^2\eta_{v21}$	$-\beta_{vh}^2$			
	00	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \beta_{vh1}\eta \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$	v1 /	wh1	0	(	0	F <sub>12</sub>	F <sub>13</sub>	F <sub>14</sub>	F <sub>15</sub>			
	00			0	0		0	0	0	0	0			
	00	0 0		õ,	$\beta_{vh}, \eta$	1.2	$\beta_{yh2}$	$F_{16}$	F <sub>17</sub>	F <sub>18</sub>	F <sub>19</sub>			
	00	0 0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0			
$f_{2} =$	0.0	0 0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0			
	00	0 0		$0 - \tau$	$\beta_{2}\beta_{vh}$	$_{2}\eta_{\nu 2} -$	$\tau_2 \beta_{vh}$	$_{2} - F_{20} - F_{20}$	- F <sub>21</sub>	- F <sub>2</sub>	$-F_{23}$			
	00	$0 - \tau_1 \beta_{vh}$	$_{1}\eta_{v1}$ –	$\tau_1 \beta_{vh}$	10 • B	ητ	B	$- F_{24}$	F 25	- P <sub>26</sub>	$-F_{27}$			
	00	0 0		0	$\frac{2P_{vh}}{0}$	21/1/2 2	${}_{2}\rho_{vh2}$	0	0	0	0			
	00	$0 - \tau_1 \beta_{vh}$	$\eta_{v1} \tau_1$	$\beta_{vh1}$	0		0	$F_{24}$	$F_{25}$	$F_{26}$	$F_{27}$			
												)		
	1	00	0 0	<b>o</b>	0	0	Ο	0 0	0	$\gamma_{h2}$				
		00	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	$\alpha_{k}$	$_{ii12}$ O				
		00	00		0	0	0	0 0	0	0				
		00		, 0			0		0	0				
		00		$   \frac{1}{2} $	0		0		0	0	ł			
$f_3$	=	00	ο	$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$	o	o	o	0 0	ŏ	0				
		00	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0				
		00	0 0	<b>o</b>	0	• •	ο	o o	ο	0				
		00	о с	) O	0	• •	Ο	о о	ο	0				
		00	0 0	<b>o</b>	0	0	Ο	0 0	ο	0				
	. 1	<b>00</b>	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	)			
(	$-g_{14}$	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 (	0 0	0 )			
	$\alpha_{_{h21}}$	$-\mu_h$	0	(	)	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0			
	0	$0 - (F_6 +$	$+F_7 + \mu$	ι <sub>v</sub> ) (	)	0	0	0	0 (	0 0	0			
	0	0	$F_6$	- l	8	0	0	0	0	0 0	0			
	0	0	0	1	·	$-F_{o}$	0	0	0	0 0	0			
$f_4 =$	0	0	F.,	,	0	0	$-F_{10}$	0	0	0 0	0			
	0	0	0		0	0	γ.	– F	0	0 0	0			
	0	0	0		F	$\pi^{l}$ E	7 0	0	a	0 0	0			
	0	0	0	/ 0	hvi 17	n <sub>hvl</sub> r 0	7 0	0	53 V	0	0			
	0	0	0	0		0	_E -	-1 -	/ <sub>v12</sub> -	$-\mu_v = 0$	0			
	0	U	0	0		0	$\pi_{hv2}^{L}F$	$_{6} \pi_{hv2}^{\prime}F_{6}$	0	$0 - g_4$	0			
(	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	$0 \gamma_{v21}$	$-\mu_v$			

#### Where

$$\begin{split} F_{1} &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}(\eta_{hl}Y_{16}+Y_{17}) + \beta_{vh2}(\eta_{v2}Y_{19}+Y_{19}) + \beta_{vh}^{1}(\eta_{v12}Y_{20}+Y_{21}) + \beta_{vh}^{2}(\eta_{v21}Y_{22}+Y_{23})}{N_{h}}, \\ F_{2} &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}(\eta_{vl}Y_{16}+Y_{17}) + (1-p_{v12})\beta_{vh}^{1}(\eta_{v12}Y_{20}+Y_{21}) + (1-p_{v21})\beta_{vh}^{2}(\eta_{v21}Y_{22}+Y_{23})}{N_{h}}, \\ F_{3} &= \frac{\beta_{vh2}(\eta_{v2}Y_{18}+Y_{19}) + p_{v12}\beta_{vh}^{1}(\eta_{v12}Y_{20}+Y_{21}) + p_{v21}\beta_{vh}^{2}(\eta_{v21}Y_{22}+Y_{23})}{N_{h}}, \\ F_{4} &= \frac{\tau_{2}(\beta_{vh2}(\eta_{v2}Y_{18}+Y_{19}) + r_{v12}^{2}\beta_{vh}^{1}(\eta_{v12}Y_{20}+Y_{21}) + r_{v21}^{2}\beta_{vh}^{2}(\eta_{v21}Y_{22}+Y_{23}))}{N_{h}}, \\ F_{5} &= \frac{\tau_{1}(\beta_{vh1}(\eta_{v1}Y_{16}+Y_{17}) + r_{v12}^{1}\beta_{vh}^{1}(\eta_{v1}Y_{20}+Y_{21}) + r_{v21}^{2}\beta_{vh}^{2}(\eta_{v21}Y_{22}+Y_{23}))}{N_{h}}, \\ F_{6} &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}(\eta_{h1}Y_{2}+Y_{3}) + \beta_{hv2}\sigma_{h1}(\eta_{h1}\eta_{v1}Y_{20} + Y_{11}) + r_{v21}^{1}\beta_{vh}^{2}(\eta_{v21}Y_{22}+Y_{23}))}{N_{h}}, \\ F_{6} &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}(\eta_{h1}Y_{2}+Y_{3}) + \beta_{hv2}\sigma_{h1}(\eta_{h1}\eta_{v1}Y_{20} + Y_{11})}{N_{h}}, \quad F_{7} &= \frac{\beta_{vh2}(\eta_{h2}Y_{5}+Y_{6}) + \beta_{hv12}\sigma_{h2}(\eta_{h2}Y_{6}+Y_{11})}{N_{h}}, \\ g_{1} &= \gamma_{v1} + \mu_{v}, g_{2} &= \gamma_{v2} + \mu_{v}, g_{3} &= \gamma_{v12} + \mu_{v}, g_{4} &= \gamma_{v21} + \mu_{v}, g_{5} &= \gamma_{h1} + \mu_{h}, \\ g_{6} &= \alpha_{h1} + \mu_{h} + \delta_{h1}, g_{7} &= \theta_{2} + \mu_{h}, g_{8} &= \gamma_{h2} + \mu_{h}, g_{9} &= \alpha_{h2} + \mu_{h} + \delta_{h2}, g_{10} &= \theta_{1} + \mu_{h}, \\ g_{11} &= \gamma_{h12} + \mu_{h} + \delta_{h1}, g_{7} &= \theta_{\gamma_{h2}} + \mu_{h}, g_{14} &= \alpha_{h21} + \mu_{h} + \delta_{h21}, \end{split}$$

#### and

$$\begin{split} F_8 = \pi_{hvl}^E F_7 + g_1, \ F_9 = \pi_{hvl}^I F_7 + \mu_v, \ F_{10} = \pi_{hv2}^E F_6 + g_2, \ F_{11} = \pi_{hv2}^I F_6 + \mu_v, \ F_{12} = \beta_{vh}^1 \eta_{v12} \left(1 - p_{v12}\right) \\ F_{13} = \beta_{vh}^1 \left(1 - p_{v12}\right), \ F_{14} = \beta_{vh}^2 \eta_{v21} \left(1 - p_{v21}\right), \ F_{15} = \beta_{vh}^2 \left(1 - p_{v21}\right), \ F_{16} = \beta_{vh}^1 \eta_{v21} p_{v21}, \\ F_{17} = \beta_{vh}^1 \eta_{v12}, \ F_{18} = \beta_{vh}^2 \eta_{v21} p_{v21}, \ F_{19} = \beta_{vh}^2 \eta_{v21}, \ F_{20} = \tau_2 r_{v12}^2 \beta_{vh}^1 \eta_{v12}, \ F_{21} = \tau_2 r_{v12}^2 \beta_{vh}^1, \ F_{22} = \tau_2 r_{v12}^2 \beta_{vh}^2 \eta_{v21}, \\ F_{23} = \tau_2 r_{v12}^2 \beta_{vh}^2, \ F_{24} = \tau_1 r_{v12}^1 \beta_{vh}^1 \eta_{v12}, \ F_{25} = \tau_1 r_{v12}^1 \beta_{vh}^1, \ F_{26} = \tau_1 r_{v12}^1 \beta_{vh}^2 \eta_{v21}, \ F_{27} = \tau_1 r_{v12}^1 \beta_{vh}^2, \\ also, \\ Y_1 = S_{h0}, \ Y_2 = E_{h1}, \ Y_3 = I_{h1}, \ Y_4 = R_{h1}, \ Y_5 = E_{h2}, \ Y_6 = I_{h2}, \ Y_7 = R_{h2}, \ Y_8 = S_{h12}, \ Y_9 = E_{h12}, \ Y_{10} = I_{h12}, \ Y_{11} = S_{h21}, \\ Y_{12} = E_{h21}, \ Y_{13} = I_{h21} \ Y_{14} = M_h \quad Y_{15} = S_v, \ Y_{16} = E_{v1}, \ Y_{17} = I_{v1}, \ Y_{18} = E_{v21}, \ Y_{19} = I_{v2}, \ Y_{20} = E_{v12}, \ Y_{21} = I_{v12}, \\ Y_{22} = E_{v21}, \ Y_{23} = I_{v21} \end{split}$$

Hence, exhausting the statement that  $G_1 \ge 0$  and that the matrix  $f(Y_1)$  is quasi-positive. It follows that (4) is positivelyinvariant in  $R_+^{23}$ . This shows that the orbits generated by the system (4) will always be positive for all non-negative initial data.

# Furthermore, by the comparison theorem [21].

$$\begin{split} N_{h}^{\prime}(t) &= \Lambda_{h} - \mu_{h} (S_{ho} + E_{h1} + I_{h1} + R_{h1} + E_{h2} + I_{h2} + R_{h2} + S_{h12} + E_{h12} + I_{h12} + S_{h21} + E_{h21} + I_{h21} + M_{h}) \\ &- \delta_{h1} I_{\nu1} - \delta_{h2} I_{\nu2} - \delta_{h12} I_{\nu12} - \delta_{h21} I_{\nu21} \leq \Lambda_{h} - \mu_{h} N_{h} \quad and \\ N_{\nu}^{\prime}(t) &= \Lambda_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu} (S_{\nu} + E_{\nu1} + I_{\nu1} + E_{\nu2} + I_{\nu2} + R_{h2} + E_{\nu12} + I_{\nu12} + E_{\nu21} + I_{\nu21}) = \Lambda_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu} N_{\nu} \\ \mathbf{Can be written as} \\ N_{h}(t) &\leq N_{h}(0) \exp(-\mu_{h}(t)) + \frac{\Lambda_{h}}{\mu_{h}} [1 - \exp(-\mu_{h}(t))], \quad so \quad that \\ Lim_{t \to \infty} \sup N_{h}(t) &\leq \frac{\Lambda_{h}}{\mu_{h}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} N_{\nu}(t) &= N_{\nu}(0) \exp(-\mu_{\nu}(t)) + \frac{\Lambda_{\nu}}{\mu_{\nu}} [1 - \exp(-\mu_{\nu}(t))], \quad so \quad that \\ Lim_{t \to \infty} \sup N_{\nu}(t) &\leq \frac{\Lambda_{\nu}}{\mu_{h}} \end{split}$$

# 3.0 Local Asymptotic stability (LAS) of Disease-free Equilibrium (DFE)

The LAS of the DFE is investigated using the next generation matrix operator method [21]. The matrix, F is the matrix of new infections while the matrix V is the matrix of the other transfer terms given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(8x8)} & F_{1(8x8)} \\ F_{2(8x8)} & 0_{(8x8)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad and \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{1(8x8)} & 0_{(8x8)} \\ 0_{(8x8)} & V_{2(8x8)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(29)

With

The effective reproduction number of the model (4)  $\Re_D = \rho(FV^{-1}) = \max[\Re_{01}, \Re_{02}],$ 

With  $\rho$  being the spectral radius of  $FV^{-1}$ , is given by

$$\Re_{01} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{h\nu l}\beta_{\nu h l}\Lambda_{\nu}\mu_{h}(\gamma_{h1} + g_{6}\eta_{h1})(\gamma_{\nu l} + \eta_{\nu l}\mu_{\nu})}{\Lambda_{h}\mu_{\nu}^{2}g_{1}g_{5}g_{6}}},$$
  
$$\Re_{02} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{h\nu 2}\beta_{\nu h 2}\Lambda_{\nu}\mu_{h}(\gamma_{h2} + g_{6}\eta_{h2})(\gamma_{\nu 2} + \eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu})}{\Lambda_{h}\mu_{\nu}^{2}g_{1}g_{5}g_{6}}},$$
(30)

where  $g_1 = \gamma_{v1} + \mu_v$ ,  $g_2 = \gamma_{v2} + \mu_v$ ,  $g_5 = \gamma_{h1} + \mu_h$ ,  $g_6 = \alpha_{h1} + \mu_h + \delta_{h1}$ ,  $g_8 = \gamma_{h2} + \mu_h$ ,  $g_9 = \alpha_{h2} + \mu_h + \delta_{h2}$ .

Using Theorem 2 in [21] we claim the following result: **Lemma 3.1** The disease free state (DFE) of the model (4) is locally asymptotically stable (LAS) in

D if  $\mathfrak{R}_D < 1$ , and unstable if  $\mathfrak{R}_D > 1$ .

# 4.0 Backward Bifurcation Analysis

**Theorem 4.0**: The model (27) exhibit backward bifurcation phenomenon at  $\mathfrak{R}_{D} = 1$  whenever a bifurcation coefficient, denoted by *a* is positive.

# **Proof**:

The existence of backward bifurcation is explored using the Center manifold Theory [22]

Let

$$\begin{split} S_{v} &= x_{1}, \ E_{v1} = x_{2}, \ I_{v1} = x_{3}, \ E_{v2} = x_{4}, \ I_{v2} = x_{5}, \ E_{v12} = x_{6} \ I_{v12} = x_{7} \ E_{v21} = x_{8}, \ I_{v21} = x_{9}, S_{h0} = x_{10}, \\ E_{h1} &= x_{11}, I_{h1} = x_{12}, R_{h1} = x_{13}, E_{h2} = x_{14}, I_{h2} = x_{15}, R_{h2} = x_{16}, S_{h12} = x_{17}, E_{h12} = x_{18}, I_{h12} = x_{19}, S_{h21} = x_{20}, \\ E_{h21} &= x_{21}, I_{h21} = x_{22}, M_{h} = x_{23}, \\ \text{It follows, that the model (27) can be re-written as} \\ \text{The forces of infection} \ \lambda_{h1}, \ \lambda_{h2}, \lambda_{h3}, \ \lambda_{h4}, \ \lambda_{v1} \text{ and } \lambda_{v2} \text{ are given as follows} \end{split}$$

$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = F_1 \equiv \Lambda_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu 1} x_1 - \lambda_{\nu 2} x_1 - \mu_{\nu} x_1,$	
$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = F_2 \equiv \lambda_{v1} x_1 - \pi_{hv1}^E \lambda_{v2} x_2 - (\gamma_{v1} + \mu_v) x_2,$	
$rac{dx_3}{dt} = F_3 \equiv \gamma_{v1} x_2 - \pi^I_{hv1} \lambda_{v2} x_3 - (\mu_v + \delta_v) x_3 \; ,$	
$\frac{dx_4}{dt} = F_4 \equiv \lambda_{\nu 2} x_1 - \pi^E_{h \nu 2} \lambda_{\nu 1} x_4 - (\gamma_{\nu 2} + \mu_{\nu}) x_4,$	
$\frac{dx_{5}}{dt_{t}} = F_{5} \equiv \gamma_{v2} x_{4} - \pi_{hv2}^{I} \lambda_{v1} x_{5} - (\mu_{v} + \delta_{v}) x_{5} ,$	
$\frac{dx_{6}}{dt_{e}} = F_{6} \equiv \pi_{hnl}^{E} \lambda_{\nu 2} x_{5} + \pi_{hnl}^{I} \lambda_{\nu 2} x_{6} - (\gamma_{\nu 12} + \mu_{\nu}) x_{6},$	
$\frac{dx_{\gamma}}{dt_{\mu}} = F_{\gamma} \equiv \gamma_{\nu 12} x_{6} - (\mu_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu}) x_{7} ,$	
$\frac{dx_{s}}{dx_{e}} = F_{s} \equiv \pi_{hv2}^{E} \lambda_{v_{1}} x_{4} + \pi_{hv2}^{I} \lambda_{v_{1}} x_{5} - (\gamma_{v21} + \mu_{v}) x_{s},$	
$\frac{dx_{g}}{dx_{g}} = F_{g} \equiv \gamma_{v21} x_{8} - (\mu_{v} + \delta_{v}) x_{9} ,$	
$\frac{dx_{10}}{L} = F_{10} \equiv \Lambda_h - \lambda_{h_1} x_{10} - \lambda_{h_2} x_{10} - \lambda_{h_3} x_{10} - \lambda_{h_4} x_{10} - \mu_h x_{10},$	
$\frac{dx_{11}}{L} = F_{11} \equiv \lambda_{h1} x_{10} + (1 - p_{\nu 12}) \lambda_{h3} x_{10} + (1 - p_{\nu 21}) \lambda_{h4} x_{10} - (\gamma_{h1} + \mu_h) x_{11},$	
$\frac{dt_{12}}{dt_{12}} = F_{12} \equiv \gamma_{h1} x_{11} - (\alpha_{h1} + \mu_h + \delta_{h1}) x_{12} ,$	(31)
$\frac{dx_{13}}{dt} = F_{13} \equiv \alpha_{h1} x_{12} - (\theta_2 + \mu_h) x_{13}  ,$	
$\frac{dt_1}{dt_{14}} = F_{1,i} \equiv \theta_2 x_{12} - \tau_2 \lambda_{22} x_{1i} - \tau_2 r_{2i}^2 \lambda_{22} x_{1i} - \tau_2 r_{2i}^2 \lambda_{2i} x_{1i} - \mu_k x_{1i} ,$	
$\frac{dt}{dt} = F = \lambda x + p \lambda x + p \lambda x - (\gamma + \mu)x$	
$\frac{1}{dt} = \frac{1}{15} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$	
$\frac{dt}{dt} - r_{16} = r_{h2} x_{15} - (a_{h2} + \mu_h + b_{h2}) x_{16} ,$ $\frac{dx_{17}}{dx_{17}} = r_{16} + r_{16} (a_{h2} + \mu_h + b_{h2}) x_{16} ,$	
$\frac{-\mu}{dt} = F_{17} \equiv \alpha_{h2} x_{16} - (\theta_1 + \mu_h) x_{17} ,$	
$\frac{\omega_{18}}{dt} = F_{18} \equiv \theta_1 x_{17} - \tau_1 \lambda_{h1} x_{18} - \tau_1 r_{\nu 12}^{\dagger} \lambda_{h3} x_{18} - \tau_1 r_{\nu 21}^{\dagger} \lambda_{h4} x_{x18} - \mu_h x_{18} ,$	
$\frac{dx_{19}}{dt} = F_{19} \equiv \tau_2 \lambda_{h2} x_{14} + \tau_2 r_{v12}^{2} \lambda_{h3} x_{14} + \tau_2 r_{v21}^{2} \lambda_{h4} x_{14} - (\gamma_{h12} + \mu_h) x_{19},$	
$\frac{dx_{20}}{dt} = F_{20} \equiv \gamma_{h12} x_{19} - (\alpha_{h12} + \mu_h + \delta_{h12}) x_{20}  ,$	
$\frac{dx_{21}}{dt} = F_{21} \equiv \tau_1 \lambda_{n1} x_{18} + \tau_1 r_{nv12}^{1} \lambda_{n3} x_{18} + \tau_1 r_{v21}^{1} \lambda_{n4} x_{18} - (\gamma_{h21} + \mu_h) x_{21} ,$	
$\frac{dx_{22}}{dt} = F_{22} \equiv \gamma_{h21} x_{21} - (\alpha_{h21} + \mu_h + \delta_{h21}) x_{22}  ,$	
$\frac{dx_{23}}{dt} = F_{23} \equiv \alpha_{h12}  x_{20} + \alpha_{h21} x_{22} - \left(\theta_1 + \mu_h\right) \mu_h x_{23},$	

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{h1} &= \frac{\beta_{h1}(\eta_{v1}x_2 + x_3)}{N_h} \qquad \lambda_{h2} = \frac{\beta_{h2}(\eta_{v2}x_4 + x_3)}{N_h} \\ \lambda_{h3} &= \frac{\beta_{vh}^1(\eta_{v12}x_6 + x_7)}{N_h} \\ \lambda_{h4} &= \frac{\beta_{vh}^2(\eta_{v21}x_8 + x_9)}{N_h} \\ \lambda_{v1} &= \frac{\beta_{hv1}(\eta_{h1}x_{11} + x_{12}) + \beta_{hv21}\sigma_1(\eta_{h21}x_{19} + x_{20})}{N_h} \\ \lambda_{v2} &= \frac{\beta_{hv2}(\eta_{h2}x_{15} + x_{16}) + \beta_{hv12}\sigma_2(\eta_{h12}x_{21} + x_{22})}{N_h} \end{split}$$

(32)

Where  $N_h = x_{10} + x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{15} + x_{16} + x_{17} + x_{18} + x_{19} + x_{20} + x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23}$ Consider the case with  $\beta_{hv1} = \beta_{hv2} = \beta^*$ , a bifurcation parameter. Solving for  $\beta_{hv1} = \beta^*$  from  $\Re_0 = 1$  yields

$$\beta_{hvl} = \beta^* = \frac{\mu_v N_h^* g_1 g_3 g_6}{x_1^* \beta_{hvl} (\gamma_{h1} + g_6 \eta_{h1}) (\gamma_{v1} + \eta_{v1} \mu_v)} = \frac{\mu_v N_h^* g_2 g_7 g_9}{x_1^* \beta_{hv2} (\gamma_{h2} + g_9 \eta_{h2}) (\gamma_{v2} + \eta_{v2} \mu_v)}$$
(33)  
The Jacobian of the system (33) at the DFE with  $\beta_{hvl} = \beta^*$ , is given by:

$$J_{\beta^*} = J(\varepsilon_0) \big|_{\beta^*} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{1(12x12)} & J_{2(12x11)} \\ J_{3(11x12)} & J_{4(11x11)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(34)

The matrix  $J_{a^*}$  has a simple zero eigenvalue and all other eigenvalues have negative real parts with  $J_1$  to  $J_4$  given as follows

```
0 \quad 0 - \beta_{hvl}^* \eta_{hl} \frac{x_1^*}{N_h^*} - \beta_{hvl}^* \frac{x_1^*}{N_h^*}
         -\mu_{v} = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0
                                                                              0 \quad 0 \quad \beta^*_{h_{Nl}} \eta_{h1} \frac{x^*_1}{N^*_h} \quad \beta^*_{h_{Nl}} \frac{x^*_1}{N^*_h}
         0 - g_1 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0
                                                                                                  0
                              \begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -g_2 & -\mu_v & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
         0
                                                                                 0 0
                                                                                                                     0
              \gamma_{v1} - \mu_v
         0
              0 0
                                                                                  0 0
        0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \gamma_{v2} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0
                                                                                 0 0
                                                                                                                 0
                                                                                                         0
J_{1} =
                               0 0
0 0
0 0
        0 0 0
                                                                                   0 0
         0 0 0
                                                                                   0 0
         0 0 0
                                                                                   0 0
                                                                                                      0
         0 \quad \gamma_{v21}
                                                                               -\mu_{v} = 0
                                                                                                                  0
        With P_1 = 1 - p_{v12} and P_2 = 1 - p_{v21}
        (0 0 0 0 0
                                                                                      0
                                                                                                                   0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \alpha_{h1}
                                                                    0
                                                                                                       0
                                                  0 0
         0 0 0 0
                                                                                                   0
                                                                                   0
                                                                                                                   0 0 0 0
         0 \quad 0 \quad \beta_{vh2}\eta_{v2} \ \beta_{vh2}p_{v12} \quad \beta_{vh}^{1}\eta_{v12}p_{v12} \quad \beta_{vh}^{1}\eta_{v12}p_{v12} \quad \beta_{vh}^{2}\eta_{v21}p_{v21} \quad \beta_{vh}^{2}p_{v21} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0
         0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0
        0

                                                                                0 0 0 0 0 0
        0 0 0 0
                                                                0
                                              0
          (-9_7 0 0)
                                     0
                                                0 0
                                                                 0 0 0 0 0
            \theta_2 - \mu_h = 0
                                     0
                                                0 0
                                                                 0 0
                                                                                 0 0
                                                                                                   0
            0 \quad 0 \quad -g_8 \quad 0
                                                0 0
                                                                0 0
                                                                                 0 0
                                                                                                   0
            0 0 \gamma_{h2} - g_9
                                             0 0
                                                                 0 0
                                                                                  0 0
                                                                                                    0
            0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \alpha_{h2} - g_{10} \quad 0
                                                                0
                                                                        0
                                                                                  0
                                                                                           0
                                                                                                    0
J_{4} =
           0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \theta_1 - \mu_h \quad 0 \quad 0
                                                                                0 0
                                                                                                    0
           0 0 0 0
                                              0 \quad 0 \quad -g_{11} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0
                                                                                                    0
                                             0 \quad 0 \quad \gamma_{h12} - g_{12} \quad 0 \quad 0
           0 0 0 0
                                                                                                    0
           0 \quad -g_{13} \ 0
                                                                                                    0
         \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_{h21} - g_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{h12} & 0 & \alpha_{h21} - \mu_h \end{bmatrix}
```

**4.0**: The Jacobian  $J_{\beta^*}$  has a right eigenvector given by  $W = (w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_{23})^T$ Where

$$\begin{split} w_{1} &= -\frac{1}{\mu_{\nu}} (w_{2}g_{2} + w_{4}g_{2}), \ w_{2} = w_{2} > 0, \ w_{3} = \frac{\gamma_{\nu 1}w_{2}}{\mu_{\nu}}, \ w_{4} = w_{4} > 0, \ w_{5} = \frac{\gamma_{\nu 2}w_{4}}{\mu_{\nu}}, \ w_{6} = 0, \\ w_{7} &= 0, \ w_{8} = 0, \ w_{9} = 0, \ w_{10} = -\frac{1}{\mu_{h}\mu_{\nu}} (\beta_{\nu h1}w_{2}(\eta_{\nu 1}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 1}) + \beta_{\nu h2}w_{4}(\eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 2})), \\ w_{11} &= \frac{(\beta_{\nu h1}w_{2}(\eta_{\nu 1}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 1})}{g_{5}\mu_{\nu}}, \ w_{12} = \frac{(\beta_{\nu h1}\gamma_{h1}w_{2}(\eta_{\nu 1}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 1})}{g_{5}g_{6}\mu_{\nu}}, \ w_{13} = \frac{(\beta_{\nu h1}\gamma_{h1}\alpha_{h1}\theta_{2}w_{2}(\eta_{\nu 1}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 1})}{g_{5}g_{6}g_{7}\mu_{\nu}}, \\ w_{14} &= \frac{(\beta_{\nu h1}\gamma_{h1}\alpha_{h1}\theta_{2}w_{2}(\eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 2})}{g_{5}g_{6}g_{7}\mu_{\nu}\mu_{h}}, \ w_{15} = \frac{(\beta_{\nu h2}\gamma_{h2}\alpha_{h2}\theta_{1}w_{4}(\eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 2})}{g_{8}g_{9}g_{10}\mu_{\nu}}, \ w_{16} = \frac{(\beta_{\nu h2}\gamma_{h2}\alpha_{h2}\theta_{1}w_{4}(\eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 2})}{g_{8}g_{9}g_{10}\mu_{\nu}}, \\ w_{17} &= \frac{(\beta_{\nu h2}\gamma_{h2}\alpha_{h2}w_{4}(\eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 2})}{g_{8}g_{9}g_{10}\mu_{\nu}}, \ w_{18} = \frac{(\beta_{\nu h2}\gamma_{h2}\alpha_{h2}\theta_{1}w_{4}(\eta_{\nu 2}\mu_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu 2})}{g_{8}g_{9}g_{10}\mu_{\nu}\mu_{h}}, \\ w_{19} &= w_{20} = w_{21} = w_{22} = w_{23} = 0 \end{split}$$
(35)

Similarly  $J_{\beta^*}$  has a left eigenvector  $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3, ..., v_{23})$ , satisfying V.W=1, with

$$\begin{split} a &= -\frac{2v_2}{N_h^*} w_1 \beta_{hvl}^*(\eta_{h1}w_{11} + w_{12}) - \frac{2v_4}{N_h^*} w_1 \beta_{hv2}(\eta_{h2}w_{15} + w_{16}) - \frac{2v_{11}}{N_h^*} w_{10} \beta_{hvl}^*(\eta_{h1}w_2 + w_3) \\ &- \frac{2v_2}{N_h^*} w_1 \beta_{hv2}(\eta_{h2}w_4 + w_5) \\ v_1 &= 0, \ v_2 &= v_2 > 0, \ v_1 &= 0, \ v_3 &= \frac{\beta_{vh1} \beta_{hv1}^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1})}{g_5 g_6 \mu_v N_h^*}, \ v_4 &= v_4 > 0, \ v_5 &= \frac{\beta_{vh1} \beta_{hv1}^* v_4(g_9 \eta_{h2} + \gamma_{h2})}{g_8 g_9 \mu_v N_h^*}, \\ v_6 &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}^* [g_8 g_9(1 - p_{v12}) \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1}) + g_5 g_6 p_{v12} \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_4(g_9 \eta_{h2} + \gamma_{h2}))(\gamma_{v12} \eta_{v21} \mu_v)]}{g_5 g_5 g_8 g_9 \mu_v N_h^*}, \\ v_7 &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}^* [g_8 g_9(1 - p_{v12}) \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1}) + g_5 g_6 p_{v12} \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_4(g_9 \eta_{h2} + \gamma_{h2})]}{g_5 g_5 g_8 g_9 \mu_v N_h^*}, \\ v_8 &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}^* [g_8 g_9(1 - p_{v21}) \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1}) + g_5 g_6 p_{v21} \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_4(g_9 \eta_{h2} + \gamma_{h2})]}{g_5 g_6 g_8 g_9 \mu_v N_h^*}, \\ v_9 &= \frac{\beta_{vh1}^* [g_8 g_9(1 - p_{v21}) \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1}) + g_5 g_6 p_{v21} \beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_4(g_9 \eta_{h2} + \gamma_{h2})]}{g_5 g_6 g_8 g_9 \mu_v N_h^*}, \\ v_{11} &= \frac{\beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1})}{g_5 g_6 N_h^*}, v_{12} &= \frac{\beta_{hv1} x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1})}{g_6 g_8 g_9 N_h^*}, v_{13} = 0, v_{14} = 0, v_{15} &= \frac{\beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* u_4(g_9 \eta_{h2} + \gamma_{h2})}{g_8 g_9 N_h^*}, \\ v_{16} &= \frac{\beta_{hv1}^* x_1^* v_2(g_6 \eta_{h1} + \gamma_{h1})}{g_1 g_1 g_1 N_h^*}, v_{17} = 0, v_{18} = 0, v_{19} &= \frac{\beta_{hv2} \sigma_{h2} x_1^* v_4(g_{12} \eta_{h3} + \gamma_{h1})}{g_{11} g_{12} N_h^*}, v_{23} = 0, \end{split}$$
(36)

# 4.1 Computation of bifurcation coefficients a and b

Applying the Center Manifold Theory as stated in [22], we compute the associated non-zero partial derivatives of the right hand sides of the transformed system (31), (evaluated at the DFE with  $\beta = \beta^*$ ) the associated bifurcation coefficients, a and b, are given by

$$a = \sum_{k,i,j=1}^{n} v_k w_i w_j \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (0,0), \quad b = \sum_{k,i,j=1}^{n} v_k w_i \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial \beta^*} (0,0), \tag{37}$$

Using the expression above in (37), the associated non-zero partial derivatives for **a** and **b** where derived and after several algebraic calculations, we considered the case of the system (27) without Dengue-induced death, increased susceptibility to variant i after recovery cross enhancement from variant j and dual infectivity of vectors (relative susceptibility of vectors initially infected with variant i to variant j). If we make the following substitution  $\delta_{h1} = \delta_{h2} = \pi_{hv1}^{E} = \pi_{hv2}^{I} = \pi_{hv2}^{I} = \tau_{1} = \tau_{2} = 0$ , the expression for **a** reduces to

(38)

$$b = \frac{v_2 x_1^*}{N_h^*} (\eta_{h1} w_{11} + w_{12}) > 0$$

Clearly, a < 0 since  $w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{15}, w_{16}, v_2, v_4, v_{11}$  and  $v_{15}$  are all greater than zero while  $w_1$  and  $w_{10}$  are less than zero.

Hence, backward bifurcation does not occurs if and only if the disease-induced deaths for humans  $(\delta_{h_1} and \delta_{h_2})$ , increased susceptibility to strain i after recovery (cross enhancement) from strain j  $(\tau_1 and \tau_2)$  and dual infectivity of vectors (relative susceptibility of vectors initially infected with strain i to j)  $\pi_{h_1}^E, \pi_{h_2}^E, \pi_{h_1}^I and \pi_{h_2}^I$  are absent.

# 4.2 CONCLUSION

The threshold measure  $\mathfrak{R}_{D}$  is the effective reproduction number of the disease. It is the average number of secondary dengue infections produced by an infected individual in a completely susceptible populace. The epidemiological implication of Lemma 3.1 is that when  $\mathfrak{R}_{D}$  is less than unity, dengue can be eradicated from the populaces if the initial sizes

of the sub-population of the model are in the basin of attraction of the DFE,  $\xi_0$  in a population with 2 variants cocirculating. Thus, a little number of dengue-infected individuals (with both variants) into the community will not produce large dengue outbreaks in the population where there are vectors dually-infected with both strains of the disease present in the population, and the disease will die out with time. Furthermore the last sections of our work confirmed that backward bifurcation phenomenon can be induced by cross-enhancement and dual infectivity of vectors.

# References

- [1] S.V. Mayer, R.B. Tesh, N. Vasilakis (2017). The emergence of arthropod borne viral diseases: A global prospective on dengue, chikungunya and Zika fever. Vol.166: 155-163.
- [2] Dejinirahisai, Noisakran, S., Onloimoon, N., Songprakhan, P., Hsiao, H., Chokephaibulkit, K. and Perng, G.C. (2010). Cells in Dengue virus infection in vivo, Advance in virology, (2010), Article ID 164878, 15 pages.
- [3] Schmid, M.A., Diamond, M.S. and Harris, E. (2014). Dendritic cells in dengue virus infection: targets of virus replication and mediators of immunity. https://doi.org 10.3389.
- [4] Nature Publishing Group (N.P.G) (2003). Adapted from Diamond, M. S. Evasion of innate and adaptive immunity by flaviviruses. Immunology and Cell Biology 81, 196--206.
- [5] Colpitts T.M. (2012). West Nile virus: Biology Transmission and Haman infection.
- [6] Nishiura, H., and Halstead, S.B. (2007). Natural History of Dengue virus (DENV)-1 and (DENV)-4 Infections: Reanalysis of classic studies.
- [7] Normile, D. (2013). Tropical Medicine surprising new dengue virus throws a spanner in disease control efforts. PubMed.
- [8] Gubler, D.J., Lambrechts, L. and Scott, T.W. (2010). Consequences of the expanding global distribution of {Aedes albopictus} for dengue virus transmission.
- [9] Bancroft, T.L. (1906). On the etiology of dengue fever.
- [10] Garba, S. M. and Gumel, A. B. (2010). Effect of cross-immunity on the transmission dynamics of two strains of dengue, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 87:10.
- [11] Kalayanarooj, S. (2011). Clinical manifestation and management of Dengue/DHF/DSS.
- [12] Gurugama, P., Garg, P., Perera, J., Wijewickrama, A. and Seneviratne, S.L. (2010). Dengue viral infections.
- [13] Halstead, S.B. (2014). Dengue Antibody-Dependent Enhancement: knows and unknowns.
- [14] World Health Organization (W.H.O) (1986). Dengue haemorrhagic fever: diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control.
- [15] Nature Publishing Group (N.P.G) (2007). Dengue retinopathy, manifesting with bilateral vasculitis and macular.
- [16] Dejinirahisai, Noisakran, S., Onloimoon, N., Songprakhan, P., Hsiao, H., Chokephaibulkit, K. and Perng, G.C. (2010). Cells in Dengue virus infection in vivo, Advance in virology, (2010), Article ID 164878, 15 pages.
- [17] Espinoza-Gomez, F., Delgado-Enciso, I., Valle-Reyes, S., Ochoa-Jimenez, R., Arechiga-Ramiraz, C., Gamez-Arroyo, J. L., Vazquez-Campuzano, R., Guzman-Bracho, C., Vasquez, C. and Lopez-lemus, U.A. (2017). Dengue virus co-infection in Human immunodeficiency virus-1-infected patients on the West Coast of Mexico.
- [19] Li-pat-Yuen G. (2015). Simultaneous detection of chikungunya virus, and human dengue pathogenic leptospira genomesusing a multiplex TaqMan.
- [20] Dumont, Y. and Chiroleu, F. (2010), Vector control for the chikungunya disease. Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, 7:105-111.

- [21] Okuneye, O.O. and Gumel, A.B. (2016). Analysis of a Temperature and Rainfall Dependent Model for Malaria Transmission Dynamics}, Mathematical Biosciences. DOI: 10.1016/j.mbs.2016.03.013, 2015.
- [22] Lakshmikantham, V. (1991). Stability analysis of nonlinear systems, {SIAM Review} 33(1): 152-154.
- [23] Van den Driessche and watmough, J. (2002). 'Reproduction numbers and sub-theshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission mathematical Biosciences vol.180.
- [24] Carlos Castillo-Chavez and Baojun Song (2004). Dynamical models of Tuberculosis and their Applications based on center manifold theory. Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering. MBE 1(2):361-404.N/ BN/