NEW CLASS OF THIRD DERIVATIVE BOUNDARY VALUE METHODS FOR STIFF IVPS

G. C. Nwachukwu and I. T. Amaefuna

Advanced Research Laboratory Department of Mathematics, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

Abstract

We present a new class of Third Derivative boundary value methods (TDBVMs) for the numerical solution of stiff initial value problems (IVPs). The proposed method is a modification of the third derivative generalized Adams-type methods (TDGAMs). The constructed methods are all $0_{\nu,k+1-\nu}$ -stable and $A_{\nu,k+1-\nu}$ -stable with $(\nu, k + 1 - \nu)$ -boundary conditions for all values of the steplength $k \ge 1$ with high order up to p = 3k + 4. Numerical results show that the class of methods is of good accuracy when applied to standard stiff problems.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the stiff initial value problem (IVP)

 $y'(x) = f(x, y(x)), \quad y(x_0) = y_0$,

on the finite interval $I = [x_0, x_N]$ where $y: [x_0, x_N] \to R^m$ and $f: [x_0, x_N] \times R^m \to R^m$ is continuous and differentiable.

Nwachukwu and Okor [1] developed a class of third derivative generalized backward differentiation formulas (TDGBDF) based on the linear multistep formulas (LMF) and applied as boundary value methods (BVMs) for stiff initial value problems (IVPs) in ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The TDGBDF are $A_{v,k-v}$ -stable and $0_{v,k-v}$ -stable with (v, k - v)-boundary conditions for all values of $k \ge 2$ with order p = k + 2 where k is the steplength. The formulas have the form

(1.1)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \beta_v f_{n+v} + h^2 \gamma_v f_{n+v}^{'} + h^3 f_{n+v}^{''}$$
where
$$v = \begin{cases} \frac{k+2}{2} & \text{for even } k \\ \frac{k+3}{2} & \text{for odd } k \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

The methods (1.2) are used alongside the following additional initial methods which are defined generally as:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}^{*} y_{n+j}^{*} = h \beta_{i} f_{i} + h^{2} \gamma_{i} f_{i}^{'} + h^{3} f_{i}^{''}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., v - 1$$
(1.4)
and final methods given generally as:
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}^{*} y_{n+j}^{*} = h \beta_{i} f_{i} + h^{2} \gamma_{i} f_{i}^{'} + h^{3} f_{i}^{''}; \qquad i = v + 1, ..., N$$
(1.5)

In [2] Nwachukwu and Mokwunyei constructed a family of third derivative generalized Adams-type methods (TDGAMs) which is an extension of the second derivative generalized Adams methods (SDGAMs) proposed by [3]. The methods in [2] have higher order and smaller error constants than the methods in [1] and are defined by

$$y_{n+\nu} - y_{n+\nu-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_i f_{n+i} + h^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \gamma_i g_{n+i} + h^3 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi_i r_{n+i}$$
(1.6)

Correspondence Author: Nwachukwu G.C., Email: grace.nwachukwu@uniben.edu, Tel: +2348056743776

New Class of Third Derivative...

(1.8)

where v is given as

$$v = \begin{cases} \frac{k+1}{2} \text{ for odd } k \\ \frac{k}{2} & \text{for even } k \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

The family of methods in [2] is used with the following additional initial methods

$$y_{j} - y_{j-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{n} \beta_{i,j} f_{i} + h^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{i,j} g_{i} + h^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \phi_{i,j} r_{i} \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 1 \quad ,$$

and final methods

$$y_{N+j} - y_{N+j-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_{i+\nu,j} f_{N+i-1} + h^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \gamma_{i+\nu,j} g_{N+i-1} + h^3 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi_{i+\nu,j} r_{N+i-1}, \quad j = 0, ..., k - \nu - 1$$
(1.9)

For more details on BVMs see [1-12].

In this work we seek to improve the accuracy of the methods (1.6) by adding a future point to the first derivative of the solution. In section 2, the construction of the methods is given. Section 3 is on the stability analysis of the proposed methods. Section 4 shows the choice of the additional methods. Section 5 is devoted to numerical experiments while the conclusion is in section 6.

2. Construction of the methods

The third Derivative Boundary Value Methods (TDBVMs) for solving the IVP (1.1) is given by

$$y_{n+v} - y_{n+v-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_i f_{n+i} + h^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \gamma_i g_{n+i} + h^3 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi_i m_{n+i}$$
(2.1)
where
$$v = \begin{cases} \frac{k+1}{2} \text{ for odd } k \\ \frac{k}{2} & \text{ for even } k \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

and β_i , γ_i , ϕ_i are parameters to be determined.

In polynomial notation, we can write the TDBVMs (2.1) as

$$\rho(E) = h\sigma(E)f_n + h^2\eta(E)g_n + h^3\delta(E)m_n,$$

$$f_{n+i} = f(x_{n+i}, y_{n+i}) = y'(x_{n+i}), \quad g_{n+i} = g(x_{n+i}, y_{n+i}) = y''(x_{n+i}), \quad \mathbf{m}_{n+i} = m(x_{n+i}, y_{n+i}) = y'''(x_{n+i})$$
(2.3)

where $\rho(E) = E^{\nu-1}(E-1)$, $\sigma(E) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_i E^i$, $\eta(E) = \sum_{i=0}^k \gamma_i E^i$ and $\delta(E) = \sum_{i=0}^k \phi_i E^i$ are the first, second, third and fourth characteristic polynomials respectively. The linear difference operator associated with the TDBVMs (2.1) can be written as

$$L[y(x_n);h] = y(x_n + vh) - y(x_n + (v-1)h) - h\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_i y'(x_n + ih)$$

$$-h^2 \sum_{i=0}^k \gamma_i y''(x_n + ih) - h^3 \sum_{i=0}^k \phi_i y'''(x_n + ih)$$

(2.4)

Assuming that $y(x_n)$ is sufficiently differentiable, Taylor series expansion of (2.4) about the point x_n yields

$$L[y(x_{n});h] = C_{0}y(x_{n}) + C_{1}hy'(x_{n}) + C_{2}h^{2}y''(x_{n}) + \dots + C_{q}h^{q}y^{q}(x_{n}) + \dots$$

$$C_{0} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{i}$$

$$C_{1} = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{i}$$

$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(v^{2} - (v - 1)^{2}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i\beta_{i} - \sum_{i=0}^{k} \gamma_{i}$$
where
$$(2.5)$$

$$(2.5)$$

$$C_{q} = \frac{1}{q!} (v^{q} - (v - 1)^{q}) - \frac{1}{(q - 1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^{q-1} \beta_{i}$$
$$- \frac{1}{(q - 2)!} \sum_{i=1}^{k} i^{q-2} \gamma_{i} - \frac{1}{(q - 3)!} \sum_{i=1}^{k} i^{q-3} \phi_{i}$$

The TDBVMs (2.1) is said to be of order p if $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = \cdots = C_p = 0$, $C_{p+1} \neq 0$. C_{p+1} is called the error constant (EC). The coefficients are chosen so that the TDBVMs (2.1) s of order p = 3k + 4. The coefficients, the error constant and the order of the TDBVMs at different values of k are shown in Table 1.

3. Stability Analysis

To analyze the stability properties of the method, the class of TDBVMs (2.1) is applied to the test equation (3.1) $y' = \lambda y$ (3.1)

to yield the stability polynomial

$$\pi(w,z) = w^{v} - w^{v-1} - w^{i} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} z\beta_{i} - w^{i} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (z^{2}\gamma_{i} + z^{3}\phi_{i}), \quad z = \lambda h$$
(3.2)

where v is defined as in (2.2).

Then inserting $w = e^{i\theta}$, t = 0(1)k, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ in (3.2) and equating to zero, a polynomial of degree three in z is obtained with three roots describing the stability region of the TDBVMs (2.1). The stability regions for odd and even values of k are given in Figures 1 and 2 respectively. The methods (2.1) are $0_{v,k+1-v}$ -stable and $A_{v,k+1-v}$ -stable with (v, k + 1 - v)-boundary conditions for $k \ge 1$, see Figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1: Stability regions (exterior of closed curves) of the TDBVMs (2.1) for k = 1(2)33



Figure 2: Stability regions (exterior of closed curves) of the TDBVMs (2.1) for k =2(2)32

Table 1: The Coefficients, Error Constant (EC) and Order p	\mathcal{I} of the TDBVMs (2.1) for $k = 1(1)6$
---	---

k	β_0	β_1	eta_2	β_3	eta_4	eta_5
1	$\frac{529}{1120}$	$\frac{37}{10}$	$-\frac{1}{1120}$			
2	$\frac{24653}{60480}$	<u>4369</u> <u>6720</u>	$-\frac{389}{6720}$	$\frac{1}{8640}$		
3	$-\frac{8850277}{2490808320}$	25351121 51891840	<u>336241</u> 640640	$-\frac{1532147}{155675520}$	<u>673</u> <u>118609920</u>	
4	$-\frac{3672432059}{2988969984000}$	1214315581 2717245440	25616383 42577920	$-\frac{4214947}{84913920}$	279738859 119558799360	$-\frac{139}{193536000}$
5	816870806863 10648205568000000	$-\frac{13004985674323}{1609062174720000}$	9608927383141 19308746096640	10817681969 20570397120	$-\frac{102136855307}{6436248698880}$	<u>3756449903251</u> 10970878464000000
6	1890276114750377 98251811868672000000	<u>- 1333709114908309</u> 362038989312000000	17958768069925399 38617492193280000	5832016531723 10025695088640	8832430287701 185363962527744	559240627672711 120679663104000000

Transactions of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 9, (March and May, 2019), 5 – 12

Table 2: Table 1 continued

k	eta_6	β_7	${\gamma}_0$	γ_1	γ_2	γ_3
1			$\frac{7}{80}$	$-\frac{4}{35}$		
2			$\frac{631}{10080}$	$-\frac{19}{160}$	$\frac{3}{140}$	
3			$-\frac{7633}{7687680}$	<u>1622459</u> 17297280	$-\frac{201211}{1921920}$	163409 51891840
4			$-\frac{62580263}{199264665600}$	<u>194904181</u> 2490808320	$-\frac{34063}{322560}$	2766377 155675520
5	<u>366855859</u> 7240779786240000		28790961007 1508495788800000	$-\frac{8471282549}{3155023872000}$	<u>30327693499</u> <u>321812434944</u>	$-\frac{120790825907}{1206796631040}$
6	- <u>139559789721529</u> 2005139017728000000	134627 21123970560000	9187700429513 2027418340147200000	$-\frac{1264580310817}{1097087846400000}$	108255927056579 1287249739776000	$-\frac{5411279}{53887680}$

Table 3: Table 1 continued

k	${\gamma}_4$	γ_5	γ_6	ϕ_0	ϕ_1	ϕ_2
1				$\frac{11}{1680}$	$\frac{1}{84}$	
2				$\frac{37}{10080}$	$\frac{319}{10080}$	$-\frac{13}{5040}$
3				$-\frac{8003}{103783680}$	<u>18617</u> 1921920	<u>39703</u> 2882880
4	<u>5643877</u> 			$-\frac{437551}{19926466560}$	8054143 1245404160	2206123 92252160
5	<u>89552507</u> 16253153280	$-\frac{385790814583}{4022655436800000}$		64659047 50283192960000	$-\frac{1740891127}{5363540582400}$	<u>1806125023</u> 160906217472
6	215701915379 14042724433920	$-\frac{6395172938153}{4022655436800000}$	256941897587 13791961497600000	2265373361 7899032494080000	$-\frac{41100991}{336061440000}$	1060746776461 128724973977600

Table 4: Table 1 continued

k	V	ϕ_3	ϕ_4	ϕ_5	ϕ_6	EC	р
1	1					$-\frac{1}{470400}$	7
2	1					<u>59</u> <u>3353011200</u>	10
3	2	15779				673	13
		51891840				21371135385600	
4	2	2076097	1249277			52183	16
		622702080	19926466560			249126378209280000	
5	3	5491769	215444107	237327953		366855859	19
		380933280	268177029120	30943503360000		674239865134024949760000	
6	3	1772742329	13538289871	408110291	121990911323	14698690211	22
		83547459072	3677856399360	1924715520000	86889357434880000	4477795504321343197593600000	

4. Choice of Additional Methods

The TDBVMs (2.1) are used alongside the following additional initial methods

$$y_{j} - y_{j-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{i,j} f_{i} + h^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \gamma_{i,j} g_{i} + h^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi_{i,j} m_{i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, v-1$$
(4.1)

and final methods

$$y_{N+j} - y_{N+j-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{i+\nu,j} f_{N+i-\nu-1} + h^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \gamma_{i+\nu,j} g_{N+i-\nu-1} + h^3 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \phi_{i+\nu,j} m_{N+i-\nu-1}$$

$$j = 0, \dots k - \nu$$
(4.2)

The methods (2.1) require v - 1 initial and k + 1 - v final additional methods for the implementation since y_0 is already provided by the problem to be solved.

For
$$k = 2$$
, the TDBVMs (2.1)
 $y_n - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{60480} (24653f_{n-1} + 39321f_n - 3501f_{b+1} + 7f_{n+2}) + \frac{h^2}{10080} (631g_{n-1} - 1197g_n + 216g_{n+1}) + \frac{h^3}{10080} (37m_{n-1} + 319m_n - 26m_{n+1})$

Can be used with the following two final methods

$$y_{N} - y_{N-1} = \frac{h}{60480} \left(-1037 f_{N-2} + 34407 f_{N-1} + 27117 f_{N} - 7 f_{N+1} \right) + \frac{h^{2}}{10080} \left(-55 g_{N-2} + 1197 g_{N-1} - 792 g_{N} \right) \\ + \frac{h^{3}}{10080} \left(-5 m_{N-2} + 193 m_{N-1} + 58 m_{N} \right)$$
and

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_{N+1} - y_N &= \frac{h}{6720} \big(7717 f_{N-2} - 36623 f_{N-1} + 34555 f_N + 1071 f_{N+1} \big) + \frac{h^2}{1120} \big(431 g_{N-2} - 1701 g_{N-1} - 1880 g_N \big) \\ &+ \frac{h^3}{10080} \big(373 m_{N-2} - 7073 m_{N-1} + 4342 m_N \big) \end{aligned}$$

For k = 3, the TDBVMs (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} y_n - y_{n-1} &= \frac{h}{2490808320} \Big(-8850277 f_{n-2} + 1216853808 f_{n-1} + 1307305008 f_n - 24514352 f_{n+1} + 14133 f_{n+2} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{h^2}{207567360} \Big(-206091 g_{n-2} + 19469508 g_{n-1} - 21730788 g_n + 653636 g_{n+1} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{h^3}{103783680} \Big(-8003 m_{n-2} + 1005318 m_{n-1} + 1429308 m_n - 31558 m_{n+1} \Big) \end{aligned}$$

Can be used with the following Initial method,

$$y_{1} - y_{0} = \frac{h}{2490808320} (926414053f_{0} + 2221537488f_{1} - 755395632f_{2} + 98328368f_{3} - 75957f_{4}) + \frac{h^{2}}{207567360} (10594699g_{0} - 8278212g_{1} + 20431332g_{2} - 2749764g_{3}) + \frac{h^{3}}{103783680} (270275m_{0} + 6086394m_{1} - 3111228m_{2} + 140614m_{3}) and two final additional methods$$

$$\begin{split} y_N - y_{N-1} &= \frac{h}{830269440} \Big(4714231 f_{N-3} - 89756944 f_{N-2} + 578470896 f_{N-1} + 336866576 f_N - 25319 f_{N+1} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{h^2}{207567360} \Big(344459 g_{N-3} - 8278212 g_{N-2} + 20431332 g_{N-1} - 13000004 g_N \Big) \\ &+ \frac{h^3}{34594560} \Big(4673 m_{N-3} - 277506 m_{N-2} + 1269228 m_{N-1} + 132290 m_N \Big) \\ y_{N+1} - y_N &= \frac{h}{2490808320} \begin{pmatrix} -3984662117 f_{N-3} + 57213914928 f_{N-2} - 85870986192 f_{N-1} + 34775050448 f_N \\ + 357491253 f_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{h^2}{207567360} \Big(-98864651 g_{N-3} + 1772260548 g_{N-2} + 808538652 g_{N-1} - 1024370364 g_N \Big) \\ &+ \frac{h^3}{103783680} \Big(-4108099 m_{N-3} + 201653766 m_{N-2} - 475975620 m_{N-1} + 84789178 m_N \Big) \end{split}$$

5. **Numerical Experiments**

To examine the performance of the TDBVMs (2.1) for k = 2 and 3 two stiff problems are considered. MATLAB is used to carry out all numerical computations.

Problem 1: Singularly Perturbed Problem [13] $y'_1 = -(2+10^4)y_1 + 10^4y_2^2, \qquad y'_2 = y_1 - y_2 - y_2^2$

 $y_1(0) = 1, \qquad y_2(0) = 1$

The exact solution is $y_1 = e^{-2x}$, $y_2 = e^{-x}$

It is obvious from the numerical results in Tables 5 and 6 that our method for k = 2 performs better than the methods in [1] and [2] for k = 3. From Tables 7 and 8, for k = 3 our method performs excellently compared with the methods given in [1] and [2] for k = 4. Details of the numerical results are given in Tables 5-8.

Problem 2: Robertson's equation [13] (nonlinear problem)

 $y'_1 = -0.04y_1 + 10^4y_2y_3$, $y'_2 = 0.04y_1 - 3 * 10^7y_2^2 - 10^4y_2y_3$, $y'_3 = 3 * 10^7y_2^2$ $y_1(0) = 1$, $y_2(0) = 0$, $y_3(0) = 0$.

This problem is solved using our methods for k = 2 and k = 3. The results are reproduced in Tables 9 and 10 and compared with the results given in [1] and [2]. It is seen from Tables 9 and 10 that our methods for k = 2 and k = 3 compare favourably with the methods in [1] and [2] for k = 3 and k = 4 respectively.

Table 5: Absolute error for problem 1 using TDBVMs (2.1) for k = 2, h = 0.01.

x	y_i	Error in TDBVMs (2.1) $(k = 2)$	Error in TDGAMs [2] ($k = 3$)	Error in TDGBDF [1] ($k = 3$)
1.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	4.625444333106188e-10	2.808114851760024e-11	1.840463059732400e-10
	<i>y</i> ₂	9.619527396864669e-13	3.850492147350337e-11	2.638990692638288e-10
2.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	1.003195790327816e-11	3.834006029324044e-12	2.729638043375005e-11
	<i>y</i> ₂	3.851086116668512e-13	1.430763840737370e-11	1.010463102080195e-10
3.0	y_1	1.680101370526987e-13	4.985126894618830e-13	3.416046959192620e-12
	<i>y</i> ₂	1.365019208776630e-13	5.158935778570850e-12	3.571543755187534e-11
4.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	5.245532740810743e-15	7.308140637790617e-14	5.009221119497975e-13
	<i>Y</i> ₂	5.227762667203706e-14	1.975204722004520e-12	1.367520333084293e-11
5.0	y_1	2.913319000104331e-16	9.503370855014348e-15	6.258246687800700e-14
	<i>y</i> ₂	1.848434599827087e-14	7.123000106412647e-13	4.833577982310544e-12
6.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	3.621658772575212e-17	1.312224288919309e-15	9.175104083338891e-15
	<i>y</i> ₂	7.079840186330344e-15	2.646940826245281e-13	1.850751323029254e-12
7.0	y_1	4.403724292775108e-18	1.706409282132464e-16	1.146249982849842e-15
	y_2	2.503205975834533e-15	9.545532766996878e-14	6.541577175778190e-13

 Table 6: Table 5 continued

x	y_i	Error in TDBVMs (2.1) ($k = 2$)	Error in TDGAMs [2] ($k = 3$)	Error in TDGBDF [1] $(k = 3)$
8.0	y_1	6.437450399132683e-19	2.356190225888307e-17	1.680476971405580e-16
	<i>y</i> ₂	9.588141912375559e-16	3.547146300644788e-14	2.504715727359719e-13
9.0	<i>y</i> ₁	8.039037501157855e-20	3.252517181950358e-18	2.099441635557973e-17
	<i>y</i> ₂	3.390300193362172e-16	1.317769135998625e-14	8.853112470549873e-14
10.0	<i>y</i> ₁	1.178897809010575e-20	4.228332224411814e-19	3.077922929287871e-18
	<i>y</i> ₂	1.298603152094513e-16	4.750831618296342e-15	3.389787907835673e-14

Table 7: Absolute error for problem 1 using TDBVMs (2.1) for k = 3, h = 0.01.

x	y_i	Error in TDBVMs (2.1) ($k = 3$)	Error in TDGAMs [2] ($k = 4$)	Error in TDGBDF [1] $(k = 4)$
1.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	6.383782391594650e-16	6.067449320745766e-11	1.772000601807378e-10
	<i>y</i> ₂	0.0000	1.069209720760966e-10	2.550769595544011e-10
2.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	2.567390744445675e-16	9.647916146549029e-12	2.512047514446891e-11
	y_2	5.551115123125783e-17	4.013037124828145e-11	9.573458692457848e-11
3.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	1.604619215278547e-17	1.380842922643621e-12	3.541552034275197e-12
	<i>y</i> ₂	4.857225732735060e-17	1.506143820773076e-11	3.592972447341580e-11
4.0	y_1	7.480994990149981e-18	1.949373279984401e-13	4.989202952686289e-13
	<i>y</i> ₂	2.775557561562891e-17	5.652738693795456e-12	1.348481395990753e-11
5.0	y_1	8.063753657860939e-19	2.746697454984043e-14	6.109777397553251e-14
	<i>y</i> ₂	1.387778780781446e-17	2.121521708309260e-12	4.718898015398931e-12
6.0	<i>y</i> ₁	2.168404344971009e-19	3.869097425258927e-15	8.606149511569336e-15
	<i>y</i> ₂	1.344410693882026e-17	7.962246313664156e-13	1.771054223415058e-12
7.0	y_1	2.339928516790005e-20	5.449947625488097e-16	1.053875957754077e-15
	<i>y</i> ₂	8.348356728138384e-18	2.988299711847997e-13	6.197570668470265e-13

Table 8: Table 7 continued

X	y_i	Error in TDBVMs (2.1)	Error in TDGAMs [2]	Error in TDGBDF [1]
		(k=3)	(k=4)	(k=4)
8.0	<i>y</i> ₁	5.307190810140226e-21	5.801905696940020e-17	1.484485458811755e-16
	<i>y</i> ₂	4.282598581317743e-18	9.750165085031792e-14	2.326036498828676e-13
9.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	1.644435057755419e-21	8.172577539248416e-18	2.091033510067494e-17
	<i>y</i> ₂	2.005774019098183e-18	3.659392396309497e-14	8.729919998701208e-14
10.0	<i>y</i> ₁	7.279189390466644e-23	1.151190567212499e-18	2.945455330861485e-18
	<i>y</i> ₂	9.147955830346444e-19	1.373427332149527e-14	3.276500978085378e-14

Table 9: Errors for Problem 2 using the modulus of the solution of the TDBVMs (2.1) for k = 2 minus the solution of Ode15s, h = 0.0001. Error $y_i = |y_{i(k=2)} - y_{iode15s}|$, i = 1,2,3.

X	y_i	Error in TDBVMs (2.1)	Error in TDGAMs [2]	Error in TDGBDF [1]
		(K = 2)	(K=3)	$(\kappa = 3)$
1.0	y_1	4.420997700149698e-7	4.427653609306859e-7	4.419958079537878e-7
	<i>y</i> ₂	7.074174519826684e-11	7.095899130055931e-11	7.072967809584971e-11
	<i>y</i> ₃	4.421707629972960e-7	4.428363210018382e-7	4.420709879965346e-7
3.0	<i>y</i> ₁	3.911970628989181e-6	2.174455346992676e-5	3.911872170969666e-6
	<i>y</i> ₂	4.932816919995706e-10	2.749392642898443e-9	4.932710674992948e-10
	<i>y</i> ₃	3.912464227998069e-6	2.174730293100224e-5	3.912369955005879e-6
5.0	<i>y</i> ₁	4.195570756926337e-6	4.195637292925269e-6	4.195654775940305e-6
	<i>y</i> ₂	8.502273995999034e-10	8.502153913019016e-10	8.502353418992010e-10
	<i>y</i> ₃	4.196420379992683e-6	4.196487151997275e-6	4.196500206998799e-6
10.0	<i>y</i> ₁	7.192487407403636e-5	7.983002028799646e-5	7.192481450302157e-5
	<i>y</i> ₂	5.640575355201477e-9	6.265406187400455e-9	5.640571071999809e-9
	<i>y</i> ₃	7.193051470599787e-5	7.983628550597977e-5	7.193045938400089e-5

Table10: Errors for Problem 2 using the modulus of the solution of the TDBVMs (2.1) for k = 3 minus the solution of Ode15s, h = 0.0001. Error $y_i = |y_{i(k=3)} - y_{iode15s}|$, i = 1,2,3.

X	y_i	Error in TDBVMs (2.1)	Error in TDGAMs [2]	Error in TDGBDF [1]
	- 1	(k=3)	(k=4)	(k=4)
1.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	4.421416069932960e-7	4.427860279543339e-7	4.419921140197403e-7
	<i>y</i> ₂	7.074886439499203e-11	7.096249069859627e-11	7.072920270030213e-11
	<i>y</i> ₃	4.422129029971189e-7	4.428569910022717e-7	4.420680979957958e-7
3.0	y_1	3.911993783023426e-6	2.174456425096949e-5	3.911869656980649e-6
	<i>y</i> ₂	4.932847973002823e-10	2.749394077697717e-9	4.932708290967896e-10
	<i>y</i> ₃	3.912487682000698e-6	2.174731371300254e-5	3.912368173000780e-6
5.0	<i>Y</i> ₁	4.195554960007009e-6	4.195630176950793e-6	4.195656818972715e-6
	<i>y</i> ₂	8.502256262991588e-10	8.502146024025195e-10	8.502354907025610e-10
	<i>y</i> ₃	4.196404280995547e-6	4.196480035995043e-6	4.196501482991999e-6
10.0	y_1	7.192488285001630e-5	7.983002409195361e-5	7.192481282003449e-5
	<i>y</i> ₂	5.640576084500233e-9	6.265406495801763e-9	5.640571001499562e-9
	<i>y</i> ₃	7.193052378498543e-05	7.983628931199083e-5	7.193045868697512e-5

6. Conclusion

In this paper, a new class of third derivative boundary value methods for the numerical solution of stiff initial value problems is presented. The inclusion of a future point in the first derivative of the solution of the TDGAMs has improved the accuracy of the methods. The numerical results displayed in Tables 5-10 show that the new TDBVMs (2.1) give a better approximation compared to the TDGAMs.

References

- [1] Nwachukwu, G.C. and Okor, T. (2017). Third Derivative Generalized Backward Differentiation Formulas for Stiff Systems, Transactions of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, **5**, 39-50.
- [2] Nwachukwu, G.C. and Mokwunyei, N.E. (2018). Generalized Adams-Type Third Derivative Methods for Stiff Ordinary Differential Equations, Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, **46**, 35-44.
- [3] Nwachukwu, G.C. and Mokwunyei, N.E. (2018). Generalized Adams-Type Second Derivative Methods for Stiff Systems of ODEs, IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, **48** (4), 455-465.
- [4] Brugnano, L. (1997). Boundary Value Methods for the Numerical Approximation of Ordinary Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., **1196**, 78-89.
- [5] Brugnano, L. and Trigiante, D. (1998). Boundary Value Methods: The Third way Between Linear Multistep and Runge-Kutta Methods, Computers Math. Applic. **36** (10-12), 269-284.
- [6] Brugnano, L. and Trigiante, D. (1998). Solving differential problems by multistep initial and boundary value methods. Gordon and Breach science publishers, Amsterdam.
- [7] Brugnano, L., Iavernaro F. and Trigiante D. (2011). A note on the efficient implementation of Hamiltonian BVMs, Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics, **236**, 375-383.
- [8] Brugnano, L., Iavernaro F. and Trigiante D. (2015). Analysis of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs): a class of energy preserving Runge-Kutta methods for the numerical solution of polynomial Hamiltonian systems, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, **20**(3), 650-667.
- [9] Ehigie, J.O., Jator, S.N., Sofoluwe, A.B. and Okunuga, S.A. (2014). Boundary value technique for initial value problems with continuous second derivative multistep method of Enright, Computational and Applied Mathematics, 33 (1), 81-93.
- [10] Jator, S.N. and Sahi, R.K. (2010). Boundary value technique for initial value problems based on Adams-type second derivative methods, International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, **41** (6), 819-826.
- [11] Nwachukwu, G.C. and Okor, T. (2018). Second Derivative Generalized Backward Differentiation Formulae for Solving Stiff Problems, IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, **48** (1), 1-15.
- [12] Nwachukwu, G.C., Ikhile, M.N.O. and Osaghae, J. (2018). On some boundary value methods for stiff IVPs in ODEs, Afrika Matematika, **29** (5-6), 731-752.
- [13] Hairer, E. and Wanner, G. (1996), Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II: Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, London New York: ISBN 978-3-540-60452-5, 246-248.