# FIXED POINT THEOREMS OF ZAMFIRESCU'S TYPE IN COMPLEX VALUED Gb-**METRIC SPACES**

## O. K. Adewale, J. O. Olaleru, and H. Akewe

### University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos

### Abstract

In this paper, the proof of the existence of fixed point for contraction type and Zamfirescu's type operator are established and proved. The results generalized several known results in literature among others.

Key words and phrases: complex value G<sub>b</sub>-metric spaces and fixed point.

### 1. **Introduction and Preliminaries**

The importance of fixed point theorems cannot be overemphasized. The study of metric fixed point theory has been researched broadly in the past decades since fixed point theory plays a vital role in mathematics and applied sciences such as optimization, mathematical models and economic theories. In light of this, many authors had been trying to generalized the usual metric space to a more general one. Gahler introduced 2-metric [1], Dhage in 1992 recommended the notion of a D-metric space in a bid to attain analogous results to those for metric spaces [2]. In 2006, Mustafa and Sims proved that these attempts were invalid [3]. They later introduced a new structure of generalised metric spaces called the G-metric spaces, the generalisation of the usual metric space (X; d) [4]. Bakhtin introduced b-metric space as a generalization of the usual metric space and proved analogue of Banach contraction principle in a b - metric space [5]. Akbar, Brian and Khan introduced the notion of complex valued metric space [6]. Sedghi, Shobe and Aliouche gave the notion of S-metric space and proved some fixed point theorems for a self-mapping on a complete S-metric space [7]. Aghajani, Abbas and Roshan presented a new type of metric which is called  $G_b$  - metric and studied some properties of this metric [8]. Recently Sedghi et al. defined  $S_b$ -metric spaces using the concept of S - metric spaces [9]. Adewale and Akinremi proved the analogous of the Zamfirescu's type fixed point theorem in generalized cone metric spaces [10]. In this paper, analogous of the Zamfirescu's type fixed point theorem in a complex valued G<sub>b</sub>-metric space are proved. Some examples are included which shows that these generalizations are genuine.

Mustafa and Sims extended the notion of usual metric space from distance between two points to perimeter of a triangle as shown below:

**Definition 1.1** [4]. Let X be a non-empty set and  $G: X \times X \times X \to [0; 1)$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

(i) G(x; y; z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z

(ii) G(x; x; y) > 0, for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ 

(iii)  $G(x; x; y) \leq G(x; y; z), x, y, z \in X$ , with  $z \neq y$ 

(iv) G(x; y; z) = G(x; z; y) = G(y; x; z) = ... (symmetry).

(v)  $G(x; y; z) \le G(x; a; a) + G(a; y; z)$  a, x, y,  $z \in X$  (rectangle inequality)

The function G is called a G-metric on X and (X, G), a G-metric space.

**Example 1.2** [10]. Let X = [0; 1),  $T(x) = \frac{x}{4}$  and G (x, y, z) = max {/x - y/,/y - z/,/z - x/} Then (X; G) is a G-metric space but not G-complete, since the sequence  $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  is G-Cauchy in (X, G) and not G-convergent in (X; G), that is  $\lim_{n \to \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{n} = 1 \notin [0; 1).$ If X = [0; 1], then it is G-complete. **Definition 1.3** [11]. In a G-metric space X, G is said to be symmetric if G(x, y, y) = G(x, x, y) for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Bakhtin presented b - metric space as a generalization of the usual metric space by introducing Constant  $s \ge 1$  in the triangle inequality as seen in the definition below:

**Definition 1.4** [5]. Let X be a non-empty set and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a function satisfying the following properties: (i) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y.

Correspondence Author: Adewale O.K., Email: Adewalekayode2@yahoo.com, Tel: +2348033833498

(ii)  $d(x, y) \ge 0$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

(iii) d(x, y) = d(y, x) (symmetry).

(iv)  $d(x, y) \le s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$  for all x, y, z  $\in$  X and  $s \ge 1$  (triangle inequality)

The function d is called a b-metric on X and (X, d), a b-metric space. Examples had been given to show that a b-metric is not necessarily a metric.

Aghajani et al. extended this concept to G<sub>b</sub>-metric spaces and defined the following:

**Definition 1.5** [8]. Let X be a non-empty set and  $G: X \times X \times X \to [0; 1)$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

(i) G(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z

(ii) G(x, x, y) > 0, for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ 

(iii)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $z \neq y$ 

(iv)  $G(x, y, z) = G(y, z, x) = G(x, z, y) = \dots$  (symmetry).

(v) There exists a real number  $s \ge 1$  such that  $G(x, y, z) \le s[G(x, a, a)+G(a, y, z)]$  for all  $a, x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G_b)$  is a  $G_b$ -metric space.

In 2015, Ozgur Ege introduced the following definition as a generalization of both G-metric space and b-metric space. Let C be the set of complex numbers and  $z_1$ ,  $z_2 \in C$ . Like other authors working in this area, we define a partial order  $\leq$  on C as follows:

 $z_1 \leq z_2$  if and only if  $\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{R}(z_2)$  and  $\text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)$ . It follows that  $z_1 \leq z_2$  if one of the following properties is satisfied: (i)  $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{R}(z_2)$ ,  $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$ 

(ii)  $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{R}(z_2)$ ,  $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$ 

(iii)  $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{R}(z_2)$ ,  $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$ 

(iv)  $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{R}(z_2)$ ,  $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$ 

Notably, we will write  $z_1 \leq z_2$  if  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and one of (i), (ii), and (iii) is satisfied and we will write  $z_1 < z_2$  if only (iii) is satisfied. Note that

 $0 \leq z_1 \leq z_2 \Longrightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$ 

 $z_1 \leq \overline{z_2}, z_2 \prec z_3 \Longrightarrow z_1 \prec z_3$ 

**Definition 1.6** [12]. Let X be a non-empty set, C, a set of complex numbers and  $G_b : X \times X \times X \to C$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

(i)  $G_b(x, y, z) = 0$  if and only if x = y = z

(ii)  $G_b(x, x, y) > 0$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ 

(iii)  $G_b(x, x, y) \leq G_b(x, y, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $z \neq y$ 

(iv)  $G_b(x, y, z) = G_b(y, z, x) = G_b(x, z, y) = \dots$  (symmetry).

(v) There exists a real number  $s \ge 1$  such that  $G_b(x, y, z) \le s[G_b(x, a, a) + G_b(a, y, z)]$  for all  $a, x, y, z \in X$ .

Then the function  $G_b$  is called a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric and  $(X, G_b)$  is the complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space. A complex valued  $G_b$  - metric space is complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is  $G_b$  - convergent in it.

### 2. Main Results

The following lemmas will be needed in our work.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(X, G_b)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence in X.  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $|G_h(x_n, x, x)| \to 0$  j as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(X; G_b)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$ , a sequence in X.  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $|G_h(x_n, x_m, x_l)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m, l \rightarrow \infty.$ 

**Example 2.3.** Let X = R. If x, y,  $z \in X$  with  $k \in N$ , then  $G_b : X \times X \times X \to C$  defined by: (0)if x = y = z;

$$G_{b}(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} k + \sqrt{-k^{2}} & \text{if } x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \text{ and } x = y, & y = z \text{ or } x = z; \\ k + 2\sqrt{-k^{2}} & \text{if } x; y; z \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \text{ and } x \neq y \neq z. \end{cases}$$

is a complex valued Gb - metric on X but not Gb - metric on X.

**Example 2.4.** For a set of natural numbers N, let  $X = \{\frac{1}{n}, n \in N\}$  and for all  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in X$  with  $G_b(z_1, z_2, z_3) = G_b(z_1, z_3, z_2) = G_b(z_2, z_1, z_3) = \cdots$ then  $G_b: X^3 \to C$  defined by:  $G_b(z_1, z_2, z_3) = e^{z_3 i} |z_1 - z_2|$ where  $z_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ ,  $z_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ ,  $z_3 = (x_3, y_3)$  is a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric on X.

We now prove the following theorems and proposition which are analogues of some results in a metric space, G-metric space and  $G_h$ -metric space.(see [12,13,14,15,16]).

**Theorem 2.5**. Let X be a complete complex valued  $G_{h}$  – metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exists the real number k satisfying  $0 \le k < 1$  with

 $\Phi(a) = \begin{cases} 0 \ if \ a = 0 \\ a \ if \ a \neq 0 \end{cases}$ and  $s < \frac{1}{k^n + k}$  such that for each pair x, y,  $z \in X$ ;  $a \in N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $G_{b}(Tx,Ty,Tz) \leq kG_{b}(x,y,z) + \Phi(G_{b}(Tx,y,y))$ where  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ . Then T has a unique fixed point. **Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point and define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_n = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in N$ , then we have  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq kG_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) + \Phi(G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n))$  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq kG_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) + \Phi(0)$ From (1), we deduce that  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq kG_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n)$  $\leq k^2 G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{n-1})$  $\leq k^3 G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-3}, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \mathbf{x}_{n-2})$  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) \leq sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + s^2G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}) + s^3G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{n+3}, \mathbf{x}_{n+3})$  $+\cdots+s^nG_b(\mathbf{x}_{m-1},\mathbf{x}_m,\mathbf{x}_m)\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(3)$ From (2) and (3), we have  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) \leq sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + s^2G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}) + \dots + s^nG_b(\mathbf{x}_{m-1}, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m)$  $\leq sk^{n}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1}) + s^{2}k^{n+1}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1}) + \dots + s^{n}k^{m-1}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1})$  $\leq [sk^{n} + s^{2}k^{n+1} + \dots + s^{n}k^{m-1}]G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1})$  $\leq sk^{n}[1 + sk + \dots + s^{n-1}k^{m-n-1}]G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1})$  $\leq sk^{n}[1-sk]^{-1}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1})$ Taking the limit of  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m)$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{m \to \infty} |G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m)| = \lim_{m \to \infty} |sk^n [1 - sk]^{-1} G_b(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1)| = 0$ For  $n, m, l \in N$  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l) \leq sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) + sG_b(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}_l)$ Taking the limit of  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l)$  as  $n, m, l \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{k \to 0} |G_b(x_n, x_m, x_l)| = \lim_{k \to 0} |sG_b(x_n, x_m, x_m) + sG_b(x_m, x_l, x_l)| = 0$  $n \to \infty$  So,  $x_n$  is a G<sub>b</sub>-Cauchy sequence. By completeness of (X;  $G_b$ ), there exist  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n$  is  $G_b$ -convergent to u. Suppose  $Tu \neq u$ .  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, Tu, Tu) \leq kG_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, u, u) + \Phi(G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, u, u))$ Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using the fact that function is G<sub>b</sub>-continuous in its variables, we get:  $G_h(\mathbf{u}, Tu, Tu) \leq kG_h(\mathbf{u}, u, u) + \Phi(G_h(\mathbf{u}, u, u)) \leq 0$ A contradiction. So, Tu = u. To show the uniqueness, suppose  $v \neq u$  is such that Tv = v, then  $G_h(\operatorname{Tu}, Tv, Tv) \leq kG_h(u, v, v) + \Phi(G_h(\operatorname{Tu}, v, v))$ Since Tu = u and Tv = v, we have  $G_h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \leq kG_h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + \Phi(G_h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}))$ which implies that v = u. If  $\Phi(G_b(\text{Tx}, y, y)) = \Phi(0)$  in Theorem 2.5, we have Theorem 3.7 in [12] and setting  $d(x, y) = G_b(\text{Tx}, y, y)$ , the theorem reduces to Banach contraction principle [13]. We can also obtain generalized Banach contraction principle in G-metric spaces if s = 1.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let X be a complete complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exists the real number k satisfying  $0 \le k < 1$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in$  X,  $G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le kG_b(x, y, z)$ .

Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let X be a complete complex valued  $G_b$  - metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exists the real numbers a, b, c satisfying  $0 \le a < \frac{3}{2s+3}, b \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2s+3}\right\}$ , and  $c \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2s+3}\right\}$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in$  X at least one of the following is true. (GBZ<sub>1</sub>) $G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le aG_b(x, y, z)$ ;

 $(\text{GBZ}_2)G_b(Tx,Ty,Tz) \leq b[G_b(x,Tx,Tx) + G_b(y,Ty,Ty)];$  $(\text{GBZ}_3)G_b(Tx,Ty,Tz) \leq c[G_b(x,Ty,Ty) + G_b(y,Tx,Tx)].$ Then T has a unique fixed point. **Proof.** Adding (GBZ<sub>1</sub>), (GBZ<sub>2</sub>) and (GBZ<sub>3</sub>), we have  $G_b(Tx,Ty,Ty) \leq qG_b(x,y,y) + qG_b(x,Tx,Tx) + qG_b(y,Ty,Ty) + qG_b(x,Ty,Ty)$  $+qG_b(y,Tx,Tx)$ where  $q = max\left\{\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3}, \frac{c}{3}\right\}$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point and define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_n = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in N$ , then we have  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq q[2G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) + G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1})]$  $\leq q[2G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) + G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + sG_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n)$  $+sG_b(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]$  $\leq \frac{2q+sq}{1-q-sq}G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1},\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{x}_n)$ Let  $p = \frac{2q+sq}{1-q-sq}, p \in [0,1),$ We deduce that  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq pG_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n)$  $\leq p^2 G_b(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n-2}},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n-1}},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n-1}})$  $\leq p^3 G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n-3}, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \mathbf{x}_{n-2})$ By repeated use of rectangle inequality with m > n, we have  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) \leq sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + s^2G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}) + s^3G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{n+3}, \mathbf{x}_{n+3})$ From (4), we have  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) \leq SG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + S^2G_b(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{n+2}) + \dots + S^nG_b(\mathbf{x}_{m-1}, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m)$  $\leq sp^{n}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1}) + s^{2}p^{n+1}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1}) + \dots + s^{n}p^{m-1}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1})$  $\leq [sp^{n} + s^{2}p^{n+1} + \dots + s^{n}p^{m-1}]G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1})$  $\leq skp^{n}[1 + sp + \dots + s^{n-1}p^{m-n-1}]G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1})$  $\leq sp^{n}[1-sp]^{-1}G_{b}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{1})$ Taking the limit of  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m)$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |sp^n[1 - sp]^{-1}G_b(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1)| = 0$ For  $n, m, l \in N$  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l) \leq sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) + sG_b(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}_l)$ Taking the limit of  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l)$  as  $n, m, l \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) + sG_b(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}_l)| = 0$ So,  $x_n$  is a  $G_b$ -Cauchy Sequence. By completeness of (X;  $G_b$ ), there exist  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n$  is  $G_b$ -convergent to u. Suppose  $Tu \neq u$ ,  $G_b(\mathbf{x_n},Tu,Tu) \leq qG_b(\mathbf{x_{n-1}},u,u) + qG_b(\mathbf{x_{n-1}},\mathbf{x_n},\mathbf{x_n}) + qG_b(u,Tu,Tu) + qG_b(\mathbf{x_n},Tu,Tu)$  $+qG_{h}(u, \mathbf{x}_{n}, \mathbf{x}_{n})$ Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using the fact that function is G<sub>b</sub>-continuous in its variables, we get  $G_b(\mathbf{u}, Tu, Tu) \leq qG_b(\mathbf{u}, u, u) + qG_b(\mathbf{u}, u, u) + qG_b(u, Tu, Tu) + qG_b(\mathbf{u}, Tu, Tu)$  $+qG_b(u, u, u)$ ≤ 0 A contradiction. So, Tu = u. To show the uniqueness, suppose  $v \neq u$  is such that Tv = v, then  $G_b(\operatorname{Tu}, Tv, Tv) \leq qG_b(u, v, v) + qG_b(u, \operatorname{Tu}, \operatorname{Tu}) + qG_b(v, Tv, Tv) + qG_b(\operatorname{Tu}, Tv, Tv)$  $+qG_b(v, Tu, Tu)$ Since Tu = u and Tv = v, we have  $G_h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \leq 0$ which implies that u = v.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let X be a complete complex-valued  $G_b$  - metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exist the real numbers *a* satisfying  $0 \le a < \frac{3}{2s+3}$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in$  X,

 $G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq aG_b(x, y, z);$ Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let X be a complete complex-valued  $G_b$  - metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exist the real numbers b satisfying  $b \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2s+3}\right\}$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in$  X,  $G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le b[G_b(x, Tx, Tx) + G_b(y, Ty, Ty)]$ ; Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let X be a complete complex-valued  $G_b$  – metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exist the real numbers c satisfying  $c \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2s+3}\right\}$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in$  X,  $G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le c[G_b(x, Ty, Ty) + G_b(y, Tx, Tx)]$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

In view of Corollary 2.6 and Proposition 2.7, we have the following:

**Theorem 2.11.** Let X be a complete complex valued  $G_b$  - metric space and  $T : X \to X$  a map for which there exist the real numbers a, b, c satisfying  $0 \le a < 1, b \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2s+3}\right\}$ , and  $c \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2s+3}\right\}$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in X$  at least one of the following is true.

 $\begin{array}{l} (\text{GBZ}_1) \widetilde{G_b}(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leqslant a G_b(x, y, z); \\ (\text{GBZ}_2) G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leqslant b [G_b(x, Tx, Tx) + G_b(y, Ty, Ty)]; \\ (\text{GBZ}_3) G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leqslant c [G_b(x, Ty, Ty) + G_b(y, Tx, Tx)]. \\ \text{Then T has a unique fixed point.} \end{array}$ 

Proof: It follows from Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.6.

**Remark 2.12.** If s = 1 in Theorem 2.11 and we set  $d(x, y) = G_b(x, y, y)$ , it reduces to Zamfirescu's fixed point theorem [16]. **Theorem 2.13.** 

Let X be a complete complex-valued  $G_b$  - metric space and T : X  $\rightarrow$  X a map for which there exists the real numbers *a*, *b*, *c* satisfying  $0 \le a + b + c < 1$  with

 $\Phi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \\ a & \text{if } t \neq 0 \end{cases}$ and  $s < \frac{a^n + a(1-b-c)^{n-1}}{(1-b-c)^n}$  such that for each pair x, y, z  $\in$  X, t  $\in$  N  $\cup$  {0},  $G_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq a\Phi(G_b(x, Tx, Tx)) + b\Phi(G_b(y, Ty, Ty)) + b\Phi(G_b(z, Tz, Tz))$ where  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

### **Proof:**

For  $n, m, l \in N$   $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l) \leq sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) + sG_b(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}_l)$ Taking the limit of  $G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l)$  as  $n, m, l \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |G_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |sG_b(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_m) + sG_b(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}_l)| = 0$ So,  $\mathbf{x}_n$  is a  $G_b$ -Cauchy Sequence.

By completeness of (X; G<sub>b</sub>), there exist  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n$  is G<sub>b</sub>-convergent to u. Suppose  $Tu \neq u$ ,  $G_b(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq a\Phi(G_b(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)) + b\Phi(G_b(u, Tu, Tu)) + c\Phi(G_b(u, Tu, Tu))$ Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using the fact that function is G<sub>b</sub>-continuous in its variables, we get:  $G_b(u, Tu, Tu) \leq a\Phi(G_b(u, u, u)) + b\Phi(G_b(u, Tu, Tu)) + c\Phi(G_b(u, Tu, Tu)) \leq 0$ A contradiction. So, Tu = u. To show the uniqueness, suppose  $v \neq u$  is such that Tv = v, then  $G_b(Tu, Tv, Tv) \leq a\Phi(G_b(u, Tu, Tu)) + b\Phi(G_b(v, Tv, Tv)) + c\Phi(G_b(v, Tv, Tv))$ Since Tu = u and Tv = v, we have  $G_b(u, v, v) \leq 0$ which implies that v = u.

**Remark 2.14.** If  $\Phi(G_b(x, y, y)) = \Phi(d(x, y))$  in Theorem 2.13, we have the result in [14].

### References

- [1] Gahler, S., 2-Metrische Raume und ihre topologische Struktur, Mathematishe Nachrichten, 26, (1963), 115-148.
- [2] Dhage, B. C., Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed points, Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 84(12), (1992), 329-336.
- [3] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, International conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Yokohama, Japan, (2004).
- [4] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Analysis, 7, (2006), 289-297.
- [5] Bakhtin A., The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, Funct. Anal., Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., 30(1), (1989).
- [6] Akbar, A., Brian, F. and Khan, M., Common fixed point theorem in complex valued metric spaces, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimizaton, 32, (2011), 245-255.
- [7] Sedghi, S., Gholidahneh, A., Dosenovic, T., Esfahani, J. and Randenovic, S., Common fixed point of four maps in S<sub>b</sub> metric spaces, J. Linear Topol. Algebra, 5, (2016), 93-104.
- [8] Aghajani, A., Abbas, M. and Roshan, JR., Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered Gb metric spaces, Filomat, 28, (2014), 1087-1101.
- [9] Sedghi, S., Shobe, N. and Aliouche, A., A generalization of fixed point theorem in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesn., 64, (2012), 258-266.
- [10] Adewale O. K. and Akinremi B. V., Fixed point theorem of Zamfirescus type in generalized cone metric spaces, International Journal of Advanced Mathematical Sciences, 3, (2013), 104-108.
- [11] Mustafa,Z. and Sims, B., Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces. doi: 10.1155/2009/917175.
- [12] Ozgur E., Complex Valued Gb-Metric Spaces, J. Computational Analysis and Applications, 21(2), (2015).
- [13] Banach, S., Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae, (1922), 133-181.
- [14] Emmanuele G., Fixed Point Theorems in Complete Metric Spaces, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Melhods and Applrrurrons. 5, (1980), 287-292.
- [15] Mishra, S.N., Sharma, B.K. and Iseki, K., Contractive Type Mapping on 2-Metric Space, Math. Japonica, 21, (1976), 67-70.
- [16] Olaleru, J. O. and Samet, B., Some fixed point theorems in cone rectangular metric spaces, J. Nigeria Mathematics Society. 33, (2014), 145-158.
- [16] Zamfirescu, T., Fixed point theorems in metric spaces, Archive for Mathematical Logic (Basel), (23) (1972), 292-298.