

THE WEBB TOPOLOGY

Sunday Oluyemi

Odo-Koto, Aiyedaade, Ilorin South LGA, Kwara State, NIGERIA.

Abstract

(i) *We show that a seminorm on a topological vector space is sequentially continuous if and only if it is sequentially continuous at the zero of the space.*

(ii) *We associate with a separated locally convex space (V_K, τ) a topology, τ^+ , which we call its Webb topology, (John H. Webb [4]) and give a sequential description of the seminorm generators of τ^+ .*

Keywords: seminorm, sequentially continuous.

1. LANGUAGE AND NOTATION

Our language and notation shall be pretty standard as found, for example in the great classics [1] and [2].

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ – the natural numbers,

$\mathbb{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ – the real numbers,

$\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ – the complex numbers,

$K = (K, +, \cdot, 0, 1) = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

We assume familiarity with elements of *General Topology*(GT) and with the elements of *Topological Vector Spaces* (TVS) from which we freely use needed results. We signify by /// the end or absence of a proof.

Our vector space $(V, +, \theta)_K = V_K$ with zero θ shall have K as its field of scalars.

Let $X \neq \emptyset$. We write $(x_i)_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ for a net in X based on the directed set (I, \leq) , and so a sequence in X shall be written $(x_n)_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $x_0 \in X$. By $N_{x_0}(\tau)$ we denote the collection of all the neighbourhoods of x_0 , called the neighbourhood system of x_0 , or, the filter of neighbourhoods of x_0 . By a local base of neighbourhood at x_0 is meant a subfamily $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(\tau)$ of $N_{x_0}(\tau)$ such that for every $V \in N_{x_0}(\tau)$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}(\tau)$ such that $U \subseteq V$. A net $(x_i)_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ in (X, τ) , converges to x_0 if it is eventually in every $V \in N_{x_0}(\tau)$. And we write

$$x_i \xrightarrow{\tau} x_0.$$

Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a topological vector space. A net in (V_K, τ) converging to θ shall be called a null net.

FACT 1(TVS) Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a topological vector space, $a \in V_K$ and $(x_i)_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ a net in (V_K, τ) . Then,

(i) $x_i \xrightarrow{\tau} a \Rightarrow x_i - a \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta$

(ii) $x_i \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta \Rightarrow x_i + a \xrightarrow{\tau} a$

(ii) $x_i \xrightarrow{\tau} a \Leftrightarrow x_i - a \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta$. ///

FACT 2 (TVS) Let V_K be a vector space, and $p : V_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a seminorm. Then, for $x, y \in V_K$,

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq p(x - y). ///$$

Let (X, τ) and (X', τ') be topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$. A map (function) $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \tau')$ is said to be continuous

Corresponding Author: Sunday O., Email: soluyemi19@yahoo.com, Tel: +2348160865176

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 62, (Oct. – Dec., 2021 Issue), 29 –34

$((\tau, \tau')$ -continuous) at x_0 if for every $V \in \mathcal{N}_{f(x_0)}(\tau')$ we have $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}(\tau)$. And f is said to be *continuous* $((\tau, \tau')$ -continuous) if it is continuous at all $x \in X$.

FACT 3 (GT) Let (X, τ) and (X', τ') be topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$. The map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \tau')$ is continuous at x_0 if and only if for every net $(x_i)_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ in (X, τ) converging to x_0 , the net $(f(x_i))_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ in (X', τ') converges to $f(x_0)$. ///

FACT 4 (TVS) Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ and $((V', +, \theta')_K, \tau') = (V_{K'}, \tau')$ be topological vector spaces. A linear map $f : (V_K, \tau) \rightarrow (V_{K'}, \tau')$ is continuous if and only if it is continuous at θ . ///

FACT 5 (TVS) Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a topological vector space and $p : (V_K, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a seminorm. Then, p is continuous if and only if p is continuous at θ . ///

Let (X, τ) and (X', τ') be topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \tau')$ is said to be *sequentially continuous* at x_0 if for every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$ in (X, τ) converging to x_0 , the sequence $(f(x_n))_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$ in (X', τ') converges to $f(x_0)$.

The map f is simply called *sequentially continuous* if it is sequentially continuous at every $x \in X$.

Immediate from FACT 3, therefore, is

FACT 6 (GT) *Continuity implies sequential continuity* Let (X, τ) and (X', τ') be topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$. and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow$

(X', τ') a map. Then,

(i) f is continuous at x_0 implies it is sequentially continuous at x_0 ,

and

(ii) f is continuous implies it is sequentially continuous. ///

If $p : V_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a seminorm on a vector space V_K , it induces a pseudometric

$$dp : V_K \times V_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(v, w) \mapsto p(v - w)$$

on V_K . The pseudometric topology, τ_{dp} of dp , is called the *topology of the seminorm p* . We here simply write τ_p for τ_{dp} .

FACT 7 (TVS) [3] τ_p is a vector topology, and so, (V_K, τ_p) is a topological vector space. ///

Example 8 Consider the real field $\mathbb{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$, and the vector space $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The absolute value function

$$|| : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \mapsto |x|$$

is a seminorm (indeed, a norm) on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The topology $\tau_{||}$ is called \mathbb{R} 's *usual topology*. And we here denote it $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Observation 9 It is important to note, in what follows, that *sequential convergence in* $(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}})$ is nothing other than the sequential convergence in \mathbb{R} of Elementary Real Analysis — $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ iff when ever given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$.

FACT 10 (TVS) Let $p : V_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a seminorm on the vector space V_K . Let $(x_i)_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ be a net in V_K and $x \in V_K$. Then,

$$x_i \xrightarrow{\tau_p} x \Leftrightarrow p(x_i - x) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{R}}} 0. ///$$

COROLLARY 11 Let $(x_n)_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{R}}} x \Leftrightarrow |x_n - x| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. ///$$

FACT 12 (GT) Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and τ_1, τ_2 topologies on X . If

(i) $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$

and

(ii) τ_1 is separated,

then, τ_2 is also separated. ///

FACT 13 (TVS) Let V_K be a vector space, and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq V_K$. Then, A is absolutely convex if and only if $\lambda A + \mu A \subseteq A$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$, $|\lambda| + |\mu| \leq 1$.

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 62, (Oct. – Dec., 2021 Issue), 29 –34

In particular, if A is absolutely convex, then

$$\frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2}A \subseteq A. ///$$

Definition 14 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space. Call, an absolutely convex absorbing $U \subseteq V_K$ in which every τ -null sequence eventually lies, a *sequential neighbourhood of zero*.

FACT 15 (TVS) Let $(V, +, \theta)_K = V_K$ be a vector space, and \mathcal{B} a filterbase in V_K of absolutely convex absorbing sets such that for every $V \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U + U \subseteq V$. Then, there exists a unique topology, τ , on V_K , making (V_K, τ) a locally convex space, and for which \mathcal{B} is a local base of balanced convex neighbourhood of θ . ///

FACT 16 (TVS) Let $(V, +, \theta)_K = V_K$ be a vector space, and suppose τ_1 and τ_2 are vector topologies on V_K . Then, $N_{\theta}(\tau_1) \subseteq N_{\theta}(\tau_2) \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. ///

Let $X \neq \emptyset$, and suppose Φ is a collection of topologies on X . Let ζ be a topology on X such that $\zeta \geq \tau$ for all $\tau \in \Phi$

$$\dots(\Delta)$$

There exists a coarsest among such ζ of (Δ) ; this coarsest is called the *supremum* of Φ and denoted $\vee\Phi$.

FACT 17(GT) Let $X \neq \emptyset$, Φ a collection of topologies on X , $x \in X$, and $(x_i)_{i \in (I, \leq)}$ a net in X . Then,

$$x_i \xrightarrow{\vee\Phi} x \text{ if and only if } x_i \xrightarrow{\tau} x \text{ for each } \tau \in \Phi. ///$$

FACT 18 (TVS) Let V_K be a vector space, and Φ a collection of topologies on V_K .

- (i) If Φ comprises vector topologies, then $\vee\Phi$ is a vector topology on V_K .
- (ii) If Φ comprises locally convex topologies, then $\vee\Phi$ is a locally convex topology. ///

Notation 19 Let $(V, +, \theta)_K = V_K$ be a vector space, and p a seminorm on V_K . Define $p(\leq 1) \equiv \{v \in V_K : p(v) \leq 1\}$.

FACT 20 (TVS) Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a topological vector space, and $p : (V_K, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}})$ a seminorm on V_K . Then, p is continuous if and only if $p(\leq 1) \in N_{\theta}(\tau)$. ///

Let V_K be a vector space, and p a seminorm on V_K . In the paragraph preceding FACT 7, we denoted by τ_p the (psseudometric) topology of p . Let P be a collection of seminorms on V_K . We shall here denote by τ_P the supremum $\vee\{\tau_p : p \in P\}$.

FACT 21 (TVS) Let (V_K, τ) be a locally convex space. Then,

- (i) There exists a collection P of seminorms on V_K such that $\tau = \tau_P$, and
- (ii) In (i), P can be taken to be the collection of all τ -continuous seminorms. ///

Language 22 The (i) of the above FACT 21 is usually phrased by saying that, *a locally convex topology can be generated by a collection of seminorms*. The (ii) is usually phrased thus: *A locally convex topology τ can be generated by the collection P of all τ -continuous seminorms*.

2 SEMINORM, SEQUENTIAL CONTINUITY Here we stare and establish the (i) of the *Abstract*. In what follow, in (Δ^1) . 0 is the zero of the reals $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$

THEOREM 1 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a topological vector space, and $p : (V_K, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}})$ a seminorm on (V_K, τ) . Then, p is sequentially continuous if and only if it is sequentially continuous at θ .

Proof The implication \Rightarrow is immediate from definition. For the implication \Leftarrow , we have the

Hypothesis p is sequentially continuous at θ .

We want to show that p is everywhere sequentially continuous. So:

Suppose $x_0 \in V_K$ and $(x_n)_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$ is a sequence in (V_K, τ) converging to x_0

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{That is, } x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x_0, \text{ and so by 1.1,} \\ x_n - x_0 \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta. \end{array} \right\} \dots(*)$$

$$x_n - x_0 \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta.$$

By the *Hypothesis*, therefore,

$$p(x_n - x_0) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{R}}} p(\theta) = 0 \dots(\Delta^1)$$

By 1.2,

$$|p(x_n) - p(x_0)| \leq p(x_n - x_0) \quad \dots(\Delta^2)$$

Clearly, by 1.9, (Δ^1) and (Δ^2) give

$$p(x_n) \xrightarrow{t_R} p(x_0) \quad \dots(\Delta^3)$$

Clearly, $(*)$ and (Δ^3) show that p is sequentially continuous at x_0 . ///

Note 2 The preceding THEOREM 1 is an analogue of the popular.

THEOREM Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ and $(V_{K'}, \tau')$ be topological vector spaces, and $f: (V_K, \tau) \rightarrow (V_{K'}, \tau')$ a linear map.

Then, f is (τ, τ') -continuous if and only if it is (τ, τ') -continuous at θ . ///

Note 3 An application of THEOREM 1 appears in the proof of the forward implication \Rightarrow , of THEOREM 3.5.

3 THE WEBB TOPOLOGY Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space, and \mathcal{B} the collection of all the sequential neighbourhoods of zero (1.14). One sees easily that \mathcal{B} is a filterbase in V_K , and that if $V \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U + U \subseteq V$. By 1.15, there exists a unique topology, τ^+ , making (V_K, τ^+) a locally convex space, and for which \mathcal{B} is a local base of neighbourhoods of θ .

Before stating our first theorem, we trivially note that

FACT 1 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space. Then,

- (i) an absolutely convex $U \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(\tau)$ is a sequential neighbourhood of zero, and
- (ii) (V_K, τ) has a local base of neighbourhoods of θ with members sequential neighbourhoods of zero. ///

THEOREM 2 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space. Then, $\tau \leq \tau^+$, and so τ^+ is also separated.

Proof Denote by \mathcal{B} the τ -sequential neighbourhoods of zero. By FACT 1(ii), (V_K, τ) has a local base of neighbourhoods, $\mathcal{R}_\theta(\tau)$, of θ , with members sequential neighbourhoods of zero. Hence, $\mathcal{R}_\theta(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\theta(\tau^+)$.

Hence, $\mathcal{R}_\theta(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\theta(\tau^+)$, and since $\mathcal{N}_\theta(\tau^+)$ is a filter, it follows that $\mathcal{N}_\theta(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\theta(\tau^+)$.

By 1.16, therefore, $\tau \leq \tau^+$. That τ^+ is also separated is by 1.12. ///

THEOREM 3 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space. Then,

- (i) τ and τ^+ have same convergent sequences,
- (ii) τ^+ is the finest locally convex topology on V_K having same convergent sequences with same limits, as τ .

Proof (i): That a τ^+ -convergent sequence is τ -convergent is immediate since $\tau \leq \tau^+$. Now suppose the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$ in V_K τ -converges to $x \in V_K$. That is,

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x \quad \dots(\rho^1)$$

By 1.1., therefore, (ρ^1) gives

$$x_n - x \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta \quad \dots(\rho^2)$$

By the definition of a sequential neighbourhood of zero it follows from (ρ^2) that

$$x_n - x \xrightarrow{\tau^+} \theta \quad \dots(\rho^3)$$

Clearly, 1.1 and (ρ^3) again give

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau^+} x$$

(ii): **I** Let Φ be the collection of all the separated locally convex topologies on V_K having same convergent sequences, with same limits, as τ . Then, by now familiar arguments,

(i) $\vee\Phi$ is separated and locally convex,

(ii) $\vee\Phi$ has same convergent sequences, with same limits, as τ .

II Immediate from **I** is that

$$\tau^+ \leq \vee\Phi$$

III Let $\mathcal{B}_\theta(\vee\Phi)$ be a local base of neighbourhoods of θ of $\vee\Phi$, and suppose

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta \quad \dots(\Sigma)$$

By **I(ii)**, also,

$$x_n \rightarrow \theta \text{ in } \vee\Phi.$$

Hence, if $U \in \mathcal{B}_\theta(\vee\Phi)$, then, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually in U . By (Σ) , therefore, U is a τ -sequential neighbourhood of θ . Hence, we have shown that $\mathcal{B}_\theta(\vee\Phi) \subseteq N_\theta(\tau^+)$, from which follows that

$$N_\theta(\vee\Phi) \subseteq N_\theta(\tau^+) \quad \dots(\nabla)$$

From (∇) and by now familiar arguments, follows that

$$\vee\Phi \leq \tau^+ \quad \dots(\nabla\nabla)$$

IV Clearly, **II** and the preceding $(\nabla\nabla)$ give that τ^+ is the finest separated locally convex topology on V_K having same convergent sequences, with same limits, as τ . ///

Definition 4 We shall call a separated locally convex space (V_K, τ) a *Webb space* if $\tau = \tau^+$.

The *Webb topology* τ^+ of a separated locally convex space (V_K, τ) has been extensively studied by John H. Webb in [4] and by Albert Wilansky in [2], and also by R.F. Snipes in [5] who calls what we here call a Webb space a *C-sequential space*.

THEOREM 5 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space, and Φ the collection of all separated locally convex topologies on V_K having same convergent sequences with same limits as τ . Then, $\tau^+ = \vee\Phi$.

Proof See the proof of THEOREM 3(II) above. ///

THEOREM 6 Let $((V, +, \theta)_K, \tau) = (V_K, \tau)$ be a separated locally convex space, and p a seminorm on V_K . Then, p is τ^+ -continuous if and only if it is τ -sequentially continuous.

Proof \Rightarrow : *Hypothesis* p is τ^+ -continuous.

By 1.6, therefore, p is τ^+ -sequentially continuous. Hence,

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau^+} \theta \Rightarrow p(x_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_R} p(\theta) \quad \dots(\rho^5)$$

But by THEOREM 3(i)

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau^+} \theta \Rightarrow x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta,$$

from which follows by (ρ^5) that

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta \Rightarrow p(x_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_R} p(\theta) = 0.$$

And so, p is τ -sequentially continuous at θ . By 2.1, therefore, p is τ -sequentially continuous.

\Leftarrow : *Hypothesis* p is τ -sequentially continuous.

We want to show that p is τ^+ -continuous. Since by definition, the τ -sequential neighbourhoods of zero constitute a τ^+ -local base of neighbourhoods of θ , it suffices by 1.20 to show that $p(\leq 1)$ is a τ -sequential neighbourhood of zero. So, suppose

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta \quad \dots(\rho^6)$$

By *Hypothesis*, it follows from (ρ^6) that

$$p(x_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_R} p(\theta) = 0$$

and so eventually $(x_n)_{n \in (\mathbb{N}, \leq)}$ shall lie in $p(\leq 1)$. One checks easily that $p(\leq 1)$ is absolutely convex and absorbing. ///

A corollary of THEOREM 6 and 1.21(ii) is

THEOREM 7 Let (V_K, τ) be a separated locally convex space. Then, $\tau^+ = \vee \{ \tau_p : p \text{ is a seminorm on } V_K, p \text{ is } \tau\text{-sequentially continuous} \}$. ///

A corollary of THEOREM 6 and THEOREM 7 is

THEOREM 8 A separated locally convex space is a Webb space if and only if the sequentially continuous seminorms are the continuous seminorms. ///

Remark 9 We furnish elsewhere an application of THEOREM 8.

REFERENCES

- [1] John Horvath, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions I*, Addison-Wesley 1966.
- [2] Albert Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, McGrawHill, 1976.
- [3] Sunday Oluyemi, *The pseudometric topology of a seminorm is a vector topology*, Journal of NAMP, Volume 12, (July, - September, 2020 Issue) pp. 1- 10.
- [4] John H. Webb, *Sequential convergence in locally convex spaces*, Proceedings Cambridge Philo. Soc. 64(1968), 341 – 364.
- [5] R. F. Snipes, *C-sequential and S-bornological spaces*. Math. Ann. 209(1973), 273 – 283.