

NOTE ON 'AN EXTENSION OF THE PROPERTIES OF INVERSE α -CUTS TO FUZZY MULTISSETS'

I. F. Mukaila and A. Abubakar

Department of Mathematics, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria-Nigeria.

Abstract

In this paper, we showed that an alleged proposition in the literature does not hold in general by providing an example.

1.0 Introduction

The notion of α -cut (or α -level set) of a fuzzy set [1], and inverse α -cut of a fuzzy set and its properties as an extension of alpha-cut [2], were introduced to establish a bridge between fuzzy set theory and classical set theory. Proposition 3.2, items (iii) and (iv), parts (a) and (b) in [3], states that;

$${}^{\alpha}(A \cap B)^{-1} = {}^{\alpha}A^{-1} \cup {}^{\alpha}B^{-1}, \quad {}^{\alpha}(A \cup B)^{-1} = {}^{\alpha}A^{-1} \cap {}^{\alpha}B^{-1}$$

and

$${}^{\alpha^{-}}(A \cap B)^{-1} = {}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} \cup {}^{\alpha^{-}}B^{-1}, \quad {}^{\alpha^{-}}(A \cup B)^{-1} = {}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} \cap {}^{\alpha^{-}}B^{-1}.$$

respectively. However, these do not hold in general and we provide an example to show that the propositions indeed fails.

2.0 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: (Inverse α -Cut [2])

Let $A \in F(X)$, and $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Then, the non-fuzzy set;

$${}^{\alpha}A^{-1} = \{x \in X | \mu_A(x) < \alpha\}.$$

is called an *inverse α -cut* (or *inverse α -level set*) of A . If the strict inequality is replaced by the weak inequality \leq , then it is called a weak *inverse α -cut* of A , denoted by ${}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1}$. That is;

$${}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} = \{x \in X | \mu_A(x) \leq \alpha\}.$$

3.0 Example

The example below shows that the alleged proposition 3.2, items (iii) and (iv), parts (a) and (b) in [3], do not hold in general.

Let $X = \{x, y, z, w, t\}$, $A = \{(x, 0.4), (y, 0.8), (z, 0.5), (w, 0.9)\}$,

$X = \{x, y, z, w, t\}$, $A = \{(x, 0.4), (y, 0.8), (z, 0.5), (w, 0.9)\}$,

and $B = \{(x, 0.7), (y, 1), (w, 0.5), (t, 0.3)\}$.

Then, $(A \cup B) = \{(x, 0.7), (y, 1), (z, 0.5), (w, 0.9), (t, 0.3)\}$

and $(A \cap B) = \{(x, 0.4), (y, 0.8), (w, 0.5)\}$.

Now, for $\alpha = 0.7$, we have the following;

$${}^{\alpha}A^{-1} = \{x, z\}, \quad {}^{\alpha}B^{-1} = \{w, t\}, \quad {}^{\alpha}(A \cup B)^{-1} = \{z, t\},$$

$${}^{\alpha}(A \cap B)^{-1} = \{x, w\}, \quad {}^{\alpha}A^{-1} \cap {}^{\alpha}B^{-1} = \emptyset, \text{ and}$$

$${}^{\alpha}A^{-1} \cup {}^{\alpha}B^{-1} = \{x, z, w, t\}.$$

Therefore, ${}^{\alpha}(A \cap B)^{-1} \neq {}^{\alpha}A^{-1} \cup {}^{\alpha}B^{-1}$,

and ${}^{\alpha}(A \cup B)^{-1} \neq {}^{\alpha}A^{-1} \cap {}^{\alpha}B^{-1}$.

Also, for the weak *inverse α -cut*, we have;

$${}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} = \{x, z\}, \quad {}^{\alpha^{-}}B^{-1} = \{x, w, t\}, \quad {}^{\alpha^{-}}(A \cup B)^{-1} = \{x, z, t\}, \quad {}^{\alpha^{-}}(A \cap B)^{-1} = \{x, w\},$$

$${}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} \cap {}^{\alpha^{-}}B^{-1} = \{x\}, \text{ and } {}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} \cup {}^{\alpha^{-}}B^{-1} = \{x, z, w, t\}.$$

Then, it follows that;

$${}^{\alpha^{-}}(A \cap B)^{-1} \neq {}^{\alpha^{-}}A^{-1} \cup {}^{\alpha^{-}}B^{-1}.$$

Correspondence Author: Mukaila I.F., Email: ibro4mk@gmail.com, Tel: +2348032902211

and $\alpha^-(A \cup B)^{-1} \neq \alpha^-A^{-1} \cap \alpha^-B^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A \in F(X)$, if $\alpha = 0$, then $\alpha A^{-1} = \emptyset$ and $\alpha^-A^{-1} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A \in F(X)$, and let $S(A)$ be the support for A , $\forall \alpha \in [0,1]$, then $\alpha A^{-1} \subseteq S(A)$ and $\alpha^-A^{-1} \subseteq S(A)$.

Proposition 1. Let $A, B \in F(X)$ such that $S(A) \neq S(B)$, then

(i). $\alpha A^{-1} \cap \alpha B^{-1} \subseteq \alpha(A \cup B)^{-1}$ \and

(ii). $\alpha(A \cap B)^{-1} \subseteq \alpha A^{-1} \cup \alpha B^{-1}$.

Proof

(i). Suppose $S(A) \neq S(B)$, then $\exists x \in X$ such that $x \in S(A)$ and $x \notin S(B)$.

It has already been shown in [3] that $\alpha A^{-1} \cap \alpha B^{-1} \subseteq \alpha(A \cup B)^{-1}$, we only need to show that $\alpha(A \cup B)^{-1} \not\subseteq \alpha A^{-1} \cap \alpha B^{-1}$.

Suppose on the contrary that $\alpha(A \cup B)^{-1} \subseteq \alpha A^{-1} \cap \alpha B^{-1}$,

now let $x \in \alpha(A \cup B)^{-1}$, then $\mu_{(A \cup B)}(x) < \alpha$,

$\Rightarrow \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} < \alpha$;

$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) < \alpha$ and $\mu_B(x) < \alpha$,

$\Rightarrow x \in \alpha A^{-1}$ and $x \in \alpha B^{-1}$.

But by **Lemma 3.2.**, $\alpha B^{-1} \subseteq S(B)$, hence its a contradiction since $x \notin S(B)$.

Therefore, $\alpha(A \cup B)^{-1} \not\subseteq \alpha A^{-1} \cap \alpha B^{-1}$.

(ii). This is similar to (i).

Remark 1. In the case of strong inverse α -Cut, the result is also similar.

Proposition 2. Let $A, B \in F(X)$, if $S(A) = S(B)$, then

i. $\alpha A^{-1} \cap \alpha B^{-1} = \alpha(A \cup B)^{-1}$

ii. $\alpha(A \cap B)^{-1} = \alpha A^{-1} \cup \alpha B^{-1}$

iii. $\alpha^-(A \cap B)^{-1} = \alpha^-A^{-1} \cup \alpha^-B^{-1}$

iv. $\alpha^-(A \cup B)^{-1} = \alpha^-A^{-1} \cap \alpha^-B^{-1}$.

Proof. See [3].

4.0 Conclusion

In this paper, we showed that parts (a) and (b) of items (iii) and (iv) of proposition 3.2 in [3] which states that the inverse α -cut of the union of two fuzzy sets is equivalent to the intersection of their inverse α -cuts and the inverse α -cut of the intersection of two fuzzy sets equivalent to the union of their inverse α -cuts respectively, does not hold in general and we provided an example to show this. We have also provided a modification to the said proposition by including a condition for it to hold.

5.0 References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh,, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control 8,(1965) 338-353.
- [2] Z. Sun and J. Han, *Inverse alpha-Cuts and Interval [a, b)-cuts*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Innovative Computing, Information and Control Beijing, (2006), IEEE Press. 441-444.
- [3] D. Singh, A. J Alkali, and A. I. Isah, *An extension of the properties of inverse alpha-cuts to fuzzy multisets*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 9,(2015), 917-923.