

COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES.

¹E. Ukeje and² K. Nwachukwu-Nwokefor

¹Dept of Mathematics, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike.

²Dept. of Computer Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike.

Abstract

The work redefined a general subclass of bi-univalent functions using Ruscheweyh derivative, establish connections with already existing class and obtained their coefficient estimates using Faber polynomial expansion .There is an improvement on the bounds following introduction of ω –fixed-point.

Keywords: Bi-univalent function, Faberpolynomial, Ruscheweyh derivative, subordination,

1. Introduction

Let $A(\omega) \subset A$ be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \omega + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k (z - \omega)^k \quad (1.1)$$

in the open unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ which are univalent , normalized with $f(\omega) = 0$ and $f'(\omega) = 1$ where ω is a fixed point , [1].

We denote by S the class of all analytic functions in A . For $f \in A(\omega)$, the Ruscheweyh derivative is

$$D^n f(z) = (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!(k-1)!}{(k+n-1)!} a_k (z - \omega)^k \quad (1.2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ see [1,2] .

Let p be the class of functions $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ that are analytic in E and satisfy the condition $\text{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ in E . By the

CaratheodoryLemma [2] It is well known that every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} , which is defined by $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, $z \in E$ and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$, $(|w| < r_0(f) : r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4})$.

Suppose $\omega = 0$ in (1.1) the inverse functions $g = f^{-1}$ is given by $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^2 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \\ &= w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in E if both $f \in S$ and $g \in f^{-1} \in S$. Let Σ be the class of bi-univalent functions in E given by (1.1). The class of analytic bi-univalent functions was first introduced and studied by Lewin [3] where it was proved that $|a_2| < 1.51$. Brannan and Clunie [4] improved Lewin’s result to

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2} \text{ and later Netanyahu [5] proved that } |a_2| \leq \frac{4}{3} .$$

Brannan and Taha [6] also investigated certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor –Maclaurin coefficient $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. For a brief history and interesting examples of the functions in the class

Σ see [6].

Correspondence Author: Ukeje E., Email: Ukeje.emelike@mouao.edu.ng, Tel: +2348035636237

Other works include Frasin and Aouf [7] , Ali etal [8] Hamidietal [9] and others . Recently, SerapBulut [10] ,SibelYalcin [11] provided interesting geometric properties of the class Σ .

For $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ analytic in E , we say that f subordinate to F , written $f \prec F$,

if there exists a Schwarz function $u(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ with $|u(z)| < 1$ in E , such that $f(z) = F(u(z))$, see [12].

A function $D^n f \in \Sigma$ is said to be $\Lambda_{\Sigma}(n, \mu, \lambda, \varphi)$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$, if the following subordination hold

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{D^n f(z)}{z-\omega}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda(D^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D^n f(z)}{z-\omega}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec \varphi(z) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{D^n g(w)}{w-\omega}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda(D^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D^n g(w)}{w-\omega}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec \varphi(w) \tag{1.5}$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$

Here, this work will use Faber polynomial expansions to establish bounds for the general coefficients $|a_n|$ of a redefined bi-univalent functions with ω fixed point $\Lambda_{\Sigma}(n, \mu, \lambda, \varphi)$.

2. Main Results

Using the Faber polynomial expansion of functions $f \in A$ of (1.1), the coefficients of its inverse map $g = f^{-1}$ may be expressed as [13] .

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, \dots) w^n$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n-1}^{-n} &= \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+1)!(n-1)!} a_2^{n-1} + \frac{(-n)!}{[2(-n+1)!(n-3)!]} a_2^{n-3} a_3 + \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+3)!(n-4)!} a_2^{n-4} a_4 \\ &+ \frac{(n)!}{[2(-n+2)!(n-5)!]} a_2^{n-5} [a_5 + (-n+2)a_3^2] \\ &+ \frac{(-n!)}{(-2n+5)!(n-6)!} a_2^{n-6} [a_6 + (-2n+5)a_3 a_4] \\ &+ \sum_{j \geq 7} a_2^{n-j} V_j \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Such that V_j with $7 \leq j \leq n$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables

a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n [14]. In particular , the first three terms of K_{n-1}^{-n} are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} K_1^{-2} &= -a_2 \\ \frac{1}{3} K_2^{-3} &= 2a_2^2 - a_3 \\ \frac{1}{4} K_3^{-4} &= -(5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

In general , for any $P \in \square$, an expansion of K_n^P is as [13]

$$K_n^P = p a_n + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} E_n^2 + \frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} E_n^3 + \dots + \frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} E_n^n \tag{1.8}$$

where $E_n^P = E_n^P(a_2, a_3, \dots)$ and by [14]

$$E_n^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\mu_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!(a_1)^{\mu_1} \dots (a_n)^{\mu_n}}{\mu_1! \dots \mu_n!} \tag{1.9}$$

while $a_1 = 1$, and the sum is taken over all nonnegative integers μ_1, \dots, μ_n satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n &= m \\ \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \dots + n\mu_n &= n \end{aligned} \tag{2.0}$$

Evidently, $E_n^n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = a_1^n$ [15].

Theorem 2.1

For $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$, let $D^n f \in \Lambda_{\Sigma}(n, \mu, \lambda, \varphi)$. If $a_k = 0$; $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2}{\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}[\mu+(n-1)\lambda]} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\psi_{nk} = \frac{n!(k-1)!}{(n+k-1)!}$, $|z|=r < 1$ and $|\omega|=d$

Proof: Let functions f given by (1.1), we have

$$(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D^n f(z)}{z-\omega} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda (D^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D^n f(z)}{z-\omega} \right)^{\mu-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)(z-\omega)^{n-1} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D^n g(w)}{w-\omega} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda (D^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D^n g(w)}{w-\omega} \right)^{\mu-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}(b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n)(w-\omega)^{n-1} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)a_2 \\ F_2 &= \psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ \frac{\mu-1}{2} a_2^2 + a_3 \right\} \\ F_3 &= \psi_{4,k}^3(r+d)^3(\mu+3\lambda) \left\{ \frac{\psi(\mu-1)(\mu-2)}{3!} a_2^3 + (\mu-1)a_4 a_2 + a_4 \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In general, see [11, 12]. Consequently, the inequality (1.4) and (1.5) imply the existence of two positive real part functions

$$u(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n (z-\omega)^n \text{ and } v(w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n (w-\omega)^n$$

where $\text{Re} u(z) > 0$ and $\text{Re} v(w) > 0$ in p so that

$$(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D^n f(z)}{z-\omega} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda (D^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D^n f(z)}{z-\omega} \right)^{\mu-1} = \varphi(u(z)) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D^n g(w)}{w-\omega} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda (D^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D^n g(w)}{w-\omega} \right)^{\mu-1} = \varphi(v(w)) \tag{2.6}$$

where $\varphi(u(z)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n,k}^k \varphi_k E_n^k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)(z-\omega)^n$ (2.7)

and $\varphi(v(w)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n,k}^k \varphi_k E_n^k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)(w-\omega)^n$ (2.8)

Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (2.5) and (2.7) yields

$$\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)a_n = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k E_{n-1}^k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1})(z-\omega)^n \tag{2.9}$$

similarly, from (2.6) and (2.8) will give

$$\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)b_n = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k E_{n-1}^k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1})(w-\omega)^n \tag{2.10}$$

Note that for $a_k = 0 : 2 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have $b_n = -a_n$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)a_n &= \varphi_1 c_{n-1} \\ -\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)b_n &= \varphi_1 t_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the absolute values of either of the above two equations and using the facts that

$$|\varphi_1| \leq 2, |c_{n-1}| \leq 1 \text{ and } |t_{n-1}| \leq 1 \text{ will give}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &\leq \frac{|c_{n-1}|}{\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)} = \frac{|\varphi_1 t_{n-1}|}{\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\psi_{n,k}^{n-1}(r+d)^{n-1}(\mu+(n-1)\lambda)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Theorem 2.2 . Let $D^n f \in \Lambda_\Sigma(n, \mu, \lambda, \varphi)$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } |a_2| &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{2}{\psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{8}{\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda)(\mu+1)}} \right\} \\ \text{ii. } |a_3| &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{\frac{4}{\psi_{2,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+\lambda)^2} + \frac{2}{\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda)}}{8}, \frac{2}{\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda)} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Proof.

Replacing n by 2 and 3 in (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, we find that

$$\psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)a_2 = \varphi_1 c_1 \tag{2.13}$$

$$\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ \frac{\mu-1}{2} a_2^2 + a_3 \right\} = \varphi_1 c_2 + \varphi_2 c_1^2 \tag{2.14}$$

while the inverse of (2.13) and (2.14) respectively are :

$$-\psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)a_2 = \varphi_1 d_1 \tag{2.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ \frac{\mu-1}{2} a_2^2 + 2a_2^2 - a_3 \right\} &= \varphi_1 d_2 + \varphi_2 d_1^2 \\ \psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ \frac{\mu+3}{2} a_2^2 - a_3 \right\} &= \varphi_1 d_2 + \varphi_2 d_1^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

From (2.13) or (2.15), one gets

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|c_1|}{\psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)} = \frac{|d_1|}{\psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)} \leq \frac{2}{\psi_{2,k}(r+d)(\mu+\lambda)} \tag{2.17}$$

Adding 2.11 to 2.16 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ a_2^2 \left(\frac{\mu-1}{2} + \frac{\mu+3}{2} \right) \right\} &= \varphi_1(c_2 + d_2) + \varphi_2(c_1^2 + d_1^2) \\ \text{ie } \psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ a_2^2(\mu+1) \right\} &= \varphi_1(c_2 + d_2) + \varphi_2(c_1^2 + d_1^2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

or equivalently

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{8}{\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda)(\mu+1)}} \tag{2.19}$$

Subtracting (2.16) from (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu-1}{2} - \frac{\mu+3}{2} \right) a_2^2 + 2a_3 \right\} &= \varphi_1(c_2 - d_2) + \varphi_2(c_1^2 + d_1^2) \\ \text{or } 2\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda) \left\{ -a_2^2 + a_3 \right\} &= \varphi_1(c_2 - d_2) + \varphi_2(c_1^2 + d_1^2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\text{i.e. } |a_3| = |a_2|^2 + \frac{|\varphi_1(c_2 - d_2)|}{2\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda)} \leq |a_2|^2 + \frac{2}{\psi_{3,k}^2(r+d)^2(\mu+2\lambda)} \tag{2.21}$$

Using the value of a_2^2 from (2.17) and into (2.20) will yield respectively

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4}{\psi_{2,k}^2 (r+d)^2 (\mu+\lambda)^2} + \frac{2}{\psi_{3,k}^2 (r+d)^2 (\mu+2\lambda)}$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{8}{\psi_{3,k}^2 (r+d)^2 (\mu+2\lambda)(\mu+1)} + \frac{2}{\psi_{3,k}^2 (r+d)^2 (\mu+2\lambda)}$$

The corollary below establishes a connection between this work and [15]

Corollary 2.3 Let $D^n f \in \Lambda_\Sigma(n, \mu, \lambda, \varphi)$, $n \geq 2$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, $k = 1$ then

$$|a_2| = \frac{2}{(r+d)(\mu+1)}$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4}{(r+d)^2 (\mu+1)^2} + \frac{2}{(r+d)^2 (\mu+2)}$$

Corollary 2.4 Let $D^n f \in \Lambda_\Sigma(n, \mu, \lambda, \varphi)$, $n \geq 2$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu = 1$, $k = 1$ then

$$|a_2| = \frac{2}{(r+d)(\lambda+1)}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4}{(r+d)^2 (\lambda+1)^2} + \frac{2}{(r+d)^2 (1+2\lambda)}$$

CONCLUSION

Linear property of Ruscheweyh derivative was established in this work following some of the results shown

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

I write to express my sincere gratitude to my Supervisor, Prof. A.T. Oladipo of Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Ogbomosho who provided some of the invaluable materials used in this work. I thank him immensely for his continuous support.

References

- [1] Emelike Ukeje, Generalization of Coefficient Inequalities and Convolution properties of a class of Analytic Functions with Respect to a differential operator. Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, volume 34, (March, 2016), pp5-12 J. of NAMP.
- [2] P.L. Duren, univalent functions, vol. 259 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [3] M. Lewin 'On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions' Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 18, 63-68, 1967.
- [4] D. A. Brannan and J. S. Clunie (Eds) Aspects of contemporary complex analysis (Proceedings of the NATO advanced study institute held at the University Durham : July 1, 20, 1979) Academic Press, New York and London, 1980.
- [5] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$. Arch Rational Mech. Anal 32 (1969), 100-112
- [6] D. A. Brannan and T.S. Taha, on some classes of bi-univalent functions in Mathematical Analysis and its applications (S. M. Mazhar, A. Hamoui and N.S. Faour, Editors) (Kuwait: February 18-21, 1985), KFAS Proceedings series, Vol. 3, Pergamon Press (Elsevier Science Limited), Oxford, 1988, pp. 53-60: see also Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 31(2) (1986), 70-77.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochihayat Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math Lett. 23(2010) 1188-1192.
- [8] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math Lett. 24 (2011)
- [9] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, 'coefficient estimate for bi-univalent Ma-Mindastarlike and convex functions' Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 25 no. 3, pp 344-351, 2012.
- [10] S. G. Hamidi, S. A. Halim and J. M. Jahangiri, 'Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for meromorphic bi-starlike functions' International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2013, Article ID 498159, 4 pages, 2013.

- [11] SerapBulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions defined by Salagean differential operator MATEMATNYKµ BECHNK, 67, 3(2015) 185-193 September 2015.
- [12] Sahsene Altinkaya and Sibel Yalcin, Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 61(2016), No 1, 37-44.
- [13] H. Airault and A. Bouali “Differential calculus on the Faber polynomials “Bulletin des Sciences Mathematiques, Vol.130 , no 3 , pp. 179-222 , 2006.
- [14] H. Airault and J. Ren, “An algebra of differential operators and generating functions on the set of univalent” Bulletin des Sciences Mathematiques , vol. 126 , no. 5 , pp. 345-367 , 2002.
- [15] P. G. Todorov, On the Faber polynomials of the univalent functions of class Σ , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162 (1) (1991), 268-278 .
- [16] H. Airault, “Remarks on Faber polynomials” international Mathematical Forum., Journal for Theory and Applications, Vol.3, No.9-12,pp.449-456,2008.