USING MATRIX OF DEMAND TO DETERMINE PATRONAGE IN HOTELS

Izevbizua O and Nomuoja A. J.

Department of Mathematics, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

Abstract

The number of rooms in demand in a hotel and the period(s) they are in demand form a matrix we refer to as demand matrix. The number of zero entries in the demand matrix shows the level of patronage the hotel receives from its customers in a period. We use the demand matrix to compare the level of patronage between four hotels with the same subunits.

Keywords: hotel, rooms, demand, patronage, entries.

Introduction.

It is the desire of hotel managers to maintain high level of patronage from its customers. Hotels will go out of their way to satisfy a customer so he/she can always come back. The total number of rooms in a hotel is fixed and subdivided into units [1, 2, 3]. Some common subunits in Nigeria include; single rooms, double rooms, standard rooms, kings rooms, queens rooms, suites, presidential etc. also, the daily demand for rooms in the hotel cut across all the subunits of the hotel. A brief description of some subunits as given [4] is given below

 $k_1 \Rightarrow$ Single Room: A room with one or more beds that is allocated to one person. The size of the room or area measures $37m^2$ to $45m^2$.

 $k_2 \Rightarrow$ Double Room A room with one or more beds that is allocated to two people. The size of the room or area measures $40m^2$ to $45m^2$.

 $k_3 =$ Triple Room: A room with three twin beds or one double bed and one twin bed that can accommodate three people. The measurement is mostly $45m^2$ to $65m^2$.

 $k_4 \Rightarrow$ Quad Room: A room that can accommodate four people and measures $70m^2$ to $85m^2$.

 $k_5 =>$ Queen Room: A room that can accommodate one or more people with a queen-sized bed and measures $32m^2$ to $50m^2$.

 $k_6 =>$ King Room: A room that can accommodate one or more people with a king-sized bed and measures $32m^2$ to $50m^2$. $k_7 =>$ Double-double Room: A room that can accommodate two to four people with two double beds and measures $50m^2$ to

 $70m^2$.

 $k_8 =>$ Suite/ Executive Suite: One or more bedrooms are linked by a parlour or living room and measures $70m^2$ to $100m^2$. $k_9 =>$ Mini Suite/ Junior Suite: This is a single room that has a bed and sitting area. The sleeping area is sometimes in a bedroom separated from the parlour or living room and measures $60m^2$ to $80m^2$.

 $k_{10} =$ Presidential Suite: This is the most expensive room a hotel has to offer. Usually in a hotel, only one presidential suite is available. A presidential suite, similar to the normal suites, always has one or more bedrooms and a living area, with an emphasis on magnificent in-room decorating, high-quality facilities and supplies, and custom-tailored services. This measures $80m^2$ to $350m^2$.

Description and Derivation of the Demand Matrix.

The demand matrix tells us the number of rooms from each subunits that is in demand and the number of period(s) the room(s) will be in demand. If the total number of rooms in a hotel is K then $K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + \dots + k_n$. If 10 rooms are

in demand from subunit k_1 , such that

2 are in demand for 4 days

1 is in demand for 2 day

Corresponding Author: Izevbizua O., Email: orobosa.izevbizua@uniben.edu, Tel: +2348062334547 Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 62, (Oct. – Dec., 2021 Issue), 139–146

3 are in demand for 3 days 4 are in demand for 1 day Then we have

 $t_1 a_{11} x_1 + t_2 a_{12} x_1 + t_3 a_{13} x_1 + t_4 a_{14} x_1$

Where t_i is the number of period(s) in demand

 a_{11} is the number of rooms from subunit k_1 in demand for one period.

 a_{12} is the number of rooms from subunit k_1 in demand for two period.

 a_{13} is the number of rooms from subunit k_1 in demand for three period.

 a_{14} is the number of rooms from subunit k_1 in demand for four period.

 x_1 is the price for a room from subunit k_1 .

From the example $a_{11} = 4$, $a_{12} = 1$, $a_{13} = 3$, $a_{14} = 2$. If we extend the example to subunits k_2 , k_3 , k_4 we obtain a system of linear equations.

 $t_{1}a_{11}x_{1} + t_{2}a_{12}x_{1} + t_{3}a_{13}x_{1} + t_{4}a_{14}x_{4}$ $t_{1}a_{21}x_{2} + t_{2}a_{22}x_{2} + t_{3}a_{23}x_{2} + t_{4}a_{24}x_{2}$ $t_{1}a_{31}x_{3} + t_{2}a_{32}x_{3} + t_{3}a_{33}x_{3} + t_{4}a_{34}x_{3}$ $t_{1}a_{41}x_{4} + t_{2}a_{42}x_{4} + t_{3}a_{43}x_{4} + t_{4}a_{44}x_{3}$ In production forms the maximum density of the second of the

In matrix form, the rooms in demand will give

a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}
<i>a</i> ₂₁	<i>a</i> ₂₂	<i>a</i> ₂₃	a ₂₄
<i>a</i> ₃₁	<i>a</i> ₃₂	<i>a</i> ₃₃	<i>a</i> ₃₄
a_{41}	a_{42}	a_{43}	$a_{44})$
-		. 11	

Then extending to all subunits in the hotel

 $t_1 a_{11} x_1 + t_2 a_{12} x_1 + t_3 a_{13} x_1 + t_4 a_{14} x_4 + \dots$

 $t_1 a_{21} x_2 + t_2 a_{22} x_2 + t_3 a_{23} x_2 + t_4 a_{24} x_2 + \dots$

 $t_1 a_{31} x_3 + t_2 a_{32} x_3 + t_3 a_{33} x_3 + t_4 a_{34} x_3 + \dots$

 $t_1 a_{41} x_4 + t_2 a_{42} x_4 + t_3 a_{43} x_4 + t_4 a_{44} x_3 + \dots$

. . . .

. . .

 $t_1a_{n1}x_n + t_2a_{n2}x_n + t_3a_{n3}x_n + t_4a_{n4}x_n + \dots$ Which will yield the matrix

(a_{11})	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14} ;
a21	a_{22}	<i>a</i> ₂₃	<i>a</i> ₂₄
a ₃₁	a_{32}	<i>a</i> ₃₃	<i>a</i> ₃₄
a ₄₁	a_{42}	<i>a</i> ₄₃	<i>a</i> ₄₄
$\left(a_{n1}\right)$	a_{n2}	a_{n3}	$a_{n4})$

The dimension of the matrix depend on the room(s) with the highest period of demand. In the example above, the highest period of demand is 4 days, so the demand matrix is $n \times 4$. If it is 5 days then the dimension of the demand matrix will be $n \times 5$.

The total revenue function for a non regular fixed lifetime inventory like the hotel was obtained by [5] and is given as

Revenue function = $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} t_i a_{i,j} x_i - v \int_k^{\infty} (d-k) f(d) dd - \theta \int_0^k (k-d) f(d) dd + P$ (1)

Our interest is to use the demand matrix to compare the level of patronage between hotels. We use the data in [5] for four hotels A, B, C and D. Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4. Show the number of rooms, prices and subunits in the hotels

Table 1: Rooms/ prices in hotel A.

Table	1: Rooms/ prices							
	Room type		1	Number of room	IS	Price per room (N)		
	Single roon			10		4000		
	Double room	n		10		8000		
	Queen room	n		8		16000		
	King room	l		12			16000	
	Presidentia			1			28000	
able 2	: Rooms/ prices in	hotel B.						
	Room type			Number of rooms	5		Price per room	n (₦)
	Single room	1		15			4000	
	Double roon			10			9000	
	Queen room	1		10			15000	
	King room			10			15000	
	Suites		-	4			20000	
ahla2.	Presidential			1			30000	
ables:	Rooms/ prices in Room type			Number of rooms	3		Price per roor	n (₩)
	Single room			15			4000	X 7
	Double room			10			7500	
	Queen room			10			15000	
	King room			15			15000	
	Presidential			1			32000	
able 4	.: Rooms/ prices in	hotel D.						
	Room type			Number of rooms	3		Price per room	n (₩)
	Single room			15		4000		
	Double roon			10		9000		
	Queen room	1		10		15000		
	King room			10		15000		
	Suites			4		20000		
	Presidential		1 30000					
he reco able 5	units in hotels A an ord obtained from th : Number of room	ne hotels for a s in demand, o	week was anal lays in demai	lysed with the mondand revenue f	odel in (1) and rom hotel A .	d the resu	llt is shown in Tរ	
Day	Subunits	Number of	Price per	Rooms in	Rooms avai		Revenue from	Total Revenue
		rooms in subunits	room	demand from subunits	meet demar subun		subunits	for the Period
	Single room	10	4000	2(1)	2	105	64000	
1				8 4(2) 2(3)	_			144000
	Double room	10	8000	2(1)	8		16000	
	Queen room	8	16000	2(2)	6		64000	
	King room	12	16000	0	12		0	
	Presidential Single room	1 10	28000 4000	0	1 2		0 16000	
	Single room	10	4000	4(1) 2(2)	2		10000	
2	Double room	10	8000	2(2) 3(1)	7		24000	56000
	Queen room	8	16000	2(1)	6		0	50000
				0				
	King room	12	16000	1(1)	11		16000	
	Presidential	1	28000	1(1) 0	1		0	
3				1(1) 0 2(1) 2(1)				
3	Presidential	1	28000	1(1) 0 2(1)	1		0	120000
3	Presidential Single room	1 10 10 8	28000 4000 8000 16000	$ \begin{array}{r} 1(1) \\ 0 \\ 2(1) \\ 2(1) \\ \hline 6(1) \\ 4(1) \\ 1(1) \end{array} $	1 0 - 6 7		0 24000 32000 16000	120000
3	Presidential Single room Double room	1 10 10	28000 4000 8000	$ \begin{array}{r} 1(1) \\ 0 \\ 2(1) \\ 2(1) \\ \hline 6(1) \\ 4(1) \end{array} $	1 0 6		0 24000 32000	120000

Izevbizua and Nomuoja

Day	Subunits	Number of	Price	Rooms in	Rooms available	Revenue from	Total Revenue
		rooms in	per	demand from	to meet demand	subunits	for the Period
		subunits	room	subunits	from subunits		
	Single room	10	4000	5(1)	0	64000	
				10 4(2)			
4				1(3)			
	Double room	10	8000	6(1)	4	48000	176000
	Queen room	8	16000	4(1)	4	64000	
	King room	12	16000	0	12	0	
	Presidential	1	28000	0	1	0	
	Single room	10	4000	4(1)	0	20000	
	-			1(2)			
5				5(1)			
	Double room	10	8000	7(1)	3	56000	124000
	Queen room	8	16000	0	8	0	
	King room	12	16000	3(1)	9	48000	
	Presidential	1	28000	0	1	0	
	Single room	10	4000	1(1)	3	24000	
				6(1)			
6	Double room	10	8000	5(1)	5	40000	64000
	Queen room	8	16000	0	8	0	
	King room	12	16000	0	12	0	
	Presidential	1	28000	0	1	0	
	Single room	10	4000	8(1)	2	32000	
	Double room	10	8000	6(1)	4	48000]
7	Queen room	8	16000	2(1)	6	32000	160000
	King room	12	16000	3(1)	9	48000	1
	Presidential	1	28000	0	1	0	1

Table 6: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel B.

Day	Subunits	Number of rooms in subunits	Price per room	Rooms in demand from	Rooms available to meet demand from	Revenue from subunits	Total Revenue for the Period
		in subunits	TOOIII	subunits	subunits	subuilits	for the renou
	Single room	15	4000	4(1)	3	96000	
				12 4(2) 4(3)			
1	Double room	10	9000	5(1)	5	45000	216000
	Queen room	10	15000	2(1)	8	30000	
	King room	10	15000	3(1)	7	45000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	4(1)	2	20000	
				4(2)	_		
				5(1)			
2	Double room	10	9000	6 2(3)	4	90000	230000
	-			4(1)			
	Queen room	10	15000	4(1)	6	60000	
	King room	10	15000	4(1)	6	60000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	`4(1)	3	32000	
				8(1)			
	Double room	10	9000	2(2)	2	54000	
3				6(1)			221000
	Queen room	10	15000	4(1)	6	60000	
	King room	10	15000	5(1)	5	75000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	

Izevbizua and Nomuoja

Day	Subunits	Number of	Price per	Rooms in	Rooms available to	Revenue from	Total Revenue
		rooms in	room	demand from	meet demand from	subunits	for the Period
		subunits		subunits	subunits		
	Single room	15	4000	10(1)	5	40000	
	Double room	10	9000	2(1)	3	45000	
				5(1)			
4	Queen room	10	15000	2(1)	8	30000	115000
	King room	10	15000	0	10	0	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	12(1)	3	48000	
	Double room	10	9000	4(1)	6	36000	
	Queen room	10	15000	3(1)	7	45000	
5	King room	10	15000	4(1)	6	60000	189000
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	10(1)	5	40000	
	Double room	10	9000	5(1)	5	45000	
	Queen room	10	15000	6(1)	4	90000	
6	King room	10	15000	5(1)	5	75000	250000
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	11(1)	4	44000	
	Double room	10	9000	0	10	0	
	Queen room	10	15000	4(1)	6	60000	209000
7	King room	10	15000	7(1)	3	105000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	

Table 7: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel C.

Day	Subunits	Number of	Price per	Rooms in	Rooms available to	Revenue from	Total Revenue
		rooms in	room	demand from	meet demand from	subunits	for the Period
		subunits		subunits	subunits		
	Single room	15	4000	2(1)	10	40000	
				5 1(2)			
1				2(3)			
	Double room	10	7500	1(2)	9	15000	90000
	Queen room	10	15000	2(1)	8	20000	
	King room	15	15000	1(1)	14	15000	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	1(1)	4	32000	
	-			2(2)			
2				8(1)			
	Double room	10	7500	3(1)	7	22500	114500
	Queen room	10	15000	2(2)	8	60000	
	King room	15	15000	0	15	0	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	2(1)	4	68000	
	-			4(1)			
3				9 2(2)			
				3(3)			173000
	Double room	10	7500	2(1)	8	15000]
	Queen room	10	15000	0	10	0]
	King room	15	15000	3(2)	12	90000	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	

Izevbizua and Nomuoja

Day	Subunits	Number of rooms in subunits	Price per room	Rooms in demand from subunits	Rooms available to meet demand from subunits	Revenue from subunits	Total Revenue for the Period
	Single room	15	4000	2(1)	5	20000	
	Single room	15	1000	3(2)	5	20000	
4				5(1)	_		
[Double room	10	7500	2(2)	8	30000	125000
	Queen room	10	15000	1(1)	9	15000	
	King room	15	15000	2(2)	13	60000	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	3(1)	5	28000	
				7(1)			
5	Double room	10	7500	3(1)	7	22500	
	Queen room	10	15000	2(1)	8	30000	955000
	King room	15	15000	1(1)	14	15000	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	8(1)	7	32000	
	Double room	10	7500	3(2)	7	45000	
6	Queen room	10	15000	2(2)	8	60000	152000
	King room	15	15000	1(1)	14	15000	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	10(1)	5	40000	
Ι Γ	Double room	10	7500	3(1)	7	22500	
7	Queen room	10	15000	3(1)	7	45000	167500
	King room	15	15000	2(2)	13	60000	
	Presidential	1	32000	0	1	0	

Table 8: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel D.

Day	Subunits	Number of rooms in subunits	Price per room	Rooms in demand from subunits	Rooms available to meet demand from subunits	Revenue from subunits	Total Revenue for the Period
	Single room	15	4000	$ \begin{array}{c} 4(1) \\ 10 \\ 3(2) \\ 3(3) \end{array} $	5	76000	
1	Double room	10	9000	$2 \frac{1(1)}{1(2)}$	8	27000	223000
F	Queen room	10	15000	0	10	0	
	King room	10	15000	4 2(1) 2(3)	6	120000	
F	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	3(1) 3(2) 5(1)	4	20000	
2	Double room	10	9000	1(1) 	3	108000	233000
Ē	Queen room	10	15000	1(2)	9	30000	
	King room	10	15000	2(2) 5(1)	3	75000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	³ (1) 7(1)	5	28000	
3	Double room	10	9000	6(1) 	3	9000	97000
	Queen room	10	15000	1(1) 	7	30000	
Ē	King room	10	15000	2(1) 	6	30000	
ſ	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0]
Γ	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	

Izevbizua and Nomuoja

J. of NAMP

Day	Subunits	Number of rooms in subunits	Price per room	Rooms in demand from subunits	Rooms available to meet demand from subunits	Revenue from subunits	Total Revenue for the Period
	Single room	15	4000	4 2(2) 2(3)	11	40000	
4	Double room	10	9000	3 1(1) 2(2)	7	45000	145000
	Queen room	10	15000	2(1)	8	30000	
	King room	10	15000	2(1)	8	30000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	2(1) 2(2) 5(1)	6	20000	
5	Double room	10	9000	2(1)	8	0	230000
	Queen room	10	15000	6(1)	4	90000	
	King room	10	15000	4(2)	6	120000	
_	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
	Single room	15	4000	2(1) 	6	28000	
-	Double room	10	9000	0	10	0	
6	Queen room	10	15000	3(2)	7	90000	148000
	King room	10	15000	4(1) 1(2)	5	30000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	
Day	Subunits	Number of rooms in subunits	Price per room	Rooms in demand from subunits	Rooms available to meet demand from subunits	Revenue from subunits	Total Revenue for the Period
	Single room	15	4000	8(1)	7	32000	
Ē	Double room	10	9000	1(1)	9	9000	
7	Queen room	10	15000	<u>3(1)</u> 2(1)	5	30000	131000
Ī	King room	10	15000	<u> </u>	5	60000	
	Suites	4	20000	0	4	0	
	Presidential	1	30000	0	1	0	

Next we construct the demand matrix for hotels A and C for the first days and compare their level of patronage. Table 9: zero entries in hotels A and C.

Day	Hotel A	Hotel C
1	$ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 10zero entries	$ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 9zero entries
2	$ \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 10zero entries	$ \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 11zero entries
3	$ \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 12zero entries	$ \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 10zero entries
Total zeros	32 zero entries	30 zero entries

J. of NAMP

From Table 9 there are 32 zero entries in hotel A for the first three days and 30 zero entries for hotel C for the first three days, therefore hotel C has more patronage in the period under review.

Next we construct the demand matrix for hotels B and D.

Table 10: zero entries in hotels B and D.

Day	Hotel B	Hotel D
1	$ \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 12zero entries	$ \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 11zero entries
2	$ \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 12zero entries	$ \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 11zero entries
3	$ \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 13zero entries	$ \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $ 14zero entries
Total zero	37 zero	36 zero

From Table 10, hotel B has 37 zero entries after three days and hotel D has 36 zero entries after three days, hence hotel D had more patronage in the period under review.

Conclusion

The demand matrix is used by hotel managers as a tool to determine their level of patronage or customers inflow. It is the desire of hotel managers that the entries of the demand matrix should be non-zero entries, as a zero matrix or almost zero matrix will indicate no patronage or low patronage.

Also, the demand matrix can be used to compare the level of patronage (demand) between two hotels. The hotel with the most zero entries in its matrix of demand has lower patronage (demand) when compared with the hotel with the least zero entries in its matrix of demand.

References.

- [1] Izevbizua, O and Apanapudor, J.S (2019). Total revenue function for non regular fixed lifetime inventory system. Africa journal of Mathematics and Computer Sciences. Vol. 12 pp24-30.
- [2] Guenter Schamel (2012). Weekend vs Midweek Stays: Modelling Hotel Rooms Rates in a Small Market. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 31(4), 1113-1118.
- [3] Zhang, H., Zhang, J., Lu, S. and Cheng, S. (2011). Modelling Hotel Room Price with Geographically Weighted Regression. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 30, 1036-1043.
- [4] Radhamani, B, Sivakuman, B and Arivarignan, G (2021). A comparative study on replenishment policies for perishable inventory system with service facility and multiple server vacation. India journal of operational research. OPSEARCH. Vol 6, pp20-28.
- [5] Lotfi Tadj and Salah Djemili (2021). Joint optimization of the marketing and operations functions. India journal of operational research. OPSEARCH. Vol 34, pp 23 31.