Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics
***Volume 60* (April – June *2021 Issue*), pp51 –68**
© J. of NAMP

A FIVE STEP COLLOCATION PROCEDURE BY MEANS OF PERTURBATION TERM WITH APPLICATION TO SOLVE SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION.

[1] Aigbiremhon A. A. and [2] Usuomon J.

[1] Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Igueben, Edo State, Nigeria.
[2] Department of Physics, College of Education, Igueben, Edo State, Nigeria.

Abstract

In this paper, we developed a new method within an interval of five for numerical solution of second order ordinary differential equations in all tertiary institutions including Edo State. Interpolation and collocation procedures was used by choosing interpolation points at $s = 2$ steps points using power series, while collocation points at $r = k$ step points. The method adopts a combination of power series and perturbation terms gotten from the Legendre polynomials, giving rise to a polynomial of degree $r + s - 2$ and $r + s$ equations. All the analysis on the scheme derived shows that it is stable, convergent and has region of Absolute Stability, with order of accuracy P=4. Numerical examples were provided to test the performance of the method. The developed method was used to solve problems ranging from linear, non-linear and stiff Problems to test the applicability of the new method. Results obtained when compared with existing methods in the literature, shows that the method is accurate and efficient.

***Keywords*:** Five-steps, Block method, Legendre Polynomials, Collocation, Interpolation, zero stability, convergence and absolutely stable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Numerous problems in many field of application, notably in physics, chemistry, biology, engineering and social sciences are modeled mathematically by ordinary differential equation (ODEs) e.g. series circuits, mechanical systems with several springs attached in series lead to a system of differential equation. Abualnaja[1] and also in diverse fields like economics, medicine, psychology, operation research and even in anthropology are modeled mathematically. Anake[2].

Interestingly, some differential equations arising from the modeling of physical phenomena, often do not have analytic solutions, hence the development of numerical method to obtain approximate solutions become necessary. Ehigie et al[3]. To that extent several numerical methods such as one step method, linear multi-step methods, hybrid methods and block method have been developed based on the nature and type of the differential equation to be solved. Some researchers have attempted the solution of

$$y^{(n)} = f\left(x, y, y', y''..., y^{(n-1)}\right), y\left(x_0\right) = y_0, y'\left(x\right) = y_1,..., y^{(n-1)} = y_{n-1} \quad (1.1)$$

using linear multistep methods (LMMs), without reduction to system of first order ODEs. Adeniyi and Adeyefa[4]. Ehigie et al[3] proposed a generalized 2 – step continuous linear multistep method of hybrid type for the integration of second order ordinary differential equations.

Kayode and Adebeye[5] used Chebyshev polynomials without perturbation terms as the basic function for the development of the methods. The collocation and interpolation equations are generated at both grid and off – grid points for the development of continuous hybrid linear multistep method (CHLMM) for the solution of linear and non linear ODEs.

Ademiluyi [6], Anake [2] and Bolarinwa [7] have proposed single step hybrid methods for the direct numerical solution of initial value problem of second order and third orders ordinary differential equations. In these cases, their methods of implementation was in block mode with the proposed methods being efficient, adequate and suitable towards catering for the class of problem of higher order ordinary differential equations for which they were designed Osilagun et al[8], used

Corresponding Author**:** Aigbiremhon A.A., Email: amenzeaustine@gmail.com, Tel: +2348023430720

four steps implicit method for the solution of general second order ODEs. Abdulganiy et al[9] used a maximal order block trigonometrically fitted scheme for the numerical treatment of second order ODEs with ossillating solution. Peter and Ibrahim[10], used defferential transform method in solving a typhoid fever model. However, Authors like. Zarina et al[11], used block method for generalized multistep Adamas method and backward differentiation formula in solving first – order ODEs. Yahaya and Mohammed[12] used full implicit three points backward differentiation formulae for solving of first order initial value problems. Odekunle et al[13] used a new block integrator for the solving of initial value problems of first order ODESs. Sunday et al[14] used a computational approach to verhulst – pearl model of first order ODEs etc.

Many of these methods have their own advantages and disadvantages over the other. Eg; One step method have low order of accuracy, time consuming for large scale problems. Awoyemi[15]. Linear Multistep Methods give high order system of accuracy and are suitable for the direct solution of (1.1) without necessarily reducing it to an equivalent of first order IVPs of ODEs. Adeniyi and Adeyefa[4]. Block method preserves the traditional advantages of one step methods of being self starting and permitting easy change of step length. Lambert[16] . Also the method generates simultaneous solution at all grids points.

In the light of this, Abualnaja[1] worked on "A block procedure with linear multi-step methods using Legendre polynomials for solving ODEs". Here they derived a block for some k-step linear multi-step methods (for k = 1,2 and 3) using power series as the interpolation equation and power series with Legendre polynomial as the perturbation term as the collocation equation. Also Abhulimen and Aigbiremhon[17] did a similar work by taking K as 4 and 5. In their work, they considered the first order initial value problem. Furthermore Abhulimen and Aigbiremhon[22] worked on 2nd Order Initial Value Problem of ODEs, by taking K = 3.

Also, Aigbiremhon and Ukpebor[23] did a similar work on 2nd Order Initial Value Problem of ODEs, by taking K = 4.

These different methods have their very desirable qualities. However, in order to create a new line of research and to also improve on some of the existing methods, this paper device a mean for the direct solution of (1.1) without reduction to first order ODEs. In the next section, the methodology of the work is presented and the derived methods are specified.

The plan of the paper is as follows; section I, introduction, section 2, the derivation of the proposed methods is presented. In section 3, the stability and convergence analysis of the block schemes is given. In section 4, numerical examples are considered. The paper ends with conclusion in section 5.

2. Derivation of the methods

In this section, we derive discrete methods to solve (1.1) at a sequence of nodal points $x_n = x_0 + nh$ where h > 0 is the step – length or grid size defined by $h = x_{n+1} - x_n$ and $y(x)$ denotes the true solution to (1.1) while the approximate solution is denoted by the power series

$$y_{(x)} = c_0 x_n^0 + c_1 x_n^1 + c_2 x_n^2 + \dots + c_k x_n^k \tag{2.1}$$

The proposed method depends on the perturbed collocation method with respect to the power series with the Legendre polynomials as the perturbation term. Interpolation and collocation procedures are used by choosing interpolation point at $s = 2$ grid points and collocation points at $r = k$ step points. We have a polynomial of degree $r + s - 2$ and $(r + s)$ equations.

In the first place, we consider the approximation solution of (1.1) in the power series.

$$p_i(x) = x^i, i = 0,1,\dots,k$$

Hence (2.1) becomes

$$y_k(x) = c_i p_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i x^i \tag{2.2}$$

With the second derivatives as

$$y_k''(x) = c_i p_i''(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} i(i-1) c_i x^{i-2} \tag{2.3}$$

Combining equation (1.1) and (2.3), with the perturbation term, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i p''(x) = f(x, y, y') + \lambda L_k(x_{n+i}), i = 1, (1)k \tag{2.4}$$

Where $L_k(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial of degree k, valid in $x_n \le x \le x_{n+k}$ and λ is a perturbed parameter. In particular, we shall be dealing with case k = 5 in (2.2) and (2.4), where (2.2) is the interpolation equations and (2.4) is the collocation equations. The well – known Legendre polynomials can be generated using the Rodrigues' formula

$p_n(x)=\frac{1}{2^n n!}\frac{1}{dx^n}\left[(x^2-1)^n\right]$, where $L_0(x)=1, L_1(x)=x$, . The rest are computed using the recurrence formula. $L_{i+1}(x)=\frac{2i+1}{i+1}xL_i(x)-\frac{i}{i+1}L_{i-1}(x), i=1,2,...$, giving

$L_2(x)=\frac{1}{2}(3x^2-1)$,

$L_3(x)=\frac{1}{2}(5x^3-3x), L_4(x)=\frac{1}{8}(35x^4-30x^2+3)$

$$L_5(x)=\frac{1}{8}(63x^5-70x^3+15x)\text{etc.} \tag{2.5}$$

In order to use these polynomials in the interval $[x_n,x_{n+k}]$, we define the shifted Legendre polynomials by introducing the change of variable.

$$x=\frac{2\bar{x}-(x_{n+k}+x_n)}{(x_{n+k}-x_n)}\text{, Abualnaja[1]} \tag{2.6}$$

Interpolating (2.2) at s grid points and collocating (2.4) at k grid points respectively leads to the following systems of equations; (2.7) and (2.8)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k}c_i p_i(x)=y_{n+s},\ s=0,1 \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k}c_i p_i''(x)=f_{n+j}+\lambda L_k(x_{n+j}),\ j=1(1)k \tag{2.8}$$

FIVE STEP METHOD, (K = 5)

In this case, we take the Legendre polynomial $L_5(x)=\frac{1}{8}(63x^5-70x^3+15x)$ from (2.5) and use (2.6) i.e. $x=\frac{2\bar{x}-(x_{n+k}+x_n)}{x_{n+k}-x_n}$,

to obtain values for

$L_5(x_{n+1})=\frac{477}{3125},\ L_5(x_{n+2})=-\frac{961}{3125}$

$L_5(x_{n+3})=\frac{961}{3125},\ L_5(x_{n+4})=\frac{-477}{3125}$ and $L_5(x_{n+5})=1$

In addition, from equation (2.3)

$c_0p_0''(x)=0,\ c_1p_1''(x)=0,\ c_2p_2''(x)=2c_2, c_3p_3''(x)=6c_3x_n$,

$c_4p_4''(x)=12c_4x_n^2, c_5p_5''(x)=20c_5x_n^3$

Then equation (2.8) i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{k}c_ip_i''(x)=f(x,y,y')+\lambda L_k(x)$ will reduce to the following form:

$$0+0+2c_2+6c_3x+12c_4x^2+20c_3x^3=f(x,y,y')+\lambda L_k(x_{n+i}), i=1,(1)5 \tag{2.9}$$

now collocating equation (2.9) at $x_{n+i}, i=1,2,3,\ 4\ and\ 5$ and interpolating (2.1) at $x_{n+i},\ i=0,1$

We obtained the following system of seven equations, which in matix form is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & x_n^3 & x_n^4 & x_n^5 & 0 \\ 1 & x_{n+1} & x_{n+1}^2 & x_{n+1}^3 & x_{n+1}^4 & x_{n+1}^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+1}^2 & 12x_{n+1}^2 & 20x_{n+1}^3 & -\frac{477}{3125} \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+2}^2 & 12x_{n+2}^2 & 20x_{n+2}^3 & \frac{961}{3125} \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+3}^2 & 12x_{n+3}^2 & 20x_{n+3}^3 & -\frac{961}{3125} \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+4}^2 & 12x_{n+4}^2 & 20x_{n+4}^3 & \frac{477}{3125} \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+5}^2 & 12x_{n+5}^2 & 20x_{n+5}^3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ y_{n+1} \\ f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \\ f_{n+5} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.10}$$

Equation (2.10) is solved by Gaussian elimination method to obtain the value of the unknown parameters, $c_i,\ (i=0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5)$ and λ , which are substituted into (2.1) to yield a continuous implicit Five step method in the form of a continuous linear multistep method describe by the formula

$$y(x) = \alpha_0(x)y_n + \alpha_1(x)y_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i(x) f_{n+i} \quad (2.11)$$

Where; $\alpha_0(t) = -3 - t, \qquad \alpha_1(t) = 4 + t$

$$\beta_1(t) = \frac{h^2}{30240}\left[104240 + 35257t + 477t^2 + 106t^3 - 420t^4 - 140t^5\right]$$

$$\beta_2(t) = \frac{h^2}{7560}\left[10320 + 6176t - 477t^2 + 104t^3 + 420t^4 + 77t^5\right]$$

$$\beta_3(t) = \frac{h^2}{5040}\left[3540 + 4681t + 477t^2 - 734t^3 - 210t^4 - 14t^5\right]$$

$$\beta_4(t) = \frac{h^2}{7560}\left[5280 + 5252t + 3303t^2 + 524t^3 - 210t^4 - 49t^5\right]$$

$$\beta_5(t) = \frac{h^2}{30240}\left[-6540 - 3215t + 477t^2 + 1786t^3 + 840t^4 + 112t^5\right] \quad (2.12)$$

are the continuous functions of t with $t = \frac{x_n - x_{n+4}}{h}$, as the transformation equation.
Using (2.12) for $x = x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, x_{n+4}$ *and* x_{n+5}, were t= -2,-1, 0,and 1, (2.11) reduces to the following discrete methods;`

$$y_{n+2} - 2y_{n+1} + y_n = \frac{3}{5040}h^2\{5441 f_{n+1} - 344 f_{n+2} - 954 f_{n+3} + 1336 f_{n+4} - 439 f_{n+5}\} \quad (2.13a)$$

$$y_{n+3} - 3y_{n+1} + 2y_n = \frac{h^2}{240}\{549 f_{n+1} + 124 f_{n+2} - 6 f_{n+3} + 84 f_{n+4} - 31 f_{n+5}\} \quad (2.13b)$$

$$y_{n+4} - 4y_{n+1} + 3y_n = \frac{h^2}{504}\{1739 f_{n+1} + 688 f_{n+2} + 354 f_{n+3} + 352 f_{n+4} - 109 f_{n+5}\} \quad (2.13c)$$

$$y_{n+5} - 5y_{n+1} + 4y_n = \frac{h^2}{504}\{2327 f_{n+1} + 908 f_{n+2} + 774 f_{n+3} + 940 f_{n+4} - 109 f_{n+5}\} \quad (2.13d)$$

Differentiating (2.12) yields

$$\alpha_0'(t) = -\frac{1}{h}, \qquad \alpha_1'(t) = \frac{1}{h}$$

$$\beta_1'(t) = \frac{h}{30240}\left[35257 + 954t + 318t^2 - 1680t^3 - 700t^4\right]$$

$$\beta_2'(t) = \frac{h}{7560}\left[6176 - 954t + 312t^2 + 1680t^3 + 385t^4\right]$$

$$\beta_3'(t) = \frac{h}{5050}\left[4681 + 956t - 2202t^2 - 840t^3 - 70t^4\right]$$

$$\beta_5'(t) = \frac{h}{30240}\left[-3125 + 954t + 5358t^2 + 3360t^3 + 560t^4\right] \quad (2.14)$$

On evaluating (2.14) at $x = x_n$, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, $x_{n+3,}$ x_{n+4} and x_{n+5} respectively, were t = -4,-3,-2 -1, and 0,yield the following discrete methods respectively;

$$10080\, hy'_n - 10080\, y_{n+1} + 10080\, y_n = -h^2\begin{bmatrix} -2339 f_{n+5} + 6640 f_{n+4} - 2940 f_{n+3} - 8032 f_{n+2} \\ +11717 f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$30240\, hy'_{n+1} - 30240\, y_{n+1} + 30240\, y_n = h^2\begin{bmatrix} -3215 f_{n+5} + 9668 f_{n+4} - 5934 f_{n+3} - 9316 f_{n+2} \\ +23917 f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10080\, hy'_{n+2} - 10080\, y_{n+1} + 10080\, y_n = h^2\begin{bmatrix} -537 f_{n+5} + 1504 f_{n+4} - 870 f_{n+3} + 2736 f_{n+2} \\ +12287 f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10080\, hy'_{n+3} - 10080\, y_{n+1} + 10080\, y_n = h^2\begin{bmatrix} -537 f_{n+5} + 1084 f_{n+4} + 4590 f_{n+3} + 8196 f_{n+2} \\ +11867 f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$30240\, hy'_{n+4} - 30240\, y_{n+1} + 30240\, y_n - h^2\begin{bmatrix} -3215 f_{n+5} + 21008 f_{n+4} + 28086 f_{n+3} + 2470 f_{n+4} \\ +35257 f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10080\, hy'_{n+5} - 10080\, y_{n+1} + 10080\, y_n = h^2\begin{bmatrix} 2339 f_{n+5} + 16460 f_{n+4} + 5046 f_{n+3} + 10132 f_{n+2} \\ +11383 f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

3.7.1 Formation of the block

Combining (2.13) and (2.15) and simplifying; we have the modified block formula;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&10080\ y_{n+1} - 5040\ y_{n+2} = -5040\ y_n + h^2[5441\ f_{n+1} - 344\ f_{n+2} - 954\ f_{n+3} + 1336\ f_{n+4} - 439\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-720\ y_{n+1} + 240\ y_{n+3} = -480\ y_n + h^2[549\ f_{n+1} + 124\ f_{n+2} - 6\ f_{n+3} + 84\ f_{n+4} - 31\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-2016\ y_{n+1} + 504\ y_{n+4} = -1512\ y_n + h^2[1739\ f_{n+1} + 688\ f_{n+2} + 354\ f_{n+3} + 352\ f_{n+4} - 109\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-2520\ y_{n+1} + 504\ y_{n+5} = -2016\ y_n + h^2[2327\ f_{n+1} + 908\ f_{n+2} + 774\ f_{n+3} + 940\ f_{n+4} - 109\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-10080\ y_{n+1} = -10080\ y_n - 10080\ hy'_n + h^2[-11717\ f_{n+1} + 8032\ f_{n+2} + 2940\ f_{n+3} - 6640\ f_{n+4} + 2339\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-30240\ y_{n+1} + 30240\ hy'_{n+1} = -30240\ y_n + h^2[23917\ f_{n+1} - 9316\ f_{n+2} - 5934\ f_{n+3} + 9668\ f_{n+4} - 3215\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-10080\ y_{n+1} + 10080\ hy'_{n+2} = -10080\ y_n + h^2[12287\ f_{n+1} + 2736\ f_{n+2} - 8770\ f_{n+3} + 1504\ f_{n+4} - 537\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-10080\ y_{n+1} + 10080\ hy'_{n+3} = -10080\ y_n + h^2[11867\ f_{n+1} + 8196\ f_{n+2} + 4590\ f_{n+3} + 1084\ f_{n+4} - 537\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-30240\ y_{n+1} + 30240\ hy'_{n+4} = -30240\ y_n + h^2[35257\ f_{n+1} - 24704\ f_{n+2} + 28086\ f_{n+3} + 21008\ f_{n+4} - 3215\ f_{n+5}] \\
&-10080\ y_{n+1} + 10080\ hy'_{m+5} = -10080\ y_n + h^2[11383\ f_{n+1} + 10132\ f_{n+2} + 5046\ f_{n+3} + 16460\ f_{n+4} + 2339\ f_{n+5}]
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.16)
$$

Which in matrix form is;

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-10080 & 5040 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-720 & 0 & 240 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2016 & 0 & 0 & 504 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2520 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 504 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-10080 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-30240 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30240h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-10080 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10080h & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-10080 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10080h & 0 & 0 \\
-30240 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30240h & 0 \\
-10080 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10080h
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+5} \\ y'_{n+1} \\ y'_{n+2} \\ y'_{n+3} \\ y'_{n+4} \\ y'_{n+5} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{bmatrix}
-5040 & 0 \\
-480 & 0 \\
-1512 & 0 \\
-2016 & 0 \\
-10080 & -10080h \\
-30240 & 0 \\
-10080 & 0 \\
-10080 & 0 \\
-30240 & 0 \\
-10080 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} y_n \\ y'_n \end{bmatrix} + h^2
\begin{bmatrix}
5441 & -344 & -954 & 1336 & -439 \\
549 & 124 & -6 & 84 & -31 \\
1739 & 688 & 354 & 352 & -109 \\
2327 & 908 & 774 & 940 & -109 \\
-11717 & 8032 & 2940 & -6640 & 2339 \\
23917 & -9316 & -5934 & 9668 & -3215 \\
12287 & 2736 & -8770 & 1504 & -537 \\
11867 & 8196 & 4590 & 1084 & -537 \\
35257 & 24704 & 28086 & 21008 & -3215 \\
11383 & 10132 & 5046 & 16460 & 2339
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \\ f_{n+5} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (2.17)
$$

Talking the normalized form of (2.17), we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+5} \\ y'_{n+1} \\ y'_{n+2} \\ y'_{n+3} \\ y'_{n+4} \\ y'_{n+5} \end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & h \\
1 & 2h \\
1 & 3h \\
1 & 4h \\
1 & 5h \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} y_n \\ y''_n \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
+\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{11717h^2}{10080} & -\frac{251}{315}h^2 & -\frac{491}{1680}h^2 & \frac{83}{126}h^2 & -\frac{2339}{10080}h^2 \\
\frac{8579}{2520}h^2 & -\frac{349}{210}h^2 & -\frac{65}{84}h^2 & \frac{997}{630}h^2 & -\frac{463}{840}h^2 \\
\frac{19403}{3360}h^2 & -\frac{787}{420}h^2 & -\frac{101}{112}h^2 & \frac{977}{420}h^2 & -\frac{2773}{3360}h^2 \\
\frac{81}{10}h^2 & -\frac{82}{45}h^2 & -\frac{7}{15}h^2 & \frac{10}{3}h^2 & -\frac{103}{90}h^2 \\
\frac{21025}{2016}h^2 & -\frac{25}{14}h^2 & \frac{25}{336}h^2 & \frac{325}{63}h^2 & -\frac{925}{672}h^2 \\
\frac{14767}{7560}h & -\frac{8353}{7560}h & -\frac{1231}{2520}h & \frac{7397}{7560}h & -\frac{1279}{3780}h \\
\frac{6001}{2520}h & -\frac{331}{630}h & -\frac{53}{140}h & \frac{509}{630}h & -\frac{719}{2520}h \\
\frac{737}{315}h & \frac{41}{2520}h & \frac{137}{840}h & \frac{1931}{2520}h & -\frac{719}{2520} \\
\frac{8801}{3780}h & \frac{19}{945}h & \frac{401}{630}h & \frac{1279}{945}h & -\frac{1279}{3780} \\
\frac{55}{24}h & \frac{5}{24}h & \frac{5}{24}h & \frac{55}{24}h & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \\ f_{n+5} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (2.18)
$$

Which is employed to obtain value for $y_{n+1}, y_{n+2},\ y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, y_{n+5},\ y'_{n+1},\ y'_{n+2},\ y'_{n+3}, y'_{n+4}$ and y'_{n+5}

Simultaneously

Equation (2.18) can be written explicitly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1} &= y_n + hy'_n + \frac{11717}{10080}h^2 f_{n+1} - \frac{251}{315}h^2 f_{n+2} - \frac{491}{1680}h^2 f_{n+3} + \frac{83}{126}h^2 f_{n+4} - \frac{2339}{10080}h^2 f_{n+5} \\
y_{n+2} &= y_n + 2hy'_n + \frac{8579}{2520}h^2 f_{n+1} - \frac{349}{210}h^2 f_{n+2} - \frac{65}{84}h^2 f_{n+3} + \frac{997}{630}h^2 f_{n+4} - \frac{463}{840}h^2 f_{n+5} \\
y_{n+3} &= y_n + 3hy'_n + \frac{19403}{3360}h^2 f_{n+1} - \frac{787}{420} f_{n+2} - \frac{101}{112}h^2 f_{n+3} + \frac{977}{420} f_{n+4} - \frac{2773}{3360}h^2 f_{n+5} \\
y_{n+4} &= y_n + 4hy_n{}' + \frac{81}{10}h^2 f_{n+1} - \frac{82}{45}h^2 f_{n+2} - \frac{7}{15}h^2 f_{n+3} + \frac{10}{3}h^2 f_{n+4} - \frac{103}{90}h^2 f_{n+5} \\
y_{n+5} &= y_n + 5hy_n' + \frac{21025}{2016}h^2 f_{n+1} - \frac{25}{14}h^2 f_{n+2} + \frac{25}{336}h^2 f_{n+3} + \frac{325}{63}h^2 f_{n+4} - \frac{925}{672}h^2 f_{n+5} \\
y'_{n+1} &= y'_n\ \frac{14767}{7560}hf_{n+1} - \frac{8353}{7560}hf_{n+2} - \frac{1231}{2520} f_{n+3} + \frac{7397}{7560} f_{n+4} - \frac{1279}{3780}hf_{n+5} \\
y'_{n+2} &= y'_n + \frac{6001}{2520}hf_{n+1} - \frac{331}{630}hf_{n+2} - \frac{53}{140}hf_{n+3} + \frac{509}{630}hf_{n+4} - \frac{719}{2520}hf_{n+5} \\
y'_{n+3} &= y'_n + \frac{737}{315}hf_{n+1} + \frac{41}{2520}hf_{n+2} + \frac{131}{840}hf_{n+3} + \frac{1931}{2520}hf_{n+4} - \frac{719}{2520}hf_{n+5} \\
y'_{n+4} &= y'_n + \frac{8801}{3780}hf_{n+1} + \frac{19}{945}hf_{n+2} + \frac{401}{630}hf_{n+3} + \frac{1279}{945}hf_{n+4} - \frac{1279}{3780}hf_{n+5} \\
y'_{n+5} &= y'_n + \frac{55}{24}hf_{n+1} + \frac{5}{24}hf_{n+2} + \frac{5}{24}hf_{n+3} + \frac{55}{24}hf_{n+4}
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.19)
$$

3. ANALYSIS OF THE METHOD

Basic properties of the block method and their associated main method are analyzed to establish their validity. These properties help to show the nature of convergence of the methods. These properties includes; order and error constant, consistency and zero stability. All these put together reveal the nature of convergence of the method. Also the regions of absolute stability of the methods have also been established in this section. However a brief introduction of these properties are made for a better understanding of the section.

Order and Error Constant

Order of the method

Let the linear difference operator L associated with the continuous multi-step method (2.11) be defined as

$$L\left[y(x)_j h\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\{\alpha_j y(x_n + jh) - h^2\beta_j y''(x_n + jh)\right\};\ j = 0,1,2,..,\mathrm{k}$$ Lambert[16] (3.1)

Where $y(x)$ is an arbitrary test function that is continuously differentiable in the interval $[a, b]$. Expanding $y(x_n + jh)$ and $y''(x_n + jh),\ j = 0,1,2,3,...,k$ in Taylor series about x_n and collecting like terms in h and y gives

$$L.[y(x);h] = C_0 y(x) + C_1 hy^{(1)}(x) + C_2 h^2 y^{(2)}(x) + ... + Cph^{(p)}(x) + ... \qquad (3.2)$$

Definition 1

The difference operator L and the associated implicit multi step method (2.11) are said to be of order p if in (3.2), $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = ... = C_p = C_{P+1} = 0, C_{p+2} \neq 0$

Definition 2

The term C_{p+2} is called the error constant and it implies that the local truncation error is given by

$$t_{n+k} = C_{p+2} h^{P+2} y^{(P+2)}(x_n) + 0\left(h^{p+3}\right)$$

Order of the Block

The order of the block will be defined following the method of Chollon et al[18], however, with some modification to accommodate general higher order ordinary differential equations and step points,

Definition 3

The term $\overline{C}_{P+2}$ is called the error constant and implies that the local truncation error for the implicit block formula is given by

$$t_{n+k} = \overline{C}_{P+2} h^{p+2} y^{(p+2)}(x_n) + 0\left(h^{p+3}\right) \qquad (3.3)$$

Order and Error constant of the main method

From (2.13d)

$$y_{n+5} = 5y_{n+1} - 4y_n + \frac{2327}{504}h^2 f_{n+1} + \frac{277}{126}h^2 f_{n+2} + \frac{43}{28}h^2 f_{n+3} + \frac{235}{126}h^2 f_{n+4} - \frac{109}{504}h^2 f_{n+5}$$

Can be rewritten in the form:

$$y_{n+5} - 5y_{n+1} - 4y_n - h^2\left[\frac{2327}{504} f_{n+1} + \frac{277}{126} f_{n+2} + \frac{43}{28} f_{n+3} + \frac{235}{126} f_{n+4} - \frac{109}{504} f_{n+5}\right] = 0 \qquad (3.4)$$

Expanding (3.4) in Taylor series form; we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(5)^j}{j!}h^j y_n^{(j)} - 5\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j)} + 4y_n - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+2}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{2327}{504}(1)^j + \frac{277}{126}(2)^j + \frac{43}{28}(3)^j + \frac{235}{126}(4)^j \\ -\frac{109}{504}(5)^j\end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad (3.5)$$

collecting terms in powers of h and y leads to the following

$$c_0 = 1 - 5 + 4 = 0$$

$$c_1 = 5 - 5 = 0$$

$$c_2 = \frac{25}{2} - \frac{5}{2} - \left(\frac{2327}{504} + \frac{277}{126} + \frac{43}{28} + \frac{235}{126} - \frac{109}{504}\right) = 0$$

$$c_3 = \frac{125}{6} - \frac{5}{6} - \left(\frac{2327}{504}(1) + \frac{277}{126}(2) + \frac{43}{28}(3) + \frac{235}{126}(4) - \frac{109}{504}(5)\right) = 0$$

$$c_4 = \frac{625}{24} - \frac{5}{24} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{2327}{504}(1)^2 + \frac{277}{126}(2)^2 + \frac{43}{28}(3)^2 + \frac{235}{126}(4)^2 - \frac{109}{405}(5)^2\right) = 0$$

$$c_5 = \frac{3125}{120} - \frac{5}{120} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{2327}{504}(1)^3 + \frac{277}{126}(2)^3 + \frac{43}{28}(3)^3 + \frac{235}{126}(4)^3 - \frac{109}{504}(5)^3\right) = 0$$

$$c_6 = \frac{15625}{720} - \frac{5}{720} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{2327}{504}(1)^4 + \frac{277}{126}(2)^4 + \frac{43}{28}(3)^4 + \frac{235}{126}(4)^4 - \frac{109}{504}(5)^4\right) = \frac{149}{252}$$

Hence, the method (2.13d) is of order p = 4, with error constant $c_{p+2} = \frac{149}{252}$

Order and error constant of the block method (2.18)

Rewrite the block form of equation (2.18) is in this form;

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
y_{n+1}\\ y_{n+2}\\ y_{n+3}\\ y_{n+4}\\ y_{n+5}\\ y'_{n+1}\\ y'_{n+2}\\ y'_{n+3}\\ y'_{n+4}\\ y'_{n+5}
\end{bmatrix}
-
\begin{bmatrix}
1&h\\ 1&2h\\ 1&3h\\ 1&4h\\ 1&5h\\ 0&1\\ 0&1\\ 0&1\\ 0&1\\ 0&1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
y_n\\ y''_n
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
-\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{11717h^2}{10080} & -\frac{251}{315}h^2 & -\frac{491}{1680}h^2 & \frac{83}{126}h^2 & -\frac{2339}{10080}h^2\\
\frac{8579}{2520}h^2 & -\frac{349}{210}h^2 & -\frac{65}{84}h^2 & \frac{997}{630}h^2 & -\frac{463}{840}h^2\\
\frac{19403}{3360}h^2 & -\frac{787}{420}h^2 & -\frac{101}{112}h^2 & \frac{977}{420}h^2 & -\frac{2773}{3360}h^2\\
\frac{81}{10}h^2 & -\frac{82}{45}h^2 & -\frac{7}{15}h^2 & \frac{10}{3}h^2 & -\frac{103}{90}h^2\\
\frac{21025}{2016}h^2 & -\frac{25}{14}h^2 & \frac{25}{336}h^2 & \frac{325}{63}h^2 & -\frac{925}{672}h^2\\
\frac{14767}{7560}h & -\frac{8353}{7560}h & -\frac{1231}{2520}h & \frac{7397}{7560}h & -\frac{1279}{3780}h\\
\frac{6001}{2520}h & -\frac{331}{630}h & -\frac{53}{140}h & \frac{509}{630}h & -\frac{719}{2520}h\\
\frac{737}{315}h & \frac{41}{2520}h & \frac{137}{840}h & \frac{1931}{2520}h & -\frac{719}{2520}\\
\frac{8801}{3780}h & \frac{19}{945}h & \frac{401}{630}h & \frac{1279}{945}h & -\frac{1279}{3780}\\
\frac{55}{24}h & \frac{5}{24}h & \frac{5}{24}h & \frac{55}{24}h & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
f_{n+1}\\ f_{n+2}\\ f_{n+3}\\ f_{n+4}\\ f_{n+5}
\end{bmatrix}
= 0 \qquad (3.6)
$$

And writing (3.6) in explicit form, we have

$$y_{n+1}-y_n-hy'_n-h^2\left[\frac{11717}{10080}f_{n+1}-\frac{251}{315}f_{n+2}-\frac{491}{1680}f_{n+3}+\frac{83}{126}f_{n+4}-\frac{2339}{10080}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y_{n+2}-y_n-2hy'_n-h^2\left[\frac{8579}{2520}f_{n+1}-\frac{349}{210}f_{n+2}-\frac{65}{84}f_{n+3}+\frac{977}{630}f_{n+4}-\frac{463}{840}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y_{n+3}-y_n-3hy'_n-h^2\left[\frac{19403}{3360}f_{n+1}-\frac{787}{420}f_{n+2}-\frac{101}{112}f_{n+3}+\frac{977}{420}f_{n+4}-\frac{2773}{3360}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y_{n+4}-y_n-4hy'_n-h^2\left[\frac{81}{10}f_{n+1}-\frac{82}{45}f_{n+2}-\frac{7}{15}f_{n+3}+\frac{10}{3}f_{n+4}-\frac{103}{90}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y_{n+5}-y_n-5hy'_n-h^2\left[\frac{21025}{2016}f_{n+1}-\frac{25}{14}f_{n+2}-\frac{25}{336}f_{n+3}+\frac{325}{63}f_{n+4}-\frac{925}{672}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y'_{n+1}-y'_n-h\left[\frac{14767}{7560}f_{n+1}-\frac{8353}{7560}f_{n+2}-\frac{1231}{2520}f_{n+3}+\frac{7397}{7560}f_{n+4}-\frac{1279}{3780}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y'_{n+2}-y'_n-h\left[\frac{6001}{2520}f_{n+1}-\frac{331}{630}f_{n+2}-\frac{53}{140}f_{n+3}+\frac{509}{630}f_{n+4}-\frac{719}{2520}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y'_{n+3}-y'_n-h\left[\frac{737}{315}f_{n+1}+\frac{41}{2520}f_{n+2}+\frac{137}{840}f_{n+3}+\frac{1931}{2520}f_{n+4}-\frac{719}{2520}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y'_{n+4}-y'_n-h\left[\frac{8801}{3780}f_{n+1}+\frac{19}{945}f_{n+2}+\frac{401}{630}f_{n+3}+\frac{1279}{949}f_{n+4}-\frac{1279}{3780}f_{n+5}\right]=0$$

$$y'_{n+5}-y'_n-h\left[\frac{55}{24}f_{n+1}+\frac{5}{24}f_{n+2}+\frac{5}{24}f_{n+3}+\frac{55}{24}f_{n+4}+0\right]=0 \qquad (3.7)$$

And using Taylor's series expansion on (3.7)
We have,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j)}-y_n-hy_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+2}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{11717}{10080}(1)^j-\frac{251}{315}(2)^j-\frac{491}{1680}(3)^j+\frac{83}{126}(4)^j-\frac{2339}{10080}(5)^j\right]$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j)}-y_n-2hy_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+2}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{8579}{2520}(1)^j-\frac{349}{210}(2)^j-\frac{65}{84}(3)^j+\frac{997}{630}(4)^j-\frac{465}{840}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(3)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j)}-y_n-3hy_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+2}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{19403}{3360}(1)^j-\frac{787}{420}(2)^j-\frac{101}{112}(3)^j+\frac{977}{420}(4)^j-\frac{2773}{3360}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(4)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j)}-y_n-4hy_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+2}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{81}{10}(1)^j-\frac{82}{45}(2)^j-\frac{7}{15}(3)^j+\frac{10}{3}(4)^j-\frac{103}{90}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(5)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j)}-y_n-5hy_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+2}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{21025}{2016}(1)^j-\frac{25}{14}(2)^j+\frac{25}{336}(3)^j+\frac{325}{63}(4)^j-\frac{925}{672}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j+1)}-y_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+1}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{14767}{7560}(1)^j-\frac{8351}{7560}(2)^j-\frac{1231}{2520}(3)^j+\frac{7397}{7560}(4)^j-\frac{1279}{3780}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j+1)}-y_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+1}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{6001}{2520}(1)^j-\frac{331}{7630}(2)^j-\frac{53}{140}(3)^j+\frac{509}{630}(4)^j-\frac{719}{2520}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(3)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j+1)}-y_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+1}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{737}{315}(1)^j+\frac{41}{2520}(2)^j+\frac{137}{840}(3)^j+\frac{1931}{2520}(4)^j-\frac{719}{2520}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(4)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j+1)}-y_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+1}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{8801}{3780}(1)^j+\frac{19}{945}(2)^j+\frac{401}{630}(3)^j+\frac{1279}{945}(4)^j-\frac{1279}{3780}(5)^j\right]$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(5)^j h^j}{j!}y_n^{(j+1)}-y_n^{(1)}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{j+1}}{j!}y_n^{(j+2)}\left[\frac{55}{24}(1)^j+\frac{5}{24}(2)^j+\frac{5}{24}(3)^j+\frac{55}{24}(4)\right] \qquad (3.8)$$

and collecting terms in h and y leads to the following

$$\bar{c}_0=\begin{bmatrix} c_0=1-1\\ c_0=1-1\\ c_0=1-1\\ c_0=1-1\\ c_0=1-1\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0 \end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix} c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0\\ c_0=0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \bar{c}_1=\begin{bmatrix} c_1=1-1\\ c_1=2-2\\ c_1=3-3\\ c_1=4-4\\ c_1=5-5\\ c_1=1-1\\ c_1=1-1\\ c_1=1-1\\ c_1=1-1\\ c_1=1-1 \end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix} c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0\\ c_1=0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c}_2=\begin{bmatrix} c_2=\frac{1^2}{2!}-\frac{1}{0!}\left(\frac{11717}{10080}(1)^0-\frac{251}{315}(2)^0-\frac{491}{1680}(3)^0+\frac{83}{126}(4)^0-\frac{2339}{10080}(5)^0\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{2^2}{2!}-\frac{1}{0!}\left(\frac{8579}{2520}(1)^0-\frac{349}{210}(2)^0-\frac{65}{84}(3)^0+\frac{997}{630}(4)^0-\frac{463}{840}(5)^0\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{3^2}{2!}-\frac{1}{0!}\left(\frac{19403}{3360}(1)^0-\frac{787}{420}(2)^0-\frac{101}{112}(3)^0+\frac{977}{420}(4)^0-\frac{2773}{3360}(5)^0\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{4^2}{2!}-\frac{1}{0!}\left(\frac{81}{10}(1)^0-\frac{82}{45}(2)^0-\frac{7}{15}(3)^0+\frac{10}{3}(4)^0-\frac{103}{90}(5)^0\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{5^2}{2!}-\frac{1}{0!}\left(\frac{21025}{2016}(1)^0-\frac{25}{14}(2)^0+\frac{25}{336}(3)^0+\frac{325}{63}(4)^0-\frac{925}{672}(5)^0\right)=0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c}_2=\begin{bmatrix} c_2=\frac{(1)^1}{1!}-\left(\frac{14767}{7560}-\frac{8353}{7560}-\frac{1231}{2520}+\frac{7397}{7560}-\frac{1279}{3780}\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{(2)^1}{1!}-\left(\frac{6001}{2520}-\frac{331}{630}-\frac{53}{140}+\frac{509}{630}-\frac{719}{2520}\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{(3)^1}{1!}-\left(\frac{737}{315}+\frac{41}{2520}+\frac{137}{840}+\frac{1931}{2520}-\frac{719}{2520}\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{(4)^1}{1!}-\left(\frac{8801}{3780}+\frac{19}{945}+\frac{401}{630}+\frac{1279}{945}-\frac{1279}{3780}\right)=0\\ c_2=\frac{(5)^1}{1!}-\left(\frac{55}{24}+\frac{5}{24}+\frac{5}{24}+\frac{55}{24}\right)=0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c}_3 = \left[\begin{array}{l}
c_3 = \frac{(1)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{11717}{10080}(1)^1 - \frac{251}{315}(2)^1 - \frac{491}{1680}(3)^1 + \frac{83}{126}(4)^1 - \frac{2339}{10080}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(2)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{8579}{2520}(1)^1 - \frac{349}{210}(2)^1 - \frac{65}{84}(3)^1 + \frac{997}{630}(4)^1 - \frac{463}{840}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(3)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{19403}{3360}(1)^1 - \frac{787}{420}(2)^1 - \frac{101}{112}(3)^1 + \frac{977}{420}(4)^1 - \frac{2773}{3360}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(4)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{81}{10}(1)^1 - \frac{82}{45}(2)^1 - \frac{7}{15}(3)^1 + \frac{10}{3}(4)^1 - \frac{103}{90}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(5)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{21025}{2016}(1)^1 - \frac{25}{14}(2)^1 + \frac{25}{336}(3)^1 + \frac{325}{63}(4)^1 - \frac{925}{672}(5)^1\right) = 0
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\bar{c}_3 = \left[\begin{array}{l}
c_3 = \frac{(1)^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{14767}{7560}(1)^1 - \frac{8353}{7550}(2)^1 - \frac{1231}{2520}(3)^1 + \frac{7397}{7560}(4)^1 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(2)^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{6001}{2520}(1)^1 - \frac{331}{630}(2)^2 - \frac{53}{140}(3)^1 + \frac{509}{630}(4)^1 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(3)^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{737}{315}(1)^1 + \frac{41}{2520}(2)^1 + \frac{137}{840}(3)^1 + \frac{1931}{2520}(4)^1 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(4)^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{8801}{3780}(1)^1 + \frac{19}{945}(2)^1 + \frac{401}{630}(3)^1 + \frac{1279}{945}(4)^1 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^1\right) = 0 \\
c_3 = \frac{(5)^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{1!}\left(\frac{55}{24}(1)^1 + \frac{5}{24}(2)^1 + \frac{5}{24}(3)^1 + \frac{55}{24}(4)^1\right) = 0
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\bar{c}_4 = \left[\begin{array}{l}
c_4 = \frac{(1)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{11717}{10080}(1)^2 - \frac{251}{315}(2)^2 - \frac{491}{1680}(3)^2 + \frac{83}{126}(4)^2 - \frac{2339}{10080}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(2)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{8579}{2520}(1)^2 - \frac{349}{210}(2)^2 - \frac{65}{84}(3)^2 + \frac{997}{630}(4)^2 - \frac{463}{840}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(3)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{19403}{3360}(1)^2 - \frac{787}{420}(2)^2 - \frac{101}{112}(3)^2 + \frac{977}{420}(4)^2 - \frac{2773}{3360}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(4)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{81}{10}(1)^2 - \frac{82}{45}(2)^2 - \frac{7}{15}(3)^2 + \frac{10}{3}(4)^2 - \frac{103}{90}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(5)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{21025}{2016}(1)^2 - \frac{25}{14}(2)^2 + \frac{25}{336}(3)^2 + \frac{325}{63}(4)^2 - \frac{925}{672}(5)^2\right) = 0
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\bar{c}_4 = \left[\begin{array}{l}
c_4 = \frac{(1)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{14767}{7560}(1)^2 - \frac{8353}{7560}(2)^2 - \frac{1231}{2520}(3)^2 + \frac{7397}{7560}(4)^2 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(2)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{6001}{2520}(1)^2 - \frac{331}{630}(2)^2 - \frac{53}{140}(3)^2 + \frac{509}{630}(4)^2 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(3)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{737}{315}(1)^2 + \frac{41}{2520}(2)^2 + \frac{137}{840}(3)^2 + \frac{1931}{2520}(4)^2 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(4)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{8801}{3780}(1)^2 + \frac{19}{945}(2)^2 + \frac{401}{630}(3)^2 + \frac{1279}{945}(4)^2 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^2\right) = 0 \\
c_4 = \frac{(5)^3}{3!} - \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{55}{24}(1)^2 + \frac{5}{24}(2)^2 + \frac{5}{24}(3)^2 + \frac{55}{24}(4)^2\right) = 0
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\bar{c}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} c_5 = \frac{(1)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{11717}{10080}(1)^3 - \frac{251}{315}(2)^3 - \frac{491}{1680}(3)^3 + \frac{83}{126}(4)^3 - \frac{2339}{10080}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(2)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{8579}{2520}(1)^3 - \frac{349}{210}(2)^3 - \frac{65}{84}(3)^3 + \frac{997}{630}(4)^3 - \frac{463}{840}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(3)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{19403}{3360}(1)^3 - \frac{787}{420}(2)^3 - \frac{101}{112}(3)^3 + \frac{977}{420}(4)^3 - \frac{2773}{3360}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(4)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{81}{10}(1)^3 - \frac{82}{45}(2)^3 - \frac{7}{15}(3)^3 + \frac{10}{3}(4)^3 - \frac{103}{90}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(5)^4}{5!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{21025}{2016}(1)^3 - \frac{25}{14}(2)^3 + \frac{25}{336}(3)^3 + \frac{325}{63}(4)^3 - \frac{925}{672}(5)^3\right) = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} c_5 = \frac{(1)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{14767}{7560}(1)^3 - \frac{8353}{7560}(2)^3 - \frac{1231}{2520}(3)^3 + \frac{7397}{7560}(4)^3 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(2)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{6001}{2520}(1)^3 - \frac{331}{630}(2)^3 - \frac{53}{140}(3)^3 + \frac{509}{630}(4)^3 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(3)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{737}{315}(1)^3 + \frac{41}{2520}(2)^3 + \frac{137}{840}(3)^3 + \frac{1931}{2520}(4)^3 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(4)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{8801}{3780}(1)^3 + \frac{19}{945}(2)^3 + \frac{401}{630}(3)^3 + \frac{1279}{945}(4)^3 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^3\right) = 0 \\ c_5 = \frac{(5)^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{55}{24}(1)^3 + \frac{5}{24}(2)^3 + \frac{5}{24}(3)^3 + \frac{55}{24}(4)^3\right) = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} c_6 = \frac{(1)^6}{6!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{11717}{10080}(1)^4 - \frac{251}{315}(2)^4 - \frac{491}{1680}(3)^4 + \frac{83}{126}(4)^4 - \frac{2339}{10080}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(2)^6}{6!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{8579}{2520}(1)^4 - \frac{349}{210}(2)^4 - \frac{65}{84}(3)^4 + \frac{997}{630}(4)^4 - \frac{463}{840}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(3)^6}{6!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{19403}{3360}(1)^4 - \frac{787}{420}(2)^4 - \frac{101}{112}(3)^4 + \frac{977}{420}(4)^4 - \frac{2773}{3360}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(4)^6}{6!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{81}{10}(1)^4 - \frac{82}{45}(2)^4 - \frac{7}{15}(3)^4 + \frac{10}{3}(4)^4 - \frac{103}{90}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(5)^6}{6!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{21025}{2016}(1)^4 - \frac{25}{14}(2)^4 + \frac{25}{336}(3)^4 + \frac{325}{63}(4)^4 - \frac{925}{672}(5)^4\right) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{409}{840} \\ \frac{2873}{2520} \\ \frac{293}{168} \\ \frac{43}{18} \\ \frac{1525}{504} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} c_6 = \frac{(1)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{14767}{7560}(1)^4 - \frac{8353}{7560}(2)^4 - \frac{1231}{2520}(3)^4 + \frac{7397}{7560}(4)^4 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(2)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{6001}{2520}(1)^4 - \frac{331}{630}(2)^4 - \frac{53}{140}(3)^4 + \frac{509}{630}(4)^4 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(3)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{737}{315}(1)^4 + \frac{41}{2520}(2)^4 + \frac{137}{840}(3)^4 + \frac{1931}{2520}(4)^4 - \frac{719}{2520}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(4)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{8801}{3780}(1)^4 + \frac{19}{945}(2)^4 + \frac{401}{630}(3)^4 + \frac{1279}{945}(4)^4 - \frac{1279}{3780}(5)^4\right) \\ c_6 = \frac{(5)^5}{5!} - \frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{55}{24}(1)^4 + \frac{5}{24}(2)^4 + \frac{5}{24}(3)^4 + \frac{55}{24}(4)^4\right) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{10387}{15120} \\ \frac{1531}{2520} \\ \frac{3139}{5040} \\ \frac{491}{756} \\ \frac{95}{144} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the block method (2.18) is of order $p = (4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)$ with error constant

$$c_{p+2} = \left(\frac{409}{840}, \frac{2823}{2520}, \frac{293}{168}, \frac{43}{18}, \frac{1525}{504}, \frac{10387}{15120}, \frac{1531}{2520}, \frac{3139}{5040}, \frac{491}{576}, -\frac{95}{144}\right)^T$$

Consistency
Definition 4
Given a continuous implicit multi step method (2.11) the first and second characteristics polynomials are defined as;

$$\rho(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j Z^J \qquad (3.9)$$

$$\sigma(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j z^j \qquad (3.10)$$

Where z is the principle root, $\alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 \neq 0$

Definition 5
The continuous implicit multi step method (2.11) is said to be consistent if it satisfies the following conditions;

i. The order $p \geq 1$
ii. $\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j = 0$
iii. $\rho(1) = \rho'(1) = 0$ and
iv. $\rho''(1) = 2!\sigma(1)$

Remark
Condition (i) is sufficient for the associated block method to be consistent i.e. $p \geq 1$ Jator[19].

Consistency of the main method
Recall the main method; (2.13d)

$$y_{n+5} - 5y_{n+1} + 4y_n = \frac{h^2}{504}(2327 f_{n+1} + 1108 f_{n+2} + 774 f_{n+3} + 940 f_{n+4} - 109 f_{n+5})$$

The first characteristic polynomial and second characteristic polynomial of the method above are given by

$$p(z) = z^5 - 5z + 4$$

And

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{2327z + 1108z^2 + 774z^3 + 940z^4 - 109z^5}{504}$$ respectively.

By definition 5, the method (3.13d) is consistent since it satisfies the following

i. The order of the method is $P = 4 \geq 1$
ii. $\alpha_0 = 4,\ \alpha_1 = -5,\ \alpha_5 = 1$
Thus, $\sum_{j=0}^{5} \alpha_j,\ j = 0,1,5,\ \sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_j = 4 - 5 + 1 = 0$
iii.
$$p(z) = z^5 - 5z + 4$$
$$p(1) = (1)^5 - 5(1) + 4 = 0$$
$$p^{'}(z) = 5z^4 - 5$$
$$p^{'}(1) = 5(1)^4 - 5 = 0$$
iv.
$$p^{''}(z) = 20z^3$$
$$p^{''}(1) = 20(1)^3 = 20$$
$$p(z) = z^5 - 5z + 4$$
$$\sigma(z) = \frac{12327z + 1108z^2 + 774z^3 + 940z^4 - 109z^5}{504}$$
$$\sigma(1) = \frac{12327(1) + 1108(1)^2 + 774(1)^3 + 940(1)^4 - 109(1)^5}{504} = \frac{5040}{504} = 10$$
$$2!\sigma(1) = 2 \text{ X } 10 = 20$$
$$p^{''}(1) = 2!\sigma(1) = 20$$

The conditions (i-iv) are satisfied, hence the method is consistent. Similarly, the block method (2.18) is consistent by conditions (i) of definition (5).

Zero Stability
Definition 6
The continuous implicit multi step method (2.11) is said to be zero – stable if no root of the first characteristics polynomial $\rho(z)$ has modulus greater than one, and if every root of modulus one has multiplicity not greater than two Lambert[20].

Definition 7
The implicit block method (2.16) is said to be zero stable if the roots $Z_s, s = 1,..., n$ of the first characteristics polynomial $\bar{\rho}(z)$, defined by

$$\bar{\rho}(z) = \det\left[Z\bar{A} - \bar{E}\right] \quad (3.11)$$

Satisfies $|Z_s| \le 1$ and every root with $|Z_s| = 1$ has multiplicity not exceeding two in the limit as $h \to 0$

Zero -stability of the block method (2.18)
From (2.18), using the definition (6) as $h \to 0$

$$p(z) = \det\left[z\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \end{bmatrix} \right] = (z-1)z^9 \quad (3.12)$$

Solving for z in (3.12) i.e. equating $(z-1)z^9 = 0$

Gives $z_2 = z_3 = z_4 .... = z_9,\ z_1 = 1$. Hence the block method is stable

Zero- Stability of the main method (2.13d)
The first characteristic polynomial of (2.13d) i.e.

$$y_{n+5} - 5y_{n+1} + 4y_n = h^2\left[\frac{2327}{504}f_{n+1} + \frac{277}{126}f_{n+2} + \frac{43}{28}f_{n+3} + \frac{235}{126}f_{n+4} - \frac{109}{504}f_{n+5}\right]$$

Is given by $z^5 - 5z + 4$ (3.13)

Equating (3.13) to zero and solving for z, gives

$$z_1 = -\frac{1}{3}\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3} + \frac{5}{3\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3}} - \frac{2}{3}$$

$$z_2 = \frac{1}{6}\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3} - \frac{5}{6\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3}} - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}1\sqrt{3}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3} - \frac{5}{3\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3}}\right)$$

$$z_3 = \frac{1}{6}\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3} - \frac{5}{6\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3}} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2}1\sqrt{3}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3} - \frac{5}{3\left(35 + 15\sqrt{6}\right)^{1/3}}\right)$$

$|z_4| = 1, \quad |z_5 = 1|$

The root z of (3.13) for which $|z| = 1$ is simple, hence the method is zero stable as $h \to 0$ as defined by (6) and by the stability of the block method (2.18)

Zero-stability of the block method (2.18)
Convergence
The convergence of the continuous implicit multi step method (2.11) is considered in the light of the basic properties, in conjunction with the fundamental theorem of Dahlquist , Henrici [21] for linear multistep methods. In what follows, we state Dahlquist’s theorem without proof. **Theorem 3.1: Dahlquist theorem.** Lambert [16]
The necessary and sufficient condition for a linear multistep method to be convergent is for it to be consistent and zero stable.
Following theorem 3.1, the method (2.13d) is convergent since it satisfies the necessary and sufficient conditions of consistency and zero stability.

Region of Absolute Stability of the block method
Definition 8
If the first and second characteristics polynomials of Linear Multistep Method (LMM) are ρ and σ respectively, then the polynomial equation can be written as

$$\pi\left(r,\bar{h}\right) \Rightarrow \rho\left(r\right) - \bar{h}\sigma\left(r\right) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

Where $\bar{h} = \left(\lambda h\right)^2$

Then $\pi\left(r,\bar{h}\right)$ is called the stability polynomial of the method defined by ρ and σ , and $\bar{h} = \left(\lambda h\right)^2$ is the test equation.

To get the region of absolute stability, we use the Routh –Hurwitz criterion by substituting into (3.14)

$$r = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.15}$$

On evaluating the coefficient of the resulted polynomials, gives the region of absolute stability.
To get the graph of the stability region, we make $\bar{h}$ the subject of the formula from (3.14) to get

$$\bar{h}\left(r\right) = \frac{\rho\left(r\right)}{\sigma\left(r\right)} \tag{3.16}$$

Which is then plotted in MATLAB environment to produce the required absolute stability region of the method that will be plotted in a graph.

4.30 REGION OF ABSOLUTE STABILITY FIVE STEP METHOD (K = 5)
Using definition 8 and expressing the first characteristic polynomial and second characteristic polynomial, of equation (2.13d) as

$$\rho\left(r\right) = r^5 - 5r + 4$$

and

$$\sigma\left(r\right) = \frac{1}{504}\left[2327r + 1108r^2 + 774r^3 + 940r^4 - 109r^5\right]$$ respectively.

And substituting into equation 3.14 gives

$$r^5 - 5r + 4 - \frac{\left(\lambda h\right)^2}{504}\left[-109r^5 + 940r^4 + 774r^3 + 1108r^2 + 2327r\right] = 0$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{109}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)r^5 - \frac{940}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2 r^4 - \frac{774}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2 r^3 - \frac{1108}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2 r^2 - \left(5 + \frac{2327}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)r + 4 = 0 \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore Equation 3.17 is called the stability polynomial. To get the region of absolute stability we use the Routh – Hurwitz criterion by substituting $r = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ into 3.17 to get

$$\left(1 + \frac{109}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^5 - \frac{940}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^4 - \frac{774}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^3$$

$$-\frac{1108}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 - \left(5 + \frac{2327}{504}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-2}\right) + 4 = 0$$

Simplifying and collecting like terms, we have

$$\left(-4 - \frac{4}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)z^5 + \left(20 + \frac{64}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)z^4 + \left(-28 - \frac{101}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)z^3$$

$$+\left(12 - \frac{19}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right)z^2 + 7\left(\lambda h\right)^2 z - 3\left(\lambda h\right)^2 = 0$$

Using the coefficient of z^5, z^4, z^3, z^2, z^1 and z^0 respectively, we have

$$\left(-4 - \frac{4}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right) > 0 \tag{3.18}$$

$$\left(20 + \frac{64}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right) > 0 \tag{3.19}$$

$$\left(-28 - \frac{101}{15}\left(\lambda h\right)^2\right) > 0 \tag{3.20}$$

$$12-\frac{19}{15}(\lambda h)^2>0 \quad (3.21)$$

$$7(\lambda h)^2>0 \quad (3.22)$$

And

$$-3(\lambda h)^2>0 \quad (3.23)$$

And simplifying them, gives an interval of (-4.27, 0).

To get the graph of the absolute stability region, using Equation (3.16) to get

$$\bar{h}(r)=\frac{\rho(r)}{\sigma(r)}=\frac{504\left(r^5-5r+4\right)}{2327r+1108r^2+774r^3+940r^4-109r^5} \quad (3.24)$$

which is then plotted in MATLAB environment to produce the required absolute stability region of the method as shown below.



Fig. 1: Region of absolute stability curve of the five step Method (k = 5)
The region of Absolute Stability of the above figure is A-stable.
Summary of the Analysis of the Methods

Method	Order	Error constant	Zero Stability	Consistency	Interval of absolute stability
5SM	4	$5.91\text{x}10^{-1}$	Zero stable	Consistent	-4.27, 0

4. Numerical Examples

In order to study the efficiency of the developed method, we present some numerical examples with the following three problems. The continuous implicit multi step method 5SM was applied to solve the following test problems, which include; linear, non-linear and stiff problems;

1. $y''=y',\ y^{(0)}=0,\ y'(0)=-1,\ h=0.1$

   Exact solution: $y(x)=1-\exp(x)$;

   Source: Ehige et al[3]

Table: 4.1: SHOWING THE EXACT SOLUTION AND THE COMPUTED RESULTS FROM THE PROPOSED METHODS FOR PROBLEM ONE AND IT COMPARISM WITH Ehigie et al[3]

X values	y_{ex}	5SM	[3]	Error in 5SM	Error in [3]
0.1	-0.1051709180756	-0.1051660043526	-0.1048333333	4.913723e-06	3.38e-04
0.2	-0.2214027581601	-0.2213921694737	-0.2206078733	1.058868e-05	7.95e-04
0.3	-0.3498588075760	-0.3498415557459	-0.3484633860	1.725183e-05	1.40e-03
0.4	-0.4918246976412	-0.4917998990767	-0.4896604103	2.479856e-05	2.16e-03
0.5	-0.6487212707001	-0.6486875347062	-0.6455911064	3.373599e-05	3.13e-03
0.6	-0.8221188003905	-0.8220749761379	-0.8177929079	4.382425e-05	4.33e-03
0.7	-1.0137527074704	-1.0136971809707	-1.0079636772	5.552650e-05	5.79e-03
0.8	-1.2255409284924	-1.2254716990839	-1.2179784459	6.922941e-05	7.56e-03
0.9	-1.4596031111569	-1.4595182365490	-1.4499079018	8.487461e-05	9.70e-03
1.0	-1.7182818284590	-1.7181785745432	-1.7060388057	1.032539e-04	1.22e-02

Note: The new method perform better than Ehigie et al[3]

2. $y''-x(y')^2 = 0, \quad y(0)=1, \; y'(0)=\frac{1}{2}, h=\frac{0.1}{40}$

Exact solution: $y(x)=1+\frac{1}{2}In\left(\frac{2+x}{2-x}\right)$.

Source: Osilagun et al[8]

Table 4.2: SHOWING THE EXACT SOLUTION AND THE COMPUTED RESULTS FROM THE PROPOSED METHODS FOR PROBLEM TWO AND ITS COMPARISM WITH Osilegun et al[8]

X values	y_{cx}	5SM	[8]	Error in 5SM	Error in [8]
0.0025	1.00125000065104	1.00125000089193	1.001250000186	2.408940e-10	4.650e-10
0.0050	1.00250000520835	1.00250000578707	1.002500003997	5.787262e-10	1.211e-09
0.0075	1.00375001757828	1.00375001842894	1.003750013174	8.506753e-10	4.030e-09
0.0100	1.00500004166729	1.00500004288627	1.005000047982	1.218982e-09	6.314e-09
0.0125	1.00625008138211	1.00625008326863	1.006250080358	1.886520e-09	8.462e-09
0.0150	1.00750014062974	1.00750014305472	1.007500025790	2.424974e-09	1.148e-09
0.0175	1.00875022331755	1.00875022649842	1.008750239662	3.180874e-09	1.993e-09
0.0200	1.01000033335334	1.01000033714232	1.010000078382	3.788989e-09	2.550e-09
0.0225	1.01125047464541	1.01125047925866	1.011250489037	4.613248e-09	4.256e-09
0.0250	1.01250065110271	1.01250065721143	1.012500610101	6.108729e-09	4.100e-08

Note: The new method perform better than Osilagun et al[8]

3. $y''= y + xe^{3x}, \; y(0)=\frac{-3}{32}, \; y'(0)=\frac{-5}{32}, \; h = 0.1$

Exact solution: $y(x)=\frac{4x-3}{32\,e^{-3x}}$.

Source: Osilagun et al [8]

Table: 4.3: SHOWING THE EXACT SOLUTION AND THE COMPUTED RESULTS FROM THE PROPOSED METHODS FOR PROBLEM THREE AND ITS COMPARISM WITH Osilegun et al [8]

X values	y_{cx}	5SM	[8]	Error in 5SM	Error in [8]
0.0025	-0.094140915761848	-0.094140915761862	-0.0941409131568	1.382228e-14	2.61e-09
0.0050	-0.094532404142338	-0.094532404142391	-0.0945324074753	5.287437e-14	3.33e-09
0.0075	-0.094924451608388	-0.094924451608603	-0.0949244224215	2.15397e-13	2.92e-08
0.0100	-0.095317044390700	-0.095317044391476	-0.0953170247449	7.758655e-13	2.02e-08
0.0125	-0.095710168480980	-0.095710168483239	-0.0957101793552	2.25899e-12	1.08e-08
0.0150	-0.096103809629113	-0.096103809633588	-0.0961039982252	4.475031e-12	1.88e-07
0.0175	-0.09649533403163	-0.096479533470340	-0.0964952920355	6.718376e-12	4.23e-08
0.0200	-0.096892584872264	-0.096892584881360	-0.0968923659413	9.096404e-12	2.18e-07
0.0225	-0.097289689232184	-0.097287689244092	0.0972874625827	1.190807e-11	2.22e-06
0.0250	-0.097683251173919	-0.097683251189644	-0.0976830958236	1.572514e-11	1.55e-07

Note: The new method perform better than Osilagun et al[8]

Discussion of the Results

The computer programs written for the implementation of the continuous implicit multi step method 5SM, was tested on numerical examples which are respectively, nonlinear, linear and stiff initial value problems of general second order ordinary differential equations in the last section.

Generally, the performance of our method as notice in table 4.1 are superior to those from methods implemented by Ehigie et al[3], that used a 2 – step continuous linear multistep method of hybrid type on moderately stiff problem one. It is observed that our method 5SM, in table 4.2, performed far better than Osilegun et al[8], method of four steps implicit method on non – linear problem two. Also, our method 5SM, perform better than Osilegun et al[8], method of four steps implicit method on linear problem three in table 4.3

Finally, our scheme have been demonstrated to be more efficient in stiff problems as shown in table 4.1 of problem one.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper illustrates the derivation, analysis and implementation of block method for solving second order initial value problem of ordinary differential equations directly.

Numerical experiments have been carried out using appropriate step size as required by each problem. Such problem which are stiff, non-linear and linear. In general, the results from numerical experiment so presented in this paper show that the new method performed effectively well when compared with other methods in the literature.

REFERENCES

[1] Abualnaja K.M. (2015).A block procedure with linear multi-step methods using Legendre polynomials for solving ODEs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 6, (717 – 732).

[2] Anake T.A. (2011). Continuous Implicit one – step methods for the solution IVPs of General Second ODEs, using power series unpublished doctoral dissertation, Convenant University, Ota..

[3] Ehigie J.O., Okunuga, S.A, Sofoluwe, A.B. and Akanbi, M.A. (2010). On Generalized 2-step continuous linear multistep method of hybrid type for the integration of second ODEs, *Journal of Scholars Research Library* 2(6): 362-372.

[4] Adeniyi R.B. & Adeyefa, E.O.(2013). Chebyshev Collocation Approach for a Continuous formation of implicit hybrid methods for IVPs in second ODEs. *Journal of Mathematics*, 6(4):9 – 12.

[5] Kayode and Adebeye (2013). Two step point hybrid methods for general second order Differential Equations. African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Sciences research 6(10), 191 – 196.

[6] Ademiluyi R.A., Duromola, M.K and Bolarinwa Bolaji (2014).Modified Block Method for the direct solution of initial value problem of Fourth Order Ordinary Differential Equation. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied sciences, Journal of Basic and Applied 8(10); 389 – 394

[7] Bolarinwa Bolaji (2012). Implicit hybrid block methods for the numerical solution of IVPs of third order ODEs. A Ph.D thesis in mathematical sciences Department of Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria

[8] Osilagun, J.A., Adesanya, A.O., Anake, T.A. and Oghoyon, G. J. (2009). Four steps implicit method for the solution of General second order Ordinary Differential Equations. *Journal of Natural Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 8(1), 52-61.

[9] Abdulganiy, R.I, Akinfewa, O.A. and Okunuga, S.A.(2017), Maximal order Block Trigonometrically Fitted scheme for the Numerical Treatment of second order Initial Value Problem with oscillating solution .International Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Optimization Theory and Application. VOL. 2017, 168-186

[10] Peter, O.J. and Ibrahim, M.O.(2017), Application of Differential Transformation Method in Solving a Typhoid Fever Model.International Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Optimization Theory and Application. Vol. 2017, 250-260

[11] Zarina, B. I., Mohammed, S., Kharil, I. and Zanariah, M. (2005). Block method for generalized multistep Adams method and backward differentiation formula in solving first – order ODEs, Mathematika 25-33

[12] Yahaya. Y.A., and Mohammed U. (2010). Fully implicit three point backward differentiation formulae for solution of first order initial value problems. *International Journal of Numerical mathematics* 5(3): 384 – 398.

[13] Odekunle, M.R.; Adesanya, A.O.; and Sunday, J. (2012).A New Block integrator for the solution of initial value problems of first order ordinary differential equation. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology* 11(1):92-100

[14] Sunday, J; James, A.A; Ibijola, E.A.; Ogunrinde, R.B.; and Ogunyebi, S.A., (2012). A computational Approach to Verhulst – Pearl Model 10SR *Journal of Mathematics* 4; 06 – 13

[15] Awoyemi, D.O (1992). On some continuous linear multistep methods for initial value problems unpublished doctoral dissatisfaction, University of Ilorin

[16] Lambert J.D. (1973).Computational methods in ordinary differential equation. John Wiley, New York.

[17] Abhulimen C. E. and Aigbiremhon, A.A. (2017). Continuous Implicit Block Methods Using Legendre Polynomials for Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations of Order One, *Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical physics vol. 41. 465-474*

[18] Chollon, J.P., Ndam, J.N, and Kunleng, G. M (2007).On some properties of the block linear multistep methods, *scienes world Journal*, 2(3), 11-17.

[19] Jator, S.N., (2007). A sixeth order linear multistep method for the direct solution of ordinary differential equations. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 40(1), 457-472.

[20] Lambert J.D. (1991).Computational methods in ordinary differential equation. John Wiley, New York.

[21] Henrici, P. (1962): Discrete variable method in Ordinary Differential Equation.John Wiley and Sons. New York

[22] Abhulimen C. E. and Aigbiremhon A.A. (2018). Three-Steps Block Method for solving Second Order Differential Equations. International Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Optimization: Theory and Applications. Vol. 2018, PP. 364 – 381.

[23] Aigbiremhon A.A. and Ukpebor L.A (2019): Four-steps Collocation Block Method for Solving Second Order Differential Equation. Nigerian Journal of Mathematics and Applications. Vol. 28 (2019), Pp 18-39.