

**STRUCTURE OF THE SEMIGROUP OF FULL TRANSFORMATION RESTRICTED
BY AN EQUIVALENCE**

D. A. Oluyori and A. T. Imam

Department of Mathematics, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria-Nigeria

Abstract

Let X be a nonempty set. The full transformation, $T(X)$ on a set X is the mapping from X into itself with a composition operation. This study is sequel to the work of Mendes-Goncalves and Sullivan (2011), who studied the semigroup of transformations restricted by an equivalence $E(X, \sigma)$. We considered the structures of the semigroup of transformations restricted by an equivalence, $E(X, \sigma)$ on two classes of semigroups, the Complete regular semigroup and Inverse Semigroup, thus we show that $E(X, \sigma)$ is completely regular but not an inverse semigroup on its largest regular subsemigroup for any non-trivial $|X| \geq 4$ and characterize the Starred Ideals of $E(X, \sigma)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).20M20

Keywords: Completely Regular Semigroup, Inverse Semigroup, Abundant Semigroup, Starred-Ideals.

1 Introduction

Let X be an arbitrary nonempty set. The semigroup of full transformation $T(X)$ on a set X is the mapping from X into itself under composition. The Semigroup of Transformations restricted by an equivalence is an offshoot of the semigroup of transformations with restricted range $T(X, Y)$ which has been widely studied by various authors in [1 – 14] and a host of others. In [4], the author considered a subsemigroup of $T(X)$ determined by an equivalence relation σ on X defined thus:

$$T(X, \sigma) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in \sigma \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in \sigma\}$$

stating $T(X, \sigma)$ is regular if $\sigma = \{id_x, X \times X\}$ holds, where id_x is the identity relation on X , then $T(X, \sigma) = T(X)$. Also [9], studied another subsemigroup of $T(X)$ called the *Semigroups of Transformations Restricted by an equivalence*, $E(X, \sigma)$ defined thus:

$$E(X, \sigma) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in \sigma \Rightarrow x\alpha = y\alpha\}$$

Unlike the full transformations semigroup $T(X)$ which is known to be regular, $E(X, \sigma)$ was characterised on its regular part which is known as *Largest Regular Subsemigroup*, \mathbb{E} defined as:

$$\mathbb{E} = \{\alpha \in E(X, \sigma) : \text{Im}(\alpha) \text{ is a partial cross-section of } X/\sigma\}$$

in order to characterize its Green's relations and ideals. In [12] it was shown that the semigroup, $E(X, \sigma)$ is right abundant but not left regular whenever the equivalence σ on a set X is nontrivial. A result which is the dual of a similar result by [11] on $T(X, Y)$ stating that $T(X, Y)$ is left abundant but not right abundant whenever $Y = X$ and $|Y| \geq 2$. The cardinality of $E(X, \sigma)$ as determined in [12] is stated thus that, if $|X| = n$ and $|X/\sigma| = m$, where n, m are positive integers, then $|E(X, \sigma)| = n^m$. Recently, [13] stated that two semigroups of $E(X, \sigma)$, $E(X, \sigma_1)$ and $E(X, \sigma_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a bijection $\theta : X \rightarrow X$ such that $(x\sigma_1)\theta = (x\sigma_2)\theta$ for all $x \in X$. In this paper, we extend the structure of $E(X, \sigma)$ by determining its completely regular semigroup, inverse semigroup and starred ideals.

This paper is arranged thus: In Section 2, we gave some basic definitions and examples to explain the semigroup of transformation restricted by an equivalence, (X, σ) , Section 3, we showed that the semigroup of transformation restricted

Correspondence Author: Oluyori D.A., Email: oluyoridavid@gmail.com, Tel: +2348068843483, +2348061299119

by an equivalence, $E(X, \sigma)$ is completely regular for all nontrivial $|X| \geq 4$. We build on the last result in section 4 by showing that $E(X, \sigma)$ is not an inverse semigroup for all non-trivial, $|X| \geq 4$. In section 5, using the left-right characterization in [3], we discussed the Green's Starred relations of $E(X, \sigma)$ and finally in section 6, we characterize the starred-ideals of $E(X, \sigma)$.

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set and $T(X)$ is the semigroup (under composition) of the full transformation from X to X (i.e. $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$). Suppose σ is an equivalence on a set X , we consider a subsemigroup of $T(X)$ defined as:

$$E(X, \sigma) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in \sigma \Rightarrow x\alpha = y\alpha\}$$

We adopt a convention introduced in [16] which states that if $\alpha \in T(X)$ we write:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_i \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where the subscript i belongs to some (unspecified) index set I , that the abbreviation $\{x\} = \{x_i : i \in I\}$, the image of α is denoted by $X\alpha = \text{im}(\alpha) = \{x_i\}$ and the partition of the set X is denoted as $x_i\alpha^{-1} = A_i$ also referred to as the $\text{dom}(\alpha) = \cup x\alpha^{-1}$, which is the disjoint union of α for any $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ and for any $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$, the image of x under α is denoted as $x\alpha$. If we fix an equivalence σ on X , we can write $X/\sigma = \{S_i\}$ for the partition induced σ on X . In a partition each cells are disjoint (i.e. no overlapping/intersection) so $A = A_1 \cup A_2, \dots, A_n$ where $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.1: A subset Y of X is a **Partial cross-section** of X/σ if every σ -class in X/σ contains at most one element of Y i.e. $\forall A \in X/\sigma, |A \cap Y| \leq 1$ (i.e. the cardinality can either be 0 or 1). But if $|A \cap Y| = 1$, we have a **Cross-section**. Equivalently, partial cross-section is when no two elements of the image come from the same equivalence class of σ . Thus partial cross-section has nothing to do with the domain or kernel but with the image.

Example 2.1: Considering a finite case. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $X/\sigma = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$

We define a map

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \{123\} & \{456\} \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{3, 4\}$, $C = \{5, 6\}$ and $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \{2, 4\} = Z$

$A \cap Z = 2$ and $|A \cap Z| = 1$

$B \cap Z = 4$ and $|B \cap Z| = 1$

$C \cap Z = 0$ and $|C \cap Z| = 0$

Thus $|A \cap Y| = |B \cap Y| = 1$ and $|C \cap Y| = 0$. So this a Partial Cross-Section.

Example 2.2: Consider a finite case. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and the partition of the set X given as

$$X/\sigma = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$$

We define a map α_1 thus

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1234\} & \{56\} \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose we fix an equivalence σ on X thus

$$\sigma = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (5, 6), (6, 5), (6, 6)\}$$

Recall that only the elements in the same kernel can be paired. Thus the kernel of α_1 :

$$\ker(\alpha_1) = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (5, 6), (6, 5), (6, 6)\}$$

Comparing the elements in the fixed equivalence σ with $\ker(\alpha_1)$. We see that since $\sigma \subseteq \ker(\alpha_1)$, then $\alpha_1 \in E(X, \sigma)$ ■

Example 2.3: We define a set α_2 thus

$$\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1\} & \{23456\} \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

The equivalence σ is

$$\sigma = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (5, 6), (6, 5), (6, 6)\}$$

Let the kernel of α_2 be given as:

$$\ker(\alpha_2) = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 6), (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (4, 6), (5, 2), (5, 3), (5, 4), (5, 5), (5, 6), (6, 2), (6, 3), (6, 4), (6, 5), (6, 6)\}$$

Thus, comparing the elements in the fixed equivalence σ with the elements in $\ker(\alpha_2)$, we see that $\sigma \not\subseteq \ker(\alpha_2)$ since $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$ are in σ but not in $\ker(\alpha_2)$. Therefore since $\sigma \not\subseteq \ker(\alpha_2)$ and $\alpha_2 \notin E(X, \sigma)$ ■

Example 2.4: We define a set α_3 thus

$$\alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \{123\} & \{456\} \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the fixed equivalence $\sigma = \{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1),(2,2),(3,3),(3,4),(4,3),(4,4),(5,5),(5,6),(6,5),(6,6)\}$

Let the kernel of α_3 be given as:

$$\ker(\alpha_3) = \{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3), (4,4),(4,5),(4,6),(5,4),(5,5),(5,6),(6,4),(6,5),(6,6)\}$$

Thus, comparing the elements in the fixed equivalence σ with the elements in $\ker(\alpha_3)$, we see that since $\sigma \subseteq \ker(\alpha_3)$ then $\alpha_3 \in E(X,\sigma)$ ■

Example 2.5: We define a set α_4 thus

$$\alpha_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \{12345\} & \{6\} \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $\sigma = \{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1),(2,2),(3,3),(3,4),(4,3),(4,4),(5,5),(5,6),(6,5),(6,6)\}$

We define the kernel of α_4 as:

$$\ker(\alpha_4) = \{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(1,5),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(2,4), (2,5),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3),(3,4),(3,5),(4,1),(4,2),(4,3), (4,4),(4,5),(5,1),(5,2),(5,3),(5,4),(5,5),(6,6)\}$$

Comparing the elements in the fixed equivalence σ with the elements in $\ker(\alpha_4)$, we see that $\sigma_4 \not\subseteq \ker(\alpha_4)$ since $(5,6)$ and $(6,5)$ are in σ but not in $\ker(\alpha_4)$. Therefore since $\sigma \not\subseteq \ker(\alpha_4)$ and $\alpha_4 \notin E(X,\sigma)$ ■

So far we have considered five (4) examples. Summarily, we have some α_i for $i = \{1, \dots, 4\}$ of the set X which make up the equivalence set of the semigroup of transformations restricted by an equivalence, $E(X,\sigma)$ ■

The followings are the characterization of regularity of $E(X,\sigma)$:

Theorem 2.7[9]: Let σ be an equivalence relation on a set X . Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If $\sigma = 1_x = Id_x$, the identity equivalence on X , then $E(X,\sigma) = T(X)$. Where $\sigma = 1_x$, then σ contains a constant map X_a with range a given as $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} X_a \\ a \end{pmatrix}$.
- (ii) If $\sigma = X \times X$ (The Universal Relation), then $E(X,\sigma) = K(X)$, where $K(X)$ is the set of all constant mapping in $T(X)$.

Also [9], characterized $E(X,\sigma)$ on its largest regular subsemigroup, \mathbb{E} thus

Theorem 2.8 [9]: Let $\alpha, \beta \in E(X,\sigma)$, then

- (i) $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{E}, X\alpha = X\beta)$;
- (ii) $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{E}, \ker(\alpha) = \ker(\beta))$, where $\ker(\alpha) = x\alpha^{-1}; x \in X\alpha$;
- (iii) $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{E}, |X\alpha| = |X\beta|)$;
- (iv) $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ if and only if $(\ker(\alpha) = \ker(\beta) \text{ or } |X\alpha| = |X\beta|)$;
- (v) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$.

3 Completely Regular Semigroup of $E(X,\sigma)$

A semigroup is said to be *Completely Regular* if every element in S is in some subgroup of the semigroup, thus it is referred to as “*Union of Groups*”. This is an important subclass of the class of regular semigroups and the class of inverse semigroups. The work of [17] laid the ground work in his paper using the term “*Semigroups Admitting Relative Inverses*” to refer the term “*Completely Regular Semigroup*”. Historically, the term “*Completely Regular Semigroup*” stems from the Russian literature written by, [21] titled “*Semigroup*” in which the author often refer to completely regular semigroup as “*Clifford Semigroup*”. It is observed that in a completely regular semigroup, each \mathcal{H} – class is a group and the semigroup is the union of these groups. Thus, if e is the identity of G , a subgroup of S within G , we have $ea = ae = a$, $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = e$, hence $a \mathcal{H} e \in S$. Thus the \mathcal{H} – class, \mathcal{H}_a which coincides with \mathcal{H}_e is a group.

Next is the have the characterization of a completely regular semigroup.

Theorem 3.1[20]:

Let S be a semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (I) S is completely regular.
- (ii) Every element in S in a subgroup of S .
- (iii) Every \mathcal{H} – class in S is a group.

Remark 3.2: It is well known that $E(X, \sigma)$ is not regular except on its regular part called largest regular subsemigroup which was defined by [9] as $\mathbb{E} = \{\alpha \in (X, \sigma) : \text{Im}(\alpha) \text{ is a partial cross-section of } X/\sigma\}$. Thus, we consider a nontrivial domain for a set of X , where $|X| \geq 4$.

Theorem 3.3: For any non-trivial X , where $|X| \geq 4$. The largest regular subsemigroup \mathbb{E} of $E(X, \sigma)$ is a completely regular semigroup.

Proof: It is clear that

$$E(X, \sigma) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \sigma \in \ker(\alpha) = \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}\}$$

with count $|E(X, \sigma)| = n^m$ in ([21]). Thus for a set $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, with two partition classes as

$$X/\sigma = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$$

we have that $|X| = n = 4$ and $|X/\sigma| = m = 2$, thus

$$|E(X, \sigma)| = n^m = 4^2 = 16$$

We write all the 16 elements of $|E(X, \sigma)|$ as:

$$E(X, \sigma) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right\}$$

Therefore, we select all the elements that satisfies the condition of the largest regular subsemigroup of $E(X, \sigma)$, \mathbb{E} which are partial cross section of the kernel classes as defined above which are twelve (12) out of the 16. From these we have elements of height 1 and 2 which forms the \mathcal{H} - classes thus:

$\begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (1234) \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (12 & 34) \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

It is clear from this that, all the elements are idempotent and the largest regular subsemigroup \mathbb{E} is completely regular ■

4 Inverse Semigroup of $E(X, \sigma)$

The semigroup S is said to be an *Inverse Semigroup* if every element of S , has exactly one inverse. Equivalently, a semigroup is an inverse semigroup if it is regular and its idempotent commute. Thus an inverse semigroup is an example of a regular semigroup. Examples of Inverse Semigroup are Groups, Semilattices, Clifford Semigroup and Symmetric Inverse Semigroup.

This result is the characterization of inverse semigroup

Theorem 4.1 [20]: Let S be a semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) S is an inverse semigroup.
- (ii) S is regular and its idempotent commute.
- (iii) Every \mathcal{L} - class and every \mathcal{R} - class contains exactly one idempotent.
- (iv) Every element of S has a unique inverse.

The next results considers the non-trivial domain which defies the regularity conditions of $E(X, \sigma)$, since it is well known that its largest regular subsemigroup is regular, we prove if its idempotents commute.

Theorem 4.2: For any nontrivial X , where $|X| \geq 3$. The largest subsemigroup \mathbb{E} of $E(X, \sigma)$, is not an inverse semigroup.

Proof: This proof is straight forward from (Theorem 3.4). Here we show that the largest subsemigroup, \mathbb{E} of $E(X, \sigma)$ is an inverse semigroup. It is known that \mathbb{E} is regular and have also shown that it is completely regular, here we only need to verify if any two idempotents in its largest regular subsemigroup \mathbb{E} commute. For any two idempotent, e and f we define

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$ef = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$fe = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 34 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, it is obvious that $ef \neq fe$ thus any two idempotents in the largest regular subsemigroup E does not commute. So the largest regular subsemigroup E of $E(X, \sigma)$ is not an inverse semigroup ■

5 Abundant Semigroup of $E(X, \sigma)$

The relations \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* on a semigroup S are generalization of the classical Green’s relations L and R . Two elements a and b are said to be \mathcal{L}^* -related if and only if they are L -related in some oversemigroup of S . The relation \mathcal{R}^* is defined dually. The join of the equivalence relations of \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* is denoted as \mathcal{D}^* and the meet is denoted as \mathcal{H}^{**} .

Definition 5.1 Let S be a semigroup. Two elements $\alpha, \beta \in S$ are said to be:

- \mathcal{L}^* -related if and only if they are L -related in some oversemigroup of S .
- \mathcal{R}^* -related if and only if they are R -related in some oversemigroup of S .

Definition 5.2 A semigroup S is called Abundant if any \mathcal{L}^* -class and \mathcal{R}^* -class contains an idempotent of S .

It is well known that a regular semigroup is abundant but the converse is not true. For example, [23] showed that the semigroup of order-decreasing finite full transformations is abundant but not regular.

Definition 5.3: The \mathcal{L}^* -class containing the element a of the semigroup S will be denoted by \mathcal{L}^*_a . The corresponding notation is used for the other classes relations.

Next, we present the characterization of the starred-Green’s relations by [19]

Theorem 5.1 [19]: Let S be a semigroup. Then

- (a) $\mathcal{L}^* = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : (\forall s, t \in S^1) as = at \Leftrightarrow bs = bt\}$
- (b) $\mathcal{R}^* = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : (\forall s, t \in S^1) sa = ta \Leftrightarrow sb = tb\}$

Let $Y \subseteq X$ and denote $\bar{Y} = \{A \in X/\sigma : A \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}$ as the collection of equivalence with non-zero intersection.

Definition 5.4: A transformation $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$ is **Discrete** on X if $|A \cap X\alpha| \leq 1$ for every $A \in X/\sigma$. We see that partial cross-section and discreteness coincides.

Hence the characterization of the starred-Green’s relation on $E(X, \sigma)$.

Theorem 5.3: Let $\alpha, \beta \in E(X, \sigma)$. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, then $Im(\alpha) = Im(\beta)$.

Proof: Let $\rho = \{A \in X/\sigma : A \in \overline{Im(\alpha)} - \overline{Im(\beta)}\}$. We show that $\rho = \emptyset$. For any nonempty ρ , we take some distinct elements $a, b \in X$ and prove that for all $p, q \in E(X, \sigma)$ with the property that for each $A \in X/\sigma$, we have that

$$p(A) = \begin{cases} q(a), & \text{if } A \in \rho \\ \{a\}, & \text{if } x \notin \rho \end{cases}$$

And

$$q(A) = \{b\} \text{ if } A \in \rho$$

Clearly, $p \neq q$. By this, we prove two cases when $pa = qa$ and $pa \neq qa$. Let $A \in X/\sigma$ since $Im(A) \cap \rho$, if $B \in X/\sigma$ such that $Im(A) \subseteq B$, by this we have that $p\beta = q\beta$ and so for $pa(A) = qa(A)$. Thus, $pa = qa$. For any fixed $A \in \rho$ $Im(B) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ there exist $B \in X/\sigma$ such that $Im(B) \subseteq A$. Hence, $pIm(B) = p(A) = \{a\}$ and $qIm(B) = q(A) = \{b\}$. Then $p\beta \neq q\beta$, which is a contradiction when $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$. Therefore, $\rho = \emptyset$ and $Im(\beta) \subseteq Im(\alpha)$. Also, by symmetry we have the converse where $\overline{Im(\alpha)} \subseteq \overline{Im(\beta)}$ and equality hold as $\overline{Im(\alpha)} = \overline{Im(\beta)}$ ■

Next we state the characterizations of Green’s Starred Relations on $E(X, \sigma)$

Theorem 5.4 Let $\alpha, \beta \in E(X, \sigma)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if either

- i. $Im(\alpha) = Im(\beta)$ or
- ii. α, β are not discrete on X and $\overline{Im(\alpha)} = \overline{Im(\beta)}$.

Proof. Suppose, that α, β satisfy (i). Then α, β are \mathcal{L}^* -related in the full transformation $T(X)$, as such α and β are \mathcal{L}^* -related in $E(X, \sigma)$.

For the “if” part, suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, it follows from (Theorem 5.1(a)) that $\overline{Im(\alpha)} = \overline{Im(\beta)}$. Clearly, there two possibilities, either α and β are discrete on X or α and β are not discrete on X ([12], Lemma 2.6). Thus we define the sets of α and β as $Im(\alpha) = \{a_i : i \in I\}$ and $Im(\beta) = \{b_i : i \in I\}$, where I is some index set, $a_i \neq a_j, b_i \neq b_j$ for any distinct, i, j

$\in I$ and $(a_i, b_i) \in \sigma$ for any $i \in I$. Now we show that $a_i = b_i$ for any $i \in I$. Take $c \in X$, for any $d \in E(X, \sigma)$, $d \neq id_x$ and $da = id_x a$. Thus, for characterization of \mathcal{L}^* in (Theorem 5.1 (a)) that $d\beta = id_x \beta$. Thus $d(b_i) = b_i$, for any $i \in I$. Since it is clear that $d(a_i) = b_i$ for any $i \in I$, then $a_i = b_i$ for any $i \in I$ and $Im(\alpha) = Im(\beta)$.

For the “only if” part. Let α, β satisfy (ii). We show that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ by (Theorem 5.1 (a)). Now suppose $p\alpha = q\alpha$ for any $p, q \in E(X, \sigma) \cup \{id_x\}$. We assume that $p = id_x$ and $q \in E(X, \sigma)$. Then $\alpha = q\alpha$, since α is not discrete on X , we have that $|Im(\alpha) \cap A| \geq 2$ for some $A \in X/\sigma$ ([12], Lemma 2.6). So $|q(Im(\alpha) \cap A)| \geq 2$. But for $q \in E(X, \sigma)$, we have that $|q(Im(\alpha) \cap A)| = 1$ which is a contradiction. Thus by symmetry, we have two cases: either p and q equals an identity relation on X , id_x or $p, q \in E(X, \sigma)$. By the first case, we have that $p\beta = q\beta$, so we assume that $p, q \in E(X, \sigma)$. Let $A \in X/\sigma$, we take an element $B \in X/\sigma$ such that $Im(\alpha) = \{B\}$. Then $B \cap Im(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, since $\overline{Im(\alpha)} = \overline{Im(\beta)}$. Let $x \in X$ such that $Im(x) \in B$. Then $p\beta(A) = p(B) = \{p(Im(x))\} = \{q(Im(x))\} = q(B) = q\beta(A)$. Hence, $p\beta = q\beta$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ ■

Theorem 5.5 Let $\alpha, \beta \in E(X, \sigma)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ if and only if $ker(\alpha) = ker(\beta)$.

Proof. For the “if” part, suppose $ker(\alpha) = ker(\beta)$, then α, β are \mathcal{R}^* -related in the full transformation, $T(X)$ in (Theorem 5.1 (b)), hence \mathcal{R}^* -related in $E(X, \sigma)$.

For the “only if” part. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$. For any $x \in X$, we define a constant map $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix} \in E(X, \sigma)$.

Take $(a, b) \in E(X, \sigma)$. Then $\alpha < \varphi(a) > = < \alpha\varphi(a) > = < \varphi(b) > = \alpha < \varphi(b) >$ and by characterization of \mathcal{R}^* in (Theorem 5.1 (b)) we have that $\beta < \varphi(a) > = \beta < \varphi(b) >$. Thus $\beta(a) = \beta(b)$ and $(a, b) \in ker(\beta)$.

Thus $ker(\alpha) \subseteq ker(\beta)$ and by symmetry $ker(\beta) \subseteq ker(\alpha)$. Hence, equality holds and $ker(\alpha) = ker(\beta)$ ■

Definition 5.6: Let σ be an equivalence relation on the set X . Let α, β be any two subsets of X and ψ be a map from α into β i.e. $(\psi : \alpha \rightarrow \beta)$. ψ is a σ -Preserving if for any $x, y \in \alpha$, $(x, y) \in \sigma$ implies $(x\psi, y\psi) \in \sigma$. ψ is said to be σ^* -Preserving if for any $x, y \in \alpha$, $(x, y) \in \sigma$ if and only if $(x\psi, y\psi) \in \sigma$.

Theorem 5.7: Let $\alpha, \beta \in E(X, \sigma)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ if and only if there exists a σ^* -preserving bijection on the map $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$.

Proof: Suppose, we define a relation τ on $E(X, \sigma)$ such that if and only if there exists an σ^* -preserving bijection, $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. Assume $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ on $E(X, \sigma)$, then $X\alpha = X\beta$. Clearly, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \tau$ and so $\mathcal{L}^* \subseteq \tau$. Now suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$, then $ker(\alpha) = ker(\beta)$. Clearly, $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$. We define a map $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ by $x\rho = xa^{-1}\beta$. Thus, it is evident from the foregoing that the map $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ is a σ^* -preserving bijection. Dually, for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \tau$ and so $\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \tau$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \tau$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \tau$, then there exist an σ^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. We define a map $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by $x\gamma = a\rho$, where $x \in a\alpha^{-1}$ and $a \in X\alpha$. It is easy to see that $\gamma \in E(X, \sigma)$, $ker(\gamma) = ker(\alpha)$ and $X\gamma = X\beta$. So that $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}^*$, and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$. Thus $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and so $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$ and consequently equality holds and $\mathcal{D}^* = \tau$ ■

Remark 5.8: We recall from [23] that two elements are \mathcal{J}^* -related if there exist a starred ideal between them. So we require the starred-ideal to generate the \mathcal{J}^* analogue of the classical Green's relations on $E(X, \sigma)$, which is still dependent on the previous result on the \mathcal{D}^* relations.

Theorem 5.9: Let $\alpha, \beta \in E(X, \sigma)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$.

Proof: Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $\mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}^*(\beta)$. Let

$$I(X, \beta) = \{\gamma \in E(X, \sigma) : |X\gamma| \leq |X\beta|\}.$$

Hence, it is easy to see that $I(X, \beta)$ is a starred-ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$ to which β belongs. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^*$, $\mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}^*(\beta) \subseteq I(X, \beta)$, then $|X\alpha| \leq |X\beta|$. Dually, we also obtain the similar result for β .

Hence, $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$ ■

Theorem 5.10: Let X be a finite set, then on the semigroup $E(X, \sigma)$, $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$.

Proof: Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $\mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}^*(\beta)$. Let

$$I(X, \beta) = \{\gamma \in E(X, \sigma) : |X\gamma| < |X\beta|\}.$$

It is easy to show that $I(X, \beta)$, is a starred-ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$ to which β belongs. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}^*(\beta) \subseteq I(X, \beta)$, then $|X\alpha| < |X\beta|$, or there exists an σ^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. Dually, we obtain the similar results for β . Hence there exists an σ^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ consequent to (Theorem 5.7), so that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $\mathcal{J}^* \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$. We recall that in the characterization of the full transformation semigroup $T(X)$, that $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$. Thus equality holds as $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$ as required ■

Theorem 5.11 Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in E(X, \sigma)$ such that $(\alpha\beta)^2 = \alpha\beta$. Then α and β are regular.

Proof: Suppose $A \in X/\sigma$. It is clear that for any $\beta \in E(X, \sigma)$, $A\beta \subseteq B$ for some $B \in X/\sigma$. Thus we can see that $Ba\beta\alpha = Ba\beta$, which implies that $Ba\beta$ is contained in B and consequently, $B\alpha \subseteq A$. Thus $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ implies for each $A \in X/\sigma$. So we conclude that α is regular.

Let $A \in X/\sigma$, suppose $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$, $A\beta \subseteq B$ for some $B \in X/\sigma$. Then we have that $A\alpha\beta\alpha = A\alpha\beta$, implying that $A\alpha\beta$ is contained in A and $B\beta \subseteq A$. Thus $A \cap X\beta \neq \emptyset$ for any $A \in X/\sigma$. Thus, β is regular ■

Theorem 5.12 Let $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$. Then α is regular if and only if $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for any $A \in X/\sigma$

Proof: We assume that α is regular, so that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$ for some $\beta \in E(X, \sigma)$, $A\beta \subseteq B$ for some $B \in X/\sigma$. Thus by $B\alpha\beta\alpha = B\alpha$, therefore $B\alpha\beta$ is contained in B and consequently $B\alpha \subseteq A$, which is a contradiction to our previous assumption that $A \cap X\alpha$ is empty. So we can prove that for any $A \in X/\sigma, A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } x \in X\alpha \text{ where } a \in x\alpha^{-1} \\ b, & \text{if } x \in A \setminus X\alpha \text{ where } b \in (A \cap X\alpha)\alpha^{-1} \end{cases}$$

It is trivial to show that $\beta \in E(X, \sigma)$. Suppose $x \in X$, then $x\alpha\beta\alpha \in x\alpha\alpha^{-1} = \{x\alpha\}$. Thus the cardinality of $\{x\alpha\}$ gives us a constant map i.e. $|\{x\alpha\}| = 1$ and $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$ which proves regularity ■

Theorem 5.13 $E(X, \sigma)$ is abundant if and only if $|X/\sigma|$ is finite.

Proof: Suppose $|X/\sigma|$ is infinite, for any partitions set of X with A_i (where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$) as

$X/\sigma = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, \dots\}$. We define a map $\zeta : X \rightarrow X$ by $x \in A_i, x\zeta = a_{i+1}$, where $a_{i+1} = A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Thus by this we see that $\zeta \in E(X, \sigma)$ and $A \cap X\alpha = \emptyset$. So we see that all the elements in A_i generates distinct integral powers which is not periodic since no two power repeat. Thus $E(X, \sigma)$ is not abundant, which contradicts our assumption. Thus, $|X/\sigma|$ is finite.

Conversely, Suppose $|X/\sigma|$ is finite, it is clear that $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for any $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$, and $A \in X/\sigma$. We see, by (Theorem 5.1.) that any \mathcal{L}^* -class and \mathcal{R}^* -class contains an idempotent, and hence $E(X, \sigma)$ is abundant ■

6 The Starred-Ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$

Analogous to the work of [19], we introduce the starred-ideal to obtain the starred analogue of the classical Green's relations J . The which \mathcal{L}^* -class containing the element a is denoted as \mathcal{L}_a^* . We can also adopt this corresponding notation for the relations. Thus, a *Left(Right) starred -ideal* of a semigroup S to be the *Left(Right) Ideal I of S* for which $\mathcal{L}_a^* \subseteq I$ ($\mathcal{R}_a^* \subseteq I$) for all $a \in I$. A subset I of S ($I \subseteq S$) is a starred-ideal if it is both a Left-Starred Ideal and a Right-Starred Ideal. The *Principal Starred Ideal, $J^*(a)$* generated by the element a of S is the intersection of all *Starred-Ideals* of S to which a belongs. The relations J^* is defined by the rule if and only if $J^*(a) = J^*(b)$. It is also important to note in this section that this is where we get to understand the role of σ -Preserving and σ^* -Preserving Ideal to $E(X, \sigma)$ previously mentioned, we state this for emphasis:

Definition 6.1: Let σ be an equivalence relation on the set X . Let $\alpha, \beta \subseteq X$ and ϕ be a mapping from α into β i.e. $(\phi : \alpha \rightarrow \beta)$. ϕ is a σ -preserving if for any $x, y \in \alpha, (x, y) \in \sigma$ implies $(x\phi, y\phi) \in \sigma$. ϕ is said to be a σ^* -Preserving if for any $x, y \in \alpha, (x, y) \in \sigma$ if and only if $(x\phi, y\phi) \in \sigma$. In other words, it is σ^* -preserving if ϕ is both σ -preserving and bijective.

We define our starred ideal for starred ideal for $E(X, \sigma)$ similar to [18] thus,

Let X/σ be the partition of X into equivalence classes of σ . For any $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$, we define an infinite collection of non-intersecting equivalence classes as

$$Z(\alpha) = \{A \in X/\sigma : A \cap X\alpha = \emptyset\}$$

For any non-negative integer r , let

$$Q^*(X, r) = \{\alpha \in E(X, \sigma) : r \leq |Z(\alpha)| < +\infty\}$$

be the starred ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$.

Theorem 6.1: The followings were stated that:

- (i) if $r = 0$, then $Q^*(X, r)$ is a starred-ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$.
- (ii) if $r > 0$, then $Q^*(X, r)$ is a Left starred-ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$.
- (iii) if $r > 0$, then $Q^*(X, r)$ is not a right starred-ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$ for any $\alpha \in Q^*(X, r)$ such that $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^* \cap Q^*(X, r) \neq \emptyset$ ■

Remark 6.2: According [18], if $r > 0$, then all the Green's relations are trivial in $Q^*(X, r)$ ($r > 0$). Thus we denote σ_α as the restriction of σ to the $X\alpha$.

$$r_\alpha = \{(a, b) \in r : a, b \in X\alpha\}$$

In proving this theorem we consider the finite and infinite case.

Theorem 6.3: Suppose $r > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q^*(X, r)$ Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if $Im(\alpha) = Im(\beta)$.

Proof: If $X\alpha = X\beta$ thus $(\alpha, \beta) \in T(X)$. Hence, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$. Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ for all $v, \mu \in Q^*(X, r), \alpha v = \alpha\mu$ if and only if $\beta v = \beta\mu$. It is clear if $X\alpha \neq X\beta$, we assume that $X\beta \setminus X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ such that there exist $a \in X\beta \setminus X\alpha$ and $b\beta = a$ for some $b \in X$. By this we have 2 cases to consider:

Case 1:

Considering the finite case where $a \in A \in X/\sigma$ and $A \cap Xa \neq \emptyset$. Now suppose that there exists $c \in A \cap Xa$. We see by $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$, $|X/\sigma| = |Xa/\sigma_a|$, we have a σ^* -preserving mapping given as $\varphi: X \setminus A \rightarrow Xa \setminus A$. We define a map $x\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$x\eta = \begin{cases} x, & x \in A \\ x\varphi, & x \notin A \end{cases}$$

We also define another map thus: $\mu: Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$z\mu = \begin{cases} c, & z = a \\ x\varphi, & \text{if } z \in A \setminus \{a\} \\ z\varphi, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

But it is obvious that $\eta, \mu \in Q^*(X, r)$ and $a\eta = a\mu$. However, $b\beta\eta = a\eta = a \neq c = a\mu = b\beta\mu$ which is in contradiction to our assumption with $\beta\eta = \beta\mu$.

Case 2:

Considering the infinite case $a \in A \in X/\sigma$ and $A \cap Xa \neq \emptyset$. We define a map $\mu: X \rightarrow X$ thus: for an element $a \in A$, $x\mu = xa$. It is then obvious that $\mu \in E(X, \sigma)$ and $a^2 = a\mu$. However, $b\beta a = aa \neq a = a\mu = b\beta\mu$ which is in contradiction with our assumption $\beta a = \beta\mu$. Thus our proof is complete since $Xa = X\beta$ ■

Theorem 6.4 Suppose $r > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q^*(X, r)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ if and only if $\ker(\alpha) = \ker(\beta)$.

Proof: Suppose $\ker(\alpha) = \ker(\beta)$, thus by [Theorem 5.1 (b)], $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} \in T(X)$. Thus if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$. We show the converse that if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ by [Theorem 5.1 (b)] $\forall x, y \in Q^*(X, r)$, $\eta\alpha = \mu\alpha$ if and only if $\eta\beta = \mu\beta$. Thus, suppose, $\ker(\alpha) \neq \ker(\beta)$, then there exist arbitrary element $y_1, y_2 \in A \in X/\sigma$ such that $y_1 \neq y_2$, $y_1\alpha\alpha^{-1} = y_2\alpha\alpha^{-1}$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$, $y_1\beta\beta^{-1} = y_2\beta\beta^{-1}$. With this, two (2) cases arise for consideration.

Case 1:

We consider the finite case where $A \cap Xa \neq \emptyset$. Since $\alpha \in E(X, \sigma)$, then $|X/\sigma| = |Xa/\sigma_a|$, we see that there exist an σ^* -Preserving mapping given by $\varphi: X \setminus A \rightarrow Xa \setminus A$

We define a map $\eta: Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$y\eta = \begin{cases} y_1, & \text{if } y \in A \\ y\varphi, & \text{if } y \notin A \end{cases}$$

Also we define another map $\mu: Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$y\mu = \begin{cases} y_2, & \text{if } y \in A \\ y\varphi, & \text{if } y \notin A \end{cases}$$

It is thus clear that $\eta, \mu \in Q^*(X, r)$ and $\eta\alpha = \mu\alpha$. However, $A\eta\beta = y_1\beta \neq y_2\beta = A\mu\beta$ which is in contradiction to our assumption with $\eta\beta = \mu\beta$.

Case 2: Considering the infinite case where $A \cap Xa = \emptyset$.

We define a map $\eta: Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$y\eta = \begin{cases} y_1, & \text{if } y \in A \\ y\varphi, & \text{if } y \notin A \end{cases}$$

Also we define another map $\mu: Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$y\mu = \begin{cases} y_2, & \text{if } y \in A \\ y\varphi, & \text{if } y \notin A \end{cases}$$

Thus it is easy to see that $\eta, \mu \in Q^*(X, r)$ and $\eta\alpha = \mu\alpha$. Thus, $A\eta\beta = y_1\beta \neq y_2\beta = A\mu\beta$ Contradicts our assumption that $\eta\beta = \mu\beta$, from which we conclude that $\ker(\alpha) = \ker(\beta)$ ■

Theorem 6.5 Suppose $r > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q^*(X, r)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ if and only if there exists a σ^* -Preserving bijection $o: Im(\alpha) = Im(\beta)$.

Proof: Let σ be a relation on $Q^*(X, r)$. such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma$ if and only if there exists a σ^* -preserving bijection: $\sigma : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. Assume $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ on $Q^*(X, r)$, then $X\alpha = X\beta$. Thus $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma$ and so $\mathcal{L}^* \subseteq \sigma$. Next, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$, then $\ker(\alpha) = \ker(\beta)$. Clearly, $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$. We define a map $\sigma : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ by $x\sigma = x\alpha^{-1}\beta$. From the foregoing, the map $\sigma : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ is a σ^* -preserving bijection. Dually, for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma$ and so $\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \sigma$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma$, then there exist a σ^* -preserving bijection $\sigma : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. We define a map $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by $x\gamma = a\sigma$, where $x \in a\alpha^{-1}$ and $a \in X\alpha$. It is very easy to see that $\gamma \in E(X, \sigma)$, $\ker(\gamma) = \ker(\alpha)$ and $X\gamma = X\beta$. So that $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$. Thus $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$. Thus equality holds and $\mathcal{D}^* = \sigma$ ■

Theorem 6.6 Suppose $r > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q^*(X, r)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$ if and only if $|Im(\alpha)| = |Im(\beta)|$.

Proof: It is obvious that two elements are \mathcal{J}^* -related if there exists a starred-ideal between them.

Now suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $\mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}^*(\beta)$. Let

$$Q^*(X, r) = \{\alpha \in E(X, \sigma) \leq |Z(\alpha)| < +\infty\}$$

It is not difficult to see that $Q^*(X, r)$ is a starred-ideal of $E(X, \sigma)$ to which β belongs. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^*$, $\mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}^*(\beta) \subseteq Q^*(X, r)$ then $|X\alpha| \leq |X\beta|$. Dually, we have that since $\beta \in \mathcal{J}^*$, $\mathcal{J}^*(\beta) = \mathcal{J}^*(\alpha) \subseteq Q^*(X, r)$, then $|X\alpha| \leq |X\beta|$. Hence, $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$ ■

References

- [1] Malcev A.I.(1952). Symmetric Groupoids. *Mat. Sbornik N.S.*, 136 - 151.
- [2] Magill K.D.(1966). Subsemigroups of $S(X)$. *Math, Japon.* (11), 109 - 115
- [3] Symons J. (1975). Some results concerning a transformation semigroup. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society: Pure and Applied Mathematics and Applications*, 19(4), 1911 - 1944.
- [4] Huisheng P. (2005). Regularity and Green's Relations in the Semigroups of Transformations that Preserve an Equivalence. *Communications in Algebra*, 33(1), 109 - 118.
- [5] Nenthein S., Youngkhong P., Kemprasit Y.(2005). Regular Elements of some Transformation Semigroups. *Pure Mathematics and Applications*, 16(3), 307-314.
- [6] Araujo J., Konieczny(2005). Semigroups of Transformations Preserving an Equivalence and a Cross-Section. *Communications in Algebra*. 32(5), 1917 - 1935.
- [7] Sommanee W. and Sanwong J.(2008). Regularity and Green's Relations on a Semigroup of Transformations with Restricted Range. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Article ID 794013*, 1 - 11.
- [8] Sanwong J.(2011). The Regular Part of a Semigroup of Transformations with Restricted Range. *Semigroup Forum*, 83(1), 289 - 300.
- [9] Mendes-Goncalves S., Sullivan R.P. (2011). *The Semigroups of Transformations Restricted By An Equivalence*. *Central Journal of Mathematics* (2011), 1120-1131.
- [10] Deng J.,Zhi, L., Zeng T., You, J.(2011). Green's Relations and Regularity for Semigroups of Transformations that Preserve Reverse Equivalence. *Semigroup Forum*. 83(1), 489-498.
- [11] Sun L.(2013). A note on Abundance of Certain Semigroups of Transformations with Restricted Range. *Semigroup Forum*, 87(3), 1 - 6.
- [12] Sun L., Wang L.(2016). Abundance of Certain Semigroups of Transformations Restricted by an Equivalence. *Communications in Algebra*, 44(1), 1829 - 1835.
- [13] Sawatraska N., Namnak C.(2017). Remarks on Isomorphisms of Transformation Semigroups Restricted by an Equivalence Relation. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 0(0), 1 - 6.
- [14] Pookpienlert C., Honyam P., Sanwong J. (2018). Green's Relations on a Semigroup of Transformations with Restricted Range that Preserves an Equivalence Relation and a Cross-Section. *Σ- Mathematics*, 1-12.
- [15] Cain, J.C. (2017). *Nine Chapters on the Semigroup Art*. creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.
- [16] Clifford A.H. and Preston G.B. (1962). *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups (Vol. 1)*. The London Mathematical Society, England.
- [17] Clifford A.H.(1940) *Semigroups Admitting Relative Inverses (Vol. 42)*. *Annals of Mathematics*, Massachusetts.
- [18] Deng L. (2016). *On Certain Semigroups of Transformations that Preserve Double Direction Equivalence*. *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 1015 - 1024.

- [19] Fountain J.B.(1982). *Abundant Semigroups*. Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. **22**(2), 113-125.
- [20] Howie J.M.(1995).*Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. Oxford University Press, London.
- [21] Lyapin E.S. (1963).*Semigroups*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhodes Island.
- [22] Sanwong J.(2011). Regularity and Green's Relations on a Semigroup of Transformations with Restricted Range *Semigroup Forum*, **83**(1), 134 - 146.
- [23] Umar. A. (1992). On the Semigroups of Order Decreasing Finite Full Transformations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, **120A**, 129 - 142.
- [24] Zhi, L., Deng, J., Zeng, T.(2010). Green's Relations and Regularity for Semigroups of Transformations that Preserve Double Direction Equivalence. *Semigroup Forum*. **80**(3), 416 - 425.