

On Partial W^* -Quantum Dynamical Semigroups

Yusuf Ibrahim

Nigerian Defence Academy, Mathematics Department, Kaduna, Nigeria.

Abstract

A partial w^ -quantum dynamical semigroup $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ defined by composition of completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps is considered. We show that if $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ satisfies some certain conditions then we can define a contraction semigroups of maps of linear operators P_t associated with $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$.*

Keywords: Completely positive maps, partial w^* -algebra, dynamical semigroups

1.0 Introduction

A representation theorem for completely positive maps on C^* -algebras was first given by Stinespring (1955). An extension of Stinespring's theorem to partial $*$ -algebras has been carried out by a number of authors [1-6] in order to overcome the rigid scheme of the C^* -algebraic approach. In this paper we give an equivalent form of the generalization given in Bagarello et al. [2] of the Stinespring theorem. The equivalent form provide for us a suitable setting to define a partial w^* -quantum dynamical semigroup $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ by means of composition of completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps. We show that if partial w^* -quantum dynamical semigroup $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ satisfies some certain conditions then we can define a contraction semigroup P_t associated with $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$. The rest of the paper is arranged as follows; Section 2 gives the basic notions of the algebraic setting for partial $*$ -algebra needed in the sequel. In Section 3 we recall some notions of completely positive invariant conjugate-bilinear map and also state an equivalent form of Bagarello et al. generalization. In Section 4, we define partial w^* -dynamical semigroups $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ by means of composition of completely positive maps and state the main result.

2.0 Algebraic Setting

A partial $*$ -algebra is a quadruplet denoted by $(A, \Gamma, *, \cdot)$. This consists of an involutive complex linear space A with involution and a relation $\Gamma \subseteq A \times A$ endowed with a partial multiplication " \cdot " on A . We have the following precise definition;

Definition 1. A partial $*$ -algebra is a complex vector A with involution $x \rightarrow x^*$ and subset $\Gamma \subseteq A \times A$ such that

1. $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ implies $(y^*, x^*) \in \Gamma$
2. $(x, y_1), (x, y_2) \in \Gamma$ implies $(x, \lambda y_1 + \mu y_2) \in \Gamma, \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$.
3. Whenever $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ there exists a product $x \cdot y \in A$ with the usual properties of multiplication: $x \cdot (y + \lambda z) = x \cdot y + \lambda(x \cdot z)$ and $(x \cdot y)^* = y^* \cdot x^*$ for $(x, y), (x, z) \in \Gamma$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

A partial $*$ -algebra is in general **non-associative**. For $x \in A$, we denote the set of all left and right multipliers of x by $L(x)$ and $R(x)$ hence, a partial $*$ -algebra, is said to be **semi-associative** partial $*$ -algebra if and only if for $x, y \in A$ with $y \in R(x)$ implies that $y \cdot z \in R(x)$ and $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z, \forall z \in R(A)$. The study of partial $*$ -algebras is largely dependent on several classes of multipliers introduced as follows: If C is an arbitrary subset of A , then

- (i) $L(C) = \bigcap_{x \in C} L(x)$, the set of universal left multipliers of C .
- (ii) $R(C) = \bigcap_{x \in C} R(x)$, the set of universal right multipliers of C .
- (iii) $M(C) = L(C) \cap R(C)$, the set of universal multipliers of C .

A concrete realization of partial $*$ -algebras arises as follows: Let D be a complex pre-Hilbert Space. Denote by $L^\dagger(D, H)$ the set of all closable linear operators X with domain $D(X) = D$ and the adjoint X^* with domain $D(X^*) \supset D$, each with range in H . $L^\dagger(D, H)$ is a complex linear space with respect to the usual notions of addition and scalar multiplication. This complex

Corresponding author: Yusuf Ibrahim, E-mail: yusufian68@yahoo.com, Tel.: +2347034972567

linear space becomes a partial *-algebra when equipped with an involution defined for $X \in L^\dagger(D, H)$ that is the map $X \rightarrow X^\dagger = X^* \upharpoonright D$ and a weak partial multiplication $X \cdot Y = X^* \upharpoonright Y$ defined whenever X is a weak left multiplier of Y that is, if and only $YD \subset D(X^*)$ and $X^\dagger D \subset D(Y^*)$ for $(X, Y) \in \Gamma$,

where $\Gamma = \left\{ (X, Y) \in \left(L^\dagger(D, H) \right)^2 : YD \subset D(X^*), X^\dagger D \subset D(Y^*) \right\}$.

The quadruplet $(L^\dagger(D, H), \Gamma, \dagger, \cdot)$ is called a partial *-algebra and is denoted by $L_w^\dagger(D, H)$. A subalgebra M of $L_w^\dagger(D, H)$ is a partial O*-algebra on D . See, Antoine et al. [1].

We have the notion of a state ω on M which is a sesquilinear map $\omega: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that $\omega(e, e) = 1$, where \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers and e is the unit element in M . On M , there are various topologies that can be define, in particular we have the strong* τ_{s^*} , the weak τ_w and the σ -weak $\tau_{\sigma w}$ topologies respectively. We have the following

Definition 2. Let M be an arbitrary $\tau_{\sigma w}$ -closed, unital partial O*-algebra, endowed with a partial multiplication defined for $(X, Y) \in \Gamma$, we have $X \cdot Y = X^* \upharpoonright Y$ such that $YD \subset D(X^*)$ and $X^\dagger D \subset D(Y^*)$. Now for any $X \in M$, if M satisfies the following conditions;

- (1) $D = \bigcap_{X \in M} D(X^\dagger)$
- (2) $R(M)$ is τ_{s^*} dense in M

Then M will be called a partial w*-algebra.

3.0 Completely Positive Invariant Conjugate-bilinear Map

In this section, we state an equivalent form of the completely positive conjugate-bilinear map which will be used to define the notion of a partial w*-dynamical semigroups. Before we state the result in this section, we have the following definitions from Bagarello's et al. [2]. Let M be a partial w*-algebra with unit e and A a vector space. We denote by $S(A)$ the involutive vector space of all sesquilinear forms φ on $A \times A$ with involution $\varphi(\xi, \eta)^\dagger = \overline{\varphi(\eta, \xi)}$, $\xi, \eta \in A$.

Definition 3. A map $\Phi: D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi) \rightarrow S(A)$ is said to be conjugate-bilinear if

- (i) $D(\Phi) \subset M$
- (ii) $\Phi(X, Y)^\dagger = \Phi(Y, X)$
- (iii) $\Phi(X, \alpha Y + \beta Z) = \alpha \Phi(X, Y) + \beta \Phi(X, Z), \forall X, Y, Z \in D(\Phi), \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 4. A conjugate-bilinear map $\Phi: D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi) \rightarrow S(A)$ is said to quasi-invariant if there exists a subspace B_Φ of $D(\Phi)$ such that

- (i) $B_\Phi \subset R(M)$
- (ii) $M B_\Phi \subset D(\Phi)$
- (iii) $\Phi(aX, Y) = \Phi(X, a^* Y), \forall a \in M, \forall X, Y \in B_\Phi$
- (iv) B_Φ satisfies the density condition; $X \in D(\Phi), \forall \xi \in A$, there exists a net $\{X_n\} \subset B_\Phi$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(X_n - X, X_n - X)(\xi, \xi) = 0$
- (v) It is said to be *invariant*, if $\Phi(a^* X, bY) = \Phi(X, (ab)Y), \forall a, b \in M, a \in L(b), \forall X, Y \in B_\Phi$.

A subspace B_Φ satisfying the above requirements is called a *corefor* B_Φ .

Definition 5. A conjugate-bilinear map $\Phi: D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi) \rightarrow S(A)$ is said to be positive if $\Phi(X, X) \geq 0$ ($\Phi(X, X)(\xi, \xi) \geq 0$, for every $\xi \in A$) each $X \in D$: The map Φ is said to be completely positive if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Phi(X_i, X_j)(\xi_i, \xi_j) \geq 0, \forall \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \subset D(\Phi), \forall \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \subset A$.

We will now give an equivalent form for the completely positive conjugate-bilinear map given in definition 5.

Theorem 1. Let M be a partial w*-algebra and A a vector space. Let Φ, Θ be a completely positive invariant conjugate-bilinear maps with $\Phi \subset \Theta$, then there exists a completely conjugate-bilinear map κ defined on the core B of Φ , such that for all $a, b \in M$ and $X_i, X_j \in B$ we have, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \Theta_\kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Phi(aX_i, bY_j)(\xi_i, \eta_j)$,

where i_Φ is a composite map and $\Theta \upharpoonright_{D(\Phi)} = \Theta_\kappa, \xi_i, \eta_j \in A$.

Proof : For a completely positive map Φ with domain $D(\Phi) \subset M$, let $D(\Phi) \otimes A$ denote the algebraic tensor product of $D(\Phi)$ and A . Define a subspace N_Φ of $D(\Phi) \otimes A$ with respect to the map Φ as follows, let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes \xi_i$ and $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j \otimes \eta_j \in D(\Phi) \otimes A$ then we have $N_\Phi = \{ \xi, \eta \in D(\Phi) \otimes A : \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_\Phi = 0 \}$. With this we define the linear map λ_Φ from $D(\Phi) \otimes A$ into $D(\Phi) \otimes A \setminus N_\Phi$ by $\lambda_\Phi(\xi) = \xi + N_\Phi$. We call $\lambda_\Phi(\xi)$ the coset of $D(\Phi) \otimes A \setminus N_\Phi$ containing ξ and this induces an inner product on $\lambda_\Phi(D(\Phi) \otimes A)$ given by $\langle \lambda_\Phi(\cdot), \lambda_\Phi(\cdot) \rangle$. Let H_Φ denotes the completion of $\lambda_\Phi(D(\Phi) \otimes A)$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \lambda_\Phi(\cdot), \lambda_\Phi(\cdot) \rangle$. Since the core B of Φ satisfies the density condition by definition, we have that $\lambda_\Phi(B \otimes A)$ is dense $\lambda_\Phi(D(\Phi) \otimes A)$ and hence dense in H_Φ . Now define a map π_0 on M with $\lambda_\Phi(B \otimes A) = D(\pi_0)$ by

$$\pi_0(a)\lambda_\Phi(\xi) = \lambda_\Phi(a\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_\Phi(aX_i \otimes \xi_i)$$

where $a \in R(M)$ and $\xi \in A$. The map is a well defined *-representation. Let π_B denotes its closure, thus λ_Φ is a strongly cyclic vector representation of M for π_B . Now define an injective map $i: A \rightarrow (B \otimes A)$ by $\sum_{i=1}^n i(\xi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (e \otimes \xi_i)$, for $e \in B$, $\xi_i \in A$. And using the map $\lambda_\Phi: (B \otimes A) \rightarrow H_\Phi$, we define a composite $i_\Phi: A \rightarrow H_\Phi$ $\sum_{i=1}^n i_\Phi(\xi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_\Phi \circ i(\xi_i)$. Applying the composite map, we define a conjugate-bilinear map $\kappa: B \times B \rightarrow H_\Phi$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \kappa(X_i, Y_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_\Phi(X_i \otimes \xi_i), \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_\Phi(Y_j \otimes \eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &= \langle \lambda_\Phi(\xi), \lambda_\Phi(\eta) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \end{aligned}$$

For $X_i, Y_i \in B$ and $\xi_i, \eta_j \in A$. Let the extension of κ to $D(\Phi)$ be denoted still by κ , then for $a, b \in M$ and $\forall X_i, Y_i \in B$ and $\xi_i, \eta_j \in A$. Let $\xi_a = \sum_{i=1}^n aX_i \otimes \xi_i, \eta_b = \sum_{i=1}^n bY_i \otimes \eta_i$, then we define the map $\kappa: D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi) \rightarrow H_\Phi$ by

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} = \langle \lambda_\Phi(\xi_a), \lambda_\Phi(\eta_b) \rangle_{H_\Phi}.$$

Let Θ be a completely positive conjugate-bilinear map with domain $D(\Theta)$ containing $D(\Phi)$ such that its restriction to $D(\Phi)$ is denoted by Θ_κ .

That is the map $\Theta_\kappa: D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi) \rightarrow H_\Phi$ given by

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \Theta_\kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \Theta_\kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} &= \langle \lambda_\Phi(\xi_a), \lambda_\Phi(\eta_b) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_\Phi(aX_i \otimes \xi_i), \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_\Phi(bY_j \otimes \eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi_B(a) \lambda_\Phi(X_i \otimes \xi_i), \pi_B(b) \lambda_\Phi(Y_j \otimes \eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Phi(aX_i, bY_j)(\xi_i, \eta_j) \end{aligned}$$

This conclude the proof.

The next lemma considers the ordering of completely positive maps with respect to their cores But before then, we have the following,

Definition 6. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all cores B^i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, for the completely positive map Φ , endowed with the order \subset . This induces an order on a family $\{\kappa_{B^i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps defined on the corresponding cores as follows; $\kappa_{B^1}, \kappa_{B^2}$ be defined on B^1, B^2 respectively, then $\kappa_{B^1} \subset \kappa_{B^2}$ iff $B^1 \subset B^2$ and we denote this by $\kappa_{B^1} \preceq \kappa_{B^2}$. Let $B^\mathcal{L}$ denote the largest of the cores in \mathcal{C} for the completely positive map Φ , then we have $\kappa_B \preceq \kappa_{B^\mathcal{L}} \forall B \in \mathcal{C}$.

Notation : We write $\kappa_{B^\mathcal{L}}$ as κ and κ_B as κ' . Then we have the following

Theorem 2. The map Θ_κ is maximal for any family $\{\kappa_{B^i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of completely positive conjugate-bilinear defined on the set of cores in \mathcal{C} .

Proof: Let $B \in \mathcal{C}$ be an arbitrary core such that $B \subset B^\mathcal{L}$, then from definition we have $\kappa' \subset \kappa$. Let $a, b \in M$, $X_i, Y_i \in B$ and $i_\Phi(\xi_i), i_\Phi(\eta_j) \in H_\Phi$ with $\xi_i, \eta_j \in A$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \Theta_{\kappa'}(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \kappa'(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi_B(a) \lambda_\Phi(X_i \otimes \xi_i), \pi_B(b) \lambda_\Phi(Y_j \otimes \eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi_{B^\mathcal{L}}(a) \lambda_\Phi(X_i \otimes \xi_i), \pi_{B^\mathcal{L}}(b) \lambda_\Phi(Y_j \otimes \eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle i_\Phi(\xi_i), \Theta_\kappa(aX_i, bY_j) i_\Phi(\eta_j) \rangle_{H_\Phi}.$$

Hence $\Theta_{\kappa'} \leq \Theta_\kappa$ and since $B \in \mathcal{C}$ is an arbitrary core implies that Θ_κ is maximal.

4.0 Partial w*-Dynamical Semigroups

In this section we let Θ_κ take values in a partial w*- algebra M . We defined a dynamical semigroup of linear mappings $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ and show that under some certain conditions on $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ we can define a contraction semigroups of maps of linear operators P_t associated with $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$. We have the following

Definition 7. For $\Theta_\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with $0 \leq t < \infty$. Let M be a partial w*- algebra on D and let

$\Theta_\kappa: D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi) \rightarrow M$ be a completely positive conjugate-bilinear map that is associated with a one parameter completely positive conjugate-bilinear map $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow V_t(X, Y) \in D(\Phi)$ with $X, Y \in M$. By being associated, we mean that their composition is well defined and closed. Then for any $X, Y \in M$ we define a completely positive conjugate-bilinear map $\Theta_\kappa^t: M \times M \rightarrow M$ by

$$\Theta_\kappa^t(X, Y) = \Theta_\kappa(e, V_t(X, Y)) = \Theta_\kappa \circ V_t(X, Y)$$

Remark: If $V_0 = e$, we have $\Theta_\kappa^0 = \Theta_\kappa \circ V_0 = \Theta_\kappa \circ e = \Theta_\kappa$ and if write $V_t \circ V_s = V_{t+s}$, then $\Theta_\kappa^{t+s}(X, Y) = \Theta_\kappa^t \circ \Theta_\kappa \circ V_s(X, Y) = \Theta_\kappa^t \circ V_t \circ V_s(X, Y) = \Theta_\kappa^t \circ V_{t+s}(X, Y)$

$\forall X, Y \in M$ and $0 \leq t < \infty, 0 \leq s < \infty$.

We have an adapted version of a definition of a partial w*-quantum dynamical semigroup from (Ekhaguere, 1993).

Definition 8. A family $\{\varphi_{\kappa,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ of completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps on $M \times M$

- (a) $\varphi_{\kappa,0} = \Theta_\kappa$
- (b) $\varphi_{\kappa,t} \circ \varphi_{\kappa,s} = \varphi_{\kappa,t+s}$, for arbitrary $t, s \geq 0$
- (c) $\varphi_{\kappa,t}$ is σ -weakly continuous on $M \times M$ with respect to the σ -weakly topology $\tau_{\sigma w}$ is called a partial w*-quantum dynamical semigroup.

Theorem 3. A family $\{\varphi_{\kappa,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ of completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps on $M \times M$ of the form $\langle \xi, \varphi_{\kappa,t}(X, Y)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa \circ V_t(X, Y)\eta \rangle$ (1)

is a partial w*-quantum dynamical semigroup for $X, Y \in M$ and $\xi, \eta \in D$.

Proof: We show that definition 8 is satisfied

$\langle \xi, \varphi_{\kappa,0}(X, Y)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa \circ V_0(X, Y)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa^0(X, Y)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)\eta \rangle$ and for the second property, that is $\varphi_{\kappa,t} \circ \varphi_{\kappa,s} = \varphi_{\kappa,t+s}$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, \varphi_{\kappa,t+s}(X, Y)\eta \rangle &= \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa \circ V_{t+s}(X, Y)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa^{t+s}(X, Y)\eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa^t(e, \Theta_\kappa^s(X, Y)\eta) \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa \circ V_t(e, \Theta_\kappa \circ V_s(X, Y)\eta) \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \Theta_\kappa \circ V_t \circ \Theta_\kappa \circ V_s(X, Y)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \varphi_{\kappa,t} \circ \varphi_{\kappa,s}(X, Y)\eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that completely positive maps are σ -weakly continuous, thus the third property in the definition is satisfied, hence is a partial w*- quantum dynamical semigroup.

Definition 9. The \dagger -invariant subspace $(M \times M)^\dagger$ of $M \times M$ is given by $(M \times M)^\dagger = \{(X, Y) \in D(\Phi) \times D(\Phi): \Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y) = \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)\}$.

In what follows ω is a GNS- representable state with a self adjoint *-representation π_ω , see Antoine et al. [1].

Theorem 4. For a GNS- representable state ω on $M \times M$, if $\{\varphi_{\kappa,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a partial w*-quantum dynamical semigroup on $M \times M$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $\pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa,t}^\dagger) \in L(\pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa,t}))$
- (2) $(\varphi_{\kappa,t} \circ \Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot (\varphi_{\kappa,t} \circ \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \leq \varphi_{\kappa,t}(\Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y), \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))$
- (3) $\varphi_{\kappa,t} \circ \omega = \omega$

then the linear map P_t defined by $P_t \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y))\lambda_{\xi_0} = \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa,t}(X, Y))\lambda_{\xi_0}$ (2)

is a semigroup of contractions on the pre-Hilbert space into its completions for any $(X, Y) \in (M \times M)^\dagger$, where λ_{ξ_0} is a strongly cyclic vector representation of M for π_ω .

Proof: Now for a GNS- representable state ω we have the triple $(\pi_\omega, H_\omega, \lambda_{\xi_0})$. We will show that the linear map P_t defined in equation (2) is a contraction semigroup of linear maps on a pre-Hilbert space into its completion, where λ_{ξ_0} is a strongly cyclic vector representation of M for π_ω . Thus we have

$$P_0 \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y))\lambda_{\xi_0} = \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa,0}(X, Y))\lambda_{\xi_0} = \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y))\lambda_{\xi_0}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} P_t P_s \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} &= P_t \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, s}(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \\ &= \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(e, \varphi_{\kappa, s}(X, Y))) \lambda_{\xi_0} \\ &= \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t+s}(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} = P_{t+s} \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is a semigroup. To show that it is a contraction, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_t \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0}\|_{H_\omega}^2 \\ &= \langle \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega((\Theta_\kappa^t)^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa^t(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega((\Theta_\kappa^t)^\dagger \circ \Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa^t \circ \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \end{aligned}$$

since $(X, Y) \in (M \times M)^\dagger$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega((\Theta_\kappa^t)^\dagger \circ \Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa^t \circ \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega((\Theta_\kappa^t)^\dagger(e, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \cdot \pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa^t(e, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}^\dagger(e, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \cdot \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(e, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}^\dagger(e, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \cdot (\varphi_{\kappa, t}(e, \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}^\dagger \circ \Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot (\varphi_{\kappa, t} \circ \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t} \circ \Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y)) \cdot (\varphi_{\kappa, t} \circ \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &\leq \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(\Theta_\kappa^\dagger(X, Y), \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\xi_0}, \pi_\omega(\varphi_{\kappa, t}(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y), \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \lambda_{\xi_0} \rangle_{H_\omega} \\ &= \omega(e, \varphi_{\kappa, t}(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y), \Theta_\kappa(X, Y))) \\ &= \omega \circ \varphi_{\kappa, t}(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y), \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \\ &= \omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y), \Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) = \|\pi_\omega(\Theta_\kappa(X, Y)) \lambda_{\xi_0}\|_{H_\omega}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega \circ \varphi_{\kappa, t} = \omega$, this shows that the semigroup is a contraction semigroup. This concludes the proof.

5.0 References

- [1] J.P. Antoine, A. Inoue, C. Trapani, "Partial *- algebras and Their Operator Realizations, Kluwer, Dordrecht,(2002).
- [2] F. Bargarello, A. Inoue, and C. Trapani, Completely positive invariant conjugate-bilinear maps on partial *-algebras, Zeit. Anal. Anwen. 26, 313, (2007)
- [3] G.O.S. Ekhaguere and P.O. Odiobala, Completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps on partial *-algebras, J. Math. Phys. 32, 2951-2958, (1991).
- [4] G.O.S. Ekhaguere, A Noncommutative mean ergodic theorem for partial W*-dynamical semigroups, Int. J. for theor. Phys. Vol. 32, (7), (1993).
- [5] G.O.S. Ekhaguere, Representation of Completely positive maps between partial *-algebras, Int. J. for theor. Phys. Vol. 35, (8), (1996).

- [6] W.F Stinespring, Positive functions on C^* -algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Society, vol.6, 211-216, (1955).