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#### Abstract

In this paper, we extend the concept of equivalence relations to fuzzy bigroup. We introduce the idea of a support of a fuzzy bi- group and discuss its fundamental properties. We restructure the definition of t-level subbi-group as it exists in literature and useit to study equivalence relations on distinct fuzzy sub bi-groups of the samebi-group. We define bi-level subset of a fuzzy bi-group and fuzzy power level sub bi-group of a bi-group andstudy the effect of equivalence relations on distinct fuzzy subbi-groupon these concepts. We establish a one to one correspondence between two distinct t-level supportsof $\gamma_{G}$ and $\eta_{G}$ of $G$ and show that $\boldsymbol{t}$-level support of $\gamma_{G}$ is equivalent to t-level support of $\eta_{G}$.
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### 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Linear Regression Model

Fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh[1]. Rosenfeld[2]introduced of fuzzy subgroups. The notion of-group was first introduced by Maggu[3]. This idea was extendedby Vasantha and Meiyappan[4]. These authors gavemodification of some results earlier established by Maggu. Meiyappan[5]introduced and characterized fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup. Akinola and Agboola[6] modified the definition of fuzzy bi-group given by Meiyappan and used the modified definition to study the concept of permutable and mutually permutable fuzzybi-group. Akinola et al.[7] studied further properties of fuzzy bi-group in the aspect of fuzzy bi-group homomorphism .
Michiro[8] defined fuzzy congruence on group and investigated its properties.Jain[9] studied equivalence relation on set of fuzzy subgroups of an arbitrary group $G$ and gave equivalent conditions that characterize this relation. Some other researchershave advanced the work of Jain. Recent one whose ideas are relevant to our work can befound in Zhaowen el al.[10].
In this work, we extend the concept of equivalence relations to fuzzy bi-group. We introduce the idea of support of a fuzzy bigroup and show how it behaves in eachcompartment of a bi-group. We modify the definition of $t$-level sub bi-group asit exists in literature and use these ideas to study equivalence relations on distinctfuzzy subgroups of the same fuzzy bigroup. We establish the conditions to be satisfied by fuzzy bi-groups of the same bi-group tobe equivalent. We study how these conditions are applicable to different compartments of thesame bi-group. The results of these investigation are presented in Propositions(3.5)and (3.6). We define bi-level subset and fuzzy power level sub bi-group of a bi-group and study theeffect of equivalence relations on distinct fuzzy subgroups of these concepts. The outcomes of the study are presented in Theorem(3.9), Theorem(3.11) and corollary(3.12).

### 2.0 Preliminaries

For the sake of completeness, some of the results in literature that are sequel to establishing our results are mentioned in this section.
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Definition (2.1): A function $\mu: A \rightarrow[0,1]$ in $\Re$ is called a fuzzy set.
Definition (2.2): Let $\gamma$ be a fuzzy set in a set $G$. Then, the $t$-levelsubset of $\gamma$, denoted by $\gamma_{t}$ is defined as: $\gamma_{t}=\{x \in$ $G: \gamma(x) \geq t\}$ fort $\in[0,1]$.
Theorem (2.3): Let $G$ be a group and $\mu$ be a fuzzy subgroup of $G$. Then the level subsets $\mu_{t}$, for $t \in[0,1], t=\mu(e)$ is a subgroup of $G$, where $e$ is the identity of $G$.

## Proof: Omitted.

Definition (2.4): If $A$ is a group and $\mu: A \rightarrow[0,1]$ a fuzzy set, $\mu$ is called a fuzzy subgroup of a group $G$ is the following are satisfied
(i) $\mu(x y) \geq \min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$
(ii) $\quad \mu\left(x^{-1}\right) \geq \mu(x)$ for $x, y \in A$.

Theorem (2.5): Let $\mu$ be a fuzzy subset of a group $G$. Then $\mu$ is a fuzzy subgroup of $G$ if and only if $G_{\mu}^{t}$ isa subgroup (called level subgroup) of the group $G$ for every $t \in[0, \mu(e)]$, where $e$ is the identity element of the group $G$.
Proof: Omitted.
Definition (2.6): A fuzzy normal subgroup $\mu$ of $G$ is defined as afuzzy subgroup satisfying the condition $\mu(x y) \geq \mu(y x)$.
The concept of a relation has a natural extension to fuzzy sets and playsan important role in the theory of such sets and their applications justas it does in the case of conventional sets.
A fuzzy binary relation $R_{\lambda}$ on a set $X$ is defined as a fuzzysubset of $X \times X$. The composition of two fuzzy relations $R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ is defined as
$\left(R_{\lambda}{ }^{\circ} R_{\mu}\right)(x, y)=\operatorname{Sup}_{t \in X}\left\{\min \left[R_{\lambda}(x, t), R_{\mu}(t, y)\right]\right\}, \forall x, y \in X$.
Definition (2.7): A fuzzy binary relation $R_{\lambda}$ on a set $X$ is said tobe a similarity relation on the set $X$ if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive that is,for every $x, y, z \in X$.
(i) $\quad R_{\lambda}(x, x)=1$
(ii) $\quad R_{\lambda}(x, y)=R_{\lambda}(y, x)$
(iii) $\quad \min \left\{R_{\lambda}(x, y), R_{\lambda}(y, z)\right\}=R_{\lambda}(x, z)$.

Definition (2.8): Let $\gamma_{1}$ be a fuzzy subset of a set $X_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be a fuzzy subset of a set $X_{2}$, then thefuzzy union of the sets $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ is defined as a function $\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{2}: X_{1} \cup X_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ given by:
$\left(\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{2}\right)(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\max \left(\gamma_{1}(x), \gamma_{2}(x)\right) \text { if } x \in X_{1} \cap X_{2}, \\ \gamma_{1}(x) \text { if } x \in X_{1} \& x \notin X_{2}, \\ \gamma_{2}(x) \text { if } x \in X_{2} \& x \notin X_{1} .\end{array}\right.$
Definition (2.9): A set $(G,+, \cdot)$ with two binaryoperations " + " and $" \cdot "$ is called a bi-group if there existtwo proper subsets $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ of $G$ such that
(i) $\quad G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$,
(ii) $\left(G_{1},+\right)$ is a group,
(iii) $\quad\left(G_{2}, \cdot\right)$ is a group.

Definition (2.10): Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group. Then $\mu=\mu: G \rightarrow[0,1]$ is said to be a fuzzy sub bi-group of $G$ if there exist two fuzzy subsets of $\mu_{1}$ (of $G_{1}$ ) and $\mu_{2}\left(o f G_{2}\right)$ such that ;
(i) $\quad\left(\mu_{1},+\right)$ is a fuzzy subgroup of $\left(G_{1},+\right)$
(ii) $\quad\left(\mu_{2}, \cdot\right)$ is a fuzzy subgroup of $\left(G_{2}, \cdot\right)$
(iii) $\quad \mu=\mu_{1} \cup \mu_{2}$

Definition (2.11): Let $G=\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2},+, \cdot\right)$ be a bi-group and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1} \cup \mu_{2}\right)$ be a fuzzy sub bi-group of the bi-group $G$.
The bilevel subset of the fuzzy sub bi-group $\mu$ of the bi-group $G$ is defined as $G_{\mu}{ }^{t}=G_{1_{\mu_{1}}} \cup G_{2_{\mu_{2}}}$ for $t \in\left[0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ where $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the identities of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively.

Definition (2.12): Let $G$ be a group and $\mu$ and $v \in F(G)$, the set of all fuzzy subgroups of $G$.Three equivalence relations are defined respectively as follow:
(i) We say that $\mu$ is equivalent tov, written as $\mu \approx v$ if $F_{\mu}=F_{v}$.
(ii) We say that $\mu$ is equivalent to $v$, written as $\mu \sim v$, if we have $\mu(x)>\mu(y) \Leftrightarrow v(x)>v(y)$, for all $x, y \in G$, and $\mu(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \nu(x)=0$, for all $x \in G$.
Note that the condition $\mu(x)=0$ holds if and only if $v(x)=0$ simply says thatthe supports of $\mu$ and $v$ are equal.
(iii)We say that $\mu$ is equivalent to $v$, written as $\mu \simeq{ }_{t} v$, if there exists an isomorphism $f$ from supp $\mu$ to supp $v$, such that for all $x, y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu$ we have $\mu(x)>\mu(y) \Leftrightarrow \nu(f(x))>v(f(y))$.
Theorem (2.13): Let $G$ be a group and $\mu, v \in F(G)$. Then $\mu \sim v$ if and only if $F_{\mu}=F_{v}$ andsupp $\mu=\operatorname{supp} v$.
Proof: Omitted.
Definition (2.14): Let $G$ be a group and $\mu, \nu \in F(G)$. Then $\mu$ isequivalent to $v$ written as $\mu \simeq_{k} v$, if there exist a one to one and onto function $f$ from $F_{\mu}=F_{v}$ such that for all $\mu_{t} \in F_{\mu}, \mu_{t} \cong f\left(\mu_{t}\right)$.
Definition (2.15): Let $(G,+, \cdot)$ and $(H, \oplus, \circ)$ be bi-groups. Let $\gamma_{G}=\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}$ and $\rho_{H}=\rho_{H_{1}} \cup \rho_{H_{2}}$ be separate fuzzy sub bi-group of $G$ and $H$ respectively. If $\theta: G \rightarrow H$ is a bi-grouphomomorphism then the mapping $\phi: \gamma_{G} \rightarrow \rho_{H}$ is said to be weakly fuzzy homomorphicif for any $x, y \in G, \theta\left(\gamma_{G}(x y)\right)=\rho_{H}(\phi(x) \phi(y))$. It is denoted as $\gamma_{G}\left(\frac{\theta, \phi}{\sim}\right) \rho_{H}$.

## 3. 0 The Results

Let $G$ be a bi-group. In what follow, $\Phi(G)$ is the set of all fuzzy sub bi-groups of $G,<$ stands for the conventional less than as well as sub bi-group of a bi-group. The meaning, ineither case, is easily distinguishable from the context.
Definition (3.1):Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group $G$ and let $\gamma_{G} \in \Phi(G)$, the set $\left\{x \in G: \min \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\}>0\right\}$ is called the support of the fuzzy bi-group $\lambda_{G}$ and is denoted by supp $\gamma_{G}$.
For the fuzzy bi-group $\gamma_{G}=\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}$ of the bi-group $G$. For any $x \in G, \gamma_{G}(x)=\left(\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}\right)(x)=\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)$, if $x \in G \mid G_{2}$. Hence, Supp $\gamma_{G}=\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{1}}$ for all $x \in G \mid G_{2}$. If $x \in G \mid G_{1}, \gamma_{G}(x)=\left(\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}\right)(x)=\gamma_{G 2}(x)$, and $\gamma_{G}=\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{2}}$. If $x \in G_{1} \cap G_{2}$,
$\gamma_{G}(x)=\left(\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}\right)(x)=\max \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\} \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\}>0$.
Hence, $\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G}=\min \left\{\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{1}}, \operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{2}}\right\}$ for all $x \in G_{1} \cap G_{2}$.
The following result is therefore established.
Proposition (3.2): Suppose that $\gamma_{G}=\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}$ is a fuzzy bi-group of the bi-group $G$. For $x \in G$,
(i) $\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G}=\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{1}}$ for all $x \in G \mid G_{2}$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G}=\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{2}}$ for all $x \in G \mid G_{1}$.
(iii) Supp $\gamma_{G}=\min \left\{\operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G_{1}}\right.$, Supp $\left.\gamma_{G_{2}}\right\}$ for all $x \in G_{1} \cap G_{2}$.

Definition (3.3): Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group $G$ and let $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$, we say that $\gamma_{G}$ is equivalent to $\eta_{G}$ written as $\gamma_{G} \simeq \eta_{G}$ if there exists an isomorphism $f$ from supp $\gamma_{G}$ to supp $\eta_{G}$ such that for all $x, y \in \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{G}$, we have $\gamma_{G}(x)>\gamma_{G}(y) \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(f(x))>\eta_{G}(f(y))$.
Definition (3.4): Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group. Let $\Phi(G)$ be the setof all fuzzy bi-group of the bi-group $G$. For $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$, wesay that $\gamma_{G}$ is equivalent to $\eta_{G}$ written as $\gamma_{G} \simeq \eta_{G}$ if for $x, y \in G$,
(i) $\quad \gamma_{G}(x)=\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{1}}(y)=\gamma_{G}(y) \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(x)=\eta_{G_{1}}(x)>\eta_{G_{1}}(y)=\eta_{G}(y)$
or

$$
\gamma_{G}(x)=\gamma_{G_{2}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{2}}(y)=\gamma_{G}(y) \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(x)=\eta_{G_{2}}(x)>\eta_{G_{2}}(y)=\eta_{G}(y)
$$

(ii) $\gamma_{G}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{G}(y)=0$ or $\eta_{G}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(y)=0$.

The following propositions are direct consequences of definition (3.4).
Proposition (3.5): If for all $x, y \in G_{1} \cap G, \gamma_{G} \simeq \eta_{G}$, then
$\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{1}}(y) \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(x)=\eta_{G_{1}}(x)>\eta_{G_{1}}(y)$,
and
$\gamma_{G}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{G}(y)=0$ or $\eta_{G}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(y)=0$.

## Proof:

Suppose that $\gamma_{G} \simeq \eta_{G}$, then for $x, y \in G_{1} \cap G$,
$\gamma_{G}(x)>\gamma_{G}(y) \Rightarrow \gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}(y)$
$\Rightarrow \max \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\}>\max \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(y), \gamma_{G_{2}}(y)\right\}$
$\Rightarrow \gamma_{G_{1}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{1}}(y)$
similarly,
$\eta_{G}(x)>\eta_{G}(y) \Rightarrow \eta_{G_{1}} \cup \eta_{G_{2}}(x)>\eta_{G_{1}} \cup \eta_{G_{2}}(y)$
$\Rightarrow \max \left\{\eta_{G_{1}}(x), \eta_{G_{2}}(x)\right\}>\max \left\{\eta_{G_{1}}(y), \quad \eta_{G_{2}}(y)\right\}$
$\Rightarrow \eta_{G_{1}}(x)>\eta_{G_{1}}(y)$
Combining (i) and (ii), it is easy to deduce that
$\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{1}}(y) \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G_{1}}(x)>\eta_{G_{1}}(y)$.
The fact that

$$
\eta_{G_{1}}(x)>\eta_{G_{1}}(y) \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{G_{1}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{1}}(y)
$$

follows the same approach.
Now, suppose that $\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)=0$ and $\gamma_{G} \simeq \eta_{G}$, then $0=\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)=\gamma_{G}(x)$, there is definitely $y \in G$ such that $\eta_{G}(y)>\eta_{G_{1}}(y)=0$.
Hence, $\quad \gamma_{G_{1}}(x)>0 \Rightarrow \eta_{G_{1}}(y)=0$, and that concludes the proof.
Proposition (3.6): If for all $x, y \in G_{2} \cap G, \gamma_{G} \simeq \eta_{G}$, then $\gamma_{G_{2}}(x)>\gamma_{G_{2}}(y) \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G_{2}}(x)>\eta_{G_{2}}(y)$,
and $\gamma_{G}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow>\gamma_{G}(y)=0$ or $\eta_{G}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \eta_{G}(y)=0$.
Proof: Similar to that of Proposition (3.5).
We now redefine the concept of bi-level subset of Definition(2.11) to suite our purpose.
Definition (3.7): Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group, let $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$ be fuzzy bi-groups of $G$. For $x \in G$, we define $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]^{t}(x)=\left\{x \in G: \gamma_{G}(x)>t\right\}$, for $t \in\left[0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ where $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the identities of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively. $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]^{t}$ is called thet- level subbi-group of the bi-group $G$.
Definition (3.8): Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group, let $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$. $\gamma_{G}$ is $t$ - equivalent to $\eta_{G}$ written as $\gamma_{G} \simeq_{t} \eta_{G}$ if there exists isomorphism $\lambda: \gamma_{G} \rightarrow \eta_{G}$ such that for all $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \in \Phi(G),\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \simeq_{t} \lambda\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$.
Theorem (3.9): Let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ be a bi-group, let $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$ be such that $\gamma_{G} \simeq_{t} \eta_{G}$, then there exists a one to one and onto correspondence $\theta^{*}:\left[\operatorname{supp} \gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \rightarrow\left[\operatorname{supp} \eta_{G}\right]_{t}$ such thatfor all $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \in \Phi^{*}(G)$, we have $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \simeq \theta^{*}\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$.
Proof:
For any $x \in\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}$, we have that $\gamma_{G_{1}}(x) \geq t$ and $\gamma_{G_{2}}(x) \geq t .\left[\right.$ since $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \leq G \Rightarrow\left[\gamma_{G_{1}}\right]_{t} \leq G_{1}$ and $\left[\gamma_{G_{2}}\right]_{t} \leq G_{2}$ ].Supp $\gamma_{G}=\left\{x \in G: \min \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\}>0\right.$
and $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}=\left\{x \in G: \gamma_{G}(x)>t\right\}=\left\{x \in G: \max \left[\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)>t\right]\right\}$.
For $\quad t \neq 0, \max \left[\left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\}>t\right]>\min \left\{\gamma_{G_{1}}(x), \gamma_{G_{2}}(x)\right\} \quad$ therefore $\quad \operatorname{Supp} \gamma_{G} \leq\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \quad$ for $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ where $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the identities of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively. Similarly, $\operatorname{Supp} \eta_{G} \leq$ $\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}$ and this applies to all $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots \in\left(0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$.

Let $\theta^{*}:\left[\operatorname{supp} \gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \rightarrow\left[\operatorname{supp} \eta_{G}\right]_{t}$ be a function. Since $\gamma_{G} \simeq_{t} \eta_{G}$, it follows by definition(3.8) that for any $y$ in $\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}$, there must be an $x$ in $\theta^{*-1}(y)$ such that $\gamma_{G_{1}}(x)=\eta_{G_{1}}(x) \geq t$ and so $x$ is in $\left[\gamma_{G_{1}}\right]_{t}$. Similarly, $x$ is in $\left[\gamma_{G_{2}}\right]_{t}$, and therefore $x$ is in $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}$.

Let $x, y, z, w, \ldots \in G \quad$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, \ldots \in\left(0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ such that $\gamma_{G}(x)>t_{1}, \gamma_{G}(y)>t_{2}, \gamma_{G}(z)>t_{3}, \gamma_{G}(w)>t_{4}, \ldots \quad$. Let $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<t_{4}<\cdot<\cdot<\cdots<0 \quad$, then $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{1}}>\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{2}}>\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{3}}>\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{4}}>\cdot>$. Similarly for such $x, y, z, w, \ldots \in G \quad$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, \ldots \in\left(0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right],\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{1}}>\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{2}}>\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{3}}>\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{4}}>\cdot>$. For a finite bi-group, It is easy to establish a one to one correspondence $\theta^{*}$ between $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}$ and $\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}$ such that $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \simeq \theta^{*}\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$.
Definition (3.10): Let $\gamma_{G}=\gamma_{G_{1}} \cup \gamma_{G_{2}}$ be afuzzy sub bi-group of a bi-group $G$. For $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$,
$\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}$ is called $t$ - level sub bi-group of a bi-group $G$. The set $P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ of all possible fuzzy sub bi-group of a bi-group $G$ is called a fuzzy power level sub-bigroup of a bigroup $G$.
The number of element in $P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$, denoted by $o\left[P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)\right]$ is called the order ofthe fuzzy power level subbi-group of a bi-group $G$.
Theorem (3.11): Let $P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ and $P\left(\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ be two fuzzy power level sub bi-group of a bi-group $G$. Suppose that $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$ be such that $\gamma_{G} \simeq_{t} \eta_{G}$, then there exists a one to one correspondence $P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ and $P\left(\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$.

## Proof:

By Theorem (3.9), it has been shown that if $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$ be such that $\gamma_{G} \simeq_{t} \eta_{G}$, then there exists a one to one and onto correspondencebetween $\gamma_{G}$ and $\eta_{G}$. For $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, \ldots, t_{n} \in\left(0, \min \left\{\mu_{1}\left(e_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ it is easy to construct $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{i}}$ and $\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{i}}$ in such a way that for $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<t_{4}<\cdots<t_{n}$, then $\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{1}}>\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{2}}>\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{3}}>\cdots>\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t_{n}}$ and $\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{1}}>\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{2}}>\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{3}}>\cdots>\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t_{n}}$. Since $\theta^{*}:\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t} \rightarrow\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}$ is an injectivefunction, by implication $\theta^{*}: P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right) \rightarrow$ $P\left(\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ is also an injective function.
Corollary (3.12): Let $P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ and $P\left(\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ be two fuzzy power level sub bi-group of a bi-group $G$. Suppose that $\gamma_{G}, \eta_{G} \in \Phi(G)$ be such that $\gamma_{G} \simeq_{t} \eta_{G}$ and there exists a one to one correspondence $P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$ and $P\left(\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}\right)$, then $o\left[P\left(\left[\gamma_{G}\right]_{t}\right)\right]=o\left[P\left(\left[\eta_{G}\right]_{t}\right)\right]$.

## Proof:

Follows directly from Theorem (3.9) and Theorem (3.11).
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