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#### Abstract

The $\overline{\text { number of rooms in demand in a hotel and the period(s) they are in }}$ demand form a matrix we refer to as demand matrix. The number of zero entries in the demand matrix shows the level of patronage the hotel receives from its customers in a period. We use the demand matrix to compare the level of patronage between four hotels with the same subunits.
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## Introduction.

It is the desire of hotel managers to maintain high level of patronage from its customers. Hotels will go out of their way to satisfy a customer so he/she can always come back. The total number of rooms in a hotel is fixed and subdivided into units $[1,2,3]$. Some common subunits in Nigeria include; single rooms, double rooms, standard rooms, kings rooms, queens rooms, suites, presidential etc. also, the daily demand for rooms in the hotel cut across all the subunits of the hotel. A brief description of some subunits as given [4] is given below
$k_{1}=>$ Single Room: A room with one or more beds that is allocated to one person. The size of the room or area measures $37 m^{2}$ to $45 m^{2}$.
$k_{2}=>$ Double Room A room with one or more beds that is allocated to two people. The size of the room or area measures $40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $45 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
$k_{3}=>$ Triple Room: A room with three twin beds or one double bed and one twin bed that can accommodate three people. The measurement is mostly $45 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $65 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
$k_{4}=>$ Quad Room: A room that can accommodate four people and measures $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $85 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
$k_{5} \Rightarrow$ Queen Room: A room that can accommodate one or more people with a queen-sized bed and measures $32 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. $k_{6} \Rightarrow>$ King Room: A room that can accommodate one or more people with a king-sized bed and measures $32 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. $k_{7}=>$ Double-double Room: A room that can accommodate two to four people with two double beds and measures $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $70 m^{2}$.
$k_{8} \Rightarrow>$ Suite/ Executive Suite: One or more bedrooms are linked by a parlour or living room and measures $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. $k_{9} \Rightarrow>$ Mini Suite/ Junior Suite: This is a single room that has a bed and sitting area. The sleeping area is sometimes in a bedroom separated from the parlour or living room and measures $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
$k_{10}=>$ Presidential Suite: This is the most expensive room a hotel has to offer. Usually in a hotel, only one presidential suite is available. A presidential suite, similar to the normal suites, always has one or more bedrooms and a living area, with an emphasis on magnificent in-room decorating, high-quality facilities and supplies, and custom-tailored services. This measures $80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $350 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

## Description and Derivation of the Demand Matrix.

The demand matrix tells us the number of rooms from each subunits that is in demand and the number of period(s) the room(s) will be in demand. If the total number of rooms in a hotel is $K$ then $K=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+\ldots \ldots+k_{n}$. If 10 rooms are in demand from subunit $k_{1}$, such that
2 are in demand for 4 days
1 is in demand for 2 day
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3 are in demand for 3 days
4 are in demand for 1 day
Then we have
$t_{1} a_{11} x_{1}+t_{2} a_{12} x_{1}+t_{3} a_{13} x_{1}+t_{4} a_{14} x_{1}$
Where $t_{i}$ is the number of period(s) in demand
$a_{11}$ is the number of rooms from subunit $k_{1}$ in demand for one period.
$a_{12}$ is the number of rooms from subunit $k_{1}$ in demand for two period.
$a_{13}$ is the number of rooms from subunit $k_{1}$ in demand for three period.
$a_{14}$ is the number of rooms from subunit $k_{1}$ in demand for four period.
$x_{1}$ is the price for a room from subunit $k_{1}$.
From the example $a_{11}=4, a_{12}=1, a_{13}=3, a_{14}=2$. If we extend the example to subunits $k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}$ we obtain a system of linear equations.
$t_{1} a_{11} x_{1}+t_{2} a_{12} x_{1}+t_{3} a_{13} x_{1}+t_{4} a_{14} x_{4}$
$t_{1} a_{21} x_{2}+t_{2} a_{22} x_{2}+t_{3} a_{23} x_{2}+t_{4} a_{24} x_{2}$
$t_{1} a_{31} x_{3}+t_{2} a_{32} x_{3}+t_{3} a_{33} x_{3}+t_{4} a_{34} x_{3}$
$t_{1} a_{41} x_{4}+t_{2} a_{42} x_{4}+t_{3} a_{43} x_{4}+t_{4} a_{44} x_{3}$
In matrix form, the rooms in demand will give
$\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44}\end{array}\right)$
Then extending to all subunits in the hotel
$t_{1} a_{11} x_{1}+t_{2} a_{12} x_{1}+t_{3} a_{13} x_{1}+t_{4} a_{14} x_{4}+\ldots$
$t_{1} a_{21} x_{2}+t_{2} a_{22} x_{2}+t_{3} a_{23} x_{2}+t_{4} a_{24} x_{2}+\ldots$
$t_{1} a_{31} x_{3}+t_{2} a_{32} x_{3}+t_{3} a_{33} x_{3}+t_{4} a_{34} x_{3}+\ldots$
$t_{1} a_{41} x_{4}+t_{2} a_{42} x_{4}+t_{3} a_{43} x_{4}+t_{4} a_{44} x_{3}+\ldots$
. .
$t_{1} a_{n 1} x_{n}+t_{2} a_{n 2} x_{n}+t_{3} a_{n 3} x_{n}+t_{4} a_{n 4} x_{n}+\ldots$
Which will yield the matrix
$\left(\begin{array}{llll}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \ldots ; \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \cdots \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \ldots \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & a_{n 3} & a_{n 4} \ldots\end{array}\right)$
The dimension of the matrix depend on the room(s) with the highest period of demand. In the example above, the highest period of demand is 4 days, so the demand matrix is $n \times 4$. If it is 5 days then the dimension of the demand matrix will be
$n \times 5$.
The total revenue function for a non regular fixed lifetime inventory like the hotel was obtained by [5] and is given as
Revenue function $=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} t_{i} a_{i, j} x_{i}-v \int_{k}^{\infty}(d-k) f(d) d d-\theta \int_{0}^{k}(k-d) f(d) d d+P$
Our interest is to use the demand matrix to compare the level of patronage between hotels. We use the data in [5] for four hotels A, B, C and D. Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4. Show the number of rooms, prices and subunits in the hotels

Table 1: Rooms/ prices in hotel A.

| Room type | Number of rooms | Price per room (\#) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single room | 10 | 4000 |
| Double room | 10 | 8000 |
| Queen room | 8 | 16000 |
| King room | 12 | 16000 |
| Presidential | 1 | 28000 |

Table 2: Rooms/ prices in hotel B.

| Room type | Number of rooms | Price per room (丸) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single room | 15 | 4000 |
| Double room | 10 | 9000 |
| Queen room | 10 | 15000 |
| King room | 10 | 15000 |
| Suites | 4 | 20000 |
| Presidential | 1 | 30000 |

Table3: Rooms/ prices in hotel C.

| Room type | Number of rooms | Price per room (\#) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single room | 15 | 4000 |
| Double room | 10 | 7500 |
| Queen room | 10 | 15000 |
| King room | 15 | 15000 |
| Presidential | 1 | 32000 |

Table 4.: Rooms/ prices in hotel D.

| Room type | Number of rooms | Price per room (\#) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single room | 15 | 4000 |
| Double room | 10 | 9000 |
| Queen room | 10 | 15000 |
| King room | 10 | 15000 |
| Suites | 4 | 20000 |
| Presidential | 1 | 30000 |

The subunits in hotels A and C are the same and can be compared while the subunits in B and D are the same.
The record obtained from the hotels for a week was analysed with the model in (1) and the result is shown in Tables 5, 6, 7 and 8. Table 5: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel A.

| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | $8 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2(1) \\ & 4(2) \\ & 2(3)\end{aligned}\right.$ | 2 | 64000 | 144000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 8000 | 2(1) | 8 | 16000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 | 16000 | 2(2) | 6 | 64000 |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential |  | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | 4(1) | 2 | 16000 | 56000 |
|  |  |  |  | 2(2) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2(2) |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8000 \\ & \hline 16000 \end{aligned}$ | 3(1) | 7 | 24000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 |  | 2(1) | 6 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 1(1) | 11 | 16000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 3 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | 2(1) | 0 | 24000 | 120000 |
|  |  |  |  | 2(1) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $6(1)$ |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 8000 | 4(1) | 6 | 32000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 | 16000 | 1(1) | 7 | 16000 |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 3(1) | 9 | 48000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
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| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline & 5(1) \\ 10 & 4(2) \\ & 1(3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | 64000 | 176000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 8000 | 6(1) | 4 | 48000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 | 16000 | 4(1) | 4 | 64000 |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4(1) \\ & 1(2) \\ & \hline 5(1) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 20000 | 124000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 8000 | 7(1) | 3 | 56000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 | 16000 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 3(1) | 9 | 48000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 6 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | 1(1) | 3 | 24000 | 64000 |
|  |  |  |  | 6(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 8000 | 5(1) | 5 | 40000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 | 16000 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 7 | Single room | 10 | 4000 | 8(1) | 2 | 32000 | 160000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 8000 | 6(1) | 4 | 48000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 8 | 16000 | 2(1) | 6 | 32000 |  |
|  | King room | 12 | 16000 | 3(1) | 9 | 48000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 28000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

Table 6: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel B.

| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rooms in } \\ & \text { demand from } \\ & \text { subunits } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{array}{r} 4(1) \\ 124(2) \\ 4(3) \end{array}$ | 3 | 96000 | 216000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 5(1) | 5 | 45000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | $2(1)$ | 8 | 30000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 3(1) | 7 | 45000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4(1) \\ & 4(2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 20000 | 230000 |
|  |  |  |  | 5(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6 \not(3) \\ 4(1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | 90000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 4(1) | 6 | 60000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 4(1) | 6 | 60000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 3 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 4(1) | 3 | 32000 | 221000 |
|  |  |  |  | 8(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 2(2) | 2 | 54000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 4(1) | 6 | 60000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 5(1) | 5 | 75000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
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| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 10(1) | 5 | 40000 | 115000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 2(1) | 3 | 45000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 2(1) | 8 | 30000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 12(1) | 3 | 48000 |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 4(1) | 6 | 36000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 3(1) | 7 | 45000 |  |
| 5 | King room | 10 | 15000 | 4(1) | 6 | 60000 | 189000 |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 10(1) | 5 | 40000 |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 5(1) | 5 | 45000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 6(1) | 4 | 90000 |  |
| 6 | King room | 10 | 15000 | 5(1) | 5 | 75000 | 250000 |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 11(1) | 4 | 44000 |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 4(1) | 6 | 60000 | 209000 |
| 7 | King room | 10 | 15000 | 7(1) | 3 | 105000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

Table 7: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel C.

| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $5 \begin{aligned} & 2(1) \\ & 5(2) \\ & 2(3) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 40000 | 90000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | 1(2) | 9 | 15000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 2(1) | 8 | 20000 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 1(1) | 14 | 15000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1(1) \\ & 2(2) \\ & 8(1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 32000 | 114500 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | 3(1) | 7 | 22500 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 2(2) | 8 | 60000 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 0 | 15 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 3 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 2(1) | 4 | 68000 | 173000 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l}  & 4(1) \\ 9 & 2(2) \\ 3(3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | \&(1) | 8 | 15000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 3(2) | 12 | 90000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 62, (Oct. - Dec., 2021 Issue), 139-146

| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2(1) \\ & 3(2) \\ & 5(1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 20000 | 125000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | 2(2) | 8 | 30000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 1(1) | 9 | 15000 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 2(2) | 13 | 60000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 3(1) | 5 | 28000 | 955000 |
|  |  |  |  | 7(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | 3(1) | 7 | 22500 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 2(1) | 8 | 30000 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 1(1) | 14 | 15000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 6 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 8(1) | 7 | 32000 | 152000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | 3(2) | 7 | 45000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 2(2) | 8 | 60000 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 1(1) | 14 | 15000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 7 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 10(1) | 5 | 40000 | 167500 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 7500 | 3(1) | 7 | 22500 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 3(1) | 7 | 45000 |  |
|  | King room | 15 | 15000 | 2(2) | 13 | 60000 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 32000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

Table 8: Number of rooms in demand, days in demand and revenue from hotel $D$.

| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rooms in } \\ & \text { demand from } \\ & \text { subunits } \end{aligned}$ | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 4(1) \\ 1 \phi 3(2) \\ 3(3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | 76000 | 223000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | $2 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1(1) \\ & 1(2) \end{aligned}\right.$ | 8 | 27000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | $\begin{array}{r} 42(1) \\ 2(3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6 | 120000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{array}{r} 3(1) \\ 3(2) \\ 5(1) \end{array}$ | 4 | 20000 | 233000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1(1) \\ & 6(2) \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 108000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 1(2) | 9 | 30000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2(2) \\ & 5(1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 75000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 3 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{gathered} 3(1) \\ 7(1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 28000 | 97000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | $\begin{gathered} 6(1) \\ 1(1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 9000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1(1) \\ & 2(1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 30000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2(1) \\ & 2(1) \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 30000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
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| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42(2) \\ 2(3) \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 40000 | 145000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1(1) \\ & 2(2) \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 45000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 2(1) | 8 | 30000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 2(1) | 8 | 30000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2(1) \\ & 2(2) \\ & 5(1) \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 20000 | 230000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2(1) \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 0 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 6(1) | 4 | 90000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 4(2) | 6 | 120000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 6 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2(1) \\ & 7(1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 28000 | 148000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 3(2) | 7 | 90000 |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | $\begin{aligned} & 4(1) \\ & 1(2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 30000 |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Day | Subunits | Number of rooms in subunits | Price per room | Rooms in demand from subunits | Rooms available to meet demand from subunits | Revenue from subunits | Total Revenue for the Period |
| 7 | Single room | 15 | 4000 | 8(1) | 7 | 32000 | 131000 |
|  | Double room | 10 | 9000 | 1(1) | 9 | 9000 |  |
|  | Queen room | 10 | 15000 | 3(1) | 5 | 30000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2(1) |  |  |  |
|  | King room | 10 | 15000 | 1(1) | 5 | 60000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4(1) |  |  |  |
|  | Suites | 4 | 20000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
|  | Presidential | 1 | 30000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

Next we construct the demand matrix for hotels A and C for the first days and compare their level of patronage.
Table 9: zero entries in hotels A and C.

| Day | Hotel A | Hotel C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 10zero entries | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
| 2 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}4 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 10zero entries | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}9 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
| 3 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}10 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 12zero entries |  |
| Total zeros | 32 zero entries | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}6 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
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From Table 9 there are 32 zero entries in hotel A for the first three days and 30 zero entries for hotel C for the first three days, therefore hotel C has more patronage in the period under review.

Next we construct the demand matrix for hotels B and D.
Table 10: zero entries in hotels B and D.

| Day | Hotel B | Hotel D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \quad$ 12zero entries | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}4 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 11zero entries |
| 2 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}9 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 12zero entries | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}8 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 11zero entries |
| 3 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}12 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 13zero entries | $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ 14zero entries |
| Total zero | 37 zero | 36 zero |

From Table 10, hotel B has 37 zero entries after three days and hotel $D$ has 36 zero entries after three days, hence hotel D had more patronage in the period under review.

## Conclusion

The demand matrix is used by hotel managers as a tool to determine their level of patronage or customers inflow. It is the desire of hotel managers that the entries of the demand matrix should be non-zero entries, as a zero matrix or almost zero matrix will indicate no patronage or low patronage.
Also, the demand matrix can be used to compare the level of patronage (demand) between two hotels. The hotel with the most zero entries in its matrix of demand has lower patronage (demand) when compared with the hotel with the least zero entries in its matrix of demand
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